Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics
Volume 19, No. 2, (April 2020) pp. 179–187
ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version)
ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version)
http://www.afmi.or.kr
https://doi.org/10.30948/afmi.2020.19.2.179



© Research Institute for Basic Science, Wonkwang University http://ribs.wonkwang.ac.kr

# Soft continuity and SP-continuity

@FMI © F M I © F M I **@** F M I 0 Π 0 F  $\mathbb{I}$ ©ℾМΙ @ F M I © **F** M I ®『M』 @ **F** M Ⅰ © **F** M Ⅰ @ **F** M Ⅰ  $@ \mathbb{F} \mathbb{M} \mathbb{I}$  $@ \mathbb{F} \mathbb{M} \mathbb{I}$  $\odot \mathbb{F} \mathbb{M} \mathbb{I}$  $@ \mathbb{F} \mathbb{M} \mathbb{I}$  $\textcircled{0} \mathbb{F} \mathbb{M} \mathbb{I}$ @ **F** M Ⅰ  $@ \mathbb{F} \mathbb{M} \mathbb{I}$  $@ \mathbb{F} \mathbb{M} \mathbb{I}$ **@ F @ F**  $\mathbb{M}$  $\mathbb{M}$ 0 $\mathbb{F}$ 0 $\mathbb{M}$  $\mathbb{M}$ 0 $\mathbb{F}$  $\mathbb{M}$ 

Reprinted from the Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Vol. 19, No. 2, April 2020

## MURAD M. ARAR

Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 19, No. 2, (April 2020) pp. 179–187 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr https://doi.org/10.30948/afmi.2020.19.2.179



© Research Institute for Basic Science, Wonkwang University http://ribs.wonkwang.ac.kr

# Soft continuity and SP-continuity

#### Murad M. Arar

Received 30 September 2019; Revised 2 November 2019; Accepted 26 November 2019

ABSTRACT. Soft points are first introduced in 2012, and in the same year the soft mapping  $f_{pu} : SS(V)_A \to SS(V)_B$  and pu - continuity are introduced. In this paper we re-define a soft set (F, A) by its set of soft points  $(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$  (will be called *sp*-set); and study *sp*-sets properties. Then we define sp - function and sp - continuity and study their properties. The main result is that any soft mapping  $f_{pu}$  is pu - continuous if and only if its corresponding  $sp - function \ \ddot{f}_{pu}$  is sp - continuous.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A05, 54D10

Keywords: Soft sets, Soft function, Soft continuity, Soft topology.

Corresponding Author: Murad M. Arar (muradshhada@gmail.com)

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1999, Molodtsov [3] introduced the soft set theory with various applications of the new theory. In 2003, Maji et al. [4] defined and studied the notation of soft subset, complement, union and intersections. In 2011, the concept of soft topology was introduced by [6] and [7]. In 2012, Zorlutuna et al. [9] defined soft points and soft continuous functions.

**Definition 1.1** ([5]). Let U be the initial universe, E be the set of parameters, P(U) be the power set of U, and  $A \subset E$ .

(i) A soft set (F, A) on the universe U is defined by the set of ordered pairs

$$(F, A) = \{(x, F(x)); x \in E\},\$$

where  $F: E \to P(U)$  with  $F(x) = \emptyset$ , if  $x \notin A$ . We let  $SS(U)_E$  stands for the set of all soft sets on the universe U where E is a fixed set of parameters.

(ii) Let (F, A), (G, B) belong to  $SS(U)_E$ . Then (F, A) is called a soft subset of (G, B), denoted by  $(F, A) \widetilde{\subset} (G, B)$ , if  $F(x) \subset G(x)$ , for every  $x \in E$ .

(iii) Let  $(F, A) \in SS(U)_E$ . The soft complement of (F, A), denoted by  $(F, A)^{\tilde{c}}$ , is the soft set $(F^{\tilde{c}}, E)$  such that  $F^{\tilde{c}}(x) = U \setminus F(x)$ .

(iv) Let (F, A),  $(G, B) \in SS(U)_E$ . The union of (F, A) and (G, B), denoted by  $(F, A)\widetilde{\cup}(G, B)$ , is the soft set  $(F\widetilde{\cup}G, E)$  such that  $(F\widetilde{\cup}G)(x) = F(x) \cup G(x)$ .

(v) Let (F, A),  $(G, B) \in SS(U)_E$ . The intersection of (F, A) and (G, B), denoted by  $(F, A) \widetilde{\cap} (G, B)$ , is the soft set  $(F \widetilde{\cap} G, E)$  such that  $(F \widetilde{\cap} G)(x) = F(x) \cap G(x)$ .

(vi) Let (F, A),  $(G, B) \in SS(U)_E$ . The difference of (F, A) and (G, B), denoted by  $F \setminus G$ , is the soft set  $(F \setminus G, E)$  such that  $(F \setminus G)(x) = F(x) \setminus G(x)$ .

(vii) The empty soft set is the only  $(F, A) \in SS(U)_E$  with  $F(e) = \emptyset$ , for every  $e \in E$  and will be denoted by  $\emptyset_E$ . The universal soft set is the only soft set  $(F, A) \in SS(U)_E$  with F(e) = U, for every  $e \in E$  and will be denoted by  $U_E$ .

**Definition 1.2** ([9]). The soft set  $(F, A) \in SS(U)_E$  is called a soft point in  $U_E$ , denoted by  $e_F$ , where  $e \in A$ , if  $F(e) \neq \emptyset$  and  $F(x) = \emptyset$ , for every  $x \neq e$ .

**Definition 1.3** ([9]). The soft point  $e_F$  is said to be in the soft set (G, B), denoted by  $e_F \tilde{\in} (G, B)$ , if  $F(e) \subset G(e)$ .

**Proposition 1.4** ([9]). If  $e_F \widetilde{\in} (G, B)$ , then  $e_F \widetilde{\notin} (G, B)^{\widetilde{c}}$ 

The converse of the above proposition is not true, i.e. there are a soft point  $e_F$ and a soft set (G, A) such that  $e_F \widetilde{\notin}(G, A)$  and  $e_F \widetilde{\notin}(G, A)^{\widetilde{c}}$  (See Example 3.11 in [9]).

**Definition 1.5.** For any soft set  $(F, A) \in SS(U)_A$ , the soft power set of (F, A), denoted by  $2^{(F,A)}$ , is defined as follows:  $(F_1, A) \in 2^{(F,A)}$  if and only if  $F_1(a) \subset F(a)$ , for every  $a \in A$ .

**Definition 1.6** ([2]). Let  $SS(U)_A$  and  $SS(V)_B$  be two families of soft sets. Let  $u : U \to V$  and  $p : A \to B$  be mappings. Then the mapping  $f_{pu} : SS(U)_A \to SS(V)_B$  is called a soft mapping, if it is defined as follows:

(i) for any soft set  $(F, A) \in SS(U)_A$ , the image of (F, A) under  $f_{pu}$ , denoted by  $f_{pu}(F, A)$ , is the soft set  $(f_{pu}(F), B) \in SS(V)_B$  such that for every  $b \in B$ ,

$$f_{pu}(F)(b) = \bigcup_{x \in p^{-1}(b)} u(F(x)), \text{ if } b \in p(A) \text{ and } f_{pu}(F)(b) = \emptyset \text{ if } b \in B - p(A),$$

(ii) for any soft set  $(G, B) \in SS(V)_B$ , the inverse image of (G, B) under  $f_{pu}$ , denoted by  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G, B)$ , is the soft set  $(f_{pu}^{-1}(G), A) \in SS(U)_A$  such that for every  $a \in A$ ,  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G)(b) = u^{-1}(G(p(b)))$ .

The properties of soft functions can be found in [9].

Since for any soft sets (F, A) and (G, B) in  $SS(U)_A$  and  $SS(V)_B$  respectively, we have  $2^{(F,A)} \subset SS(U)_A$  and  $2^{(G,B)} \subset SS(V)_B$ , we can define the soft mapping  $f_{pu}: 2^{(F,A)} \to 2^{(G,B)}$  as in the above definition subject to the following conditions:

(i) for any soft set  $(F_1, A) \in 2^{(F,A)}$ , we have  $f_{pu}(F_1, A) \in 2^{(G,B)}$ ,

(ii) for any soft set  $(G_1, B) \in 2^{(G,B)}$ , we have  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1, B) \in 2^{(F,A)}$ .

The above two conditions makes  $f_{pu}: 2^{(F,A)} \to 2^{(G,B)}$  well defined.

Restricting soft mapping to mappings between soft power sets of given soft sets is very important for soft topology and soft continuity, since topology, basically, is a sub-collection of the power set of a given set.

**Definition 1.7** ([7]). Let  $(F, A) \in SS(U)_A$ . A soft topology on (F, A), denoted by  $\tilde{\tau}$ , is a collection of soft subsets of (F, A) having the following three properties:

(i)  $\emptyset_A, (F, A) \in \widetilde{\tau},$ 

(ii) if  $(F_1, A), (F_2, A) \in \widetilde{\tau}$ , then  $(F_1, A) \widetilde{\cap} (F_2, A) \in \widetilde{\tau}$ , (iii) if  $(F_\alpha, A) \in \widetilde{\tau}$  for every  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , then  $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} (F_\alpha, A) \in \widetilde{\tau}$ .

A soft subset  $(F_1, A_1)$  of (F, A) is called soft open, if  $(F_1, A_1) \in \tilde{\tau}$ , and it is called soft closed, if  $(F_1, A_1)^{\widetilde{c}} \in \widetilde{\tau}$ .

**Definition 1.8** ([9]). Let  $(F, A, \tilde{\tau}_1)$  and  $(G, B, \tilde{\tau}_2)$  be two soft topological spaces and let  $u: U \to V$  and  $p: A \to B$  be mappings. Then the soft mapping  $f_{pu}$ :  $SS(U)_A \to SS(V)_B$  is said to be:

(i) pu-continuous at  $e_F \in (F, A)$ , if for each soft open set  $(G_1, B) \in \widetilde{\tau}_2$  soft containing  $f_{pu}(e_F)$  there exists a soft open set  $(F_1, A) \in \tilde{\tau}_1$  soft containing  $e_F$  such that  $f_{pu}(F_1, A) \subset (G_1, B),$ 

(ii) pu-continuous on (F, A), if it is pu-continuous at every  $e_F \in (F, A)$ .

**Theorem 1.9** ([9]). Let  $(F, A, \tilde{\tau}_1)$  and  $(G, B, \tilde{\tau}_2)$  be two soft topological spaces. and  $u: U \to V$  and  $p: A \to B$  be mappings. Then the following two statements are equivalent:

(1) the mapping  $f_{pu}: SS(U)_A \to SS(V)_B$  is pu - continuous on  $U_A$ ,

(2) for every  $(G_1, B) \in \widetilde{\tau}_2$ , we have  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1, B) \in \widetilde{\tau}_1$ ,

(3) for every soft closed set  $(G_1, B)$  over V, we have  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1, B)$  is soft closed over U.

### 2. Soft Points and Sp-continuity

Let U be the initial universe, A be a fixed set of parameters. Since any soft point  $e_F$  in  $U_A$  is a soft set (F, A) where  $F(a) = \emptyset$  if  $a \neq e$  and  $F(a) \neq \emptyset$  only for a = e, we can rewrite the soft point  $e_F$  as an ordered pair (e, D) where F(e) = D. To be more precise we begin by the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let U be the initial universe, let E be a fixed set of parameters and let  $e \in E$  and  $\emptyset \neq D \subset U$ . Then the ordered pair (e, D) is called a soft point in  $SS(U)_E$ . The set of all soft point in  $SS(U)_E$  will be denoted by  $SS(U)_E$ .

For a soft set (F, A) in  $SS(U)_E$ , we say that the soft point (e, D) in (F, A), denoted by  $(e, D) \in (F, A)$ , if  $e \in A$  and  $D \subset F(e)$ . The set of all soft points of (F, A)will be denoted by  $(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$  and will be called the sp - set of (F, A).

**Example 2.2.** Let  $A = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $U = \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Consider the soft set (F, A) = $\{(a, \{1, 3\}), (b, \{1, 2\}), (c, \{2\})\}$ . Then the sp - set of (F, A) is

 $(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) = \{(a, \{1\}), (a, \{3\}), (a, \{1,3\}), (b, \{1\}), (b, \{2\}), (b, \{1,2\}), (c, \{2\})\}.$ 

**Definition 2.3.** For any subset K of  $SS(U)_E$ , we define the soft set  $(F, A)_K$  in  $SS(U)_E$  as follows:

- (i)  $A = \{a \in E : (a, D) \in K \text{ for some } D \subset U\},\$
- (ii)  $F(x) = \bigcup_{(x,D)\in K} D$ , if  $x \in A$  and  $F(x) = \emptyset$ , if  $x \in E \setminus A$ .

 $(F, A)_K$  will be called the soft set generated by the set of soft points K.

Let (F, A) be a soft set,  $(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$  be its sp-set and  $(F, A)_{(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})}$  be the soft set generated by the set of soft points  $(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$ . Then one can easily show that  $(F, A) = (F, A)_{(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})}$ . But the converse is not true, i.e. if we start with a set of soft point K, then  $(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})_K \neq K$  where  $(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})_K$  is the sp - set of the soft set  $(F, A)_K$  (See the above definition). It is easy to show that  $(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})_K \supset K$ .

sp-sets behave similar to power sets and the following properties of power sets are well-known:

(1) A = B if and only if  $\mathcal{P}(A) = \mathcal{P}(B)$ ,

(2)  $\mathcal{P}(A \cap B) = \mathcal{P}(A) \cap \mathcal{P}(B),$ 

(3)  $\mathcal{P}(A \cup B) \supset \mathcal{P}(A) \cup \mathcal{P}(B)$ .

The following proposition shows that the above properties of power sets have a corresponding versions for sp - sets, and its proof analogues to its analogue in set theory.

**Proposition 2.4.** Let (F, A) and (G, B) be two soft sets in  $U_X$ . Then we have:

- (1) (F, A) = (G, B) if and only if  $(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) = (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$ ,
- (2) if  $(F,A)\widetilde{\cap}(G,B) = (H,C)$ , then  $(\ddot{H},\ddot{C}) = (\ddot{F},\ddot{A}) \cap (\ddot{G},\ddot{B})$ ,
- (3) if  $(F, A)\widetilde{\cup}(G, B) = (H, C)$ , then  $(\ddot{H}, \ddot{C}) \supset (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \cup (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** Let (F, A) and (G, B) be two soft set with  $(F, A) \notin (G, B)$ , then there exists a soft point  $(x, D) \in \ddot{U}_X$  such that  $(x, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$  and  $(x, D) \in (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})^{\tilde{c}}$ where  $(\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})^{\tilde{c}}$  refers to the sp-set of  $(G, B)^{\tilde{c}}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $(F, A) \nsubseteq (G, B)$ , there exists  $x \in A$  such that  $f(x) \nsubseteq g(x)$ , which implies  $f(x) \setminus g(x)$  is nonempty. Set  $(x, D) = (x, f(x) \setminus g(x))$ . It is clear that  $(x, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$  and  $(x, D) \in (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})^{\tilde{c}}$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let (F, A) and (G, B) be two soft sets. A mapping  $f : (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$  (sends a soft point  $(x, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$  to a soft point f(x, D) = (y, C) in  $(\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$ ) is called an sp-mapping, if its image and pre-image for soft subsets of (F, A) and (G, B), respectively, are defined as follows:

(i) for every  $(F_1, A) \widetilde{\subset} (F, A)$ , we have  $f(F_1, A) = (f(F_1), B) \widetilde{\subset} (G, B)$  such that for every  $y \in B$ ,  $f(F_1)(y) = \bigcup \{C : (y, C) = f(x, D) \text{ for some } (x, D) \in (F_1, A) \}$ ,

(ii) for every  $(G_1, B) \widetilde{\subset} (G, B)$ , we have  $f^{-1}(G_1, B) = (f^{-1}(G_1), A) \widetilde{\subset} (F, A)$  such that  $f^{-1}(G_1)(x) = \bigcup \{D : (x, D) \widetilde{\in} (F, A) \text{ and } f(x, D) \widetilde{\in} (G_1, B)\}$ , for every  $x \in A$ .

That is sp-mapping is a point-set function who sends a soft point to a soft point and its image and pre-images of soft subset are defined as mentioned above.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $(F, A, \tilde{\tau})$  and  $(G, B, \tilde{\mu})$  be two soft topological spaces and f:  $(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$  be an sp-mapping. Then f is said to be sp-continuous at the soft point  $(x, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$ , if for any soft open set  $(G_1, B) \in \tilde{\mu}$  with  $f(x, D) \in (\ddot{G}_1, \ddot{B})$ , there exists  $(F_1, A) \in \tilde{\tau}$  such that  $(x, D) \in (\ddot{F}_1, \ddot{A})$  and  $f(F_1, A) \subset (G_1, B)$ . For the sake of simplicity we write  $f : (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}, \tilde{\tau}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B}, \tilde{\mu})$  is an sp-continuous mapping. **Theorem 2.8.** An sp – mapping  $f : (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}, \tilde{\tau}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B}, \tilde{\mu})$  is sp – continuous if and only if for every soft open set (H, B) in  $(G, B, \tilde{\mu})$ ,  $f^{-1}(H, B)$  is a soft open set in  $(G, B, \tilde{\mu})$ .

Proof. Suppose that f is sp-continuous and (H, B) be a soft open set in  $\tilde{\mu}$ . Let  $(x, D) \in f^{-1}(H, B)$ . Then  $f(x, D) = (y, M) \in (H, B)$ . Since f is sp-continuous, there exists a soft open set (K, A) in  $\tilde{\tau}$  soft containing (x, D) such that  $f(K, A) \in (H, B)$ . It is clear that  $(x, D) \in (K, A) \in f^{-1}(H, B)$ , which implies  $f^{-1}(H, B)$  is soft open.

Conversely, suppose that for every soft open set (H, B) in  $(G, B, \tilde{\mu})$ ,  $f^{-1}(H, B)$  is a soft open set in  $(G, B, \tilde{\mu})$ . Let  $(x, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$  and let (H, B) be a soft open set in  $\tilde{\mu}$  with  $f(x, D) \in (H, B)$ . Since (H, B) is soft open in  $\tilde{\mu}, f^{-1}(H, B)$  is soft open in  $\tilde{\tau}$ , and since  $f(x, D) \in (H, B), (x, D) \in f^{-1}(H, B)$ . But $f(f^{-1}(H, B)) \subset (H, B)$ ; and this shows f is sp-coninuous.

For notational purpose, one must note that the following statements are equivalent:

(1)  $(x, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}),$ 

 $(2) (x, D) \widetilde{\in} (F, A),$ 

(3) (x, D) is soft contained in (F, A).

**Example 2.9.** Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $U = \{a, b, c\}$ . Consider the two soft sets (F, A) and (G, A) in  $SS(U)_A$  given by:

 $(F,A) = \{(1,\{a\}), (2,\{a,b\}), (3,\{b,c\})\}, \ (G,A) = \{(1,\{a,b\}), (2,\{c\}), (3,\{b,c\})\}.$ 

Let  $\tau_1 = \{ \varnothing_A, (F, A), (F_1, A), (F_2, A), (F_3, A) \}$ , where

$$(F_1, A) = \{(1, \emptyset), (2, \{a, b\}), (3, \{b, c\})\}, (F_2, A) = \{(1, \{a\}), (2, \{a\}), (3, \emptyset)\}, (F_3, A) = \{(1, \emptyset), (2, \{a\}), (3, \emptyset)\}.$$

Then we can easily show that  $\tau_1$  is a soft topology on (F, A).

Again, let  $\tau_2 = \{ \emptyset_A, (G, A), (G_1, A), (G_2, A), (G_3, A) \}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} (G_1, A) &= \{ (1, \{a, b\}), (2, \emptyset), (3, \{b, c\}) \}, \\ (G_2, A) &= \{ (1, \{a\}), (2, \{c\}), (3, \emptyset) \}, \\ (G_3, A) &= \{ (1, \{a\}), (2, \emptyset), (3, \emptyset) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can easily show that  $\tau_2$  is also a soft topology on (G, A).

It is clear that

$$(\ddot{F},\ddot{A})=\{(1,\{a\}),(2,\{a\}),(2,\{b\}),(2,\{a,b\}),(3,\{b\}),(3,\{c\}),(3,\{b,c\})\},$$

 $(\ddot{G}, \ddot{A}) = \{(1, \{a\}), (1, \{b\}), (1, \{a, b\}), (2, \{c\}), (3, \{b\}), (3, \{c\}), (3, \{b, c\})\}.$ Define the sp-function  $f : (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}, \tilde{\tau_1}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{A}, \tilde{\tau_2})$  as follows:

 $f(1, \{a\}) = (2, \{c\}),$ 

$$\begin{split} f(2,\{a\}) &= (2,\{c\}), \ f(2,\{b\}) = (3,\{b\}), \ f(2,\{a,b\}) = (1,\{a,b\}), \\ f(3,\{b\}) &= (3,\{c\}), \ f(3,\{c\}) = (3,\{c\}), \ f(3,\{b,c\}) = (1,\{a,b\}). \end{split}$$

We will show that f is sp-continuous, it suffices to show that for every  $(K, A) \in \tau_2$ , we have  $f^{-1}(K, A) \in \tau_1$ , this can be established step by step as follows: we first find

the sp-set  $(\ddot{K}, \ddot{A})$ , then we find its inverse under f; which is the sp-set  $f^{-1}(\ddot{K}, \ddot{A})$ , after this we find  $f^{-1}(K, A)$  which is the soft set generated by the sp-set  $f^{-1}(\ddot{K}, \ddot{A})$ and then we show it is in  $\tau_2$ . For example, for the soft open set  $(G_1, A) \in \tau_2$ , we have

$$(\ddot{G}_1, \ddot{A}) = \{(1, \{a\}), (1, \{b\}), (1, \{a, b\}), (3, \{b\}), (3, \{c\}), (3, \{b, c\})\},\$$

so that  $f^{-1}(\ddot{G}_1, \ddot{A}) = \{(2, \{a, b\}), (3, \{b, c\}), (2, \{b\}), (3, \{b\}), (3, \{c\})\}$ , which implies that  $f^{-1}(G_1, A) = \{(1, \emptyset), (2, \{a, b\}), (3, \{b, c\})\} = (F_1, A) \in \tau_1$ . Similarly, we show that  $f^{-1}(\emptyset_A) = \emptyset_A \in \tau_1, f^{-1}(G, A) = (F, A) \in \tau_1, f^{-1}(G_2, A) = (F_2, A) \in \tau_1$  and  $f^{-1}(G_3, A) = \emptyset_A \in \tau_1$ . So that f is sp-continuous.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $(F, A, \tilde{\tau})$  and  $(G, B, \tilde{\mu})$  be two soft topological spaces and  $f : (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$  be a sp-mapping. Then f is said to be sp-open (sp-closed), if for every soft open (soft closed) set  $(F_1, A)$  in (F, A), we have  $f(F_1, A)$  is soft open (soft closed) in (G, B). For the sake of simplicity we write  $f : (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}, \tilde{\tau}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B}, \tilde{\mu})$  is a sp-open (sp-closed) mapping.

**Definition 2.11.** For any soft set  $(F, A) \in SS(U)_A$  and  $(G, B) \in SS(V)_B$ , let  $f_{pu}: 2^{(F,A)} \to 2^{(G,B)}$  be a soft mapping such that  $u: U \to V$  and  $p: A \to B$ . The sp-mapping corresponding to  $f_{pu}$  is  $f_{pu}: (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$  such that for every soft point  $(a, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$ , we have  $f_{pu}(a, D) = (p(a), u(D))$ .

**Theorem 2.12.** For any soft mapping  $f_{pu} : 2^{(F,A)} \to 2^{(G,B)}$  the sp – mapping  $\ddot{f}_{pu} : (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$  corresponding to  $f_{pu}$  is well-defined.

Proof. It suffices to show that for any soft point  $(a, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$  we have  $f_{pu}(a, D) = (p(a), u(D)) \in (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$ . Since  $f_{pu} : 2^{(F,A)} \to 2^{(G,B)}$  is well defined (sends a soft subset of (F, A) to a soft subset of (G, B)), we have  $f_{pu}(F, A) = (f_{pu}(F), B)$  is a soft subset of (G, B), which implies that  $f_{pu}(F)(p(a)) = \bigcup_{x \in p^{-1}(p(a))} u(F(x)) \subset G(p(a))$ . Since  $(a, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$ , we have  $D \subset F(a)$ , but  $a \in p^{-1}(p(a))$  so that  $u(D) \subset u(F(a)) \subset f_{pu}(F)(p(a)) \subset G(p(a))$ , which means  $(p(a), u(D)) \in (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$ .

The following two lemma are very important to the theorem next to them.

**Lemma 2.13.** Let (F, A) and (G, B) be any two soft sets and  $f_{pu} : 2^{(F,A)} \to 2^{(G,B)}$ be a soft mapping. If  $f_{pu} : (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$  is the sp – mapping corresponding to  $f_{pu}$ , then for every soft subset  $(F_1, A)$  of (F, A), we have  $f_{pu}(F_1, A) = f_{pu}(F_1, A)$ .

*Proof.* Before we begin our proof, we must call Definition 1.6 and Definition 2.6. From Definition 1.6, we have  $f_{pu}(F_1, A) = (f_{pu}(F_1), B)$  such that for every  $b \in B$ , we have

$$f_{pu}(F_1)(b) = \bigcup_{x \in p^{-1}(b)} u(F_1(x)), \text{ if } b \in p(A) \text{ and } f_{pu}(F_1)(b) = \emptyset, \text{ if } b \in B - p(A).$$

From Definition 2.6, we have  $f_{pu}^{\cdot}(F_1, A) = (f_{pu}^{\cdot}(F_1), B)$  such that for every  $b \in B$ ,  $\ddot{f}_{pu}(F_1)(b) = \bigcup \{C : (b, C) = f_{pu}^{\cdot}(a, D) \text{ for some } (a, D) \in (\ddot{F}_1, \ddot{A}) \text{ with } p(a) = b\}$ . It is suffices to show that for every  $b \in B$ , we have  $f_{pu}(F_1)(b) = f_{pu}^{\cdot}(F_1)(b)$ . Let  $y \in f_{pu}(F_1)(b)$ . Then there exists  $x \in p^{-1}(b)$  such that  $y \in u(F_1(x))$ . It is clear that  $(x, F_1(x)) \in (\ddot{F}_1, \ddot{A})$  so  $\ddot{f}_{pu}(x, F_1(x)) = (p(x), uF_1(x)) = (b, u(F_1(x)))$ . Thus  $y \in uF_1(x) \subset \bigcup \{C : (b, C) = f_{pu}(a, D) \text{ for some } (a, D) \in (\ddot{F}_1, \ddot{A}) \}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $y \in f_{pu}(F_1)(b)$ . Then there exists  $(a, D) \in (F_1, A)$  such that  $f_{pu}(a, D) = (b, C)$  with p(a) = b and  $y \in C$ . Since  $D \subset F_1(a), u(D) \subset u(F_1(a))$ , but  $a \in p^{-1}(b)$ . Thus we have  $y \in u(F_1(a)) \subset f_{pu}(F_1)(b) = \bigcup_{x \in p^{-1}(b} u(F_1(x)))$ . So  $f_{pu}(F_1)(b) \subset f_{pu}(F_1)(b)$ . Hence the proof is complete.

**Lemma 2.14.** Let (F, A) and (G, B) be any two soft sets and  $f_{pu} : 2^{(F,A)} \to 2^{(G,B)}$ be a soft mapping. If  $\ddot{f}_{pu} : (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$  is the sp-mapping corresponding to  $f_{pu}$ , then for every soft subset  $(G_1, B)$  of (G, B), we have  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1, B) = \ddot{f}_{pu}^{-1}(G_1, B)$ .

*Proof.* Before we begin our proof, we must call Definition 1.6 and Definition 2.6. From Definition 1.6, we have  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1, B) = (f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1), A)$  such that for every  $a \in A$ ,  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a) = u^{-1}(G_1(p(a))$ .

From Definition 2.6, we have  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1, B) = (f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1), A)$  such that for every  $a \in A, f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a) = \bigcup \{D : (a, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \text{ with } f_{pu}(a, D) \in (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})\} = \bigcup \{D : (a, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \text{ with } (p(a), u(D)) \in (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})\}.$  It is sufficient to show that for every  $a \in A$  we have  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a) = f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a)$ . Let  $x \in f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a)$ . Since  $f_{pu}$  is well-defined (See the above theorem),  $(a, \{x\}) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$ . Since  $x \in f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a) = u^{-1}(G_1(p(a)))$ , we have  $u(x) \in G_1(p(a))$ . Then  $(p(a), u(\{x\})) \in (\ddot{G}_1, \ddot{B})$  and  $\{x\} \subset \bigcup \{D : (a, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \text{ with } (p(a), u(D)) \in (\ddot{G}_1, \ddot{B})\} = f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a)$ . Thus  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a) \subset f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a)$ .

Conversely, let  $x \in \dot{f_{pu}}^{-1}(G_1)(a)$ . Then  $x \in D$ , for some  $(a, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$  with  $(p(a), u(D)) \in (\ddot{G}_1, \ddot{B})$ . Thus  $u(D) \subset G_1(p(a))$ . So  $x \in D \subset u^{-1}(G_1(p(a))) = f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a)$ . Hence  $\dot{f_{pu}}^{-1}(G_1)(a) \subset f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1)(a)$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

The following definition is aboutpu-open and pu-closed functions and can be found in [1] and [8].

**Definition 2.15.** Let  $(F, A, \tilde{\tau}_1)$  and  $(G, B, \tilde{\tau}_2)$  be two soft topological spaces, and let  $u: U \to V$  and  $p: A \to B$  be mappings. Then the soft mapping  $f_{pu}: SS(U)_A \to SS(V)_B$  is said to be:

(i) [1] pu-open, if  $f_{pu}(F_1, A) \in \widetilde{\tau}_2$  for every  $(F_1, A) \in \widetilde{\tau}_1$ ,

(ii) [8] pu-closed, if  $f_{pu}(F_1, A)$  is soft closed in  $\tilde{\tau}_2$  for every  $(F_1, A)$  soft closed in  $\tilde{\tau}_1$ .

**Theorem 2.16.** Let  $(F, A, \tilde{\tau}_1)$  and  $(G, B, \tilde{\tau}_2)$  be two soft topological spaces. Let  $f_{pu} : 2^{(F,A)} \to 2^{(G,B)}$  be a soft mapping where  $u : U \to V$  and  $p : A \to B$ , and  $f_{pu} : (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{B})$  is the sp-mapping corresponding to  $f_{pu}$ . Then

185

(1)  $f_{pu}$  is pu - continuous if and only if  $f_{pu}$  is sp - continuous,

(2)  $f_{pu}$  is pu-closed if and only if  $\ddot{f}_{pu}$  is sp-closed,

(3)  $f_{pu}$  is pu - open if and only if  $f_{pu}$  is sp - open.

*Proof.* The proof is easy! we just apply the above two lemmas.

we close our paper by an example to clarify the above concept where we introduce a pu-continuous mapping  $f_{pu}$ , then we show that the sp-mapping  $f_{pu}$  corresponding to  $f_{pu}$  is sp-continuous.

**Example 2.17.** Let  $A = \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $U = \{a, b, c\}$ . Consider the soft sets (F, A)and (G, A) given by, respectively:

$$\begin{split} (F,A) &= \{(1,\{b,c\}), (2,\{b,c\}), (3,\{a\})\}, \ (G,A) &= \{(1,\{a,b\}), (2,\{c\}), (3,\{b,c\})\}. \\ \text{Let } \tau_1 &= \{\varnothing_A, (F,A), (F_1,A), (F_2,A), (F_3,A)\} \text{ be a family of soft sets given by:} \\ &\quad (F_1,A) &= \{(1,\varnothing), (2,\{b,c\}), (3,\{a\})\}, \\ &\quad (F_2,A) &= \{(1,\{b,c\}), (2,\varnothing), (3,\{a\})\}, \\ &\quad (F_3,A) &= \{(1,\emptyset), (2,\emptyset), (3,\{a\})\}, \\ &\quad (F_3,A) &= \{(1,\emptyset), (2,\emptyset), (3,\{a\})\}, \\ \text{and let } \tau_2 &= \{\varnothing_A, (G,A), (G_1,A), (G_2,A), (G_3,A)\} \text{ be a family of soft sets given by:} \\ &\quad (G_1,A) &= \{(1,\{a,b\}), (2,\emptyset), (3,\{b,c\})\}, \end{split}$$

$$(G_2, A) = \{(1, \{a\}), (2, \{c\}), (3, \emptyset)\},\$$
$$(G_3, A) = \{(1, \{a\}), (2, \emptyset), (3, \emptyset)\}.$$

Then we can easily show that  $\tau_1$  is a soft topology on (F, A) and  $\tau_2$  is a soft topology on (G, A).

Define  $u : U \to U$  by  $u = \{(a, a), (b, c), (c, c)\}$ , and define  $p : A \to A$  by  $p = \{(1,2), (2,3), (3,1)\}$ . Since u and p are well-defined,  $f_{pu}$  is well-defined as in Definition 1.6.  $f_{pu}$  is soft continuous mapping, because one can easily show that:  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G,A) = (F,A) \in \tau_1, f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1,A) = (F_1,A) \in \tau_1, f_{pu}^{-1}(G_2,A) = (F_2,A) \in \tau_1 \text{ and } f_{pu}^{-1}(G_2,A) = (F_2,A) \in \tau_2 \text{ and } f_{pu}^{-1}(G_2,A) = (F_2,A) = (F_$  $f_{pu}^{-1}(G_3, A) = (F_3, A) \in \tau_1$ . Now to construct the sp-mapping  $\ddot{f}_{pu}: (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) \to (\ddot{G}, \ddot{A})$ corresponding to  $f_{pu}$  we call Definition 2.11 to get  $\ddot{f}_{pu}(x,D) = (p(x), u(D))$ , for every  $(x, D) \in (\ddot{F}, \ddot{A})$ . Note that

$$(\ddot{F}, \ddot{A}) = \{(1, \{b\}), (1, \{c\}), (1, \{b, c\}), (2, \{b\}), (2, \{c\}), (2, \{b, c\}), (3, \{a\})\}$$

and

$$(\ddot{G}, \ddot{A}) = \{(1, \{a\}), (1, \{b\}), (1, \{a, b\}), (2, \{c\}), (3, \{b\}), (3, \{c\}), (3, \{b, c\})\}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} \ddot{f}_{pu}(1,\{b\}) &= (p(1),u(\{b\})) = (2,\{c\}), \\ \ddot{f}_{pu}(1,\{c\}) &= (p(1),u(\{c\})) = (2,\{c\}), \\ \ddot{f}_{pu}(1,\{b,c\}) &= (p(1),u(\{b,c\})) = (2,\{c\}), \\ \ddot{f}_{pu}(2,\{b\}) &= (p(2),u(\{b,c\})) = (2,\{c\}), \\ \ddot{f}_{pu}(2,\{c\}) &= (p(2),u(\{b\})) = (3,\{c\}), \\ \ddot{f}_{pu}(2,\{b,c\}) &= (p(2),u(\{b,c\})) = (3,\{c\}), \\ \ddot{f}_{pu}(2,\{b,c\}) &= (p(2),u(\{b,c\})) = (3,\{c\}), \\ \ddot{f}_{pu}(3,\{a\}) &= (p(3),u(\{a\})) = (1,\{a\}). \end{split}$$

As in Example 2.9, we can show that  $f_{pu}^{"}$  is sp-continuous; actually, we have:  $f_{pu}^{"-1}(G, A) = (F, A) \in \tau_1, \ f_{pu}^{"-1}(G_1, A) = (F_1, A) \in \tau_1,$ 186

 $\ddot{f_{pu}}^{-1}(G_2, A) = (F_2, A) \in \tau_1, \ \ddot{f_{pu}}^{-1}(G_3, A) = (F_3, A) \in \tau_1.$  It is worth noting that

$$\begin{split} \ddot{f}_{pu}^{-1}(G,A) &= f_{pu}^{-1}(G,A) = (F,A), \ f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1,A) = f_{pu}^{-1}(G_1,A) = (F_1,A), \\ f_{pu}^{-1}(G_2,A) &= \ddot{f}_{pu}^{-1}(G_2,A) = (F_2,A), \ \ddot{f}_{pu}^{-1}(G_3,A) = f_{pu}^{-1}(G_3,A) = (F_3,A) \\ \text{which is consistent with Lemma 2.14.} \end{split}$$

#### References

- A. Aygunoglu and H. Aygun, Some Notes on Soft Topological Spaces, Neural Comput. Applic. 21 (2012) 113–119.
- [2] A. Kharal and B. Ahmad, Mappings on soft classes, New Math. Nat. Comput. 7 (3) (2011) 471–481.
- [3] D. Molodtsov, Soft Set Theory-First Results, Computer and Mathematics with applications 37 (1999) 19–31.
- [4] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, Soft Set Theory, Computer and Mathematics with applications 45 (2003) 555–562.
- [5] Naim Cagman and S. Enginoglu, Soft Set Theory and Uni-Int Decision Making, European Journal of Operational Research 207 (2010) 848–855.
- [6] M. Shabir and M. Naz, On Soft Topological Spaces, Computer and Mathematics with applications 61 (2011) 1786–1799.
- [7] N. Cagman, S. Karatas and S. Enginoglu, Soft Topology, Computer and Mathematics with applications 62 (2011) 351–358.
- [8] I. Zorlutuna and Hatice Cakir, On Continuity of Soft Mappings, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 9 (1) (2015) 403–409.
- [9] I. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W. K. Min and S. Atmaca, Remarks on Soft Topological Spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 3 (2) (2012) 171–185.

## MURAD M. ARAR (muradshhada@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics at Al-Aflaj, Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University