Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics
Volume 21, No. 2, (April 2021) pp. 217–226
ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version)
ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version)
http://www.afmi.or.kr
https://doi.org/10.30948/afmi.2021.21.2.217

$@\mathbb{FMI}$

© Research Institute for Basic Science, Wonkwang University http://ribs.wonkwang.ac.kr

Preserving maps in complete co-residuated lattices

JU-MOK OH, YONG CHAN KIM





Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 21, No. 2, (April 2021) pp. 217–226 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr https://doi.org/10.30948/afmi.2021.21.2.217

@FMI

© Research Institute for Basic Science, Wonkwang University http://ribs.wonkwang.ac.kr

Preserving maps in complete co-residuated lattices

JU-MOK OH, YONG CHAN KIM

Received 21 January 2021; Revised 6 February 2021; Accepted 13 February 2021

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notions of \ominus -join and \oplus -meet preserving maps in complete co-residuated lattices. Moreover, we investigate the relations between \ominus -join and \oplus -meet preserving maps and residuated connections. We give their examples.

2020 AMS Classification: 03E72, 54A40, 54B10

Keywords: Complete co-residuated lattices, \ominus -join and \oplus -meet preserving maps, Distance functions, Residuated connections.

Corresponding Author: Yong Chan Kim (yck@gwnu.ac.kr)

1. INTRODUCTION

The complete residuated lattice introduced Ward and Dilworth [1] is an important mathematical tool as algebraic structures for many valued logics ([2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]). Bělohlávek [2] investigated information systems and decision rules over complete residuated lattices. Pawlak [8] introduced the rough set theory as a formal tool to deal with imprecision and uncertainty in the data analysis. For an extension of Pawlak's rough sets, many researchers([9, 10, 11]) developed fuzzy rough sets, L-lower and L-upper approximation operators in complete residuated lattices.

Zheng and Wang [12] introduced a complete co-residuated lattice as the generalization of t-conorm. Junsheng and Qing [13] investigated $(\odot, \&)$ -generalized fuzzy rough set on $(L, \lor, \land, \odot, \&, 0, 1)$ where $(L, \lor, \land, \&, 0, 1)$ is a complete residuated lattice and $(L, \lor, \land, \odot, 0, 1)$ is complete co-residuated lattice in a sense [12]. Kim and Ko [14] studied preserving maps and approximation operators in complete co-residuated lattices.

In this paper, we introduce the concepts of distance spaces instead of fuzzy partially ordered spaces in complete co-residuated lattices. We study the notions of \ominus -join and \oplus -meet preserving maps in complete co-residuated lattices. Moreover, we investigate the relations between \ominus -join and \oplus -meet preserving maps and residuated connections. We give their examples.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 ([12, 13, 14]). An algebra $(L, \land, \lor, \oplus, \bot, \top)$ is called a *complete* co-residuated lattice, if it satisfies the following conditions:

(C1) $L = (L, \lor, \land, \bot, \top)$ is a complete lattice, where \bot is the bottom element and \top is the top element,

(C2) $a = a \oplus \bot$, $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$ and $a \oplus (b \oplus c) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$ for all $a, b, c \in L$, (C3) $(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} a_i) \oplus b = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (a_i \oplus b)$.

Let (L, \leq, \oplus) be a complete co-residuated lattice. For each $x, y \in L$, we define

$$x \ominus y = \bigwedge \{ z \in L \mid y \oplus z \ge x \}.$$

Then $(x \oplus y) \ge z$ iff $x \ge (z \ominus y)$.

Put $n(x) = \top \ominus x$. The condition n(n(x)) = x for each $x \in L$ is called a *double* negative law. We denote

$$\top_x(y) = \begin{cases} \top & \text{if } y = x \\ \bot & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \ \bot_x(y) = \begin{cases} \bot & \text{if } y = x \\ \top & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha \in L, A \in L^X$, $(\alpha \ominus A), (\alpha \oplus A), \alpha_X \in L^X$ as $(A \ominus \alpha)(x) = A(x) \ominus \alpha$, $(\alpha \oplus A)(x) = \alpha \oplus A(x), \ \alpha_X(x) = \alpha$.

Remark 2.2 ([14]). (1) An infinitely distributive lattice $(L, \leq, \lor, \land, \oplus = \lor, \bot, \top)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice. In particular, the unit interval $([0,1], \leq, \lor, \land, \oplus =$ $\lor, 0, 1)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice where

$$x \ominus y = \bigwedge \{ z \in L \mid y \lor z \ge x \} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y \ge x \\ x & \text{if } y \not\ge x. \end{cases}$$

Put $n(x) = 1 \ominus x = 1$ for $x \neq 1$ and n(1) = 0. Then n(n(x)) = 0 for $x \neq 1$ and n(n(1)) = 1. Hence n does not satisfy a double negative law.

(2) The unit interval with a right-continuous t-conorm \oplus , $([0,1], \leq, \oplus)$, is a complete co-residuated lattice [7].

(3) $([1,\infty], \leq, \lor, \oplus = \cdot, \land, 1, \infty)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice, where

$$\begin{aligned} x \ominus y &= \bigwedge \{ z \in [1, \infty] \mid yz \ge x \} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \ge x \\ \frac{x}{y} & \text{if } y \not\ge x. \end{cases} \\ \infty \cdot a &= a \cdot \infty = \infty, \forall a \in [1, \infty], \infty \ominus \infty = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Put $n(x) = \infty \ominus x = \infty$ for $x \neq \infty$ and $n(\infty) = 1$. Then n(n(x)) = 1 for $x \neq \infty$ and $n(n(\infty)) = \infty$. Hence n does not satisfy a double negative law.

(4) $([0,\infty], \leq, \lor, \oplus = +, \land, 0, \infty)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice, where

$$y \ominus x = \bigwedge \{ z \in [0, \infty] \mid x + z \ge y \}$$

= $\bigwedge \{ z \in [0, \infty] \mid z \ge -x + y \} = (y - x) \lor 0,$
 $\infty + a = a + \infty = \infty, \forall a \in [0, \infty], \infty \ominus \infty = 0.$

Put $n(x) = \infty \ominus x = \infty$ for $x \neq \infty$ and $n(\infty) = 0$. Then n(n(x)) = 0 for $x \neq \infty$ and $n(n(\infty)) = \infty$. Hence n does not satisfy a double negative law.

(5) $([0,1], \leq, \lor, \oplus, \land, 0, 1)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice where

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus y &= (x^p + y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \wedge 1, \ 1 \le p < \infty, \\ x \ominus y &= \bigwedge \{ z \in [0, 1] \mid (z^p + y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \ge x \} \\ &= \bigwedge \{ z \in [0, 1] \mid z \ge (x^p - y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \} = (x^p - y^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \vee 0 \end{aligned}$$

Put $n(x) = 1 \oplus x = (1 - x^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for $1 \le p < \infty$. Then n(n(x)) = x for $x \in [0, 1]$. Hence *n* satisfies a double negative law.

(6) Let P(X) be the collection of all subsets of X. Then $(P(X), \subset, \cup, \cap, \oplus = \cup, \emptyset, X)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice where

$$A \ominus B = \bigwedge \{ C \in P(X) \mid B \cup C \supset A \}$$
$$= A \cap B^c = A - B.$$

Put $n(A) = X \ominus A = A^c$ for each $A \subset X$. Then n(n(A)) = A. Hence n satisfies a double negative law.

Lemma 2.3 ([14]). Let $(L, \land, \lor, \oplus, \ominus, \bot, \top)$ be a complete co-residuated lattice. For each $x, y, z, x_i, y_i \in L$, we have the following properties.

(1) If $y \le z, x \oplus y \le x \oplus z, y \oplus x \le z \oplus x \text{ and } x \oplus z \le x \oplus y$. (2) $(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \oplus y = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \oplus y) \text{ and } x \oplus (\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} (x \oplus y_i)$. (3) $(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} x_i) \oplus y \le \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x_i \oplus y)$ (4) $x \oplus (\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} y_i) \le \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} (x \oplus y_i)$. (5) $x \oplus x = \bot, x \oplus \bot = x \text{ and } \bot \oplus x = \bot$. Moreover, $x \oplus y = \bot \text{ iff } x \le y$. (6) $y \oplus (x \oplus y) \ge x, y \ge x \oplus (x \oplus y) \text{ and } (x \oplus y) \oplus (y \oplus z) \ge x \oplus z$. (7) $x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z = (x \oplus z) \oplus y$. (8) $x \oplus y \ge (x \oplus z) \oplus (y \oplus z), x \oplus y \ge (x \oplus z) \oplus (y \oplus z), y \oplus x \ge (z \oplus x) \oplus (z \oplus y)$ and $(x \oplus y) \oplus (z \oplus w) \le (x \oplus z) \oplus (y \oplus w)$. (9) $x \oplus y = \bot \text{ iff } x = \bot \text{ and } y = \bot$. (10) $(x \oplus y) \oplus z \le x \oplus (y \oplus z) \text{ and } (x \oplus y) \oplus z \ge x \oplus (y \oplus z)$. (11) If L satisfies a double negative law and $n(x) = \top \oplus x$, then $n(x \oplus y) = n(x) \oplus y = n(y) \oplus x$ and $x \oplus y = n(y) \oplus n(x)$.

Definition 2.4 ([14]). Let $(L, \land, \lor, \oplus, \ominus, \bot, \top)$ be a complete co-residuated lattice. Let X be a set. A function $d_X : X \times X \to L$ is called a *distance function* if it satisfies the following conditions:

(M1) $d_X(x,x) = \bot$ for all $x \in X$, (M2) $d_X(x,y) \oplus d_X(y,z) \ge d_X(x,z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, (M3) If $d_X(x,y) = d_X(y,x) = \bot$, then x = y. The pair (X, d_X) is called a *distance space*.

Remark 2.5 ([14]). (1) We define a distance function $d_X : X \times X \to [0, \infty]$. Then (X, d_X) is called a pseudo-quasi-metric space.

(2) Let $(L, \land, \lor, \ominus, \ominus, \bot, \top)$ be a complete co-residuated lattice. Define a function $d_L : L \times L \to L$ as $d_L(x, y) = x \ominus y$. By Lemma 2.3 (5) and (6), (L, d_L) is a distance space. Define a function $d_{L^X} : L^X \times L^X \to L$ as $d_{L^X}(A, B) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus B(x))$. Then (L^X, d_{L^X}) is a distance space.

3. Preserving maps in complete co-residuated lattices

In this section, we assume $(L, \land, \lor, \ominus, \ominus, \bot, \top)$ is a complete co-residuated lattice.

Definition 3.1. (i) A map $\mathcal{F}: L^X \to L^Y$ is called an \ominus -join preserving map, i it satisfies the following conditions:

(J1) $\mathcal{F}(A \ominus \alpha) = \mathcal{F}(A) \ominus \alpha$,

(J2) $\mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(A_i).$ (ii) A map $\mathcal{G} : L^X \to L^Y$ is an \oplus - meet preserving map, i it satisfies the following conditions:

(M1) $\mathcal{G}(\alpha \oplus A) = \alpha \oplus \mathcal{G}(A),$

(M2) $\mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{i \in I} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in I} \mathcal{G}(A_i).$ (iii) Let $\mathcal{F} : L^X \to L^Y$ and $\mathcal{G} : L^X \to L^Y$ be maps. The pair $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ is called a residuated connection, if $d_{L^Y}(B, \mathcal{F}(A)) = d_{L^X}(\mathcal{G}(B), A)$ for each $A \in L^X, B \in L^Y$.

Theorem 3.2. If $\mathcal{F}: L^X \to L^Y$ and $\mathcal{G}: L^Y \to L^X$ such that $d_{L^Y}(\mathcal{F}(A), B) =$ $d_{L^X}(A,\mathcal{G}(B))$ for all $A \in L^X, B \in L^Y$, then \mathcal{F} is an \ominus -join preserving map and \mathcal{G} is an \oplus - meet preserving map.

$$\begin{array}{l} \textit{Proof. Since } d_{L^{Y}}(\mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{i\in\Gamma}A_{i}),B) = d_{L^{X}}(\bigvee_{i\in\Gamma}A_{i},\mathcal{G}(B)) \\ = \bigvee_{i\in\Gamma}d_{L^{X}}(A_{i},\mathcal{G}(B)) \text{ [By Lemma 2.3 (2)]} \\ = \bigvee_{i\in\Gamma}d_{L^{Y}}(\mathcal{F}(A_{i}),B) \\ = d_{L^{Y}}(\bigvee_{i\in\Gamma}\mathcal{F}(A_{i}),B), \\ \text{for } B = \bot_{X}, \mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{i\in\Gamma}A_{i}) = \bigvee_{i\in\Gamma}\mathcal{F}(A_{i}) \text{ by Lemma 2.3 (5). Since} \\ d_{L^{Y}}(\mathcal{F}(A\ominus\alpha),B) = d_{L^{X}}(A\ominus\alpha,\mathcal{G}(B)) \\ = \bigvee_{x\in X}((A(x)\ominus\alpha)\ominus\mathcal{G}(B)(x)) \text{ [By Lemma 2.3 (7)]} \\ = \bigvee_{x\in X}(A(x)\ominus\mathcal{G}(B)(x))\ominus\alpha \\ = d_{L^{X}}(\mathcal{F}(A),B)\ominus\alpha \\ = d_{L^{X}}(\mathcal{F}(A),B)\ominus\alpha \\ = d_{L^{X}}(\mathcal{F}(A),B)\ominus\alpha \\ = d_{L^{X}}(\mathcal{F}(A),B) \ominus\alpha \\ = \int_{i\in\Gamma}d_{L^{X}}(A,\mathcal{G}(B_{i})) = d_{L^{Y}}(\mathcal{F}(A),\bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma}B_{i}) \\ = \bigvee_{i\in\Gamma}d_{L^{X}}(A,\mathcal{G}(B_{i})) = d_{L^{X}}(A,\bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma}\mathcal{G}(B_{i})), \\ \text{we have } \mathcal{F}(A\ominus\alpha) = \mathcal{F}_{i\in\Gamma}\mathcal{G}(B_{i}). \text{ On the other hand,} \\ d_{L^{X}}(A,\mathcal{G}(\alpha\oplus B)) = d_{L^{Y}}(\mathcal{F}(A),\alpha\oplus B) \\ = \bigvee_{y\in Y}((\mathcal{F}(A)(y)\ominus B(y))\ominus\alpha) \\ = \bigvee_{x\in X}(A(x)\ominus\mathcal{G}(B)(x))\ominus\alpha = d_{L^{X}}(A,\mathcal{G}(B))\ominus\alpha \\ = d_{L^{X}}(A,\mathcal{G}(B)\oplus\alpha) \text{ [By Lemma 2.3 (7)].} \end{array}$$

Thus $\mathcal{G}(\alpha \oplus A) = \alpha \oplus \mathcal{G}(A)$ for all $\alpha \in L$.

Theorem 3.3. (1) Let $\mathcal{G}: L^Y \to L^X$ be an \oplus -meet preserving map. Then there exists an \ominus -join preserving map $\mathcal{F}: L^X \to L^Y$ such that $\mathcal{F}(A)(y) = d_{L^X}(A, \mathcal{G}(\perp_y))$. Moreover, $d_{L^Y}(\mathcal{F}(A), B) = d_{L^X}(A, \mathcal{G}(B))$ for each $A \in L^X, B \in L^Y$.

(2) Let $\mathcal{G} : L^Y \to L^X$ be an \oplus -meet preserving map. Then there exists a fuzzy relation $R \in L^{X \times Y}$ with $\mathcal{G}(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \oplus R(x, y))$ and an \oplus -join preserving map $\mathcal{F}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus R(x,y))$ such that $d_{L^Y}(\mathcal{F}(A), B) = d_{L^X}(A, \mathcal{G}(B))$ for each $A \in L^X, B \in L^Y$.

(3) If L satisfies a double negative law with $n(x) = \top \ominus x$ and $\mathcal{F} : L^X \to L^Y$ is an \ominus -join preserving map, then there exists an \oplus -meet preserving map $\mathcal{G}: L^Y \to L^X$ such that $\mathcal{G}(\perp_y)(x) = n(\mathcal{F}(n(\perp_x)))(y)$. Moreover, $d_{L^Y}(\mathcal{F}(A), B) = d_{L^X}(A, \mathcal{G}(B))$ for each $A \in L^X, B \in L^Y$.

(4) If L satisfies a double negative law with $n(x) = \top \ominus x$ and $\mathcal{F} : L^X \to L^Y$ is an \ominus -join-preserving map, then there exists a fuzzy relation $R \in L^{X \times Y}$ with $\mathcal{F}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus R(x, y))$ and an \oplus -meet preserving map $\mathcal{G}(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \oplus R(x, y))$ such that $d_{L^Y}(\mathcal{F}(A), B) = d_{L^X}(A, \mathcal{G}(B))$ for each $A \in L^X, B \in L^Y$.

Proof. (1) Since $\mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} B_i) = \bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} \mathcal{G}(B_i)$ and $\mathcal{G}(\alpha\oplus B) = \alpha\oplus \mathcal{G}(B)$, for $B(w) = \bigwedge_{y\in Y} (B(y)\oplus \bot_y(w)), \mathcal{G}(B)(x) = \mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{y\in Y} (B(y)\oplus \bot_y)(x) = \bigwedge_{y\in Y} (B(y)\oplus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x))).$ Then $A \in L^X$, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{F}(A)(y) &= \bigwedge \{B(y) \mid \mathcal{G}(B) \geq A \} \\ &= \bigwedge \{B(y) \mid \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \oplus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x)) \geq A(x) \} \\ &= \bigwedge \{B(y) \mid B(y) \geq \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x)) \} \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x)). \end{split}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{i\in\Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{x\in X} (\bigvee_{i\in\Gamma} A_i(x) \ominus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x)) = \bigvee_{i\in\Gamma} (\bigvee_{x\in X} (A_i(x) \ominus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x))) = \bigvee_{i\in\Gamma} \mathcal{F}(A_i) \text{ and } \mathcal{F}(A\ominus\alpha) = \bigvee_{x\in X} ((A\ominus\alpha)\ominus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x)) = \bigvee_{x\in X} (A(x)\ominus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x))) \ominus \alpha = \mathcal{F}(A) \ominus \alpha \text{ from Lemma } 2.3 (7). \text{ For } A \in L^X, B \in L^Y, \text{ by Lemma } 2.3,$

$$\begin{aligned} d_{L^X}(A,\mathcal{G}(B)) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus \mathcal{G}(B)(x)) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus \mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \oplus \bot_y)(x))) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x) \ominus (B(y) \oplus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x))) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x) \ominus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x)) \ominus B(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} ((\bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x))) \ominus B(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{F}(A)(y) \ominus B(y)) \\ &= d_{L^Y} (\mathcal{F}(A), B). \end{aligned}$$

(2) By (1), put $R(x, y) = \mathcal{G}(\perp_y)(x)$. Then the result holds. (3) Since $\mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{i\in\Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i\in\Gamma} \mathcal{F}(A_i)$ and $\mathcal{F}(A\ominus\alpha) = \mathcal{F}(A)\ominus\alpha$ for $A = \bigvee_{x\in X} (A(x)\ominus\perp_x) = \bigvee_{x\in X} (n(\perp_x)\ominus n(A)(x))$,

$$\mathcal{F}(A)(y) = \mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{x \in X} (n(\bot_x) \ominus n(A)(x))(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (\mathcal{F}(n(\bot_x))(y) \ominus n(A)(x)).$$

For $B \in L^Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(B)(x) &= \bigvee \{A(x) \mid \mathcal{F}(A)(y) \leq B(y)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{A(x) \mid \bigvee_{x \in X} (\mathcal{F}(n(\bot_x))(y) \ominus n(A)(x)) \leq B(y)) \\ &= \bigvee \{A(x) \mid \bigvee_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{F}(n(\bot_x))(y) \ominus B(y)) \leq n(A)(x)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{A(x) \mid \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (n(\mathcal{F}(n(\bot_x)))(y) \oplus B(y)) \geq A(x)\} \\ &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (n(\mathcal{F}(n(\bot_x)))(y) \oplus B(y)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} B_i) = \bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} \mathcal{G}(B_i), \ \mathcal{G}(\alpha\oplus B) = \alpha\oplus \mathcal{G}(B) \text{ and } \mathcal{G}(\bot_y)(x) =$ $n(\mathcal{F}(n(\perp_x)))(y)$. For each $A \in L^X, B \in L^Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{L^{X}}(A,\mathcal{G}(B)) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus \mathcal{G}(B)(x)) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (n(\mathcal{F}(n(\bot_{x})))(y) \oplus B(y))) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x) \ominus (n(\mathcal{F}(n(\bot_{x})))(y) \oplus B(y))) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in X} \bigvee_{y \in Y} (A(x) \ominus n(\mathcal{F}(n(\bot_{x})))(y)) \ominus B(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} ((\bigvee_{x \in X} (\mathcal{F}(n(\bot_{x}))(y) \ominus n(A)(x))) \ominus B(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{F}(A)(y) \ominus B(y)) \\ &= \bigvee_{y \in Y} (\mathcal{F}(A)(y) \ominus B(y)) \\ &= d_{L^{Y}} (\mathcal{F}(A), B). \end{aligned}$$

(4) By (3), put
$$R(x, y) = n(\mathcal{F}(n(\perp_x)))(y) = \mathcal{G}(\perp_y)(x)).$$

$$\mathcal{F}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (\mathcal{F}(n(\perp_x))(y) \ominus n(A)(x))$$

$$= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus n(\mathcal{F}(n(\perp_x)))(y)) = \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus R(x, y))$$

$$\mathcal{G}(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (n(\mathcal{F}(n(\perp_x)))(y) \oplus B(y)) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (R(x, y) \oplus B(y))$$

Then the result holds.

Remark 3.4. Let L be satisfied a double negative law with $n(x) = \top \ominus x$ and $f : X \to Y$ be a map. A map $f^{\to} : L^X \to L^Y$ is defined as $f^{\to}(A)(y) =$ $\bigvee_{x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} A(x)$. Then

$$f^{\rightarrow}(A \ominus \alpha)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} (A \ominus \alpha)(x)$$

= $(\bigvee_{x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} A(x)) \ominus \alpha = f^{\rightarrow}(A)(y) \ominus \alpha$ [By Lemma 2.3 (2)]
and $f^{\rightarrow}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} f^{\rightarrow}(A_i)$. Thus $f^{\rightarrow} : L^X \to L^Y$ is an \ominus -join preserving
map. By Theorem 3.3 (3), there exists $\mathcal{G} : L^Y \to L^X$ defined as:

p. By Theorem 3.3 (3), there exists
$$\mathcal{G} : L^{T} \to L^{A}$$
 defined as:

$$\mathcal{G}(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (n(f^{\to}(n(\bot_{x})))(y) \oplus B(y))$$

$$= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (n(\bigvee_{z \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} n(\bot_{x})(z) \oplus B(y)))$$

$$= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (\bigwedge_{z \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} \bot_{x}(z) \oplus B(y))$$

$$= \bot_{x}(x) \oplus B(f(x)) = f^{\leftarrow}(B)(x)$$

such that $\mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} B_i) = \bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} \mathcal{G}(B_i), \ \mathcal{G}(\alpha\oplus A) = \alpha\oplus \mathcal{G}(A) \text{ with } f^{\rightarrow}(n(\perp_x))(y) = d_{L^X}(n(\perp_x), \mathcal{G}(\perp_y)) = n(\mathcal{G}(\perp_y))(x).$

Moreover, $d_{L^Y}(f^{\to}(A), B) = d_{L^X}(A, \mathcal{G}(B))$ for each $A \in L^X, B \in L^Y$.

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $Y = \{x, y, z\}$ be sets. We define $f : X \to Y$ with f(a) = x, f(b) = f(c) = y.

(1) A map
$$f^{\rightarrow} : L^X \to L^Y$$
 is defined as $f^{\rightarrow}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in f^{-1}(\{y\})} A(x)$. Then

$$f^{\rightarrow}(A)(x)=A(a),\;f^{\rightarrow}(A)(y)=A(b)\vee A(c),\;f^{\rightarrow}(A)(z)=0$$

$$f^{\rightarrow}(n(0_a)) = (1,0,0), \ f^{\rightarrow}(n(0_b)) = (0,1,0), \ f^{\rightarrow}(n(0_c)) = (0,1,0)$$

and $f^{\rightarrow}: L^X \to L^Y$ is an \ominus -join preserving map. Then there exists $\mathcal{G}: L^Y \to L^X$ defined as $\mathcal{G}(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (n(f^{\rightarrow}(n(0_x)))(y) \oplus B(y)) = f^{\leftarrow}(B)(x)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{G}(B)(a) = f^{\leftarrow}(B)(a) = B(x), \ \mathcal{G}(B)(b) = B(y), \ \mathcal{G}(B)(c) = B(y)$$

such that $\mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} B_i) = \bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} \mathcal{G}(B_i), \ \mathcal{G}(\alpha\oplus A) = \alpha\oplus \mathcal{G}(A)$ with $f^{\rightarrow}(n(0_x))(y) = d_{L^X}(n(0_x), \mathcal{G}(0_y)) = n(\mathcal{G}(0_y))(x)$. Moreover, $d_{L^Y}(f^{\rightarrow}(A), B) = d_{L^X}(A, \mathcal{G}(B) = d_{L^X}(A, \mathcal{G}(B)) = d_{L^X}(A, \mathcal{G}(B))$

 $f^{\leftarrow}(B)$ for each $A \in L^X, B \in L^Y$. Put $R(a, y) = n(f^{\rightarrow}(n(0_a)))(y)$ as $R = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).$

Then

$$f^{\rightarrow}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{a \in X} (A(a) \ominus R(a, y)), \mathcal{G}(B)(a) = f^{\leftarrow}(B)(a) = \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \oplus R(a, y)).$$
(2) A map $f^{\leftarrow} : L^Y \to L^X$ is defined as $f^{\leftarrow}(B)(x) = B(f(x))$. Then

$$f^{\leftarrow}(B)(a) = B(f(a)), \ f^{\leftarrow}(B)(b) = B(f(b)), \ f^{\leftarrow}(B)(c) = B(f(c))$$

$$f^{\leftarrow}(n(0_x)) = (1, 0, 0), \ f^{\leftarrow}(n(0_x)) = (0, 1, 1), \ f^{\leftarrow}(n(0_x)) = (1, 1, 1).$$

$$\begin{split} f^{\leftarrow}(n(0_x)) &= (1,0,0), \ f^{\leftarrow}(n(0_y)) = (0,1,1), \ f^{\leftarrow}(n(0_z)) = (1,1,1). \\ \text{Since } f^{\leftarrow}(\bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} B_i) &= \bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} f^{\leftarrow}(B_i), \ f^{\leftarrow}(\alpha\oplus B) = \alpha \oplus f^{\leftarrow}(B), \ f^{\leftarrow}: L^Y \to L^X \text{ is an } \oplus \text{-meet preserving map. By Theorem 3.3 (1), there exists an } \oplus \text{-join preserving map } \mathcal{F}: L^X \to L^Y \text{ such that } \mathcal{F}(A)(y) = d_{L^X}(A, f^{\leftarrow}(0_y)) = \bigvee_{x\in f^{-1}(\{y\})} A(x) = f^{\rightarrow}(A)(y). \text{ Moreover, } d_{L^Y}(\mathcal{F}(A) = f^{\rightarrow}(A), B) = d_{L^X}(A, f^{\leftarrow}(B)) \text{ for each } A \in L^X, B \in L^Y. \text{ Put } R(a, y) = f^{\leftarrow}(0_y)(a) \text{ as} \end{split}$$

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(A)(y) &= f^{\rightarrow}(A)(y) = \bigvee_{a \in X} (A(a) \ominus R(a, y)) \\ f^{\leftarrow}(B)(a) &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \oplus R(a, y)). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let $([0,1], \oplus, \ominus, n, 0, 1)$ be a complete co-residuated lattice as n(x) = 1 - xand

$$x \oplus y = (x+y) \land 1, \ x \ominus y = (x-y) \lor 0$$

Let $d_X \in [0,1]^{X \times X}$, $d_Y \in [0,1]^{Y \times Y}$ be distance functions as follows:

$$d_X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.3 & 0 \\ 0.4 & 0 & 0.2 \\ 0.5 & 0.4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ d_Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.6 & 0.4 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0.7 \\ 0.3 & 0.6 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A map $\mathcal{G}: L^X \to L^Y$ is defined as $\mathcal{G}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus d_Y(f(x), y))$. Then \mathcal{G} is an \oplus -meet preserving map. By Theorem 3.3 (1), there exists an \oplus -join preserving map $\mathcal{F}: L^Y \to L^X \text{ defined as } \mathcal{F}(B)(x) = d_{L^Y}(B, \mathcal{G}(0_x)) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} (B(y) \ominus \mathcal{G}(0_x)(y)) = \mathcal{F}(B(y) \oplus \mathcal{F}(0_x)(y)) = \mathcal{F}(B(y)$ $\bigvee_{y \in Y} (B(y) \ominus R(x,y))$ with $R(x,y) = \mathcal{G}(0_x)(y) = d_Y(f(x),y)$ as follows:

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0.6 & 0.6 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 1 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

(4) In (3), a map $\mathcal{F}: L^Y \to L^X$ is defined as:

$$\mathcal{F}(B)(x) = \bigvee_{z \in X} (B(f(z)) \ominus d_X(z, x)).$$

Then \mathcal{F} is an \ominus -join preserving map. On the other hand, $R(x,y) = n(\mathcal{F}(n(0_y))(x) = n(\bigvee_{z \in X} (n(0_y)(f(z)) \ominus d_X(z,x)))$

$$= n(\bigvee_{z \in X} (n(d_X(z, x)) \ominus 0_y(f(z))) \text{ [By Lemma 2.3 (2)]} \\ = \bigwedge_{z \in X} (d_X(z, x) \oplus 0_y(f(z))) \text{ [By Lemma 2.3 (11)]}$$

as follows:

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 0 & 0.4 & 1\\ 0.3 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Then $\mathcal{F}: L^Y \to L^X$ is defined as $\mathcal{F}(B)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} (B(y) \ominus R(x, y))$. By Theorem 3.3 (3), there exists an \oplus -meet preserving map $\mathcal{G}: L^X \to L^Y$ defined as $\mathcal{G}(A)(y) =$ ${\textstyle\bigwedge}_{x\in X}(A(x)\ominus R(x,y)) \text{ with } d_{L^X}(\mathcal{F}(B),A)=d_{L^X}(B,\mathcal{G}(A)).$

Example 3.6. Let $X = \{x, y, z\}$ be a set and $([0, 1], \oplus, \ominus, n, 0, 1)$ be a complete co-residuated lattice as n(x) = 1 - x and

$$x \oplus y = (x+y) \land 1, \ x \ominus y = (x-y) \lor 0$$

Put
$$D = (0.7, 0.4, 0.2) \in [0, 1]^X$$
.
(1) Define a map $\mathcal{F} : L^X \to L^X$ as $\mathcal{F}(A)(y) = d_{L^X}(A, D) \ominus D(y)$. Then
 $\mathcal{F}(A \ominus \alpha)(y) = d_{L^X}(A \ominus \alpha, D) \ominus D(y)$
 $= (d_{L^X}(A, D) \ominus \alpha) \ominus D(y)$ [By Lemma 2.3 (2)]
 $= (d_{L^X}(A, D) \ominus D(y)) \ominus \alpha = (\mathcal{F}(A) \ominus \alpha)(y)$ [By Lemma 2.3 (7)],
 $\mathcal{F}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i)(y) = d_{L^X}(\bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} A_i, D) \ominus D(y)$
 $= \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(d_{L^X}(A_i, D) \ominus D(y))$
 $= \bigvee_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{F}(A_i)(y).$

Thus $\mathcal{F}: L^X \to L^X$ is an \ominus -join preserving map. By Theorem 3.3 (3), there exists $\mathcal{G}: L^X \to L^X$ defined as $\mathcal{G}(B)(x) = \bigwedge_{y \in X} (n(\mathcal{F}(n(0_x)))(y) \oplus B(y))$ such that

$$\mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} B_i) = \bigwedge_{i\in\Gamma} \mathcal{G}(B_i), \ \mathcal{G}(\alpha\oplus B) = \alpha\oplus\mathcal{G}(B)$$

with $\mathcal{F}(n(0_x))(y) = d_{L^X}(n(0_x), \mathcal{G}(0_y)) = n(\mathcal{G}(0_y))(x).$

Moreover, $d_{L^X}(F(\tilde{A}), B) = d_{L^X}(A, \mathcal{G}(B))$ for each $A, B \in L^X$. By Theorem 3.4 (4), put $R(x, y) = n(F(n(0_x)))(y)$. Since

$$\mathcal{F}(n(0_x))(y) = (\bigvee_{z \in X} (n(0_x)(z) \ominus D(z))) \ominus D(y) = n(D(x))) \ominus D(y),$$

we have $R(x,y) = n(\mathcal{F}(n(0_x)))(y) = n(n(D(x)) \oplus D(y)) = D(x) \oplus D(y)$ as

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 1 & 0.9 \\ 1 & 0.8 & 0.6 \\ 0.9 & 0.6 & 0.4 \end{array}\right).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(A)(y) &= \bigvee_{x \in X} (A(x) \ominus R(x,y)), \\ \mathcal{G}(B)(x) &= \bigwedge_{y \in Y} (B(y) \oplus R(x,y)). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Define a map $\mathcal{G} : L^X \to L^X$ as $\mathcal{G}(A)(y) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (n(D)(x) \oplus A(x)) \oplus D(y)$. Since $\mathcal{G}(\bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} A_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in \Gamma} \mathcal{G}(A_i), \ \mathcal{G}(\alpha \oplus A) = \alpha \oplus \mathcal{G}(A), \ \mathcal{G} : L^X \to L^X$ is an \oplus -meet preserving map. By Theorem 3.3 (1), there exists an \oplus -join preserving map \mathcal{F} : $L^X \to L^X$ defined as $\mathcal{F}(B)(x) = d_{L^X}(B, G(0_x)) = \bigvee_{y \in X} (B(y) \ominus (D(y) \oplus n(D(x)))$

with $\mathcal{F}(n(0_y))(x) = d_{L^X}(n(0_y), \mathcal{G}(0_x)) = n(\mathcal{G}(0_x))(y)$. Put $R(x, y) = \mathcal{G}(0_x)(y) = n(D)(x) \oplus D(y)$ as

$$R = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0.7 & 0.5\\ 1 & 1 & 0.8\\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array}\right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(A)(y) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (A(x) \oplus R(x,y)) \\ \mathcal{F}(B)(x) &= \bigvee_{y \in X} (B(y) \ominus R(x,y)). \end{aligned}$$

4. Conclusion

The distance function instead of fuzzy partially ordered set is a new notion. We investigated the relations among residuated connections, \ominus -join preserving maps and \oplus -meet preserving maps on complete co-residuated lattices.

In the future, fuzzy rough sets, information systems and decision rules are investigated by using the concepts of distance spaces in complete co-residuated lattices.

Funding: This work was supported by the Research Institute of Natural Science of Gangneung-Wonju National University.

References

- [1] M. Ward and R. P. Dilworth, Residuated lattices, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1939) 335–354.
- [2] R. Bělohlávek, Fuzzy Relational Systems, Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York 2002.
- [3] P. Hájek, Metamathematices of Fuzzy Logic, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 1998.
- [4] U. Höhle and E. P. Klement, Non-classical logic and their applications to fuzzy subsets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston 1995.
- [5] U. Höhle and S. E. Rodabaugh, Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Logic, Topology and Measure Theory, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht 1999.
- [6] S. E. Rodabaugh and E. P. Klement, Topological and Algebraic Structures In Fuzzy Sets, The Handbook of Recent Developments in the Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London, 2003.
- [7] E. Turunen, Mathematics Behind Fuzzy Logic, A Springer-Verlag Co., 1999.
- [8] Z. Pawlak, Rough sets: Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Data, System Theory, Knowledge Engineering and Problem Solving, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, The Netherlands 1991.
- [9] Y. C. Kim, Categories of fuzzy preorders, approximation operators and Alexandrov topologies, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 31 (2016) 1787–1793.
- [10] Y. C. Kim and J. M Ko, Fuzzy complete lattices, Alexandrov L-fuzzy topologies and fuzzy rough sets, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems 38 (2020) 3253–3266.
- [11] H. Lai and D. Zhang, Concept lattices of fuzzy contexts: Formal concept analysis vs. rough set theory, Int. J. Approx. Reasoning 50 (2009) 695–707.
- [12] M. C. Zheng and G. J. Wang, Coresiduated lattice with applications, Fuzzy systems and Mathematics 19 (2005) 1–6.
- [13] Q. Junsheng and Hu. Bao Qing, On (⊙, &) -fuzzy rough sets based on residuated and coresiduated lattices, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 336 (2018) 54–86.
- [14] Y. C. Kim and J. M. Ko, Preserving maps and approximation operators in complete coresiduated lattices, Journal of the Korean Insitutute of Intelligent Systems 30 (5) (2020) 389– 398.

<u>JU-MOK OH</u> (jumokoh@gwnu.ac.kr)

Department of mathematics, faculty of natural science, university of Gangneung-Wonju, Gangneung, Gangwondo, postal code 25457, Korea

YONG CHAN KIM (yck@gwnu.ac.kr)

Department of mathemathics, faculty of natural science, university of Gangneung-Wonju, Gangneung, Gangwondo, postal code 25457, Korea