Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics
Volume 23, No. 3, (June 2022) pp. 311–337
ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version)
ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version)
http://www.afmi.or.kr
https://doi.org/10.30948/afmi.2022.23.3.311



© Research Institute for Basic Science, Wonkwang University http://ribs.wonkwang.ac.kr

IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals in semigroups

S. H. HAN, G. MUHIUDDIN, K. HUR, J. I. BAEK





Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 23, No. 3, (June 2022) pp. 311–337 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr https://doi.org/10.30948/afmi.2022.23.3.311

@FMI

© Research Institute for Basic Science, Wonkwang University http://ribs.wonkwang.ac.kr

IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals in semigroups

S. H. HAN, G. MUHIUDDIN, K. HUR, J. I. BAEK

Received 14 February 2022; Revised 9 March 2022; Accepted 22 March 2022

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notions of IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals, and obtain some of their properties. Also, we define an IVI-octahedron duo semigroup and give a characterization of a duo semigroup by it, and study some of its properties. Furthermore, we discuss some characterizations of a regular semigroup and a left [resp. right] regular semigroup by IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals.

2020 AMS Classification: 03B52, 08A72, 20M12, 20M17

Keywords: IVI-octahedron ideal, IVI-octahedron bi-ideal, Level set, IVI-octahedron duo semigroup, Regular semigroup, Left [resp. right] regular semigroup.

Corresponding Author: S. H. Han, K. Hur ({shhan235, kulhur}@wku.ac.kr)

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Zadeh [1] introduced the concept of fuzzy sets as the generalization of crisp sets in order to solve the real world problems involving ambiguities and uncertainties. Rosenfeld [2] applied initially it to basic theory of groupoids and groups. After then, Das [3] defined a level subgroup and obtained a chracterization of a fuzzy group by its level subgroup. Liu [4] studied some properties of fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals. Mukherjee and Sen [5] investigated various properties of fuzzy ideals of a ring. In particular, Kuroki [6] dealt with properties of fuzzy ideals and bi-ideals in a semigroup. Also he discussed the characterizations of some special semigroups by fuzzy ideals and bi-ideals in [7]. Recently, Wattanatripop et al. [8] defined an almost bi-ideal in a semigroup and obtained some of its properties. Refer to [9, 10, 11, 12, 13] for further researches with respect to fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups.

In 1989, Biswas [14] applied the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets proposed by Atanassov [15] as a generalization of a fuzzy set to a group theory. After that time, Hur et al. [16] defined an intuitionistic fuzzy subgroupoid and an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal, and obtained their basic properties. Also, Hur et al. [17] studied various properties of intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and intuitionistic fuzzy subrings. Banerjee and Basnet [18] dealt with some properties of a group and an ideal based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. In particular, Kim and Jun [19] introduced the concept of intuitionistic fuzzy ideals in a semigroup and investigated some of its properties. Kim and Lee [20] defined an intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal in a semigroup and had its various properties. Hur et al. [21] dealt with characterizations of some special semigroups by intuitionistic fuzzy ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals. Refer to [22, 23, 24] for researches with respect to intuitionistic fuzzy ideals and intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals.

In 1989, Atanassov and Gargov [25] proposed the concept of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets as a generalization of an intuitionistic fuzzy set. Yaqoob [26] applied it to ideals based on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set in a regular LAsemigroup and obtained some of its properties. Abdullah et al. [27] defined an interval-valued (α, β)-intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal in a semigroup and investigated some of its properties. On the other hand, Krishnaswamy et al. [28] studied basic properties of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals in a ternary semiring. Also, Balasubramanian and Raja [29] dealt with properties of interval-valued intuitionistic Q-fuzzy k-ideals in a ternary semiring. Moreover, K. Arulmozhi1 et al. [30] introduced the concept of weak bi-ideals in a Γ near-ring and dealt with some of its properties.

Recently, Kim et al. [31] introduced the notion of IVI-octahedron sets and applied it to groupoid theory. The purpose of our study is not only to find the properties ideals and bi-ideals based on IVI-octahedron sets in semigroups, but also to obtain some characterizations of a regular semigroup by them. To accomplish this, our paper structured as follows: In Section 2, we list some definitions needed next sections. In Section 3, we define an IVI-octahedron ideal, and give characterizations of an IVIoctahedron ideal by the IVI-octahedron products and the level sets respectively (See Theorems 3.20 and 3.23 respectively). In Section 4, we define an IVI-octahedron bi-ideal, and obtain the characterizations of an IVI-octahedron bi-ideal by the IVIoctahedron products and the level sets respectively (See Theorems 4.9 and 4.15respectively). In Section 5, we introduce the notion of IVI-octahedron duo semigrops and give a characterization of a duo semigroup by IVI-octahedron duo semigrops (See Theorem 5.6). Also, we deal with some relations between IVI-octahedron ideals and IVI-octahedron bi-ideals. In Section 6, We discuss with the characterizations of a regular semigroup by IVI-octahedron ideals and IVI-octahedron bi-ideals, and a characterization of a left [resp. right and completely] regular semigroup by IVI-octahedron left ideals [resp. IVI-octahedron right ideals and IVI-octahedron bi-ideals].

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we list some basic definitions needed in the next sections.

Let I = [0, 1] and let X be a nonempty set. Then a mapping $A : X \to I$ is called a *fuzzy set* in X (See [1]). **0** and **1** denote the *fuzzy empty set* and the *fuzzy whole* set in X defined by: for each $x \in X$,

$$\mathbf{0}(x) = 0$$
 and $\mathbf{1}(x) = 1$.

Each member of a set $I \oplus I = \{(a^{\in}, a^{\notin}) : (a^{\in}, a^{\notin}) \in I \times I \text{ and } a^{\in} + a^{\notin} \leq 1\}$ is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy number* (See [32]). We denote intuitionistic fuzzy numbers $(a^{\in}, a^{\notin}), (b^{\in}, b^{\notin}), (c^{\in}, c^{\notin}), \text{ etc.}$ as $\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \text{ etc.}$ In particular, $\bar{0} = (0, 1)$ and $\bar{1} = (1, 0)$. It is well-known (Theorem 2.1 in [32]) that $(I \oplus I, \leq)$ is a complete distributive lattice with the greatest element $\bar{1}$ and the least element $\bar{0}$ satisfying DeMorgan's laws. For a nonempty set X, a mapping $\bar{A} = (A^{\in}, A^{\notin}) : X \to I \oplus I$ is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy set* (briefly, IFS) in X (See [15]). $\bar{0}$ and $\bar{1}$ denote the *intuitionistic fuzzy empty set* and the *intuitionistic fuzzy whole set* in X defined by: for each $x \in X$,

$$\overline{\mathbf{0}}(x) = \overline{\mathbf{0}}$$
 and $\overline{\mathbf{1}}(x) = \overline{\mathbf{1}}$.

Let us denote the set of all IFSs in X as IFS(X). Moreover, see [15] for the definitions of the inclusion, equality, intersection, union of two IFSs and the complement of an IFS, and operators $[]\bar{A}, \diamond \bar{A}$ for each $\bar{A} \in IFS(X)$.

Let $[I] = \{\tilde{a} = [a^-, +] \subset I : 0 \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1\}$ be the set of all closed subintervals of I. Then each member of [I] are called *interval-valued fuzzy numbers* (See [33]). For a nonempty set X, a mapping $\tilde{A} = [A^-, A^+] : X \to [I]$ is called an *interval-valued fuzzy set* (briefly, an IVFS) in X (See [34]). $\tilde{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{1}}$ denote the *interval-valued fuzzy empty set* and the *interval-valued fuzzy whole set* in X defined by: for each $x \in X$,

$$\mathbf{0}(x) = [0, 0]$$
 and $\mathbf{1}(x) = [1, 1]$.

We denote the set of all IVFSs in X as IVFS(X). Furthermore, see [34] for the definitions of the inclusion, equality, intersection, union of two IVFSs and the complement of an IVFS.

Let $[I] \oplus [I] = \{\widetilde{\tilde{a}} = (\widetilde{a}^{\in}, \widetilde{a}^{\notin}) \in [I] \times [I] : a^{\in,+} + a^{\notin,+} \leq 1\}$. Then each member of $[I] \oplus [I]$ is called an *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy number* (briefly, IVIFN) (See [31]). In particular, $\widetilde{\tilde{0}} = ([0,0], [1,1])$ and $\widetilde{\tilde{1}} = ([1,1], [0,0])$. Also, see [31] for the definitions of the order, the equality, the inf and the sup of two IVIFNs. For a nonempty set X, a mapping $\widetilde{\tilde{A}} = (\widetilde{A}^{\in}, \widetilde{A}^{\notin}) : X \to [I] \oplus [I]$ is called an *interval*valued intuitionistic fuzzy set (briefly, IVIS) in X (See [25]). $\widetilde{\tilde{\mathbf{0}}}$ [resp. $\widetilde{\tilde{\mathbf{1}}}$] denotes the *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy empty set* [resp. the *interval-valued intuitionistic* fuzzy whole set] in X defined by: for each $x \in X$,

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{0}}(x) = ([0,0],[1,1]) \text{ [resp. } \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}(x) = ([1,],[0,0])].$$

We denote the set of all IVISs as IVIFS(X).

Members of $([I] \oplus [I]) \times (I \oplus I) \times I$ are called *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy* octahedron numbers (briefly, IVI-octahedron numbers) and we write them as

$$\widetilde{\tilde{a}} = \left\langle \widetilde{\tilde{a}}, \bar{a}, a \right\rangle, \quad \widetilde{\tilde{b}} = \left\langle \widetilde{\tilde{b}}, \bar{b}, b \right\rangle, \text{ etc. (See [31]).}$$
313

Moreover, see [31] for the definitions of the order, the equality, the inf and the sup of IVI-octahedron numbers.

Definition 2.1 ([31]). Let X be a nonempty set. Then a mapping $\mathcal{A} = \langle \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}, \overline{A}, A \rangle$: $X \to ([I] \oplus [I]) \times (I \oplus I) \times I$ is called an *IVI-octahedron set* (briefly, IVIOS) in X. and let $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFS(X), \ \overline{A} \in IFS(X), \ A \in I^X$. $\mathbf{\ddot{0}}$ and $\mathbf{\ddot{1}}$ denote the *IVI-octahedron empty set* and *IVI-octahedron whole set* in X defined by: for each $x \in X$,

 $\ddot{\mathbf{0}}(x) = \langle ([0,0], [1,1], (0,1), 0 \rangle \text{ and } \ddot{\mathbf{1}}(x) = \langle ([1,1], [0,0], (1,0), 1 \rangle.$

We denote the set of all IVIOSs as IVIOS(X).

It is clear that for each $A \in 2^X$, $\chi_A = \left\langle \widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_A}}, \overline{\chi_A}, \chi_A \right\rangle \in IVIOS(X)$ and then $2^X \subset IVIOS(X)$, where $\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_A}} = ([\chi_A, \chi_A], [\chi_{A^c}, \chi_{A^c}]) \in IVIFS(X)$, $\overline{\chi_A} = (\chi_A, \chi_{A^c}) \in IFS(X)$, 2^X denotes the set of all subsets of X and χ_A denotes the characteristic function of A.

Definition 2.2 ([31]). Let X be a nonempty set, let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in IVIOS(X)$ and let $(\mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in J}$ be a family of IVIOSs in X. Then the *inclusion*, the *equality* between \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , the *union* and the *intersection* of $(\mathcal{A}_j)_{j \in J}$, the *complement* of \mathcal{A} , operators [] and \diamond of \mathcal{A} are defined as follows respectively:

- (i) (The equality) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{A} = \widetilde{B}, \ \overline{A} = \overline{B}, \ A = B.$
- (ii) (The inclusion) $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}, \ \overline{A} \subset \overline{B}, \ A \leq B$.
- (iii) (The union) $\bigcup_{j \in J} \mathcal{A}_j = \left\langle \bigcup_{j \in J} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}_j, \bigcup_{j \in J} \overline{A}_j, \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j \right\rangle.$
- (iv) (The intersection) $\bigcap_{j \in J} \mathcal{A}_j = \left\langle \bigcap_{j \in J} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}_j, \bigcap_{j \in J} \overline{A}_j, \bigcap_{j \in J} A_j \right\rangle.$
- (v) (The complement) $\mathcal{A}^c = \left\langle \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}^c, \overline{A}^c, A^c \right\rangle$.
- (vi) $[]\mathcal{A} = \left\langle []\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}, []\overline{A}, A \right\rangle,$

where $[]\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} = (\widetilde{A}^{\in}, [A^{\notin, -}, 1 - A^{\in, +}])$ [resp. $[]\overline{A} = (A^{\in}, 1 - A^{\in})]$ (See [25] [resp. [15]]). (vii) $\diamond \mathcal{A} = \left\langle \diamond \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}, \diamond \overline{A}, A \right\rangle$,

where $\diamond \widetilde{A} = ([A^{\in,-}, 1 - A^{\notin,+}], \widetilde{A}^{\notin})$ [resp. $\diamond \overline{A} = (1 - A^{\notin}, A^{\notin})$] (See [25] [resp. [15]]).

3. IVI-OCTAHEDRON SUBSEMIGROUPS AND IDEALS

In this section, we introduce the concepts of IVI-octahedron subsemigroups [resp. left ideals, right ideals and ideals] of a semigroup S and deal with its characterizations respectively.

Let S be a semigroup and let $\emptyset \neq A \in 2^S$. Then

(i) A is called a subsemigroup of S, if $A^2 \subset A$,

(ii) A is called a *left ideal* [resp. *right ideal*] of S, if $SA \subset A$ [resp. $AS \subset A$],

(iii) A is called a *two-sided ideal* (briefly, *ideal*) of S, if it is both a left and a right ideal of S.

We will denote the set of all left ideals [resp. right ideals and ideals] of S as LI(S) [resp. RI(S) and I(S)].

Throughout this section and next section, unless otherwise noted, let us S denote a semigroup. From Proposition 6.13 in [31], we have the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let $\ddot{\mathbf{0}} \neq \mathcal{A} = \left\langle \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}, \overline{A}, A \right\rangle \in IVIOS(S)$. Then \mathcal{A} is called an *IVI-octahedron subsemigroup* (briefly, IVIOSG) of S, if it satisfies the following condition:

for any $x, y \in X$, $\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \mathcal{A}(x) \land \mathcal{A}(y)$, i.e.,

(i) $A^{\in,-}(xy) \ge A^{\in,-}(x) \land A^{\in,-}(y), \ A^{\in,+}(xy) \ge A^{\in,+}(x) \land A^{\in,+}(y), \ A^{\notin,-}(xy) \le A^{\notin,-}(x) \lor A^{\notin,-}(y), \ A^{\notin,+}(xy) \le A^{\notin,+}(x) \lor A^{\notin,+}(y),$ (ii) $A^{\in}(xy) \ge A^{\in}(x) \land A^{\in}(y), \ A^{\notin}(xy) \le A^{\notin}(x) \lor A^{\notin}(y),$ (iii) $A(xy) \ge A(x) \land A(y).$ We will denote the set of all WIOSCs of S as WIOSC(S)

We will denote the set of all IVIOSGs of S as IVIOSG(S).

Remark 3.2. (1) Let $\mathcal{A} = \langle \widetilde{A}, \overline{A}, A \rangle \in IVIOS(S)$ and let IVIFSG(S) [resp. IFSG(S), FSG(S)] denote the set of all interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy [resp. intuitionistic fuzzy and fuzzy] subsemigroups of S (See [26] [resp. [16] and [2]] for the definition of an interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy [resp. an intuitionistic fuzzy and a fuzzy] semigroup). Then from Definition 3.1, we can easily see that the following holds:

 $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$ if and only if $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFSG(S)$, $\overline{A} \in IFSG(S)$ and $A \in FSG(S)$.

Furthermore, if $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$, then [] $\mathcal{A}, \diamond \mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$.

(2) If $A \in FSG(S)$, then $\langle ([A, A], [A^c, A^c]), (A, A^c), A \rangle \in IVIOSG(S)$.

$$\left\langle ([A^{\in}, A^{\in}], [A^{\notin}, A^{\notin}]), \bar{A}, A \right\rangle, \ \left\langle ([A^{\in}, A^{\in}], [A^{\notin}, A^{\notin}]), \bar{A}, A^{\notin^{c}} \right\rangle \in IVIOSG(S).$$

(4) If $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFSG(S)$, then we get

$$\left\langle \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}, (A^{\in,-}, A^{\not\in,-}), A^{\in,-} \right\rangle, \ \left\langle \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}, (A^{\in,+}, A^{\not\in,+}), A^{\in,+} \right\rangle \in IVIOSG(S).$$

Example 3.3. Let $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ be the semigroup with the following Cayley table:

•	1	2	3		
1	1	2	3		
2	1	2	3		
3	1	2	3		
Table 3.1					

Consider the mapping $\mathcal{A}: S \to ([I] \oplus [I]) \times (I \oplus I) \times I$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}(1) = \widetilde{\widetilde{a}}, \ \mathcal{A}(2) = \widetilde{\widetilde{b}}, \ \mathcal{A}(3) = \widetilde{\widetilde{c}}, 315$$

⁽³⁾ If $\overline{A} \in IFSG(S)$, then clearly, we have

where $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$, $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ are arbitrary IVI-octahedron numbers.

Then we can easily check that $\tilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$, $\bar{A} \in IFSG(S)$, $A \in FSG(S)$. Thus by Remark 3.2, $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$.

Theorem 3.4 (See Remark 6.21 [31]). Let $\emptyset \neq A \in 2^S$. Then $\chi_A \in IVIOSG(S)$ if and only if A is a subsemigroup of S.

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 3.5 ([31]). Let $\ddot{\mathbf{0}} \neq \mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then \mathcal{A} is called an:

(i) *IVI-octahedron left ideal* (briefly, IVIOLI) of S, if for any $x, y \in S$,

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \mathcal{A}(y), \text{ i.e.},$$

 $\widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(xy) \geq \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(y), \ \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(xy) \leq \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(y), \ A^{\epsilon}(xy) \geq A^{\epsilon}(y), \ A^{\notin}(xy) \leq A^{\notin}(y), \ A(xy) \geq A(y),$ (ii) *IVI-octahedron right ideal* (briefly, IVIORI) of *S*, if for any *x*, $y \in S$,

$$\mathcal{A}(xy) \ge \mathcal{A}(x),$$

 $\widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(xy) \geq \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(x), \ \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(xy) \leq \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(x), \ A^{\epsilon}(xy) \geq A^{\epsilon}(x), \ A^{\notin}(xy) \leq A^{\notin}(x), \ A(xy) \geq A(x),$ (iii) *IVI-octahedron ideal* (briefly, *i*-IVIOI) of *S*, if it is both an IVIOLI and an

IVIORI of S.

In this case, we will denote the set of all IVIOIs [resp. IVIOLIS and IVIORIS] of S as IVIOI(S) [resp. IVIOLI(S) and IVIORI(S)].

For a semigroup S, let us denote the set of all fuzzy ideals [resp. left ideals and right ideals] (See [2]), the set of all intuitionistic fuzzy ideals [resp. intuitionistic fuzzy left and right ideals] (See [16]) and the set of all interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals [resp. interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy left and right ideals] (See [26]) of S as FI(S) [resp. FLI(S) and FRL(S)], IFI(S) [resp. IFLI(S) and IFRI(S)] and IVIFI(S) [resp. IVIFLI(S) and IVIFRI(S)].

Remark 3.6. From Definition 3.5, we have the followings:

- (1) $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S) \iff \widetilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S), \ \overline{A} \in IFLI(S), \ A \in FLI(S),$
- (2) $\mathcal{A} \in IVIORI(S) \iff \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFRI(S), \ \overline{A} \in IFRI(S), \ A \in FRI(S),$
- (3) $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S) \iff \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFI(S), \ \overline{A} \in IFI(S), \ A \in FI(S).$

Example 3.7. Let \mathcal{A} be the IVI-octahedron subsemigroup of S given in Example 3.3. Then we can easily see that $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$. However, if $\mathcal{A}(1) \neq \mathcal{A}(2)$, $\mathcal{A}(1) \neq \mathcal{A}(3)$ or $\mathcal{A}(2) \neq \mathcal{A}(3)$, then clearly, $\mathcal{A} \notin IVIORI(S)$. Thus $\mathcal{A} \notin IVIOI(S)$. Moreover, if $\mathcal{A}(1) = \mathcal{A}(2) = \mathcal{A}(3)$, then $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S)$.

Remark 3.8. (1) From Definitions 3.1 and 3.4, it follows that for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S)$ [resp. IVIOLI(S) and IVIORI(S)], $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$ but the converse does not hold in general (See Example 3.7).

(2) If $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$ [resp. IVIORI(S) and IVIOI(S)], then [] \mathcal{A} , $\diamond \mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$ [resp. IVIORI(S) and IVIOI(S)].

Theorem 3.9 (See Remark 6.26 [31]). Let $\emptyset \neq A \in 2^S$. Then $\chi_A \in IVIOLI(S)$ [resp. IVIORI(S) and IVIOI(S)] if and only if $A \in LI(S)$ [resp. RI(S) and I(S)]. Proof. Straightforward.

Now we will list the product of two fuzzy [intuitionistic fuzzy, interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy and IVI-octahedron] sets in a semigroup S.

Definition 3.10 (See [4]). Let $A, B \in I^S$. Then the *product* of A and B, denoted by $A \circ_F B$, is a fuzzy set in S defined as follows: for each $x \in S$,

$$(A \circ_F B)(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{yz=x} [A(y) \land B(z)] \text{ if } yz = x\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.11 (See [16]). Let \overline{A} , $\overline{B} \in IFS(S)$. Then the *product* of \overline{A} and \overline{B} , denoted by $\overline{A} \circ_{IF} \overline{B}$, is an IFS in S defined as follows: for each $x \in S$,

$$=\begin{cases} (A \circ_{IF} B)(x) \\ (\bigvee_{yz=x} [A^{\in}(y) \land B^{\in}(z)], \bigwedge_{yz=x} [A^{\notin}(y) \lor B^{\notin}(z)] \text{ if } yz = x \\ (0,1) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.12 (See [31]). Let $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$, $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \in IVIS(S)$. Then the *product* of $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$, denoted by $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}$, is an IVIS in X defined as follows: for each $x \in S$,

$$= \begin{cases} (\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}})(x) \\ [\bigvee_{yz=x} [\widetilde{A}^{\in}(y) \land \widetilde{B}^{\in}(z)], \bigwedge_{yz=x} [\widetilde{A}^{\notin}(y) \lor \widetilde{B}^{\notin}(z)] \text{ if } yz = x \\ [\widetilde{0} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $\widetilde{A}^{\in}(y) = [A^{\in,-}(y), A^{\in,+}(y)]$ and $\widetilde{A}^{\notin}(y) = [A^{\notin,-}(y), A^{\notin,+}(y)].$

Definition 3.13 (See [31]). Let $\mathcal{A} = \left\langle \widetilde{\tilde{A}}, \overline{A}, A \right\rangle$, $\mathcal{B} = \left\langle \widetilde{\tilde{B}}, \overline{B}, B \right\rangle \in IVIOS(S)$. Then the *product* of \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , denoted by $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B}$, is an IVI-octahedron set in S defined as follows: for each $x \in S$,

$$(\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B})(x) = \begin{cases} \bigvee_{yz=x} [\mathcal{A}(y) \land \mathcal{B}(z)] \text{ if } yz = x\\ \widetilde{\widetilde{0}} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In fact, $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{B} = \left\langle \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}, \overline{A} \circ_{IF} \overline{B}, A \circ_{F} B \right\rangle.$

The following is an immediate consequence of Proposition 6.13 in [31].

Theorem 3.14 (See Proposition 6.13 [31]). Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then

 $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S) \text{ if and only if } \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}.$

Lemma 3.15. Let $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFS(S)$. Then

$$\widetilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$$
 if and only if $\widetilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{A} \subset \widetilde{A}$.

Proof. Suppose $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIOLI(S)$ and let $a \in S$ such that a = xy for some $x, y \in S$. Then we have

 $= \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a).$ Similarly, we have $(\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}})^{\not\in}(a) \ge \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(a)$. Thus $\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds, let $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFS(S)$ and let $a \in S$ such that a = xy for some $x, y \in S$. Then we get

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(xy) &= \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a) \\ &\geq (\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}})^{\epsilon}(a) \text{ [Since } \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \text{]} \\ &= \bigvee_{a=bc} [\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}^{\epsilon}(b) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(c)] \\ &\geq \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}^{\epsilon}(x) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(y) \text{ [Since } a = xy] \\ &= [1,1] \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(y) \\ &= \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(y). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we get $\widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(xy) \leq \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(y)$. Thus $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$.

From 3.15, 2.6 in [24] and 2.4 in [9], we get the following.

Theorem 3.16. Let $A \in IVIOS(S)$. Then

 $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$ if and only if $\ddot{\mathbf{i}} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

The following is the dual of Lemma 3.15.

Lemma 3.17. Let $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFS(S)$. Then

 $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFRI(S) \text{ if and only if } \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}.$

From Lemmas 3.17, 2.7' in [24] and 2.5 in [9], we have the dual of Theorem 3.16.

Theorem 3.18. Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then

 $\mathcal{A} \in IVIORI(S)$ if and only if $\mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{i}} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

The following is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 3.15 and 3.17.

Lemma 3.19. Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFS(S)$. Then

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFRI(S)$$
 if and only if $\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$.

From Theorems 3.16 and 3.18, we get the following.

Theorem 3.20. Let $A \in IVIOS(S)$. Then

 $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S)$ if and only if $\ddot{\mathbf{i}} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{i}} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 3.21 ([31]). Let X be a nonempty set, let $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \in ([I] \oplus [I]) \times (I \oplus I) \times I$ and let $\mathcal{A} = \langle \tilde{\tilde{A}}, \bar{A}, A \rangle \in IVIOS(X)$. Then two subsets $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}}$ and $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{\tilde{a}}}^*$ of X are defined as follows:

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}} = \{ x \in X : \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(x) \ge \widetilde{\widetilde{a}}, \ \overline{A}(x) \ge \overline{a}, \ A(x) \ge a \}.$$

In this case, $[\mathcal{A}]_{\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}}$ is called an $\widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}}$ -level set of \mathcal{A} .

From Remark 4.3 in [35], it is clear that

$$[\mathcal{A}]_{\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}} = [\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}} \cap [\overline{A}]_{\overline{a}} \cap [A]_a,$$

where $[\tilde{A}]_{\tilde{a}}$, $[\bar{A}]_{\bar{a}}$ and $[A]_a$ denote the \tilde{a} -level set of \tilde{A} , the \bar{a} -level set of \bar{A} and the a-level set of A (See [35], [16] and [3]).

Result 3.22 (Proposition 4.4 [35]). Let X be a nonempty set, let $\tilde{\tilde{a}}, \tilde{\tilde{b}}$ be two IVIoctahedron numbers and let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(X)$. If $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \leq \tilde{\tilde{b}}$, then $[\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{h}} \subset [\tilde{\tilde{A}}]_{\tilde{a}}$.

Theorem 3.23. Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$ [resp. IVIOI(S), IVIOII(S) and IVIORI(S)] if and only if $[\mathcal{A}]_{\tilde{a}} \in SG(S)$ [resp. I(S), LI(S) and RI(S)] for each IVI-octahedron number $\tilde{\tilde{a}}$ such that $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \neq \tilde{\tilde{0}}$, $\bar{a} \neq \bar{0}$ and $a \neq 0$, where SG(S) denotes the set of all subsemigroups of S.

Proof. The proof of the necessary condition is straightforward from 6.27 in [31].

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. We prove the first part and the third part, and the remainder's proofs are omitted.

Suppose $[\mathcal{A}]_{\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}} \in SG(S)$. Since $[\mathcal{A}]_{\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}} = [\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}} \cap [\overline{A}]_{\overline{a}} \cap [A]_{a}, [\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}}, [A]_{a} \in SG(S)$. It is obvious that if $[\overline{A}]_{\overline{a}}$, then $\overline{A} \in IFSG(S)$ (See [23]). Then it is sufficient to show that if $[A]_{a} \in SG(S)$, then $A \in FSG(S)$ and if if $[\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}} \in SG(S)$, then $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFSG(S)$.

(i) Suppose $[A]_a \in SG(S)$ and for any $x, y \in S$, let A(x) = a, A(y) = b, where $a, b \in I$. Then clearly, $A(x) = a \ge a \land b, A(y) = b \ge a \land b$, where $a \land b \in I$. Thus $x, y \in [A]_{a \land b}$. Since $[A]_{a \land b} \in SG(S), xy \in [A]_{a \land b}$. So $A(xy) \ge a \land b = A(x) \land A(y)$. Hence $A \in FSG(S)$.

(ii) Suppose $[\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}} \in SG(S)$ and for any $x, y \in S$, let $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(x) = \widetilde{\widetilde{a}}, \ \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(y) = \widetilde{\widetilde{b}}$, where $\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{b}}$ are interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy numbers. Then we have

$$\widetilde{A}^{\in}(x) = \widetilde{a}^{\in} \geq \widetilde{a}^{\in} \wedge \widetilde{b}^{\in}, \ \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(x) = \widetilde{a}^{\not\in} \leq \widetilde{a}^{\not\in} \vee \widetilde{b}^{\not\in}$$

and

$$\widetilde{A}^{\in}(y) = \widetilde{b}^{\in} \geq \widetilde{a}^{\in} \wedge \widetilde{b}^{\in}, \ \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(y) = \widetilde{b}^{\not\in} \leq \widetilde{a}^{\not\in} \vee \widetilde{b}^{\not\in}.$$

Thus $x,\ y\in [\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}\wedge\widetilde{\widetilde{b}}}.$ Since $[\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}\wedge\widetilde{\widetilde{b}}}\in SG(S),\ xy\in [\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}\wedge\widetilde{\widetilde{b}}}.$ So we get

$$\widetilde{A}^{\in}(xy) \geq \widetilde{a}^{\in} \wedge \widetilde{b}^{\in} = \widetilde{A}^{\in}(x) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(y), \ \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(xy) \leq \widetilde{a}^{\notin} \vee \widetilde{b}^{\notin} = \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(x) \vee \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(y).$$

Hence $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$. Therefore by Remark 3.2 (1), $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$.

Suppose $[\mathcal{A}]_{\widetilde{a}} \in LI(S)$. It is well-known that if $[\widetilde{A}]_{\overline{a}}$, $[A]_a \in LI(S)$, then $\overline{A} \in IFLI(S)$, $A \in FLI(S)$ (See [23] and [11] respectively). Then it is sufficient to prove that $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S)$. now suppose $[\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}} \in LI(S)$ for each interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy number $\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}$ and for each $y \in S$, let $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{a}}$. Then clearly, $y \in [\mathcal{A}]_{\widetilde{a}}$. Let $x \in S$. Since $[\mathcal{A}]_{\widetilde{a}} \in LI(S)$, $xy \in [\mathcal{A}]_{\widetilde{a}}$. Then we have

$$\widetilde{A}^{\in}(xy) \ge \widetilde{a}^{\in} = \widetilde{A}^{\in}(y), \ \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(xy) \le \widetilde{a}^{\notin} = \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(y).$$
319

Thus $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S)$. So by by Remark 3.2 (1), $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$.

4. IVI-OCTAHEDRON BI-IDEALS

In this section, we define an IVI-octahedron bi-ideal and deal with some of its properties.

A subsemigroup A of a semigroup S is called a *bi-ideal* of S, if $ASA \subset A$. We will denote the set of all bi-ideals of S as BI(S).

Definition 4.1 (See [13]). Let $A \in FSG(S)$. Then A is called a *fuzzy bi-ideal* (briefly, FBI) of S, if $A(xyz) \ge A(x) \land A(z)$ for any $x, y, z \in S$.

We will denote the set of all FBIs of S as FBI(S).

Definition 4.2 ([23]). Let $\overline{A} \in IFSG(S)$. Then \overline{A} is called an *intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal* (briefly, IFBI) of S, if it satisfies the following condition: for any $x, y, z \in S$, $\overline{A}(xyz) \ge A(x) \land \overline{A}(z)$, i.e., $A^{\in}(xyz) \ge A^{\in}(x) \land A^{\in}(z)$, $A^{\notin}(xyz) \le A^{\notin}(x) \lor A^{\notin}(z)$.

We will denote the set of all IFBIs of S as IFBI(S).

~

Definition 4.3. Let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$. Then \tilde{A} is called an *interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideal* (briefly, IVIFBI) of S, if it satisfies the following condition: for any $x, y, z \in S$,

$$\widetilde{A}(xyz) \geq \widetilde{A}(x) \land \widetilde{A}(z), \text{ i.e., } \widetilde{A}^{\in}(xyz) \geq \widetilde{A}^{\in}(x) \land \widetilde{A}^{\in}(z), \ \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(xyz) \leq \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(x) \lor \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(z).$$

We will denote the set of all IVIFBIs of S as IVIFBI(S).

Definition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$. Then \mathcal{A} is called an *IVI-octahedron bi-ideal* (briefly, IVIOBI) of S, if it satisfies the following condition: for any $x, y, z \in S$,

$$\mathcal{A}(xyz) \geq \mathcal{A}(x) \wedge \mathcal{A}(z), \text{ i.e.},$$

$$\widetilde{A}(xyz) \geq \widetilde{A}(x) \wedge \widetilde{A}(z), \ \overline{A}(xyz) \geq \overline{A}(x) \wedge \overline{A}(z), \ A(xyz) \geq A(x) \wedge A(z).$$

We will denote the set of all IVIFBIs of S as $IVIFBI(S)$.

Remark 4.5. (1) From Definitions 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 and 4.4, it is obvious that for any $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S), \mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$ if and only if $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S), \overline{A} \in IFBI(S)$ and $A \in FBI(S)$.

(2) If $A \in FBI(S)$, then $\langle ([A, A], [A^c, A^c]), (A, A^c), A \rangle \in IVIOBI(S)$.

(3) If $\overline{A} \in IFBI(S)$, then we can easily see that

$$\left\langle ([A^{\epsilon}, A^{\epsilon}], [A^{\not\in}, A^{\not\in}]), \bar{A}, A^{\epsilon} \right\rangle, \ \left\langle ([A^{\epsilon}, A^{\epsilon}], [A^{\not\in}, A^{\not\in}]), \bar{A}, A^{\not\in^{c}} \right\rangle \in IVIOBI(S).$$

(4) If $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$, then we can easily check that

$$\left\langle \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}, (A^{\in,-}, A^{\notin,-}), A^{\in,-} \right\rangle, \left\langle \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}, (A^{\in,+}, A^{\notin,+}), A^{\in,+} \right\rangle \in IVIOBI(S).$$

(5) If $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$, then $[]\mathcal{A}, \diamond \mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$.

•	1	2	3	4	
1	1	1	1	1	
2	1	1	1	1	
3	1	1	2	1	
4	1	1	2	2	
Table 4.1					

Example 4.6. Let $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be the semigroup with the following Cayley table:

Consider the mapping $\mathcal{A}: S \to ([I] \oplus [I]) \times (I \oplus I) \times I$ defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}(1) = \widetilde{\tilde{a}}, \ \mathcal{A}(2) = \widetilde{\tilde{b}}, \ \mathcal{A}(3) = \widetilde{\tilde{c}}, \ \mathcal{A}(4) = \widetilde{\tilde{d}},$$

where $\tilde{\tilde{a}}, \tilde{\tilde{b}}, \tilde{\tilde{c}}, \tilde{\tilde{d}}$ are IVI-octahedron numbers such that $\tilde{\tilde{a}} \geq \tilde{\tilde{b}} \geq \tilde{\tilde{c}}$ and $\tilde{\tilde{b}} \geq \tilde{\tilde{d}}$. Then clearly, $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOSG(S)$. Moreover, we can easily check that $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$ and thus $[]\mathcal{A}, \diamond \mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$.

The following shows that the notion of an IVIOBI in a semigroup S is an one of a bi-ideal.

Theorem 4.7. Let $\emptyset \neq A \in 2^S$. Then $A \in BI(S)$ if and only if $\chi_A \in IVIOBI(S)$.

Proof. It is well-known that $A \in BI(S)$ if and only if $\chi_A \in FBI(S)$ from Theorem 1 in [9] (Also, see Lemma 2.4 in [12]) and $A \in BI(S)$ if and only if $\overline{\chi_A} \in IFBI(S)$ from Proposition 2.5 in [23]. It is sufficient to prove that $A \in BI(S)$ if and only if $\widetilde{\chi_A} \in IVIFBI(S)$.

Suppose $A \in BI(S)$. Then by Theorem 3.4, $\widetilde{\chi}_{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$. Thus it is sufficient to show that for any $x, y, z \in S$,

(4.1)
$$\widetilde{\chi_{A}}^{\epsilon}(xyz) = [\chi_{A}, \chi_{A}](xyz) \ge [\chi_{A}, \chi_{A}](x) \wedge [\chi_{A}, \chi_{A}](z),$$

$$(4.2) \qquad \qquad \widetilde{\chi_{A}}^{\not\in}(xyz) = [\chi_{A^c}, \chi_{A^c}](xyz) \le [\chi_{A^c}, \chi_{A^c}](x) \lor [\chi_{A^c}, \chi_{A^c}](z).$$

Let $x, y, z \in S$. Then we have, $x, z \in A$ or $x \notin A$ or $z \notin A$.

Case (i) Suppose $x, z \in A$. Then clearly, $\chi_A(x) = \chi_A(z) = 1$ and $\chi_{A^c}(x) = \chi_{A^c}(z) = 0$. Since $A \in BI(S)$, $xyz \in ASA \subset A$. Thus we have

$$\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(xyz) = 1 = \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x) \land \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(z), \ \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A^c}(xyz) = 0 = \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A^c}(x) \land \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A^c}(z).$$

Case (ii) Suppose $x \notin A$ or $z \notin A$. Then we get $\chi_A(x) = 0$, $\chi_{A^c}(x) = 1$ or $\chi_A(z) = 0$, $\chi_{A^c}(z) = 1$. Thus we have

$$\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(xyz) \ge 0 = \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(x) \land \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A}(z), \; \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A^c}(xyz) \ge 1 = \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A^c}(x) \land \chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A^c}(z).$$

So in either cases, the inequalities (4.1) and (4.2) hold.

The proof of the converse is similar to one of Proposition 2.5 in [23]. This completes the proof. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 4.8. Let $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFS(S)$. Then

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S) \text{ if and only if } \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \text{ and } \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}.$$

$$321$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. Suppose } \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S). \text{ Since } \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFSG(S), \text{ by Theorem 3.14, } \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \\ \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}. \text{ Let } a \in S. \\ \text{ Suppose } (\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}})(a) = \widetilde{\widetilde{0}} = ([0,0], [1,1]). \text{ Then clearly, we have} \\ \\ \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}. \end{array}$

Suppose $(\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}})(a) \neq \widetilde{\widetilde{0}}$. Then there are $x, y, p, q \in S$ such that

$$a = xy$$
 and $x = pq$

Thus we get

$$\begin{split} (\widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{A})^{\in}(a) &= [(\widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}) \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{A}]^{\in}(a) \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [(\widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}})^{\in}(x) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(y)] \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [(\bigvee_{x=pq} [\widetilde{A}^{\in}(p) \wedge \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}^{\in}(q)]) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(y)] \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [(\bigvee_{x=pq} [\widetilde{A}^{\in}(p) \wedge [1,1]]) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(y)] \\ &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [\widetilde{A}^{\in}(p) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(y)] \\ &\leq \bigvee_{a=xy} \widetilde{A}^{\in}(pqy) \text{ [Since } \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFSG(S)] \\ &= \widetilde{A}^{\in}(a), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} (\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}})^{\not\in}(a) &= [(\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}}) \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{A}}}]^{\not\in}(a) \\ &= \bigwedge_{a=xy} [(\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}})^{\not\in}(x) \lor \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(y)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{a=xy} [(\bigwedge_{x=pq} [\widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(p) \lor \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}^{\not\in}(q)]) \lor \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(y)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{a=xy} [(\bigwedge_{x=pq} [\widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(p) \lor [0,0]]) \lor \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(y)] \\ &= \bigwedge_{a=xy} [\widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(p) \lor \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(y)] \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{a=xy} \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(pqy) \text{ [Since } \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFSG(S)] \\ &= \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(a). \end{split}$$

So $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary conditions hold. Since $\tilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \tilde{\widetilde{A}}$, by Theorem 3.14, $\tilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFSG(S)$. Let $x, y, z \in S$ and let a = xyz. Then $\tilde{A}^{\in}(xyz) = \tilde{A}^{\in}(a)$ $\geq (\tilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\widetilde{A}})^{\in}(a)$ [By the hypothesis] $= ((\tilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\widetilde{1}}) \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\widetilde{A}})^{\in}(a)$

$$= ((A \circ_{IVI} \mathbf{i}) \circ_{IVI} A)^{\epsilon}(a)$$

$$= \bigvee_{a=bc} [(\widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{i}})^{\epsilon}(b) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(c)]$$

$$\geq (\widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{i}})^{\epsilon}(xy) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(z) \text{ [Since } a = xyz]$$

$$= (\bigvee_{xy=pq} [\widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(p) \wedge \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}(q)] \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(z)$$

$$\geq \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(x) \wedge \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}(y) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(z)$$

$$= \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(x) \wedge [1, 1] \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(z)$$

$$322$$

 $= \widetilde{A}^{\in}(x) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(z).$ Thus $\widetilde{A}^{\in}(xyz) \geq \widetilde{A}^{\in}(x) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(z)$. Similarly, we have $\widetilde{A}^{\notin}(xyz) \leq \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(x) \vee \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(z)$. So $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S).$

Theorem 4.9. Let $A \in IVIOS(S)$. Then

 $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$ if and only if $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{1}} \circ \mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. From Lemma 2.7 in [7], it is obvious that from lemma 2.7 the following holds: (4.3) $A \in FBI(S)$ if and only if $A \circ_F A \subset A$ and $\mathbf{1} \circ_F A \subset A$.

Also, from Lemma 4.8, we have

(4.4) $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$ if and only if $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$. Then it is sufficient to show that the following hold:

(4.5) $\bar{A} \in IFBI(S)$ if and only if $\bar{A} \circ_{IF} \bar{A} \subset \bar{A}$ and $\bar{A} \circ_{IF} \bar{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IF} \bar{A} \subset \bar{A}$.

(4.5) can be proved similarly to Lemma 4.8. Thus from (4.3), (4.4), Lemma 4.8 and Remark 4.5 (1), the result holds. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 4.10. S is a group if and only if every IVIFBI of S is a constant mapping.

Proof. Suppose S is a group with the identity e. Let $\tilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and let $a \in S$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a) &= \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(eae) \geq \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(e) \land \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(e) \text{ [Since } \widetilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)] \\ &= \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(e) = \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(ee) = \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}((aa^{-1})(a^{-1}a)) = \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a(a^{-1}a^{-1})a) \\ &\geq \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a) \land \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a) \text{ [Since } \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)] \\ &= \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we get $\widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(a) = \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(e)$. Thus $\widetilde{A}(a) = \widetilde{A}(e)$. So \widetilde{A} is a constant mapping.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. Assume that S is not a group. Then it is well-known (84 pages in [36]) that S contains a proper bi-ideal A of S. Thus there is $x \in S$ such that $x \notin A$. Let $y \in A$ such that $y \neq x$. Since $A \in BI(S)$, by Theorem 4.7, $\widetilde{\chi}_{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Then by the hypothesis, $\widetilde{\chi}_{A}$ is a constant mapping. Thus we have

$$\widetilde{\chi_{A}^{\varepsilon}}(x) = \widetilde{\chi_{A}^{\varepsilon}}(y), \text{ i.e., } \widetilde{\chi_{A}^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}(x) = \widetilde{\chi_{A}^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}(y) \text{ and } \widetilde{\chi_{A}^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}(x) = \widetilde{\chi_{A}^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}(y).$$

Since $x \notin A$ and $y \in A$, we get

$$\widetilde{\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A}}^{\,\, \in}(x) = \widetilde{0} = [0,0] < [1,1] = \widetilde{1} = \widetilde{\chi_{\scriptscriptstyle A}}^{\,\, \in}(y)$$

and

$$\widetilde{\chi_A}^{\not\in}(x) = \widetilde{1} = [1,1] > [0,0] = \widetilde{0} = \widetilde{\chi_A}^{\not\in}(y).$$

So $\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_A}}(x) = \widetilde{\widetilde{0}} \neq \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_A}}(y)$. This is a contradiction. Hence S is a group.

From Remark 4.5 (1), Lemma 3.10, Proposition 2.6 in [23] and Theorem 2 in [9], we get the following.

Theorem 4.11. S is a group if and only if every IVIOBI of S is a constant mapping. Lemma 4.12. Every IVIFLI [resp. IVIFRI and IVIFI] of S is an IVIFBI of S.

Proof. Let
$$\widetilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$$
 and let $x, y, z \in S$. Then we get
 $\widetilde{A}^{\in}(xyz) = \widetilde{A}^{\in}(((xy)z)$
 $\geq \widetilde{A}^{\in}(z)$ [Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S)$]
 $\geq \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(xyz) = \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(((xy)z)$
 $\leq \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(z)$ [Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S)$]
 $\leq \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(x) \lor \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(z)$.

Thus $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Similarly, we can prove the remainders.

From Lemma 4.12, Proposition 2.7 in [23] and Lemma 2.3 in [6], we have the following.

Proposition 4.13. Every IVIOLI [resp. IVIORI and IVIOI] of S is an IVIOBI of S.

Lemma 4.14. $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIS(S)$. Then $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$ if and only if $[\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}} \in BIS(S)$ for each interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy number $\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}$.

Proof. Suppose $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and let $\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}$ be any interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy number. Then by the hypothesis and Theorem 3.23, $[\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}} \in IVIFSG(S)$. Let $a \in [\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}}S[\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}}$. Then there are $x, z \in [\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a}}$ and $y \in S$ such that a = xyz. Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}} \in IVIFBI(S)$, we have

$$\widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a) \geq \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(x) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(z) \geq \widetilde{a}^{\epsilon} \text{ and } \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(a) \leq \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(x) \vee \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(z) \leq \widetilde{a}^{\#}(z) \leq \widetilde{a}^{\#}(z$$

Thus $a \in [\widetilde{A}]_{\widetilde{a}}$. So $[\widetilde{A}]_{\widetilde{a}} \subset [\widetilde{A}]_{\widetilde{a}}$. Hence $[\widetilde{A}]_{\widetilde{a}} \in BI(S)$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. It is clear that $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFSG(S)$. For any $x, z \in S$, let $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(x) = \widetilde{\widetilde{a}}$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(z) = \widetilde{\widetilde{b}}$. Then by the process of the proof of the sufficient condition in Theorem 3.23, we get $x, z \in [\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a} \wedge \widetilde{b}}$. Let $y \in S$. Since $[\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a} \wedge \widetilde{b}} \in BI(S), xyz \in [\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}]_{\widetilde{a} \wedge \widetilde{b}}$. Then we have $\widetilde{A}^{\in}(xyz) \geq \widetilde{a}^{\in} \wedge \widetilde{a}^{\in} - \widetilde{A}^{\in}(x) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(y)$

$$\widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(xyz) \leq \widetilde{a}^{\not\in} \vee \widetilde{a}^{\not\in} = \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(x) \vee \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(y).$$

Thus $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$. This completes the proof.

From Lemma 4.14, Proposition 2.8 in [23] and Lemma 3.4 in [37], we obtain the following consequence.

Theorem 4.15. $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$ if and only if $[\mathcal{A}]_{\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}} \in BIS(S)$ for each IVI-octahedron number $\widetilde{\widetilde{a}}$.

Lemma 4.16. $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIS(S)$ and let $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Then $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}$, $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof.} \quad (\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}) \circ_{IVI} (\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}) = \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} [\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} (\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}})] \\ \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} (\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}) [\text{Since} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{1}}] \\ \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}. \ \text{[By Lemma 4.8]} \end{array}$$

Then by Theorem 3.14 and Remark 3.2 (1), $\tilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \tilde{\widetilde{B}} \in IVIFSG(S)$. On the other hand,

Thus by Lemma 4.8, $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \in IVIBI(S)$. It can be proved a similar way that $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIBI(S)$.

From Lemma 4.16, Proposition 2.4 in [21] and Lemma 2.8 in [7], we get the following.

Proposition 4.17. $A \in IVIOS(S)$ and let $B \in IVIOBI(S)$. Then $A \circ A$, $B \circ A \in IVIOBI(S)$.

5. Duo semigroups

In this section, we define an IVI-octahedron duo and obtain some of its properties. A semigroup S is said to be *left* [resp. *right*] *duo* (briefly, LD [resp. RD]), if every left [resp. right] ideal of S is an ideal of S. A semigroup S is said to be *duo* (briefly, D], if it is both left and right duo (See [36]). A semigroup S is said to be *fuzzy left* [resp. *right*] *duo* (briefly, FLD [resp. FRD]), if every fuzzy left [resp. right] ideal of S is a fuzzy ideal of S and S is said to be *fuzzy duo* (briefly, FD), if it is both fuzzy left and fuzzy right duo (See [6]). A semigroup S is said to be *intuitionistic fuzzy left* [resp. *right*] *duo* (briefly, IFLD [resp. IFTD]), if every intuitionistic fuzzy left [resp. right] ideal of S is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of S and S is said to be *intuitionistic fuzzy duo* (briefly, IFLD [resp. IFTD]), if every intuitionistic fuzzy left [resp. right] ideal of S is an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal of S and S is said to be *intuitionistic fuzzy duo* (briefly, IFD), if it is both intuitionistic fuzzy left and intuitionistic fuzzy right duo (See [23]). A semigroup S is said to be *regular*, if for each $a \in S$, there is $x \in S$ such that a = axa.

Now we have the similar definitions.

Definition 5.1. A semigroup S is said to be:

(i) interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy left duo (briefly, IVIFLD), if every IVIFLI of S is an IVIFI of S,

(ii) interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy right due (briefly, IVIFRD), if every IVIFRI of S is an IVIFI of S,

(iii) interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy duo (briefly, IVIFD), if it is both IVIFLD and IVIFRD.

Definition 5.2. A semigroup S is said to be:

(i) IVI-octahedron left duo (briefly, IVIOLD), if every IVIOLI of S is an IVIOI of S,

(ii) IVI-octahedron right duo (briefly, IVIORD), if every IVIORI of S is an IVIOI of S.

(iii) *IVI-octahedron duo* (briefly, IVIOD), if it is both IVIOLD and IVIORD.

Lemma 5.3. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is LD if and only if S is IVIFLD.

Proof. Suppose S is LD. Let $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$ and let $a, b \in S$. Since the left ideal Sa is an ideal of S and S is regular, we get

$$ab \in (aSa)b \subset (Sa)S \subset Sa.$$

Then there is $x \in S$ such that ab = xa. Since $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$, we get

$$\widetilde{A}^{\in}(ab) = \widetilde{A}^{\in}(xa) \ge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(a) \text{ and } \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(ab) = \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(xa) \le \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(a).$$

Thus $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFRI(S)$. So $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFI(S)$. Hence S is IVIFLD. Conversely, suppose S is IVIFLD and let $A \in LI(S)$. Then by Remark 3.6 (1) and Theorem 3.9, $\widetilde{\chi_A} \in IVIFLI(S)$. Thus by the hypothesis, $\widetilde{\chi_A} \in IVIFI(S)$. Since $A \neq \emptyset$, by Remark 3.6 (3) and Theorem 3.9, $A \in I(S)$. So S is LD.

From Lemma 5.3, Proposition 3.1 in [23] and Theorem 3.1 in [6], we have the following consequence.

Theorem 5.4. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is LD if and only if S is IVIOLD.

The following is the dual of Lemma 5.3.

Lemma 5.5. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is RD if and only if S is IVIFRD.

From Lemma 5.5, Proposition 3.1' in [23] and Theorem 3.2 in [6], we have the following consequence.

Theorem 5.6. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is RD if and only if S is IVIORD.

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorems 5.4 and 5.6.

Corollary 5.7. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is D if and only if S is IVIOD.

Lemma 5.8. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then every bi-ideal of S is a right ideal of S if and only if every IVIFBI of S is an IVIFRI of S.

Proof. Suppose every bi-ideal of S is a right ideal of S. Let $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and let $a, b \in S$. Then clearly, $aSa \in BI(S)$. Thus by the hypothesis, $aSa \in RI(S)$. Since S is regular, we have

$$ab \in (aSa)S \subset aSa$$

So there is $x \in S$ such that ab = axa. Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$, we get

$$\widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(ab) = \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(axa) \ge \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a) \land \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a) = \widetilde{A}^{\epsilon}(a).$$
326

Similarly, we have $\widetilde{A}^{\notin}(ab) \leq \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(a)$. Hence $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFRI(S)$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds and let $A \in BI(S)$. Then by Remark 4.5 (1) and Theorem 4.6, $\widetilde{\chi}_{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Thus by the hypothesis, $\widetilde{\chi}_{A} \in IVIFRI(S)$. Since $A \neq \emptyset$, by Remark 3.6 (2) and Theorem 3.9, $A \in RI(S)$. So the sufficient condition holds.

From Lemma 5.8, Proposition 3.3 in [23] and Theorem 3.4 in [6], we obtain the following.

Theorem 5.9. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then every bi-ideal of S is a right ideal of S if and only if every IVIOBI of S is an IVIORI of S.

The followings are the duals of Lemma 5.8 and Theorem 5.9 respectively.

Lemma 5.10. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then every bi-ideal of S is a left ideal of S if and only if every IVIFBI of S is an IVIFLI of S.

Theorem 5.11. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then every bi-ideal of S is a right ideal of S if and only if every IVIOBI of S is an IVIOLI of S.

The following is an immediate consequence of Theorems 5.9 and 5.11.

Theorem 5.12. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then every bi-ideal of S is an ideal of S if and only if every IVIOBI of S is an IVIOI of S.

Corollary 5.13. Let S be a regular duo semigroup. Then $A \in IVIORI(S)$ for each $A \in IVIOBI(S)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$. It is well-known that every bi-ideal of a regular left duo semigroup is a right ideal of it (See [38], Theorem 30). Then from this and Theorem 5.9, $\mathcal{A} \in IVIORI(S)$.

A semigroup is called a *semilattice of groups* ([36]), if it is the set-theoretical union of a set of mutually disjoint subgroups G_{α} ($\alpha \in \Gamma$), i.e., $S = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} G_{\alpha}$ such that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma, G_{\alpha}G_{\beta} \subset G_{\gamma}$ and $G_{\beta}G_{\alpha} \subset G_{\gamma}$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Corollary 5.14. Let S be semigroup which is a semilattice of groups. Then $A \in IVIOI(S)$ for each $A \in IVIOBI(S)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$. It is well-known that every bi-ideal of such semigroup S is an ideal of S (See [39], Theorem 4). Then from this and Theorem 5.12, $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S)$.

Let us L[a] [resp. J[a]] denote the principal left ideal [resp. ideal] of a semigroup S generated by $a \in S$, i.e.,

$$L[a] = \{a\} \cup Sa,$$
$$J[a] = \{a\} \cup Sa \cup aS \cup SaS.$$

It is well-known ([36], Lemma 2.13) that if S is a regular semigroup, then L[a] = Sa for each $a \in S$.

A semigroup S is said to be *right* [resp. *left*] zero, if xy = y [resp. xy = x] for any $x, y \in S$. Then we get the following.

Lemma 5.15. Let S be a regular semigroup and let E_S be the set of all idempotent elements of S. Then E_S forms a left zero subsemigroup of S if and only if for each $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S), \ \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(e) = \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.

Proof. Suppose E_S forms a left zero subsemigroup of S. Let $\widetilde{A} \in IVIFLI(S)$ and let $e, f \in E_S$. Then by the hypothesis, ef = e and fe = f. Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S)$, we have

$$\widetilde{A}^{\in}(e) = \widetilde{A}^{\in}(ef) \ge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(f) = \widetilde{A}^{\in}(fe) \ge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(e)$$

and

$$\widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(e) = \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(ef) \le \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(f) = \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(fe) \le \widetilde{A}^{\not\in}(e).$$

Thus $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(e) = \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(f)$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. Since S is regular, $E_S \neq \emptyset$. Let $e, f \in E_S$. Then by Remark 3.6 (1) and Theorem 3.9, it is clear that

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_{L(f)}}} \in IVIFLI(S).$$

Thus we have

$$\widetilde{\chi_{{\scriptscriptstyle L}(f)}}^{\,\,\in}(e) = \widetilde{\chi_{{\scriptscriptstyle L}(f)}}^{\,\,\in}(f) = [1,1] \text{ and } \widetilde{\chi_{{\scriptscriptstyle L}(f)}}^{\,\,\not\in}(e) = \widetilde{\chi_{{\scriptscriptstyle L}(f)}}^{\,\,\not\in}(f) = [0,0].$$

So $e \in L(f) = Sf$. Hence there is $x \in S$ such that e = xf = xff = ef. Therefore E_S is a left zero semigroup.

Corollary 5.16. Let S be an idempotent semigroup. Then E_S is left zero if and only if for each $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFLI(S)$, $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(e) = \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.

From Lemma 5.15, Proposition 3.5 in [23] and Theorem 3.9 in [6], we get the following.

Theorem 5.17. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then E_S forms a left zero subsemigroup of S if and only if for each $A \in IVIOLI(S)$, A(e) = A(f) for any $e, f \in E_S$.

The following is an immediate consequence of Corollaries 3.16, 3.5 in [23] and 3.10 in [6].

Corollary 5.18. Let S be an idempotent semigroup. Then E_S is left zero if and only if for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$, $\mathcal{A}(e) = \mathcal{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.

The following is the dual of Theorem 5.17.

Theorem 5.19. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then E_S forms a right zero subsemigroup of S if and only if for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIORI(S)$, $\mathcal{A}(e) = \mathcal{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.

The following is the dual of Corollary 5.18.

Corollary 5.20. Let S be an idempotent semigroup. Then E_S is right zero if and only if for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIORI(S)$, $\mathcal{A}(e) = \mathcal{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.

Lemma 5.21. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is a group if and only if for each $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$, $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(e) = \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.

Proof. Suppose S is a group and let $\tilde{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Then by Lemma 4.10, \tilde{A} is a constant mapping. Thus $\tilde{A}(e) = \tilde{A}(f)$ for any $e, f \in E_S$.

Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds and let $e, f \in E_S$. Let B[x] denote the principal bi-ideal of S generated by $x \in S$, i.e., $B[x] = \{x\} \cup \{x^2\} \cup xSx$ (See p. 84 in [36]). Furthermore, if S is regular, then B[x] = xSx. Since B[x] is bi-ideal of S, by Theorem 4.7, $\widetilde{\chi_{B[f]}} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Since $f \in B[f]$, we get

$$\widetilde{\chi_{B[f]}}^{\in}(e) = \widetilde{\chi_{B[f]}}^{\in}(f) = [1,1] \text{ and } \widetilde{\chi_{B[f]}}^{\notin}(e) = \widetilde{\chi_{B[f]}}^{\notin}(f) = [0,0].$$

Then $e \in B[f] = fSf$. Thus by the process of the proof Theorem 3.14 in [6], e = f. Since S is regular, $E_S \neq \emptyset$ and S contains exactly one idempotent. So from p. 33 (Ex. 4) in [36], it is obvious that S is a group. This completes the proof.

From Lemma 5.21, Proposition 3.6 in [23] and Theorem 3.14 in [6], we have the following.

Theorem 5.22. Let S be a regular semigroup. Then S is a group if and only if for each $A \in IVIOBI(S)$, A(e) = A(f) for any $e, f \in E_S$.

6. Regular semigroups

In this section, we deal with some characterizations of a regular semigroup by IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals. It is well-known ([38], Theorem 2.6) that a semigroup S is regular if and only if B = BSB for each $B \in BI(S)$. Also we give a characterization of a left [resp. right and completely] regular semigroup by IVIOLIs [resp. IVIORIs and IVIOBIs]. First of all, we will give a characterization of a regular semigroup by IVIFBIS.

Lemma 6.1. Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI}$ $\widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$ for each $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$.

Proof. Suppose S is regular. Let $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and let $a \in S$. Since S is regular, there is $x \in S$ such that a = axa. Then we get

$$\begin{split} (\widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{A})^{\in}(a) &= \bigvee_{a=xy} [(\widetilde{A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}})^{\in}(x) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(y)] \\ &\geq (\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\mathbf{1}})^{\in}(ax) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(a) \; [\text{Since } a = axa] \\ &= (\bigvee_{ax=pq} [\widetilde{A}^{\in}(p) \wedge \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}^{\in}(q)]) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(a) \\ &\geq (\widetilde{A}^{\in}(a) \wedge \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}^{\in}(x)) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(a) \\ &= (\widetilde{A}^{\in}(a) \wedge [1,1]) \wedge \widetilde{A}^{\in}(a) \\ &= \widetilde{A}^{\in}(a). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we have $(\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}})^{\notin}(a) \leq \widetilde{A}^{\notin}(a)$. Thus $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \supset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$. Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$, by Lemma 4.7, $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$. So $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$. Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds. Let $A \in BI(S)$ and let $a \in S$.

By Remark 4.5 (1) and Theorem 4.7, $\widetilde{\chi}_{A} \in IVIFBI(S)$. By the hypothesis, we have

$$\bigvee_{a=yz} [(\chi_A^* \circ_{IVI} \mathbf{1})^{\in}(y) \land \chi_A^{\sim}(y)] = [(\chi_A^* \circ_{IVI} \mathbf{1}) \circ_{IVI} \chi_A^{\sim}]^{\in}(a)$$
$$= \widetilde{\chi_A}^{\in}(a)$$

= [1,1]. [By the hypothesis]Similarly, we get $\bigwedge_{a=yz} [(\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_{A}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}})^{\not\in}(y) \vee \widetilde{\chi_{A}}^{\not\in}(y)] = [0,0].$ Then there are $b, c \in S$ with a = bc such that

$$(\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi}_A} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}})(b) = ([1,1],[0,0]) \text{ and } \widetilde{\widetilde{\chi}_A}(c) = ([1,1],[0,0]).$$

Since $(\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}})(b) = ([1,1],[0,0])$, we have

$$\bigvee_{b=pq} [\widetilde{\chi_A}^{\epsilon}(p) \wedge \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}^{\epsilon}(q)] = (\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}})^{\epsilon}(b) = [1,1],$$

$$\bigwedge_{b=pq} [\widetilde{\chi_{A}}^{\not\in}(p) \vee \widetilde{\mathbf{1}}^{\not\in}(q)] = (\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_{A}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}})^{\not\in}(b) = [0,0].$$

Thus there are $d, e \in S$ with b = de such that

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_{A}}}(d) = ([1,1],[0,0]) \text{ and } \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}}(e) = ([1,1],[0,0]).$$

So $d \in A$, $e \in S$, $c \in A$ and $a = bc = (de)c \in ASA$, i.e., $A \subset ASA$. Since $A \in BI(S)$, $ASA \subset A$. Hence A = ASA. Therefore S is regular.

From Lemma 6.1, Theorem 3.1 in [21] and Theorem 3.1 in [7], we have a characterization of a regular semigroup by IVIOBIS.

Theorem 6.2. Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{1}} \circ \mathcal{A}$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$.

Theorem 6.3. Let S be a regular semigroup and let $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOS(S)$. Then $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$ if and only if there are $\mathcal{B} \in IVIORI(S)$ and $\mathcal{C} \in IVIOLI(S)$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Suppose $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$. Then we have $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{i}} \circ \mathcal{A}$ [By Theorem 6.2] $= \mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{i}} \circ (\mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{i}} \circ \mathcal{A})$ [By Theorem 6.2] $= [\mathcal{A} \circ (\ddot{\mathbf{i}} \circ \mathcal{A}) \circ (\ddot{\mathbf{i}} \circ \mathcal{A})$ $\subset (\mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{i}}) \circ (\ddot{\mathbf{i}} \circ \mathcal{A})$ $= \mathcal{A} \circ (\ddot{\mathbf{i}} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{i}}) \circ \mathcal{A}$ $\subset \mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{i}} \circ \mathcal{A}$ $\subset \mathcal{A}$. [By Theorem 4.9]

Thus we have

(6.1)
$$\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A} \circ \ddot{\mathbf{1}}) \circ (\ddot{\mathbf{1}} \circ \mathcal{A}).$$

On the other hand, we get

$$(\mathcal{A}\circ\ddot{\mathbf{1}})\circ\ddot{\mathbf{1}}=\mathcal{A}\circ(\ddot{\mathbf{1}}\circ\ddot{\mathbf{1}})\subset\mathcal{A}\circ\ddot{\mathbf{1}}.$$

So by Theorem 3.19, $\mathcal{A} \circ \mathbf{i} \in IVIORI(S)$. Similarly, we can see that $\mathbf{i} \circ \mathcal{A} \in IVIOLI(S)$. Hence the necessary condition holds.

Conversely, suppose that there are $\mathcal{B} \in IVIORI(S)$ and $\mathcal{C} \in IVIOLI(S)$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C}$. Then by Proposition 4.13, \mathcal{B} , $\mathcal{C} \in IVIOBI(S)$. Thus by Proposition 4.17, $\mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{C} \in IVIOBI(S)$. So $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOBI(S)$.

Result 6.4 (Theorem 5, [40]; Theorem 41, [38]). Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if $B \cap J = BJB$ for each $B \in BI(S)$ and each $J \in I(S)$.

Lemma 6.5. Let
$$S$$
 be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if
(6.2) $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \cap \widetilde{\widetilde{J}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}$ for each $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and each $\widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \in IVIFI(S)$.
Proof. Suppose S is regular and let $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \in IVIFI(S)$. Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \in IVIFBI(S)$, by Lemma 4.8, we get

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}.$$

Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \in IVIFI(S)$, by Theorem 3.19, we have

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{1}}$$

Then we have

(6.3)

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}\circ_{IVI}\widetilde{\widetilde{J}}\circ_{IVI}\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}\subset\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}\cap\widetilde{\widetilde{J}}$$

In order to show that the converse inclusion holds, let $a \in S$. Since S is regular, there is $x \in S$ such that a = axa(=axaxa). Since $\widetilde{J} \in IVIFI(S), \ \widetilde{J}(xax) \geq \widetilde{J}(ax) \geq$ $\widetilde{J}(a)$. Then we get

$$\begin{split} (\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}})^{\in}(a) &= \bigvee_{a=yz} [\widetilde{B}^{\in}(y) \wedge (\widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}})^{\in}(z)] \\ &\geq \widetilde{B}^{\in}(a) \wedge (\widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}})^{\in}(xaxa) \text{ [Since } a = axaxa] \\ &= \widetilde{B}^{\in}(a) \wedge (\bigvee_{xaxa=pq} [\widetilde{J}^{\in}(p) \wedge \widetilde{B}^{\in}(q)]) \\ &\geq \widetilde{B}^{\in}(a) \wedge (\widetilde{J}^{\in}(xax) \wedge \widetilde{B}^{\in}(a)) \\ &\geq \widetilde{B}^{\in}(a) \wedge (\widetilde{J}^{\in}(a) \wedge \widetilde{B}^{\in}(a)) \\ &= \widetilde{B}^{\in}(a) \wedge \wedge \widetilde{B}^{\in}(a)) \\ &= (\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \cap \widetilde{\widetilde{J}})^{\in}(\underline{a}). \end{split}$$

Similarly, we have $(\widetilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{J} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{B})^{\notin}(a) \leq (\widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{J})^{\notin}(a)$. Thus we get

(6.4)
$$\widetilde{B} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{J} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{B} \supset \widetilde{B} \cap \widetilde{J}$$

So by (6.3) and (6.4), $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{J}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \cap \widetilde{\widetilde{J}}$.

Conversely, suppose the condition (6.2) holds and let $\tilde{B} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \in IVIFI(S)$, we have

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \cap \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}.$$

Then by Lemma 6.1, S is regular. This completes the proof.

From Lemma 6.5, Theorem 3.3 in [21] and Theorem 3.4 in [7], we obtain a characterization of a regular semigroup by an IVIOBI and an IVIOI as a generalization of Result 6.4.

Theorem 6.6. Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if (6.5) $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{B} \circ \mathcal{J} \circ \mathcal{B}$ for each $\mathcal{B} \in IVIOBI(S)$ and each $\mathcal{J} \in IVIOI(S)$. 331

The following characterization of a regular semigroup is due to Theorem 1 of $Is\acute{e}ki$ [41].

Result 6.7. Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if

(6.6)
$$RL = R \cap L$$
 for each $R \in RI(S)$ and each $L \in LI(S)$.

Lemma 6.8. Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if

(6.7)
$$\widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{L}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \cap \widetilde{\widetilde{L}}$$
 for each $\widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \in IVIFRI(S)$ and each $\widetilde{\widetilde{L}} \in IVIFLI(S)$.

Proof. Suppose S is regular and let $\widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \in IVIFRI(S)$, $\widetilde{\widetilde{L}} \in IVIFLI(S)$. Then by Lemmas 3.17 and 3.15, we get

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{L}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \text{ and } \widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{L}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{L}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{\mathbf{1}}}.$$

Thus we have

(6.8)
$$\widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \cap \widetilde{\widetilde{L}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{L}}.$$

Now let $a \in S$. Since S is regular, there is $x \in S$ such that a = axa. Then we have $(\widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \circ u \cap \widetilde{\widetilde{L}})^{\in}(a) = \bigvee [\widetilde{R}^{\in}(a) \wedge \widetilde{L}^{\in}(a)]$

(6.9)
$$\widetilde{R} \cap \widetilde{L} \supset \widetilde{R} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{L}$$

So by (6.8) and (6.9), the condition (6.7) holds.

Conversely, suppose the condition (6.7) holds and let $R \in RI(S)$, $L \in LI(S)$. Then by Remark 3.6 and Theorem 3.9, $\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_R}} \in IVIFRI(S)$ and $\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_L}} \in IVIFLI(S)$. Thus by the hypothesis, we have

(6.10)
$$\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_{R}}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_{L}}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_{R}}} \cap \widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_{L}}}.$$

In order to prove that $R \cap L \subset RL$, let $a \in R \cap L$. Then we get

$$\bigvee_{a=yz} [\widetilde{\chi_R}^{\epsilon}(y) \wedge \widetilde{\chi_L}^{\epsilon}(z)] = (\widetilde{\chi_R} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\chi_L})^{\epsilon}(a) = (\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_R}} \cap \widetilde{\widetilde{\chi_L}})^{\epsilon}(a) = \widetilde{\chi_R}^{\epsilon}(a) \wedge \widetilde{\chi_L}^{\epsilon}(a) = [1,1] \wedge [1,1] = [1,1].$$

Similarly, we have $\bigwedge_{a=yz} [\widetilde{\chi_R}^{\not\in}(y) \vee \widetilde{\chi_L}^{\not\in}(z)] = [0,0]$. This implies that there are $b, c \in S$ with a = bc such that

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{\chi}_{R}}(b) = ([1,1],[0,0]) \text{ and } \widetilde{\widetilde{\chi}_{L}}(c) = ([1,1],[0,0]).$$

Thus $b \in R$ and $c \in L$, i.e., $a = bc \in RL$. So $R \cap L \subset RL$. It is obvious that $RL \subset R \cap L$. Hence $R \cap L = RL$, i.e., the condition (6.6) holds. Therefore by Result 6.7, S is regular. This completes the proof. From Lemma 6.8, Theorem 3.4 in [21] and Theorem 3.6 in [7], We obtain another characterization of a regular semigroup by an IVIORI and an IVIOLI as a generalization of Result 6.7.

Theorem 6.9. Let S be a semigroup. Then S is regular if and only if

(6.11) $\mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{R} \cap \mathcal{L}$ for each $\mathcal{R} \in IVIORI(S)$ and each $\mathcal{L} \in IVIOLI(S)$.

Lemma 6.10. Every IVIFI of a regular semigroup S is idempotent, i.e., $\tilde{A} = \tilde{A} \circ_{IVI}$ \tilde{A} for each $\tilde{A} \in IVIFI(S)$.

Proof. Let S be a regular semigroup and let $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFI(S)$. Then by Remark 3.6 (3) and Theorem 3.19, we have

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}.$$

Thus by Lemma 4.8, $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Since S is regular, by Lemma 6.1, we get

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{1}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \subset \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}.$$

So $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}} = \widetilde{\widetilde{A}} \circ_{IVI} \widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$. Hence $\widetilde{\widetilde{A}}$ is idempotent.

From Lemma 6.10, Proposition 3.5 in [21] and Theorem 3.7 in [7], we get the following.

Proposition 6.11. Every IVIOI of a regular semigroup S is idempotent, i.e., $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} \circ \mathcal{A}$ for each $\mathcal{A} \in IVIOI(S)$.

Now we deal with a characterization of a left [resp. right and completely] regular semigroup by IVIOLIs [resp. IVIORIs and IVIOBIS].

A semigroup S is said to be *left* [resp. *right*] *regular*, if for each $a \in S$, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = xa^2$ [resp. $a = a^2x$].

A semigroup S is said to be *completely regular*, if for each $a \in S$, there is $x \in S$ such that a = axa and ax = xa.

For characterization of a left [resp. right] regular semigroup, see Theorem 4.2 in [36]. Also it is well-known ([36], Theorem 4.3) that S is completely regular if and only if it is left and right regular.

Lemma 6.12. Let S be a semigroup. Then S is left regular if and only if for each $\widetilde{\widetilde{L}} \in IVIFLI(S), \ \widetilde{\widetilde{L}}(a) = \widetilde{\widetilde{L}}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.

Proof. Suppose S is left regular. Let $\widetilde{\widetilde{L}} \in IVIFLI(S)$ and let $a \in S$. Then by the hypothesis, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = xa^2$. Since $\widetilde{\widetilde{L}} \in IVIFLI(S)$, we have

$$\widetilde{L}^{\in}(a) = \widetilde{L}^{\in}(xa^2) \ge \widetilde{L}^{\in}(a^2) \ge \widetilde{L}^{\in}(a).$$

Similarly, we get $\widetilde{L}^{\not\in}(a) \leq \widetilde{L}^{\not\in}(a^2) \leq \widetilde{L}^{\not\in}(a)$. Thus $\widetilde{\widetilde{L}}(a) = \widetilde{\widetilde{L}}(a^2)$. 333 Conversely, suppose the necessary condition holds and let $a \in S$. Then by Remark 3.6 (1) and Theorem 3.9, $\widetilde{\chi_{L[a^2]}} \in IVIFLI(S)$. Since $a^2 \in L[a^2]$,

$$\widetilde{\chi_{{}_{L[a^2]}}}^{\in}(a)=\widetilde{\chi_{{}_{L[a^2]}}}^{\in}(a^2)=[1,1] \text{ and } \widetilde{\chi_{{}_{L[a^2]}}}^{\not\in}(a)=\widetilde{\chi_{{}_{L[a^2]}}}^{\not\in}(a^2)=[0,0].$$

Thus $a \in L[a^2] = \{a^2\} \cup Sa^2$, i.e., there is $x \in S$ such that $a = xa^2$. So S is left regular.

From Lemma 6.12, Proposition 5.1 in [23] and Theorem 5.1 in [6], we give a characterization of a left regular semigroup by IVIOLIS as a generalization of Theorem 4.2 in [36].

Theorem 6.13. Let S be a semigroup. Then S is left regular if and only if for each $\mathcal{L} \in IVIOLI(S)$, $\mathcal{L}(a) = \mathcal{L}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.

The following is the dual of Lemma 6.12.

Lemma 6.14. Let S be a semigroup. Then S is right regular if and only if for each $\widetilde{\widetilde{R}} \in IVIFRI(S), \ \widetilde{\widetilde{R}}(a) = \widetilde{\widetilde{R}}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.

From Lemma 6.14, Proposition 5.1' in [23] and Theorem 5.2 in [6], we give a characterization of a right regular semigroup by IVIOLIS as a generalization of Theorem 4.2 in [36].

Theorem 6.15. Let S be a semigroup. Then S is right regular if and only if for each $\mathcal{R} \in IVIORI(S)$, $\mathcal{R}(a) = \mathcal{R}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.

Result 6.16 (p. 105, [42]). Let S be a semigroup. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (1) S is completely regular.
- (2) S is a union of groups.
- (3) $a \in a^2 S a^2$ for each $a \in S$.

Lemma 6.17. Let S be a semigroup. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (1) S is completely regular.
- (2) For each $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}} \in IVIFBI(S)$, $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}(a) = \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.
- (3) For each $\widetilde{L} \in IVIFLI(S)$ and each $\widetilde{R} \in IVIFRI(S)$,

$$\widetilde{\widetilde{L}}(a) = \widetilde{\widetilde{L}}(a^2)$$
 and $\widetilde{\widetilde{R}}(a) = \widetilde{\widetilde{R}}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.

Proof. It is obvious that $(1) \iff (3)$ by Lemmas 6.12 and 6.14. It is sufficient to prove that $(1) \iff (2)$.

Suppose the condition (1) holds. Let $\tilde{\tilde{B}} \in IVIFBI(S)$ and let $a \in S$. Then by Result 6.16, there is $x \in S$ such that $a = a^2xa^2$. Since $\tilde{\tilde{B}} \in IVIFBI(S)$, we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{B}^{\epsilon}(a) &= \widetilde{B}^{\epsilon}(a^2xa^2) \geq \widetilde{B}^{\epsilon}(a^2) \wedge \widetilde{B}^{\epsilon}(a^2) \\ &= \widetilde{B}^{\epsilon}(a^2) \geq \widetilde{B}^{\epsilon}(a) \wedge \widetilde{B}^{\epsilon}(a) \\ &= \widetilde{B}^{\epsilon}(a). \end{split}$$

Similarly, $\widetilde{B}^{\not\in}(a) \leq \widetilde{B}^{\not\in}(a^2) \leq \widetilde{B}^{\not\in}(a)$. Thus $\widetilde{\widetilde{B}}(a) = \widetilde{\widetilde{B}}(a^2)$.

Conversely, suppose the condition (2) holds. For each $x \in S$, let B[x] denote the principal bi-ideal of S generated by x, i.e.,

$$B[x] = \{x\} \cup \{x^2\} \cup xSx.$$

Let $a \in S$. Then by Remark 4.5 (1) and Theorem 4.7, $\widetilde{\chi_{B[a^2]}} \in IVIFBI(S)$. Since $a^2 \in B[a^2]$, we get

$$\widetilde{\chi_{_{B[a^2]}}}^{\in}(a) = \widetilde{\chi_{_{B[a^2]}}}^{\in}(a^2) = [1,1] \text{ and } \widetilde{\chi_{_{B[a^2]}}}^{\not\in}(a) = \widetilde{\chi_{_{B[a^2]}}}^{\not\in}(a^2) = [0,0].$$

Thus $a \in B[a^2] = \{a^2\} \cup \{a^4\} \cup a^2 S a^2$. So by Result 6.16, S is completely regular. \Box

From Lemma 6.17, Proposition 5.2 in [23] and Theorem 5.3 in [6], we give a characterization of a right regular semigroup by IVIOLIS as a generalization of Result 6.16.

Theorem 6.18. Let S be a semigroup. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (1) S is completely regular.
- (2) For each $\mathcal{B} \in IVIOBI(S)$, $\mathcal{B}(a) = \mathcal{B}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.
- (3) For each $\mathcal{L} \in IVIOLI(S)$ and each $\mathcal{R} \in IVIORI(S)$,

 $\mathcal{L}(a) = \mathcal{L}(a^2)$ and $\mathcal{R}(a) = \mathcal{R}(a^2)$ for each $a \in S$.

7. Conclusions

We introduced the notions of IVI-octahedron ideals and bi-ideals in a semigroup, and IVI-octahwedron duo semigroups and studied some of their properties. Moreover, we discussed some characterizations of a regular semigroup and a left [resp. right] regular semigroup by IVIOIs and IVIOBIS.

In the future, we expect that one applies IVI-octahedron sets to *BCI/BCK*algebras, topologies, category theory and decision-making problems, etc. Furthermore, we will try to study group structures and (semi)ring structures based on IVI-octahedron sets.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [2] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35 (1971) 512–517.
- [3] P. Sivaramakrishna Das, Fuzzy groups and level subgroups, J. Math. anal. Appl. 84 (1981) 264–269.
- [4] W. J. Liu, Fuzzy invariant subgroups and fuzzy ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 8 (1982) 133–139.
- [5] T. K. Mukherjee and M. K. Sen, On fuzzy ideals of a ring I, Fuzzy sets and systems 21 (1987) 99–104.
- [6] N. Kuroki, On fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 5 (1981) 203–215.
- [7] N. Kuroki, On fuzzy semigroups, Inform. Sci. 53 (1991) 203–236.
- [8] Khwancheewa Wattanatripop, Ronnason Chinram and Thawhat Changphas, Fuzzy almost bi-ideals in semigroups, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science 13 (1) (2018) 51–58.
- [9] N. Kuroki, Fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul. 28 (1980) 17-21.

- [10] N. Kuroki, Fuzzy semiprime ideals in semigroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 8 (1982) 71–79.
- X.-P. Wang and W.-J. Liu, Fuzzy regular subsemigroups in semigroups, Inform. Sci. 68 (1993) 225–231.
- [12] M. Shabir, Y. B. Jun and M. Bano, On prime fuzzy bi-ideals of semigroups, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems 7 (3) (2010) 115–128.
- [13] Inheung Chon, On fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroups, Korean J. Math. 19 (3) (2011) 321–330.
- [14] R. Biswas, Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups, Mathematical Forum X (1989) 37-46.
- [15] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986) 87–96.
- [16] K. Hur, S. Y. Jang and H. W. Kang, Intutionistic fuzzy subgroupoids, International Journal of Fuzzy Logic and Intelligent Systems 2 (1) (2002) 92–147.
- [17] K. Hur, H. W. Kang and H. K. Song, Intutionistic fuzzy subgroups and subrings, Honam Math. J. 25 (1) (2003) 19–41.
- [18] B. Banerjee and D. Kr. Basnet, Intuitionistic fuzzy subgroups and ideals, J. Fuzzy Math. Forum 11 (1) (2003) 139–155.
- [19] K. H. Kim and Y. B. Jun, Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of semigroups, Indian J. pure Appl. Math. 33 (2002) 443–449.
- [20] K. H. Kim and J. G. Lee, Intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals of semigroups, Turk. J. Math. 29 (2005) 201–210.
- [21] K. Hur, S. Y. Jang and P. K. Lim Intuitionistic fuzzy semigroups, International Journal of Fuzzy logic and Intelligent Systems 8 (3) (2008) 207–219.
- [22] K. H. Kim and Y. B. Jun, Intuitionistic fuzzy interior ideals of semigroups, Int. J. Math. Math. Anal. 27 (2001) 261–267.
- [23] K. Hur, K. J. Kim and H. K. Song, Intuitionistic fuzzy ideals and bi-ideals, Honam Math. J. 26 (3) (2004) 309–330.
- [24] K. Hur, H. W. Kang and J. H. Ryou, Intuitionistic fuzzy generalized bi-ideals of a semigroup, International Mathematical Forum, 1 (6) (2006) 257–274.
- [25] K. T. Atanassov and G. Gargov, Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 31 (1989) 343–349.
- [26] Naveed Yaqoob, Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy ideals of regular LA-semigroups, Thai J. Math. 11 (3) (2013) 683–695.
- [27] Saleem Abdullah, Muhammad Aslam and Shah Hussain, Interval valued (α, β)-intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals of semigroups, J. Appl. Math. & Informatics 34 (1–2) (2016) 115–143.
- [28] D. Krishnaswamy, J. Jayaraj and T. Anitha, Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy bi-ideals in ternary semirings, Romanian Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science 6 (1) (2016) 6–15.
- [29] K. R. Balasubramanian and V. Raja, Interval-valued intuitionistic Q-fuzzy k-ideals in ternary semirings, Journal of Applied Science and Computations (JASC) 5 (8) (2018) 94–99.
- [30] K. Arulmozhi1, V. Chinnadurai and A. Swaminathan, Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy weak bi-Ideals of Gamma near-rings, International Journal of Engineering & Technology, 7 (4.10) (2018) 1100–1104.
- [31] J. Kim, A. Borumand Saeid, J. G. Lee, Minseok Cheong and K. Hur, IVI-octahedron sets and their application to groupoids, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 20 (2) (2020) 157–195.
- [32] Minseok Cheong and K. Hur, Intuitionistic interval-valued fuzzy sets, J. Korean Institute of Intelligent Systems 20 (6) (2010) 864–874.
- [33] J. Kim, G. Senel, P. K. Lim, J. G. Lee, K. Hur, Octahedron sets, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 19 (3) (2020) 211–238.
- [34] L. A. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning-I, Inform. Sci. 8 (1975) 199–249.
- [35] Sabina Kim, J. G. Lee, S. H. Han, K. Hur, IVI-octahedron subgroups and level subgroups, To be accepted to Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform.
- [36] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vol. I, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Soc. Providence, R.L. 1961.
- [37] Xiang-Yun Xie, Fuzzy ideals in semigroups*, (2016) 1–10 https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265895470.
- [38] S. Lajos, Theorems on (1,1)-ideals in semigroups, K. Marx Univ. Economics Dept. Math., Budapest 1972.

- [39] S. Lajos, On (m,n)-ideals in regular duo semigroups, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 31 (1970) 179–180.
- [40] N. Kuroki, Two-sided purity on regular semigroups, Comment. Math. Uniu. St. Paul. 24 (1975) 21–26.
- [41] K. Iséki, A characterization of regular semigroups, Proc. Japan Acud. 32 (1965) 676-677.
- [42] M. Petrich, Introduction to Semigroups, Columbus, Ohio 1973. 343–351.

S. H. HAN (shhan235@wku.ac.kr)

Department of Applied Mathematics, Wonkwang University, Korea

G. MUHIUDDIN (chishtygm@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Tabuk, Tabuk 71491, Saudi Arabia

<u>K. HUR</u> (kulhur@wku.ac.kr) Department of Applied Mathematics, Wonkwang University, Korea

<u>J. I. BAEK</u> (jibaek@wku.ac.kr) School of Big Data and Financial Statistics, Wonkwang University, Korea