

Reprinted from the
Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics
Vol. 18, No. 2, October 2019

Separation axioms in generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces

F. H. KHEDR, M. S. MALFI

Received 25 May 2019; Revised 8 July 2019; Accepted 16 July 2019

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, we continue the study on generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces. We introduce the notion of separation axioms T_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) in generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces and study some of its properties. By using this notions, we also give some basic theorems of separation axioms in generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces. Finally, we discuss hereditary property and topological property in generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A40, 54D10, 54D15

Keywords: Soft set, Fuzzy soft set, Generalized fuzzy soft set, Generalized fuzzy soft topology, Generalized fuzzy soft separation axioms, Generalized fuzzy soft T_i -spaces ($i = 0, 1, 2$), Generalized fuzzy soft regular, Generalized fuzzy soft normal, Generalized fuzzy soft topological property.

Corresponding Author: M. S. Malfi (mulfy_s76@yahoo.com)

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of soft sets was first introduced by Molodtsov [19] as a general mathematical tool for dealing with uncertain objects. Cagman et al. [4] and Shabir et al. [23] introduced soft topological space independently. Moreover, many authors studied soft topology and its applications [3, 10, 11, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 28]. Maji et al. [16] introduced the concept of fuzzy soft set and some of its properties. Tanay and Kandemir [26] introduced the definition of fuzzy soft topology over a subset of the initial universe set. Later, Roy and Samanta [20] gave the definition of fuzzy soft topology over the initial universe set. Ahmed and Karal [1] defined the notion of a mapping on classes of fuzzy soft sets. In 2010, Majumdar and Samanta [17] introduced the notion of generalized fuzzy soft set as a generalization of fuzzy soft sets and some of its basic properties. Khedr et al. [12] introduced the concept of a generalized fuzzy soft point, a generalized fuzzy soft base (subbase) and a generalized

fuzzy soft subspace. The concept of separation axioms is one of the most important concepts in topological spaces. In fuzzy setting, it had been studied by many authors such as: Das et al. [6], Saha et al. [7] and Hutton et al. [9]. In soft setting, it has been studied by Shabir et al. [25] and Gocur et al. [8]. In fuzzy soft topological space has been studied by Mahanta et al. [15] Khedr et al. [14] and Atmaca. [2]

In our present article, we introduce generalized fuzzy soft separation axioms T_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$). By using this notions, we also give some basic theorems which are important for separation axioms and taking place in classical topological spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we will give some fundamental definitions and theorems about generalized fuzzy soft sets, generalized fuzzy soft topology and generalized fuzzy soft continuous mappings which will be needed in the sequel.

Definition 2.1 ([27]). Let X be a non-empty set. A fuzzy set A in X is defined by a membership function $\mu_A : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ whose value $\mu_A(x)$ represents the ‘grade of membership’ of x in A for $x \in X$. The set of all fuzzy sets in a set X is denoted by I^X , where I is the closed unit interval $[0, 1]$

Definition 2.2 ([19]). Let X be an initial universe set and E be a set of parameters. Let $P(X)$ denotes the power set of X and $A \subseteq E$. A pair (f, A) is called a soft set over X , if f is a mapping from A into $P(X)$, i.e., $f : A \rightarrow P(X)$. In other words, a soft set is a parameterized family of subsets of the set X . For $e \in A$, $f(e)$ may be considered as the set of e -approximate elements of the soft set (f, A) .

Definition 2.3 ([20]). Let X be an initial universe set and E be a set of parameters. Let $A \subseteq E$. A fuzzy soft set f_A over X is a mapping from E to I^X , i.e., $f_A : E \rightarrow I^X$, where $f_A(e) \neq \bar{0}$ if $e \in A \subset E$ and $f_A(e) = \bar{0}$ if $e \notin A$, where $\bar{0}$ is denotes empty fuzzy set in X .

Definition 2.4 ([17]). Let X be a universal set of elements and E be a universal set of parameters for X . Let $F : E \rightarrow I^X$ and μ be a fuzzy subset of E , i.e., $\mu : E \rightarrow I$. Let F_μ be the mapping $F_\mu : E \rightarrow I^X \times I$ defined as follows: $F_\mu(e) = (F(e), \mu(e))$, where $F(e) \in I^X$ and $\mu(e) \in I$. Then F_μ is called a generalized fuzzy soft set (*GFSS*, in short) over (X, E) . The family of all generalized fuzzy soft sets (*GFSSs*, in short) over (X, E) is denoted by $GFSS(X, E)$.

Definition 2.5 ([17]). Let F_μ and G_δ be two *GFSSs* over (X, E) . F_μ is said to be a *GFS* subset of G_δ , denoted by $F_\mu \sqsubseteq G_\delta$, if

- (i) μ is a fuzzy subset of δ ,
- (ii) $F(e)$ is also a fuzzy subset of $G(e)$, $\forall e \in E$.

Definition 2.6 ([17]). Let F_μ be a *GFSS* over (X, E) . The generalized fuzzy soft complement of F_μ , denoted by F_μ^c , is defined by $F_\mu^c = G_\delta$, where $\delta(e) = \mu^c(e)$ and $G(e) = F^c(e)$, $\forall e \in E$. Obviously, $(F_\mu^c)^c = F_\mu$.

Definition 2.7 ([5]). Let F_μ and G_δ be two *GFSSs* over (X, E) .

- (i) The union of F_μ and G_δ , denoted by $F_\mu \sqcup G_\delta$, is a *GFSS* H_ν , defined as $H_\nu : E \rightarrow I^X \times I$ such that $H_\nu(e) = (H(e), \nu(e))$, where $H(e) = F(e) \vee G(e)$ and $\nu(e) = \mu(e) \vee \delta(e)$, $\forall e \in E$.

(ii) The Intersection of F_μ and G_δ , denoted by $F_\mu \sqcap G_\delta$, is a *GFSS* M_σ , defined as $M_\sigma : E \longrightarrow I^X \times I$ such that $M_\sigma(e) = (M(e), \sigma(e))$, where $M(e) = F(e) \wedge G(e)$ and $\sigma(e) = \mu(e) \wedge \delta(e)$, $\forall e \in E$.

Definition 2.8 ([17]). A *GFSS* is said to be a generalized null fuzzy soft set, denoted by $\tilde{0}_\theta$, if $\tilde{0}_\theta : E \longrightarrow I^X \times I$ such that $\tilde{0}_\theta(e) = (\tilde{0}(e), \theta(e))$, where $\tilde{0}(e) = \bar{0}$, $\forall e \in E$ and $\theta(e) = 0$, $\forall e \in E$ (where $\bar{0}(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in X$).

Definition 2.9 ([17]). A *GFSS* is said to be a generalized absolute fuzzy soft set, denoted by $\tilde{1}_\Delta$, if $\tilde{1}_\Delta : E \longrightarrow I^X \times I$, where $\tilde{1}_\Delta(e) = (\tilde{1}(e), \Delta(e))$ is defined by $\tilde{1}(e) = \bar{1}$, $\forall e \in E$ and $\Delta(e) = 1$, $\forall e \in E$ (where $\bar{1}(x) = 1$, $\forall x \in X$).

Definition 2.10 ([5]). Let T be a collection of generalized fuzzy soft sets over (X, E) . Then T is said to be a generalized fuzzy soft topology (*GFST*, in short) over (X, E) , if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\tilde{0}_\theta$ and $\tilde{1}_\Delta$ are in T ,
- (ii) arbitrary unions of members of T belong to T ,
- (iii) Finite intersections of members of T belong to T .

The triple (X, T, E) is called a generalized fuzzy soft topological space (*GFST*-space, in short) over (X, E) . The member of T are called generalized fuzzy soft open set (*GFS* open, in short) in (X, T, E) and their generalized fuzzy soft complements are called *GFS* closed sets in (X, T, E) . The family of all *GFS* closed sets in (X, T, E) is denoted by T^c .

Definition 2.11 ([5]). Let (X, T, E) be a *GFST*-space and $F\mu \in GFSS(X, E)$. The generalized fuzzy soft closure of $F\mu$, denoted by $cl(F\mu)$, is the intersection of all *GFS* closed superset of $F\mu$. i.e., $cl(F\mu) = \bigcap \{H_\nu : H_\nu \in T^c, F\mu \subseteq H_\nu\}$. Clearly, $cl(F\mu)$ is the smallest *GFS* closed set over (X, E) which contains $F\mu$.

Definition 2.12 ([12]). Let (X, T, E) be a *GFST*-space and $Y \subseteq X$. Let H_ν^Y be a *GFSS* over (Y, E) , where $H_\nu^Y : E \longrightarrow I^X \times I$ such that $\forall e \in E, H_\nu^Y(e) = (H^Y(e), \nu(e))$,

$$H^Y(e)(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in Y \\ 0 & x \notin Y \end{cases}, \nu(e) = 1,$$

$$\text{i.e., } H^Y(e) = Y, \forall e \in E, \nu(e) = 1.$$

Let $T_Y = \{H_\nu^Y \sqcap G_\delta : G_\delta \in T\}$. Then T_Y is a generalized fuzzy soft topology (*GFS* topology for short) over (X, E) called a generalized fuzzy soft subspace topology (*GFS* subspace topology, in short) over (Y, E) and (Y, T_Y, E) is called a *GFS* subspace of (X, T, E) . If $H_\nu^Y \in T$ (resp. $H_\nu^Y \in T^c$) then (Y, T_Y, E) is called generalized fuzzy soft open (resp. closed) subspace of (X, T, E) .

Definition 2.13 ([12]). The generalized fuzzy soft set $F_\mu \in GFSS(X, E)$ is called a generalized fuzzy soft point (*GFS* point for short) over (X, E) , if there exist $e \in E$ and $x \in X$ such that

- (i) $F(e)(x) = \alpha$ ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$) and $F(e)(y) = 0$, for all $y \in X - \{x\}$,
- (ii) $\mu(e) = \lambda$ ($0 < \lambda \leq 1$) and $\mu(e') = 0$, for all $e' \in E - \{e\}$.

We denote this generalized fuzzy soft point $F_\mu = (e_\lambda, x_\alpha)$. (e, x) and (λ, α) are called respectively, the support and the value of (e_λ, x_α) . The class of all *GFS* points in (X, E) , denoted by $GFSP(X, E)$.

Definition 2.14 ([12]). Let F_μ be a *GFSS* over (X, E) . We say that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} F_\mu$ read as (e_λ, x_α) belongs to the *GFSS* F_μ , if for the element $e \in E$, $\alpha \leq F(e)(x)$ and $\lambda \leq \mu(e)$.

Theorem 2.15 ([12]). A *GFS* point (e_λ, x_α) over (X, E) satisfies the following properties:

- (1) if $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} F_\mu$, then (e_λ, x_α) may or may not belong to F_μ^c ,
- (2) if $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} F_\mu$, then $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha)^c \tilde{\in} F_\mu^c$ does not hold,
- (3) the union of all the *GFS* points of a *GFSS* is equal to the *GFSS*.

Definition 2.16 ([13]). Let $GFSS(X, E)$ and $GFSS(Y, K)$ be the families of all *GFSSs* over (X, E) and (Y, K) , respectively. Let $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : E \rightarrow K$ be two mappings. Then a mapping $f_{up} : GFSS(X, E) \rightarrow GFSS(Y, K)$ is defined as follows: for a *GFSS* $F_\mu \in GFSS(X, E)$, $\forall e' \in p(E) \subseteq K$ and $y \in Y$, we have

$$f_{up}(F_\mu)(e')(y) = \begin{cases} (\bigvee_{x \in u^{-1}(y)} \bigvee_{e \in p^{-1}(e')} F(e)(x), \bigvee_{e \in p^{-1}(e')} \mu(e)), & \\ \text{if } u^{-1}(y) \neq \emptyset, p^{-1}(e') \neq \emptyset, & \\ (0, 0), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

f_{up} is called the generalized fuzzy soft mapping [*GFS* mapping for short] and $f_{up}(F_\mu)$ is called the generalized fuzzy soft image (*GFS* image for short) of a *GFSS* F_μ .

Definition 2.17 ([13]). Let $u : X \rightarrow Y$ and $p : E \rightarrow K$ be mappings.

Let $f_{up} : GFSS(X, E) \rightarrow GFSS(Y, K)$ be a *GFS* mapping and $G_\delta \in GFSS(Y, K)$. Then $f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta) \in GFSS(X, E)$ is defined as follows:

$$f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta)(e)(x) = (G(p(e)(u(x)), \delta(p(e))), \text{ for } e \in E, x \in X.$$

$f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta)$ is called the *GFS* inverse image of G_δ .

If u and p are injective, then the generalized fuzzy soft mapping f_{up} is said to be generalized fuzzy soft injective (*GFS* injective for short). If u and p are surjective, then the generalized fuzzy soft mapping f_{up} is said to be generalized fuzzy soft surjective (*GFS* surjective for short). The generalized fuzzy soft mapping f_{up} is called generalized fuzzy soft constant (*GFS* constant for short), if u and p are constant. f_{up} is said to be generalized fuzzy soft bijective (*GFS* bijective for short), if f_{up} is *GFS* injective and *GFS* surjective mapping.

Definition 2.18 ([13]). Let (X, T_1, E) and (Y, T_2, K) be two *GFST*-spaces, and $f_{up} : (X, T_1, E) \rightarrow (Y, T_2, K)$ be a *GFS* mapping. Then f_{up} is called:

- (i) generalized fuzzy soft continuous (*GFS*-continuous, in short), if $f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta) \in T_1$, for all $G_\delta \in T_2$,
- (ii) generalized fuzzy soft open (*GFS* open, in short), if $f_{up}(F_\mu) \in T_2$, for each $F_\mu \in T_1$.

(iii) generalized fuzzy soft homeomorphism (*GFS* homeomorphism, for short), if f_{up} is *GFS* bijection, *GFS* continuous and *GFS* open.

Theorem 2.19 ([13]). Let (X, T_1, E) and (Y, T_2, K) be two *GFST*-spaces and $f_{up} : (X, T_1, E) \rightarrow (Y, T_2, K)$ be a *GFS* mapping. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) f_{up} *GFS*-continuous,
- (2) $f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta) \in T_1^c$, for each $G_\delta \in T_2^c$.

Theorem 2.20 ([13]). Let $GFSS(X, E)$ and $GFSS(Y, K)$ be two families of *GFSSs*. For the *GFS* mapping $f_{up} : GFSS(X, E) \rightarrow GFSS(Y, K)$, we have the following properties.

- (1) $f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta)^c = (f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta))^c, \forall G_\delta \in GFSS(Y, K)$.
- (2) $f_{up}(f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta)) \subseteq G_\delta, \forall G_\delta \in GFSS(Y, K)$. If f_{up} is *GFS* surjective, then the equality holds.
- (3) $F_\mu \subseteq f_{up}^{-1}(f_{up}(F_\mu)), \forall F_\mu \in GFSS(X, E)$. If f_{up} is *GFS* injective, then the equality holds.
- (4) $f_{up}(\tilde{0}_{\theta_X}) = \tilde{0}_{\theta_Y}$ and $f_{up}(\tilde{1}_{\Delta_X}) \subseteq \tilde{1}_{\Delta_Y}$. If f_{up} is *GFS* injective, then the equality holds.
- (5) $f_{up}^{-1}(\tilde{0}_{\theta_Y}) = \tilde{0}_{\theta_X}$ and $f_{up}^{-1}(\tilde{1}_{\Delta_Y}) = \tilde{1}_{\Delta_X}$.
- (6) If $F_\mu \subseteq H_\nu$, then $f_{up}(F_\mu) \subseteq f_{up}(H_\nu), \forall F_\mu, H_\nu \in GFSS(X, E)$.
- (7) If $G_\delta \subseteq J_\sigma$, then $f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta) \subseteq f_{up}^{-1}(J_\sigma), \forall G_\delta, J_\sigma \in GFSS(Y, K)$.
- (8) $f_{up}^{-1}(\sqcup_{i \in J}(G_\delta)_i) = \sqcup_{i \in J} f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta)_i$ and $f_{up}^{-1}(\cap_{i \in J} G_\delta)_i = \cap_{i \in J} f_{up}^{-1}(G_\delta)_i, \forall (G_\delta)_i \in GFSS(Y, K)$.
- (9) $f_{pu}(\sqcup_{i \in J}(F_\mu)_i) = \sqcup_{i \in J} f_{up}(F_\mu)_i$ and $f_{up}(\cap_{i \in J}(F_\mu)_i) \subseteq \cap_{i \in J} f_{up}(F_\mu)_i, \forall (F_\mu)_i \in GFSS(X, E)$. If f_{up} is *GFS* injective, then the equality holds.

3. GENERALIZED FUZZY SOFT SEPARATION AXIOMS

Definition 3.1. Two *GFS* points (e_λ, x_α) and (e'_γ, y_β) are said to be distinct, if $e \neq e'$.

The proof of the following theorem follows directly from the definition of *GFS* point and therefore omitted.

Theorem 3.2. A *GFS* point (e_λ, x_α) satisfies the following properties.

- (1) If $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \sqcap F_\mu = \tilde{0}_\theta$, then $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \notin F_\mu$ and $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \in F_\mu^c$.
- (2) If $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \in F_\mu$ and $\alpha > 0.5$ or $\lambda > 0.5$, then $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \notin F_\mu^c$.

Definition 3.3. A *GFST*-space (X, T, E) is said to be a generalized fuzzy soft T_0 -space (*GFST* $_0$ -space, in short), if for every pair of distinct *GFS* points (e_λ, x_α) , (e'_γ, y_β) , there exists a *GFS* open set containing one of the points but not the other.

Example 3.4. Let $X = \{x^1, x^2\}$, $E = \{e^1, e^2\}$ and $T = \{\tilde{0}_\theta, \tilde{1}_\Delta, F_\mu\}$, where

$$F_\mu = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0)\}.$$

Then clearly, T is *GFS* topology over (X, E) . Also for every pair of distinct *GFS* points, there exists *GFS* open set containing one of the points but not the other. Thus (X, T, E) is a *GFST* $_0$ -space.

Example 3.5. Let $X = \{x^1, x^2\}$, $E = \{e^1, e^2\}$ and $T = \{\tilde{0}_\theta, \tilde{1}_\Delta, F_\mu, G_\delta, H\nu\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}, \\ G_\delta &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1)\}, \\ H\nu &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then T is a GFS topology over (X, E) but not a $GFST_0$ -space.

Example 3.6. The discrete $GFST$ -space is a $GFST_0$ -space, but the indiscrete $GFST$ -space is not $GFST_0$.

Theorem 3.7. A GFS subspace (X, T_Y, E) of $GFST_0$ -space (X, T, E) is $GFST_0$.

Proof. Let $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha), (e'_\gamma, y_\beta)$ be two distinct GFS points in (Y, E) . Then these GFS points are also in (X, E) . Thus there exists a GFS open set F_μ in T containing one of the points say (e_λ, x_α) , but not (e'_γ, y_β) . So $H_\nu^Y \cap F_\mu$ is a GFS open set in T_Y containing (e_λ, x_α) but not (e'_γ, y_β) . Hence (X, T_Y, E) is $GFST_0$. \square

Definition 3.8. A $GFST$ -space (X, T, E) is said to be a generalized fuzzy soft T_1 -space ($GFST_1$ -space, in short), if for every pair of distinct GFS points $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha), (e'_\gamma, y_\beta)$, there exist GFS open sets F_μ and G_δ such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \in F_\mu, (e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \notin F_\mu$ and $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \in G_\delta, (e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \notin G_\delta$.

Example 3.9. Let $X = \{x^1, x^2\}$, $E = \{e^1, e^2\}$ and

$T = \{\tilde{0}_\theta, \tilde{1}_\Delta, F_\mu, G_\delta, H\nu, K_\gamma, M\psi, N\eta, J_\sigma, L_\rho\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0)\}, \\ G_\delta &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}, \\ H\nu &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1)\}, \\ K_\gamma &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1)\}, \\ M\psi &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}, \\ N\eta &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0)\}, \\ J_\sigma &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0)\}, \\ L_\rho &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then (X, T, E) is a $GFST_1$ -space.

Example 3.10. The discrete $GFST$ -space is a $GFST_1$ -space, but the indiscrete $GFST$ -space is not $GFST_1$.

Theorem 3.11. A *GFS* subspace (X, T_Y, E) of a *GFST*₁–space (X, T, E) is *GFST*₁.

Proof. It similar to the proof of Theorem 3.7. \square

Theorem 3.12. If every *GFS* point (e_λ, x_α) of a *GFST*–space (X, T, E) is *GFS* closed such that $\alpha > 0.5$ or $\lambda > 0.5$, then (X, T, E) is *GFST*₁.

Proof. Suppose that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha), (e'_\gamma, y_\beta)$ are two distinct *GFS* points over (X, E) . Then by hypothesis, (e_λ, x_α) and (e'_γ, y_β) are *GFS* closed sets such that $\alpha > 0.5$ or $\lambda > 0.5$ and $\beta > 0.5$ or $\gamma > 0.5$. Thus $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha)^c$ and $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta)^c$ are *GFS* open sets where $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \not\subseteq (e'_\gamma, y_\beta)^c$, $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \not\subseteq (e_\lambda, x_\alpha)^c$ and $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \not\subseteq (e'_\gamma, y_\beta)^c, (e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \not\subseteq (e_\lambda, x_\alpha)^c$. So (X, T, E) is *GFST*₁. \square

The condition $\alpha > 0.5$ or $\lambda > 0.5$, is necessary as shown by the following example:

Example 3.13. Let $X = \{x^1, x^2\}$ and $E = \{e^1, e^2\}$. Consider the collection T of *GFSSs* over (X, E) as the following:

$T = \{\tilde{0}_\theta, \tilde{1}_\Delta, (F_\mu)_1, (F_\mu)_2, (F_\mu)_3, (F_\mu)_4, (F_\mu)_5, (F_\mu)_6, (F_\mu)_7, (F_\mu)_8, (F_\mu)_9, (F_\mu)_{10}, (F_\mu)_{11}, (F_\mu)_{12}, (F_\mu)_{13}, (F_\mu)_{14}\}$, where $(F_\mu)_i$'s are as follows:

$(F_\mu)_1 = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1-\lambda), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}$,

$(F_\mu)_2 = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta}\}, 1-\gamma), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}$,

$(F_\mu)_3 = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha'}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1-\lambda')\}$,

$(F_\mu)_4 = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta'}\}, 1-\gamma')\}$,

$(F_\mu)_5 = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta}\}, 1-\lambda \wedge 1-\gamma), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}$,

$(F_\mu)_6 = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha'}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1-\lambda')\}$,

$(F_\mu)_7 = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1-\lambda), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta'}\}, 1-\gamma')\}$,

$(F_\mu)_8 = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta}\}, 1-\gamma), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha'}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1-\lambda')\}$,

$(F_\mu)_9 = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha'}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta'}\}, 1-\lambda' \wedge 1-\gamma')\}$,

$(F_\mu)_{10} = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta}\}, 1-\lambda \wedge 1-\gamma), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha'}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1-\lambda')\}$,

$(F_\mu)_{11} = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta}\}, 1-\lambda \wedge 1-\gamma), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta'}\}, \gamma')\}$,

$(F_\mu)_{12} = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1-\lambda), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha'}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta'}\}, 1-\lambda' \wedge 1-\gamma')\}$,

$(F_\mu)_{13} = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta}\}, 1-\gamma), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha'}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta'}\}, 1-\lambda' \wedge 1-\gamma')\}$,

$(F_\mu)_{14} = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta}\}, 1-\lambda \wedge 1-\gamma), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha'}, \frac{x^2}{1-\beta'}\}, 1-\lambda' \wedge 1-\gamma')\}$.

Then T is a *GFS* topology over (X, E) and $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1), (e_{\lambda'}^2, x_{\alpha'}^1)$ are two distinct *GFS* points in (X, E) such that at $\alpha < 0.5$ and $\lambda < 0.5$ any *GFS* open set which containing $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1)$ also containing $(e_{\lambda'}^2, x_{\alpha'}^1)$. Thus (X, T, E) is not *GFST*₁.

Remark 3.14. If (X, T, E) is a *GFST*₁–space, then (e_λ, x_α) may not a *GFS* closed set as the following example shows.

Example 3.15. In Example 3.9, (X, T, E) is a *GFST*₁–space, but $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1)$ is not *GFS* closed set. To show that, let $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1) = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{\alpha}, \lambda\})\}$. Then

$$(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1)^c = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1-\alpha}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1-\lambda), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}$$

is not GFS open set i.e., $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1)$ is not GFS closed set.

Definition 3.16. A $GFST$ –space (X, T, E) is said to be a generalized fuzzy soft T_2 –space ($GFST_2$ –space, in short), if for every pair of distinct GFS points (e_λ, x_α) , (e'_γ, y_β) , there exist disjoint GFS open sets F_μ and G_δ such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} F_\mu$, and $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \tilde{\in} G_\delta$.

Example 3.17. Let $X = \{x^1, x^2\}$, $E = \{e^1, e^2\}$. Consider the collection T of $GFSSs$ over (X, E) , $T = \{\tilde{0}_\theta, \tilde{1}_\Delta, F_\mu, G_\delta\}$, where F_μ and G_δ are as follows:

$$F_\mu = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0)\},$$

$$G_\delta = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}.$$

Then clearly, T is a GFS topology over (X, E) . Also, for every pair of distinct GFS points, there exist disjoint GFS open sets over (X, E) containing them. Thus (X, T, E) is a $GFST_2$ –space.

Example 3.18. The discrete $GFST$ –space is a $GFST_2$ –space, but the indiscrete $GFST$ –space is not a $GFST_1$.

Theorem 3.19. A GFS subspace (X, T_Y, E) of a $GFST_2$ –space (X, T, E) is $GFST_2$.

Proof. Let $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha), (e'_\gamma, y_\beta)$ be two distinct GFS points in (Y, E) . Then these GFS points are also in (X, E) . Thus there exists disjoint GFS open set F_μ and G_δ in T such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} F_\mu$ and $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \tilde{\in} G_\delta$. So $H_\nu^Y \cap F_\mu$ and $H_\nu^Y \cap G_\delta$ are disjoint GFS open sets F_μ and G_δ in T_Y such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} H_\nu^Y \cap F_\mu$ and $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \tilde{\in} H_\nu^Y \cap G_\delta$. Hence (X, T_Y, E) is a $GFST_2$ –space. \square

Remark 3.20. From definitions one deduce the following implication hold:

$$GFST_2 \implies GFST_1 \implies GFST_0.$$

The inverse implications may not be true as shows is by the following examples.

Example 3.21. In Example 3.4, (X, T, E) is a $GFST_0$ –space but not $GFST_1$. Since $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1), (e_\gamma^2, x_\beta^2)$ are distinct GFS points in (X, E) , but there does not GFS open set containing $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1)$. Then (X, T, E) is not $GFST_1$.

In Example 3.9, (X, T, E) is a $GFST_1$ –space but not $GFST_2$. Since $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1), (e_\gamma^2, x_\beta^1)$ are distinct GFS points and the only GFS open sets which containing $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1), (e_\gamma^2, x_\beta^1)$ are F_μ, H_ν , respectively, but they are not disjoint. Then (X, T, E) is not $GFST_2$.

Definition 3.22. Let (X, T, E) be a $GFST$ –space. If for every GFS closed set H_ν and every GFS point (e_λ, x_α) such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \cap H_\nu = \tilde{0}_\theta$, there exist disjoint GFS open sets F_μ and G_δ such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} F_\mu$ and $H_\nu \subseteq G_\delta$. Then (X, T, E) is called generalized fuzzy soft regular space (GFS regular space, in short).

Definition 3.23. A $GFST$ –space (X, T, E) is called a generalized fuzzy soft T_3 –space ($GFST_3$ –space, in short), if it is $GFST_1$ and GFS regular.

Example 3.24. Let $X = \{x^1, x^2\}$, $E = \{e^1, e^2\}$ and $T = \{\tilde{0}_\theta, \tilde{1}_\Delta, F_\mu, G_\delta\}$, where

$$F_\mu = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}, \quad G_\delta = \{(e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}.$$

Then T is GFS topology over (X, E) .

Now, let $T^c = \{\tilde{1}_\Delta, \tilde{0}_\theta, F_\mu^c, G_\delta^c\}$, where

$$F_\mu^c = \{(e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}, \quad G_\delta^c = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}.$$

Then by used GFS regularity on GFS closed sets as follows:

$$(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1) \cap F_\mu^c = \tilde{0}_\theta \implies \exists G_\delta^c, G_\delta \in T \text{ such that } (e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1) \tilde{\in} G_\delta^c, F_\mu^c \subseteq G_\delta \text{ and } G_\delta^c \cap G_\delta = \tilde{0}_\theta,$$

$$(e_\lambda^1, x_\beta^2) \cap F_\mu^c = \tilde{0}_\theta \implies \exists G_\delta^c, G_\delta \in T \text{ such that } (e_\lambda^1, x_\beta^2) \tilde{\in} G_\delta^c, F_\mu^c \subseteq G_\delta \text{ and } G_\delta^c \cap G_\delta = \tilde{0}_\theta,$$

$$(e_\gamma^2, x_\alpha^1) \cap G_\delta^c = \tilde{0}_\theta \implies \exists F_\mu^c, F_\mu \in T \text{ such that } (e_\gamma^2, x_\alpha^1) \tilde{\in} F_\mu^c, G_\delta^c \subseteq F_\mu \text{ and } F_\mu^c \subseteq F_\mu = \tilde{0}_\theta,$$

$$(e_\gamma^2, x_\alpha^2) \cap G_\delta^c = \tilde{0}_\theta \implies \exists F_\mu^c, F_\mu \in T \text{ such that } (e_\gamma^2, x_\alpha^2) \tilde{\in} F_\mu^c, G_\delta^c \subseteq F_\mu \text{ and } F_\mu^c \subseteq F_\mu = \tilde{0}_\theta.$$

Thus (X, T, E) is a GFS regular space, but not a $GFST_1$ – space and so not $GFST_3$.

Example 3.25. Let $X = \{x^1\}$, $E = \{e^1, e^2\}$ and $T = \{\tilde{0}_\theta, \tilde{1}_\Delta, F_\mu, G_\delta\}$, where

$$F_\mu = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}\}, 1)\}, \quad G_\delta = \{(e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}\}, 1)\}.$$

Then T is a GFS topology over (X, E) . Moreover, $T^c = \{\tilde{1}_\Delta, \tilde{0}_\theta, F_\mu^c, G_\delta^c\}$, where

$$F_\mu^c = \{(e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}\}, 1)\}, \quad G_\delta^c = \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}\}, 1)\}.$$

For the GFS point $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1) \cap F_\mu^c = \tilde{0}_\theta$, there exist GFS open sets F_μ and G_δ such that $(e_\lambda^1, x_\alpha^1) \tilde{\in} F_\mu$, $F_\mu^c \subseteq G_\delta$ and $F_\mu \cap G_\delta = \tilde{0}_\theta$.

For the GFS point $(e_\lambda^2, x_\alpha^1) \cap G_\delta^c = \tilde{0}_\theta$, there exist GFS open sets G_δ and F_μ such that $(e_\lambda^2, x_\alpha^1) \tilde{\in} G_\delta$, $G_\delta^c \subseteq F_\mu$ and $G_\delta \cap F_\mu = \tilde{0}_\theta$. Thus (X, T, E) is a $GFST_3$ –space.

Theorem 3.26. If (X, T, E) is a GFS regular space, then for any GFS open set G_δ and a GFS point (e_λ, x_α) in (X, E) such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \cap G_\delta^c = \tilde{0}_\theta$, there exists a GFS open set M_ψ such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} M_\psi \subseteq cl(M_\psi) \subseteq G_\delta$.

Proof. Suppose that (X, T, E) is a GFS regular space. Let G_δ be a GFS open set over (X, E) such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \cap G_\delta^c = \tilde{0}$. Then clearly, G_δ^c is a GFS closed set over (X, E) such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \cap G_\delta^c = \tilde{0}$. Then by the hypothesis, there exist two disjoint GFS open sets M_ψ and N_η such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} M_\psi$ and $G_\delta^c \subseteq N_\eta$. Now, N_η^c is GFS closed set of (X, E) such that $M_\psi \subseteq N_\eta^c \subseteq G_\delta$. Thus $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} M_\psi \subseteq cl(M_\psi)$ and $M_\psi \subseteq N_\eta^c \subseteq G_\delta$. So $cl(M_\psi) \subseteq G_\delta$. Hence $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} M_\psi \subseteq cl(M_\psi) \subseteq G_\delta$. \square

Theorem 3.27. Every GFS regular space, in which every GFS point is GFS closed, is a $GFST_2$ –space.

Proof. Let $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha), (e'_\gamma, y_\beta)$ be two distinct GFS points of a GFS regular space (X, T, E) . Then by hypothesis, (e'_γ, y_β) is GFS closed set and $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \cap (e'_\gamma, y_\beta) = \tilde{0}_\theta$. From the GFS regularity, there exist disjoint GFS open sets M_ψ and N_η such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} M_\psi$ and $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \subseteq N_\eta$. Thus $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\in} M_\psi$ and $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \tilde{\in} N_\eta$. So (X, T, E) is a $GFST_2$ -space. \square

Remark 3.28. Every $GFST_3$ -space, in which every GFS point is GFS closed, is $GFST_2$.

Theorem 3.29. The GFS set K_γ is GFS closed in the subspace (Y, T_Y, E) of (X, T, E) if and only if $K_\gamma = H_\nu^Y \cap J_\sigma$, for some GFS closed set J_σ in T (for H_ν^Y see Definition 2.12).

Proof. Let K_γ be GFS closed set in (Y, E) . Then $(K_\gamma)_{T_Y}^c$ is GFS open in T_Y , where $(K_\gamma)_{T_Y}^c$ is the closure of K_γ in the subspace (Y, T_Y, E) . Since $(K_\gamma)_{T_Y}^c \in T_Y$, there exist $G_\delta \in T$ such that $(K_\gamma)_{T_Y}^c = H_\nu^Y \cap G_\delta$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} K_\gamma &= (H_\nu^Y \cap G_\delta)_{T_Y}^c = H_\nu^Y \cap (H_\nu^Y \cap G_\delta) \\ &= (H_\nu^Y \cap (H_\nu^Y \cap G_\delta)^c) \\ &= H_\nu^Y \cap ((H_\nu^Y)^c \sqcup G_\delta^c) \\ &= (H_\nu^Y \cap (H_\nu^Y)^c) \sqcup (H_\nu^Y \cap G_\delta^c) \\ &= H_\nu^Y \cap G_\delta^c. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G_\delta^c = J_\sigma$. Then J_σ is GFS closed set in (X, E) and $K_\gamma = H_\nu^Y \cap J_\sigma$. Conversely, let J_σ be GFS closed set in (X, E) and $K_\gamma = H_\nu^Y \cap J_\sigma$. We need to show that K_γ is GFS closed set in T_Y , that is,

$$(K_\gamma)_{T_Y}^c = H_\nu^Y - (H_\nu^Y \cap J_\sigma) = H_\nu^Y \cap (H_\nu^Y \cap J_\sigma)^c = H_\nu^Y \cap J_\sigma^c.$$

Since J_σ is GFS closed set in (X, E) , $J_\sigma^c \in T$. Then $(K_\gamma)_{T_Y}^c \in T_Y$ i.e., K_γ is GFS closed set in (Y, E) . \square

Theorem 3.30. A GFS subspace (Y, T_Y, E) of a $GFST_3$ -space (X, T, E) is $GFST_3$.

Proof. By theorem 3.11, (Y, T_Y, E) is $GFST_1$ -space. Now, we want to prove that (X, T_Y, E) is a GFS regular space. Let K_γ be a GFS closed set in (Y, E) and (e'_γ, y_β) be a GFS point in (Y, E) such that $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \cap K_\gamma = \tilde{0}_\theta$. Then $K_\gamma = H_\nu^Y \cap G_\delta$, for some GFS closed set in (X, E) . Thus $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \cap (H_\nu^Y \cap G_\delta) = \tilde{0}_\theta$. But $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \tilde{\in} H_\nu^Y$. So $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \cap G_\delta = \tilde{0}_\theta$. Since (X, T, E) is GFS regular, there exist disjoint GFS open sets M_ψ and N_η in T such that $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \tilde{\in} M_\psi$ and $G_\delta \subseteq N_\eta$. It follows that, $H_\nu^Y \cap M_\psi$ and $H_\nu^Y \cap N_\eta$ are disjoint GFS open sets in T_Y such that $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \tilde{\in} H_\nu^Y \cap M_\psi$ and $(e'_\gamma, y_\beta) \tilde{\in} H_\nu^Y \cap N_\eta$. So (Y, T_Y, E) is GFS regular and hence $GFST_3$. \square

Definition 3.31. Let (X, T, E) be a $GFST$ -space. If for every disjoint GFS closed sets H_ν, K_γ there exist disjoint GFS open sets M_ψ and N_η such that $H_\nu \subseteq M_\psi, K_\gamma \subseteq N_\eta$. Then (X, T, E) is called generalized fuzzy soft normal space (GFS normal space for short). (X, T, E) is called a generalized fuzzy soft T_4 -space ($GFST_4$ -space, in short), if it is GFS normal and $GFST_1$ -space.

Example 3.32. Let $X = \{x^1, x^2\}$, $E = \{e^1, e^2\}$ and $T = \{\tilde{0}_\theta, \tilde{1}_\Delta, F_\mu, G_\delta, H_\nu, K_\gamma\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0)\}, \\ G_\delta &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}, \\ H_\nu &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 0), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0)\}, \\ K_\gamma &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then T is GFS topology over (X, E) . Now, $T^c = \{\tilde{0}_\theta, \tilde{1}_\Delta, F_\mu^c, G_\delta^c, H_\nu^c, K_\gamma^c\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} F_\mu^c &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 0), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}, \\ G_\delta^c &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 0), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0)\}, \\ H_\nu^c &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{1}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 1), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}, \\ K_\gamma^c &= \{(e^1 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{0}\}, 0), (e^2 = \{\frac{x^1}{0}, \frac{x^2}{1}\}, 1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For the two disjoint GFS closed sets G_δ^c and H_ν^c there exist $G_\delta, H_\nu \in T$ such that $H_\nu^c \subseteq G_\delta, G_\delta^c \subseteq H_\nu$ and $G_\delta \cap H_\nu = \tilde{0}_\theta$. For the two disjoint GFS closed sets G_δ^c and K_γ^c there exist $G_\delta, H_\nu \in T$ such that $G_\delta^c \subseteq H_\nu, K_\gamma^c \subseteq G_\delta$ and $H_\nu \cap G_\delta = \tilde{0}_\theta$. Then (X, T, E) is a GFS normal space, but not $GFST_1$ -space and thus not $GFST_4$ -space.

Theorem 3.33. If (X, T, E) is a GFS normal space, then for each GFS closed set K_γ in (X, E) and any GFS open set G_δ in (X, E) such that $K_\gamma \cap G_\delta^c = \tilde{0}_\theta$, then there exists a GFS open set H_ν such that $K_\gamma \subseteq M_\psi \subseteq cl(M_\psi) \subseteq G_\delta$.

Proof. Suppose (X, T, E) is a GFS normal space. Let K_γ be a GFS closed set in (X, E) and G_δ be a GFS open set in (X, E) such that $K_\gamma \cap G_\delta^c = \tilde{0}_\theta$. Then $K_\gamma \subseteq G_\delta$. Now, K_γ and G_δ^c are two disjoint GFS closed sets in (X, E) . Since (X, T, E) is GFS normal, there exist two disjoint GFS open sets M_ψ and N_η such that $K_\gamma \subseteq M_\psi, G_\delta^c \subseteq N_\eta$ and $M_\psi \cap N_\eta = \tilde{0}_\theta$. Thus $M_\psi \subseteq N_\eta^c$, but N_η^c is a GFS closed set. So $cl(M_\psi) \subseteq N_\eta^c$. Hence we have $K_\gamma \subseteq M_\psi \subseteq cl(M_\psi) \subseteq G_\delta$. \square

Theorem 3.34. GFS closed subspace (Y, T_Y, E) of a GFS normal-space (X, T, E) is GFS normal.

Proof. Let $(K_\nu)_1$ and $(K_\nu)_2$ be disjoint GFS closed sets in (Y, E) . Then $(K_\nu)_1 = H_\nu^Y \cap (F_\mu)_1$ and $(K_\nu)_2 = H_\nu^Y \cap (F_\mu)_2$, for some GFS closed sets $(F_\mu)_1, (F_\mu)_2$ in (X, E) . Since H_ν^Y is GFS closed set in (X, E) , $(K_\nu)_1$ and $(K_\nu)_2$ are disjoint GFS closed sets in (X, E) . Since (X, T, E) is GFS normal, there exist disjoint GFS open sets $(G_\delta)_1$ and $(G_\delta)_2$ in (X, E) such that $(K_\nu)_1 \subseteq (G_\delta)_1, (K_\nu)_2 \subseteq (G_\delta)_2$. Thus

$$(K_\nu)_1 \subseteq (M_\psi)_1 = H_\nu^Y \cap (G_\delta)_1, (K_\nu)_2 \subseteq (M_\psi)_2 = H_\nu^Y \cap (G_\delta)_2.$$

From definition T_Y , we have $(M_\psi)_1, (M_\psi)_2 \in T_Y$ and $(M_\psi)_1 \cap (M_\psi)_2 = H_\nu^Y \cap (G_\delta)_1 \cap H_\nu^Y \cap (G_\delta)_2 = H_\nu^Y \cap [(G_\delta)_1 \cap (G_\delta)_2] = H_\nu^Y \cap \tilde{0}_\theta = \tilde{0}_\theta$. Thus (Y, T_Y, E) is a *GFS* normal space. \square

Theorem 3.35. *Every GFS normal space, in which every GFS point (e_λ, x_α) is GFS closed, is a GFS regular space.*

Proof. Let (X, T, E) be a *GFS* normal space. Let (e_λ, x_α) be a *GFS* point. Let K_γ be a *GFS* closed set such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \cap K_\gamma = \tilde{0}_\theta$. Since (e_λ, x_α) is a *GFS* closed set in (X, E) , there exist disjoint *GFS* open sets M_ψ and N_η such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \subseteq M_\psi, K_\gamma \subseteq N_\eta$. Then $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\cap} M_\psi, K_\gamma \subseteq N_\eta$. Thus (X, T, E) is a *GFS* regular space. \square

Remark 3.36. Every *GFST*₄–space, in which every *GFS* point is *GFS* closed, is *GFST*₃.

Theorem 3.37. *Let $f_{up} : (X, T_1, E_1) \longrightarrow (Y, T_2, E_2)$ be a GFS bijective GFS open mapping. If (X, T_1, E_1) is a *GFST* _{i} –space, then (Y, T_2, E_2) is a *GFST* _{i} –space, $i = 0, 1, 2$*

Proof. We prove the theorem for $(i = 2, \text{ for example})$, the other cases are similar. Let (X, T_1, E_1) is a *GFST*₂–space and $f_{up} : (X, T_1, E_1) \longrightarrow (Y, T_2, E_2)$ be a *GFS* bijective *GFS* open mapping. We want to show that (Y, T_2, E_2) is a *GFST*₂–space. Let $(e'_\lambda, x_\alpha), (s'_\gamma, y_\beta)$ be two distinct *GFS* points in (Y, E_2) . Since f_{up} is *GFS* bijection, there exist two distinct *GFS* points $(e_\lambda, a_\alpha), (s'_\gamma, b_\beta)$ in (X, E_1) such that $f_{up}(e_\lambda, a_\alpha) = (e'_\lambda, x_\alpha), f_{up}(s'_\gamma, b_\beta) = (s'_\gamma, y_\beta)$. But (X, T_1, E_1) is a *GFST*₂–space. Then there exist disjoint *GFS* open sets F_μ and G_δ in (X, E_1) such that $(e_\lambda, a_\alpha) \tilde{\cap} F_\mu, (s'_\gamma, b_\beta) \tilde{\cap} G_\delta$. It follows that, $f_{up}(e_\lambda, a_\alpha) = (e'_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\cap} f_{up}(F_\mu), f_{up}(s'_\gamma, b_\beta) = (s'_\gamma, y_\beta) \tilde{\cap} f_{up}(G_\delta)$ and $f_{up}(F_\mu) \cap f_{up}(G_\delta) = f_{up}(F_\mu \cap G_\delta) = f_{up}(\tilde{0}_{\theta_X}) = \tilde{0}_{\theta_Y}$ (from Theorem 2.20).

Since $F_\mu, G_\delta \in T_1$ and f_{up} is *GFS* open and $f_{up}(F_\mu), f_{up}(G_\delta) \in T_2$, there exist disjoint *GFS* open sets $f_{up}(F_\mu)$ and $f_{up}(G_\delta)$ in (Y, E_2) such that $(e'_\lambda, x_\alpha) \tilde{\cap} f_{up}(F_\mu)$ and $(s'_\gamma, y_\beta) \tilde{\cap} f_{up}(G_\delta)$. Thus (Y, T_2, E_2) is a *GFST*₂–space. \square

Definition 3.38. The property P is called a generalized fuzzy soft topological property (*GFS* topological property, in short), if it is preserved under a *GFS* homeomorphism mapping.

Remark 3.39. The property of being *GFST* _{i} –space ($i = 0, 1, 2$) is a *GFS* topological property.

Theorem 3.40. *The property of being *GFST* _{i} –space ($i = 3, 4$) is a *GFS* topological property or it is preserved under a *GFS* homeomorphism mapping.*

Proof. We prove the theorem for $(i = 3, \text{ for example})$, the other cases are similar.

Since the property of being *GFST*₁–space is a *GFS* topological property, we only show that the property of *GFS* regularity is a *GFS* topological property. Let $f_{up} : (X, T_1, E_1) \longrightarrow (Y, T_2, E_2)$ be a *GFS* homeomorphism and (X, T_1, E_1) be a *GFS* regular space. Let J_σ be a *GFS* closed set in (Y, E_2) and let (e'_λ, y_α) be a *GFS* point in (Y, E_2) such that $(e'_\lambda, y_\alpha) \cap J_\sigma = \tilde{0}_{\theta_Y}$. Since f_{up} is *GFS* surjective,

there exists a *GFS* point (e_λ, x_α) in (X, E_1) such that $f_{up}(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) = (e'_\lambda, y_\alpha)$. Since f_{up} is *GFS* continuous and J_σ *GFS* closed in (Y, E_2) , we have $f_{up}^{-1}(J_\sigma)$ a *GFS* closed set in (X, E_1) [from Theorem 2.19]. It follows that $f_{up}(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) = (e'_\lambda, y_\alpha)$. Then $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) = f_{up}^{-1}(e'_\lambda, y_\alpha)$ [as f_{up} is *GFS* injective]. Since $(e'_\lambda, y_\alpha) \cap J_\sigma = \tilde{0}_{\theta_Y}$, $f_{up}^{-1}((e'_\lambda, y_\alpha) \cap J_\sigma) = f_{up}^{-1}(\tilde{0}_{\theta_Y}) = \tilde{0}_{\theta_X}$. Thus $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \cap f_{up}^{-1}(J_\sigma) = \tilde{0}_{\theta_X}$.

Now, $f_{up}^{-1}(J_\sigma)$ is *GFS* closed set over (X, E_1) and (e_λ, x_α) is a *GFS* point in (X, E_1) such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \cap f_{up}^{-1}(J_\sigma) = \tilde{0}_{\theta_X}$. But (X, T_1, E_1) is a *GFS* regular space. So there exist disjoint *GFS* open sets G_δ and H_ν in (X, E_1) such that $(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) \in G_\delta$, $f_{up}^{-1}(J_\sigma) \subseteq H_\nu$. Hence $f_{up}(e_\lambda, x_\alpha) = (e'_\lambda, y_\alpha) \in f_{up}(G_\delta)$, $f_{up}(f_{up}^{-1}(J_\sigma)) = J_\sigma \subseteq f_{up}(H_\nu)$ [as f_{up} is *GFS* surjective] and $f_{up}(G_\delta) \cap f_{up}(H_\nu) = f_{up}(G_\delta \cap H_\nu) = f_{up}(\tilde{0}_{\theta_X}) = \tilde{0}_{\theta_Y}$ [from Theorem 2.20]. Since f_{up} is a *GFS* open mapping, $f_{up}(G_\delta), f_{up}(H_\nu) \in T_2$. Now, there exists disjoint *GFS* open sets $f_{up}(G_\delta)$ and $f_{up}(H_\nu)$ in (Y, E_2) such that $(e'_\lambda, y_\alpha) \in f_{up}(G_\delta)$ and $J_\sigma \subseteq f_{up}(H_\nu)$. Therefore (Y, T_2, E_2) is a *GFS* regular space. \square

4. CONCLUSION

In the present work, we have continued to study the properties of generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces. We introduced some new concepts in generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces such as generalized fuzzy soft separation axioms T_i ($i = 0, 1, 2$), generalized fuzzy soft regular and generalized fuzzy soft normal by used generalized fuzzy soft open sets and presented fundamentals properties such as hereditary properties and topological properties in generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces. Also, we discussed some of the characteristics that not hold of generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces in general which is a departure from general topology. For future works, we consider to study generalized fuzzy soft separation axioms T_i ($i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) by using generalized fuzzy soft quasi-coincident relation and generalized fuzzy soft neighborhood system.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Ahmad and A. Kharal, Mappings on fuzzy soft classes, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Adv. Fuzzy Syst. Article ID 407890, 6 pages 2009.
- [2] S. Atmaca, Fuzzy soft separation axioms with sense of Ganguly and Saha, Afr. Mat. 30 (2019) 777–785.
- [3] A. Aygünoglu and H. Aygün, Some notes on soft topological spaces. Neural Comput and Applic. (2011) 1–7.
- [4] N. Cagman, S. Karatas and S. Enginoglu, Soft Topology, Comput. Math. Appl. 62 (2011) 351–358.
- [5] R. P. Chakraborty and P. Mukherjee, On generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces, Afr. J. Math. Comput. Sci. Res. 8 (2015) 1–11.
- [6] A. K. Chaudhuri and P. Das, Some results on fuzzy topology on fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 56 (1993) 331–336.
- [7] S. Ganguly and S. Saha, On separation axioms and T_i -fuzzy continuity, Fuzzy Sets and Systems. 16 (1985) 265–275.
- [8] O. Gocur and A. Kopuzlu, Some new properties on soft separation axioms, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 9 (3) (2015) 421–429.
- [9] B. Hutton and I. Reilly, Separation axioms in fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 3 (1989) 93–104.

- [10] A. Kandil and A. M. El-Etriby, On Separation Axioms in Fuzzy Topological Spaces, Tamkang J. Math. 18 (1) (1987) 49–59.
- [11] K. Kannan, Soft generalized closed sets in soft topological spaces, Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology 37 (1) (2012) 17–21.
- [12] F. H. Khedr, S. A. Abd El-Baki and M. S. Malfi, Results on generalized fuzzy soft topological spaces, Afr. J. Math. Comput. Sci. Res. 11 (3) (2018) 35–45.
- [13] F. H. Khedr, S. A. Abd El-Baki and M. S. Malfi, Generalized fuzzy soft continuity, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 12 (5) (2018) 1001–1011.
- [14] F. H. Khedr, S. A. Abd El-Baki and M. S. Malfi, Fuzzy soft separation axioms in Fuzzy soft topological spaces, Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics. Accepted.
- [15] J. Mahanta and P. K. Das, Fuzzy soft topological spaces, Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems. 32 (2017) 443–450.
- [16] P. K. Maji, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy, Fuzzy soft sets, J. Fuzzy Math. 9 (2001) 589–602.
- [17] P. Majumdar and S. K. Samanta, Generalised fuzzy soft sets, Comput. Math. Appl. 59 (2010) 1425–1432.
- [18] W. K. Min, A Note on Soft Topological Spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 62 (2011) 3524–3528.
- [19] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-First results, Comput. Math. Appl. 37 (1999) 19–31.
- [20] S. Roy and T. K. Samanta, A note on fuzzy soft topological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 3 (2) (2011) 305–311.
- [21] G. Senel, New Approach to Hausdorff Space Theory via the Soft Sets, Math. Probl. Eng. 2016 (9), DOI : 10.1155/2016/2196743 (SCI).
- [23] G. Senel, Soft Topology Generated by L-Soft Sets, Journal of New Theory 4 (24) (2018) 88–100.
- [22] G. Seneal and N. Cagman, Soft Closed Sets on Soft Bitopological Space, Journal of New Results in Science 3 (5) (2014) 57–66.
- [24] G. Senel and N. Cagman, Soft topological subspaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 10 (4) (2015) 525–535.
- [25] M. Shabir, M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (2011) 1786–1799.
- [26] B. Tanay and M. Burc Kandemir, Topological structure of fuzzy soft sets, Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (2011) 2952–2957.
- [27] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [28] I. Zorlutuna, M. Akdag, W. K. Min and S. Atmaca, Remarks on Soft Topological Spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 3 (2) (2012) 171–185.

F. H. KHEDR (khedrfathi@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut 71516, Egypt

M. S. MALFI (mulfy_s76@yahoo.com)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Assiut University, Assiut 71516, Egypt