

Several concepts of continuity in fuzzy m -space

ANJANA BHATTACHARYYA

Received 31 May 2016; Accepted 25 July 2016

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we first introduce some open and closed sets in fuzzy m -space and give some interrelations between them. Afterwards, different types of continuity between fuzzy m -spaces have been introduced and characterized and also found the mutual relationships among themselves. Again different types of fuzzy m -compact spaces, fuzzy m - s -closed space and fuzzy s -Urysohn space are introduced and have shown that images of different types of fuzzy m -compact spaces under the functions defined in Section 4 are fuzzy m - s -closed space.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A40, 54C99

Keywords: Fuzzy m_{IX} - e -open, Fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -open, Fuzzy m_{IX} - a -open, Fuzzy m -compact set, Fuzzy s -Urysohn space, Fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous function.

Corresponding Author: (anjanabhattacharyya@hotmail.com)

1. INTRODUCTION

In [1], the notion of fuzzy minimal structure (in the sense of Lowen) has been introduced as follows : A family \mathcal{M} of fuzzy sets in X is said to be a fuzzy minimal structure on X if $\alpha 1_X \in \mathcal{M}$ for every $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. A more general version of fuzzy minimal structure (in the sense of Chang) are introduced in [3, 6] as follows : A family \mathcal{F} of fuzzy sets in X is a fuzzy minimal structure on X if $0_X \in \mathcal{F}$ and $1_X \in \mathcal{F}$. In this paper we use the notion of fuzzy minimal structure in the sense of Chang.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In 1965, Zadeh introduced the notion of fuzzy set [8] A which is a mapping from a non-empty set X into the closed interval $[0, 1]$, i.e., $A \in I^X$. The support [7] of a fuzzy set A , denoted by $\text{supp}A$ and is defined by $\text{supp}A = \{x \in X : A(x) \neq 0\}$. The fuzzy set with the singleton support $\{x\} \subseteq X$ and the value t ($0 < t \leq 1$) will be denoted by x_t . 0_X and 1_X are the constant fuzzy sets taking values 0 and 1 respectively in X . The complement [8] of a fuzzy set A in X is denoted by $1_X \setminus A$

and is defined by $(1_X \setminus A)(x) = 1 - A(x)$, for each $x \in X$. For any two fuzzy sets A, B in X , $A \leq B$ means $A(x) \leq B(x)$, for all $x \in X$ [8] while AqB means A is quasi-coincident (q-coincident, for short) [7] with B , i.e., there exists $x \in X$ such that $A(x) + B(x) > 1$. The negation of these two statements will be denoted by $A \not\leq B$ and $A \not q B$ respectively. For a fuzzy point x_α and a fuzzy set A in X , $x_\alpha \in A$ means $x_\alpha \leq A$, i.e., $A(x) \geq \alpha$.

3. Some Different Types of Open and Closed Sets in Fuzzy m -Space

Let X be a non-empty set and $m_{I^X} \subseteq I^X$. Then m_{I^X} is said to be a fuzzy minimal structure [3, 6] on X if $0_X, 1_X \in m_{I^X}$. The members of m_{I^X} are called fuzzy m_{I^X} -open sets and the complement of a fuzzy m_{I^X} -open set is called fuzzy m_{I^X} -closed set. The pair (X, m_{I^X}) is called fuzzy m -space.

Definition 3.1 ([2]). Let X be a non-empty set and m_{I^X} , a fuzzy minimal structure on X . For $A \in I^X$, the fuzzy m_{I^X} -closure and fuzzy m_{I^X} -interior of A , denoted by $m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A$ and $m_{I^X}\text{-int}A$ respectively, are defined as follows :

$$m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A = \bigwedge \{F : A \leq F, 1_X \setminus F \in m_{I^X}\}$$

$$m_{I^X}\text{-int}A = \bigvee \{D : D \leq A, D \in m_{I^X}\}.$$

It can be observed that given a fuzzy minimal structure m_{I^X} on X , if $A \in I^X$, the $m_{I^X}\text{-int}A$ may not be an element of m_{I^X} .

Proposition 3.2 ([2]). Let X be a non-empty set and m_{I^X} , a fuzzy minimal structure on X . Then for any $A \in I^X$, a fuzzy point $x_\alpha \in m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A$ iff for any $U \in m_{I^X}$ with $x_\alpha q U$, $U q A$.

Lemma 3.3 ([2]). Let X be a non empty set and m_{I^X} , a fuzzy minimal structure on X . For $A, B \in I^X$, the following hold:

- (1) $A \leq B$ which implies that $m_{I^X}\text{-int}A \leq m_{I^X}\text{-int}B$, $m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A \leq m_{I^X}\text{-cl}B$.
- (2) $m_{I^X}\text{-cl}0_X = 0_X$, $m_{I^X}\text{-cl}1_X = 1_X$, $m_{I^X}\text{-int}0_X = 0_X$, $m_{I^X}\text{-int}1_X = 1_X$.
- (3) $m_{I^X}\text{-int}A \leq A \leq m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A$.
- (4) $m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A = A$ if $1_X \setminus A \in m_{I^X}$, $m_{I^X}\text{-int}A = A$, if $A \in m_{I^X}$.
- (5) $m_{I^X}\text{-cl}(1_X \setminus A) = 1_X \setminus m_{I^X}\text{-int}A$, $m_{I^X}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A) = 1_X \setminus m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A$.
- (6) $m_{I^X}\text{-cl}(m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A) = m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A$, $m_{I^X}\text{-int}(m_{I^X}\text{-int}A) = m_{I^X}\text{-int}A$.

It is clear from Lemma 3.3 that

Theorem 3.4. Let (X, m_{I^X}) be a fuzzy m -space and $A, B \in I^X$. Then the following statements are true:

- (1) $m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A \vee m_{I^X}\text{-cl}B \leq m_{I^X}\text{-cl}(A \vee B)$.
- (2) $m_{I^X}\text{-int}(A \wedge B) \leq m_{I^X}\text{-int}A \wedge m_{I^X}\text{-int}B$.

We now introduce the following definitions.

Definition 3.5. Let (X, m_{I^X}) be a fuzzy m -space. $A \in I^X$ is said to be fuzzy

- (i) m_{I^X} -regular open, if $A = m_{I^X}\text{-int}(m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A)$,
- (ii) m_{I^X} -semiopen, if $A \leq m_{I^X}\text{-cl}(m_{I^X}\text{-int}A)$,
- (iii) m_{I^X} - α -open, if $A \leq m_{I^X}\text{-int}(m_{I^X}\text{-cl}(m_{I^X}\text{-int}A))$,
- (iv) m_{I^X} - β -open, if $A \leq m_{I^X}\text{-cl}(m_{I^X}\text{-int}(m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A))$,
- (v) m_{I^X} -preopen, if $A \leq m_{I^X}\text{-int}(m_{I^X}\text{-cl}A)$.

The complements of the above mentioned fuzzy sets are called their respective closed sets.

The infimum of all fuzzy m_{I^X} -semiclosed (resp., fuzzy m_{I^X} - α -closed, fuzzy m_{I^X} -preclosed) sets containing a fuzzy set A in X is called fuzzy m_{I^X} -semiclosure (resp., fuzzy m_{I^X} - α -closure, fuzzy m_{I^X} -preclosure) of A and is denoted by m_{I^X} - $sclA$ (resp., m_{I^X} - αclA , m_{I^X} - $pclA$).

We denote by m_{I^X} - $RO(X)$ (resp., m_{I^X} - $RC(X)$, m_{I^X} - $SO(X)$, m_{I^X} - $\alpha O(X)$, m_{I^X} - $PO(X)$, m_{I^X} - $\beta O(X)$) the family of all fuzzy m_{I^X} -regular open (resp., fuzzy m_{I^X} -regular closed, fuzzy m_{I^X} -semiopen, fuzzy m_{I^X} - α -open, fuzzy m_{I^X} -preopen, fuzzy m_{I^X} - β -open) sets in X .

Definition 3.6. Let (X, m_{I^X}) be a fuzzy m -space and $A \in I^X$. A fuzzy point x_α in X is said to be fuzzy m_{I^X} - θ -semicluster point of A , if m_{I^X} - $clUqA$ for every fuzzy m_{I^X} -semiopen set U with $x_\alpha qU$. The union of all fuzzy m_{I^X} - θ -semicluster points of A is called fuzzy m_{I^X} - θ -semiclosure of A and is denoted by m_{I^X} - θ - $sclA$.

$A(\in I^X)$ is said to be fuzzy m_{I^X} - θ -semiclosed if $A = m_{I^X}$ - θ - $sclA$. The complement of a fuzzy m_{I^X} - θ -semiclosed set is called fuzzy m_{I^X} - θ -semiopen.

Definition 3.7. Let (X, m_{I^X}) be a fuzzy m -space and $A \in I^X$. The m_{I^X} - r -kernel of A , denoted by m_{I^X} - r - $KerA$, is defined as follows:

$$m_{I^X}$$
- r - $KerA = \bigwedge \{U : U \in m_{I^X}$ - $RO(X), A \leq U\}$.

Definition 3.8. Let (X, m_{I^X}) be a fuzzy m -space and $A \in I^X$. The fuzzy m_{I^X} - δ -closure and fuzzy m_{I^X} - δ -interior of A , denoted by m_{I^X} - δclA and m_{I^X} - $\delta intA$ resp., are defined by

$$m_{I^X}$$
- $\delta clA = \{x_\alpha \in X : Aq m_{I^X}$ - $int(m_{I^X}$ - $clU)$, for all $U \in m_{I^X}$ with $x_\alpha qU\}$,
 m_{I^X} - $\delta intA = \bigvee \{W : W \in m_{I^X}$ - $RO(X), W \leq A\}$.

It is clear from Definition 3.8 that

Theorem 3.9. Let (X, m_{I^X}) be a fuzzy m -space and $A \in I^X$. The following statements are true:

- (1) If $A \leq B$, then m_{I^X} - $\delta clA \leq m_{I^X}$ - δclB .
- (2) If $A \leq B$, then m_{I^X} - $\delta intA \leq m_{I^X}$ - $\delta intB$.
- (3) m_{I^X} - $\delta intA \leq m_{I^X}$ - $intA \leq m_{I^X}$ - $clA \leq m_{I^X}$ - δclA
- (4) $1_X \setminus m_{I^X}$ - $\delta intA = m_{I^X}$ - $\delta cl(1_X \setminus A)$.
- (5) m_{I^X} - $\delta int(1_X \setminus A) = 1_X \setminus m_{I^X}$ - δclA .

Definition 3.10. Let (X, m_{I^X}) be a fuzzy m -space and $A \in I^X$. Then A is said to be fuzzy

- (i) m_{I^X} - δ -open (resp., m_{I^X} - δ -closed), if $A = m_{I^X}$ - $\delta intA$ (resp., $A = m_{I^X}$ - δclA),
- (ii) m_{I^X} - δ -preopen, if $A \leq m_{I^X}$ - $int(m_{I^X}$ - $\delta clA)$,
- (iii) m_{I^X} - δ -semiopen, if $A \leq m_{I^X}$ - $cl(m_{I^X}$ - $\delta intA)$.

The complements of the above mentioned fuzzy sets are called their respective closed sets.

The collection of all fuzzy m_{I^X} - δ -open (resp., fuzzy m_{I^X} - δ -preopen, fuzzy m_{I^X} - δ -semiopen) sets is denoted by m_{I^X} - $\delta O(X)$ (resp., m_{I^X} - $\delta PO(X)$, m_{I^X} - $\delta SO(X)$).

The collection of all fuzzy m_{I^X} - δ -closed (resp., fuzzy m_{I^X} - δ -preclosed, fuzzy m_{I^X} - δ -semiclosed) sets is denoted by m_{I^X} - $\delta C(X)$ (resp., m_{I^X} - $\delta PC(X)$, m_{I^X} - $\delta SC(X)$).

Definition 3.11. Let (X, m_{IX}) be a fuzzy m -space and $A \in I^X$. Then A is said to be fuzzy (i) m_{IX} - e -open, if $A \leq m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{int}A) \vee m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{cl}A)$,

(ii) m_{IX} - e^* -open, if $A \leq m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{cl}A))$,

(iii) m_{IX} - a -open, if $A \leq m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{int}A))$.

The complements of the above mentioned sets are called their respective closed sets.

The collection of all fuzzy m_{IX} - e -open (resp., fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -open, fuzzy m_{IX} - a -open) sets is denoted by $m_{IX}\text{-}eO(X)$ (resp., $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, $m_{IX}\text{-}aO(X)$).

The collection of all fuzzy m_{IX} - e -closed (resp., fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -closed, fuzzy m_{IX} - a -closed) sets is denoted by $m_{IX}\text{-}eC(X)$ (resp., $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*C(X)$, $m_{IX}\text{-}aC(X)$).

The above definitions show the following relationships.

Example 3.12. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A, B\}$ where $A(a) = 0.4$, $A(b) = 0.6$, $B(a) = 0.6$, $B(b) = 0.4$. Then (X, m_{IX}) is a fuzzy m -space. Clearly $m_{IX} = m_{IX}\text{-}RO(X)$. Consider the fuzzy set C defined by $C(a) = C(b) = 0.6$. Now

$$C = \bigvee \{U \in I^X : U \in m_{IX}\text{-}RO(X), U \leq C\} = m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{int}C.$$

Then C is fuzzy m_{IX} - δ -open in X , but $C \notin m_{IX}$ as well as $C \notin m_{IX}\text{-}RO(X)$.

Example 3.13. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$ where $A(a) = A(b) = 0.6$. Then (X, m_{IX}) is a fuzzy m -space. Then $A \in m_{IX}$ but $A \notin m_{IX}\text{-}\delta O(X)$. Again $A \in m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha O(X)$.

Example 3.14. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$ where $A(a) = 0.5$, $A(b) = 0.6$. Then (X, m_{IX}) is a fuzzy m -space. Consider the fuzzy set B defined by $B(a) = B(b) = 0.6$. Then $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}B)) = 1_X \geq B$. Thus $B \in m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha O(X)$, but $B \notin m_{IX}$.

Example 3.15. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$ where $A(a) = 0.5$, $A(b) = 0.4$. Then (X, m_{IX}) is a fuzzy m -space. Consider the fuzzy set B defined by $B(a) = B(b) = 0.5$. Then $m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}B) = 1_X \setminus A \geq B$. Thus $B \in m_{IX}\text{-}SO(X)$. But $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}B)) = A < B$. So $B \notin m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha O(X)$.

Again $m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}B)) = 1_X \setminus A \geq B$. Then $B \in m_{IX}\text{-}\beta O(X)$, but $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}B) = A < B$. Thus $B \notin m_{IX}\text{-}PO(X)$.

Example 3.16. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$ where $A(a) = 0.5$, $A(b) = 0.4$. Then (X, m_{IX}) is a fuzzy m -space. Now $m_{IX}\text{-}\delta O(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$. Consider the fuzzy set B defined by $B(a) = 0.5$, $B(b) = 0.3$. Then $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{cl}B) = m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A) = A \geq B$. Thus $B \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta PO(X)$, but $B \notin m_{IX}$.

Again $m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{cl}B)) = 1_X \setminus A > B$. Then $B \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, but $m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}B) = 0_X \not\geq B$. Thus $B \notin m_{IX}\text{-}SO(X)$. Also $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}B) = A > B$. So $B \in m_{IX}\text{-}PO(X)$, but $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}B)) = 0_X \not\geq B$. Hence $B \notin m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha O(X)$.

Example 3.17. Consider Example 3.16 and the fuzzy set C defined by $C(a) = C(b) = 0.5$. Then $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{cl}C) = m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A) = A < C$. Thus $C \notin m_{IX}\text{-}\delta PO(X)$. But $m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{int}C) = m_{IX}\text{-cl}A = 1_X \setminus A > C$. So $C \in m_{IX}\text{-}eO(X)$.

Again $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}C)) = A < C$. Then $C \notin m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha O(X)$. But $m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{int}C) > C$. Thus $C \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta SO(X)$. Also $C \notin m_{IX}\text{-}\delta O(X)$ and $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta\text{int}C)) = A < C$. So $C \notin m_{IX}\text{-}aO(X)$.

Example 3.18. Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A, B\}$ where $A(a) = 0.5, A(b) = 0.4, B(a) = 0.5, B(b) = 0.7$. Then (X, m_{IX}) is a fuzzy m -space. Here $m_{IX}\text{-}\delta O(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$. Consider the fuzzy set C defined by $C(a) = 0.5, C(b) = 0.3$. Then $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl C) = m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A) = A \geq C$. Thus $C \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta PO(X)$, but $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}cl C) = 0_X \not\geq C$. So $C \notin m_{IX}\text{-}PO(X)$.

Now $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl C) = A > C$. Then $C \in m_{IX}\text{-}eO(X)$.

Again $m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl C)) = 1_X \setminus A > C$. Then $C \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, but $m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}cl C)) = m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus B)) = 0_X \not\geq C$. Thus $C \notin m_{IX}\text{-}\beta O(X)$. Also $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-int} C)) = 0_X \not\geq C$. So $C \notin m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha O(X)$.

Example 3.19. Consider Example 3.12 and the fuzzy set D defined by $D(a) = 0.6, D(b) = 0.61$. Then $m_{IX}\text{-}\delta int D = \bigvee \{U \in m_{IX}\text{-}RO(X) : U \leq D\} = C$ and thus $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta int D)) = 1_X \geq D$. So $D \in m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha O(X)$, but $D \notin m_{IX}\text{-}\delta O(X)$.

Theorem 3.20. Let (X, m_{IX}) be a fuzzy m -space. Then the following statements are true:

- (1) The union of any collection of fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*$ -open sets is fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*$ -open.
- (2) The union of any collection of fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}e$ -open sets is fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}e$ -open.
- (3) The union of any collection of fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha$ -open sets is fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha$ -open.

Proof. Let $\{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be any collection of fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*$ -open sets. Then for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$, $G_\alpha \leq m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl G_\alpha))$. Also, $G_\alpha \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} G_\alpha &\leq m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl G_\alpha)) \\ &\leq m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha))), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$. So $\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha \leq m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha)))$. Hence $\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ is a fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*$ -open.

The proofs of (2) and (3) are same as that of (1). □

Definition 3.21. Let (X, m_{IX}) be a fuzzy m -space and $A \in I^X$. Then fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}e$ -closure (resp., fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*$ -closure, fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha$ -closure) of A , denoted by $m_{IX}\text{-}e\text{-}cl A$ (resp., $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl A$, $m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha\text{-}cl A$), is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} m_{IX}\text{-}e\text{-}cl A &= \bigwedge \{F \in I^X : A \leq F, 1_X \setminus F \in m_{IX}\text{-}eO(X)\} \\ \text{(resp., } m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl A &= \bigwedge \{F \in I^X : A \leq F, 1_X \setminus F \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)\}, \\ m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha\text{-}cl A &= \bigwedge \{F \in I^X : A \leq F, 1_X \setminus F \in m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha O(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.22. Let (X, m_{IX}) be a fuzzy m -space. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) For any fuzzy point x_α and any $U \in I^X$, $x_\alpha \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl U \Rightarrow$ for any $V \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ with $x_\alpha q V$, $V q U$.
- (2) For any two fuzzy sets U, V where $V \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, $U /q V \Rightarrow m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl U /q V$.
- (3) For any $A \in m_{IX}$, $m_{IX}\text{-}scl A = m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-}cl A)$.
- (4) For any $A \in m_{IX}\text{-}RO(X)$, $m_{IX}\text{-}\theta\text{-}scl A = A$.
- (5) For any $A \in m_{IX}\text{-}\beta O(X)$, $m_{IX}\text{-}cl A = m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha cl A$.
- (6) For any $A \in m_{IX}\text{-}SO(X)$, $m_{IX}\text{-}cl A = m_{IX}\text{-}pcl A$.

(7) For any $A \in m_{IX}$, $m_{IX}\text{-scl}A = m_{IX}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}A$.

Proof. (1) Let $V \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ with $x_\alpha qV$. Then $V(x) + \alpha > 1$. Thus $x_\alpha \notin 1_X \setminus V$ which is $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*$ -closed in X . So $U \not\leq 1_X \setminus V$. Hence there exists $y \in X$ such that $U(y) > 1 - V(y)$. Therefore UqV .

(2) If possible, let $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-cl}UqV$, but $U \not qV$. Then there exists $x \in X$ such that $(m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-cl}U)(x) + V(x) > 1$. Thus $V(x) + t > 1$, where $t = (m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-cl}U)(x)$. So $x_t \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-cl}U$, where $x_t qV$, $V \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$. By definition, VqU , a contradiction.

(3) We first prove that $m_{IX}\text{-cl}A = m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-scl}A)$, for $A \in m_{IX}$.

Now $A \leq m_{IX}\text{-scl}A \leq m_{IX}\text{-cl}A$. Then $m_{IX}\text{-cl}A \leq m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-scl}A) \leq m_{IX}\text{-cl}A$. Thus $m_{IX}\text{-cl}A = m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-scl}A)$. Since infimum of any two fuzzy m_{IX} -semiclosed sets in a fuzzy m -space is fuzzy m_{IX} -semiclosed, $m_{IX}\text{-scl}A$ is fuzzy m_{IX} -semiclosed in X . So $m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-scl}A)) \leq m_{IX}\text{-scl}A$ and so by above,

$$(3.22.1) \quad m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}A) \leq m_{IX}\text{-scl}A.$$

To prove the converse, let $x_\alpha \notin m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}A)$. Then $[m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}A)](x) < \alpha$. Thus $x_\alpha q(1_X \setminus m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}A)) = m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A))$. Since $A \in m_{IX}$, $A \leq m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}A)$. Thus

$$(3.22.2) \quad A \not q m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A)).$$

Now $m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A)))) \geq m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A))$. Thus $m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A)) \in m_{IX}\text{-SO}(X)$. So by (3.22.2), $x_\alpha \notin m_{IX}\text{-scl}A$. Consequently,

$$(3.22.3) \quad m_{IX}\text{-scl}A \leq m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}A).$$

Combining (3.22.1) and (3.22.3), we get the result.

(4) It is obvious that $A \leq m_{IX}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}A$. To prove the converse, let $x_\alpha \in m_{IX}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}A$, but $x_\alpha \notin A$. Then $A(x) < \alpha$. Thus $x_\alpha q(1_X \setminus A) = m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A))$. So $1_X \setminus A \in m_{IX}\text{-SO}(X)$. Also,

$$(3.22.4) \quad \begin{aligned} m_{IX}\text{-cl}(1_X \setminus A) &= m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A))) \\ &= m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A)) = 1_X \setminus A. \end{aligned}$$

As $x_\alpha \in m_{IX}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}A$, $m_{IX}\text{-cl}(1_X \setminus A)qA$. So $(1_X \setminus A)qA$ by (3.22.4) which is absurd. Hence $m_{IX}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}A \leq A$, for $A \in m_{IX}\text{-RO}(X)$.

(5) Clearly, $m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha\text{cl}A \leq m_{IX}\text{-cl}A$. To prove the converse, let $x_\alpha \in m_{IX}\text{-cl}A$, where $A \in m_{IX}\text{-}\beta O(X)$. Then $A \leq m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}A))$. Thus

$$(3.22.5) \quad m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A))) \leq 1_X \setminus A.$$

Let $U \in m_{IX}\text{-}\alpha O(X)$ with $x_\alpha qU \leq m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}U))$. Then $x_\alpha q(m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}U)))$. Then there exists $V \in m_{IX}$ such that $x_\alpha qV \leq m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}U)$. So VqA . Hence

$$(3.22.6) \quad V = m_{IX}\text{-int}V \leq m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}U))qA.$$

If possible, let $U \not qA$. Then $U \leq 1_X \setminus A$. Thus by (3.22.5),

$$m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}U)) \leq m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}(1_X \setminus A))) \leq 1_X \setminus A. \text{ So } m_{IX}\text{-int}(m_{IX}\text{-cl}(m_{IX}\text{-int}U)) \not qA. \text{ This is contradicts (3.22.6).}$$

(6) It is similar to that of (5).

(7) It is clear that $m_{IX}\text{-scl}A \leq m_{IX}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}A$, for any $A \in I^X$. To prove the converse, let $x_\alpha \in m_{IX}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}A$, but $x_\alpha \notin m_{IX}\text{-scl}A$. Then there exists $U \in m_{IX}\text{-SO}(X)$ with $x_\alpha qU$, $U \not qA \Rightarrow U \leq 1_X \setminus A$. Thus $\text{cl}U \leq \text{cl}(1_X \setminus A) = 1_X \setminus A$. So $\text{cl}U \not qA$. This is a contradiction, as $x_\alpha \in m_{IX}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}A$. \square

4. Continuous functions in fuzzy m -space

In this section, a new class of continuous functions between fuzzy m -spaces are introduced and characterized and found the mutual relationships among themselves.

Definition 4.1. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function between fuzzy m -spaces. Then f is called fuzzy

- (i) contra R -map, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}RC(X)$ for all $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$,
- (ii) (δ, r) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta C(X)$ for all $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$,
- (iii) $(\delta\text{-semi}, r)$ -continuous, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta SC(X)$ for all $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$,
- (iv) $(\delta\text{-pre}, r)$ -continuous, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta PC(X)$ for all $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$,
- (v) (e^*, r) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*C(X)$ for all $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$,
- (vi) (e, r) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}eC(X)$ for all $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$,
- (vii) (a, r) -continuous, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}aC(X)$ for all $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$.

Theorem 4.2. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function between fuzzy m -spaces. Then the following statements are true:

- (1) If f is fuzzy (δ, r) -continuous, then f is (a, r) -continuous.
- (2) If f is fuzzy (a, r) -continuous, then f is fuzzy $(\delta\text{-semi}, r)$ -continuous.
- (3) If f is fuzzy (a, r) -continuous, then f is fuzzy $(\delta\text{-pre}, r)$ -continuous.
- (4) If f is fuzzy $(\delta\text{-semi}, r)$ -continuous, then f is fuzzy (e, r) -continuous.
- (5) If f is fuzzy $(\delta\text{-pre}, r)$ -continuous, then f is fuzzy (e, r) -continuous.
- (6) If f is fuzzy (e, r) -continuous, then f is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous.

Proof. (1) Let $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$. Then $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta C(X)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl(f^{-1}(A)) &= f^{-1}(A). \text{ Now} \\ & m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}int(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl(f^{-1}(A)))) \\ &= m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}int(f^{-1}(A))) \\ &\leq m_{IX}\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(A)) \\ &\leq m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl(f^{-1}(A)) \\ &= f^{-1}(A). \end{aligned}$$

Then $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}aC(X)$. Thus f is fuzzy (a, r) -continuous.

- (2) The proof follows from the fact that $A \in m_{IX}\text{-}aC(X) \Rightarrow A \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta SC(X)$.
- (3) The proof follows from the fact that $A \in m_{IX}\text{-}aO(X) \Rightarrow A \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta PO(X)$.
- (4) The proof follows from the fact that $A \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta SC(X) \Rightarrow A \in m_{IX}\text{-}eC(X)$.
- (5) The proof follows from the fact that $A \in m_{IX}\text{-}\delta PC(X) \Rightarrow A \in m_{IX}\text{-}eC(X)$.
- (6) The proof follows from the fact that $A \in m_{IX}\text{-}eC(X) \Rightarrow A \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*C(X)$. \square

But the converses are not true, in general, follow from the following examples.

Example 4.3. Fuzzy (a, r) -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy (δ, r) -continuity.

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A, B, C, D\}$, $m'_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, E\}$, where $A(a) = 0.4, A(b) = 0.55, B(a) = 0.5, B(b) = 0.45, C(a) = 0.45, C(b) = 0.55, D(a) = 0.55, D(b) = 0.4, E(a) = E(b) = 0.5$. Then (X, m_{IX}) and (X, m'_{IX}) are fuzzy m -spaces. Thus $m_{IX}\text{-}\delta O(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, B, C, T\}$, where $T(a) = 0.5, T(b) = 0.55$.

Consider the identity function $i : (X, m_{IX}) \rightarrow (X, m'_{IX})$. Now $E \in m'_{IX}$ - $RO(X)$. Then $i^{-1}(E) = E$. Thus m_{IX} - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $int(m_{IX}$ - $\delta clE)) = m_{IX}$ - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $int(1_X \setminus B)) = B < E$. So $E \in m_{IX}$ - $aC(X)$, but m_{IX} - $\delta clE = 1_X \setminus B \neq E$. Hence $E \notin m_{IX}$ - $\delta C(X)$.

Example 4.4. Fuzzy $(\delta$ -semi, r)-continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy (a, r) -continuity.

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$, $m'_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, C\}$, where $A(a) = 0.5, A(b) = 0.4, C(a) = C(b) = 0.5$. Then (X, m_{IX}) and (X, m'_{IX}) are fuzzy m -spaces.

Consider the identity function $i : (X, m_{IX}) \rightarrow (X, m'_{IX})$. Now $C \in m'_{IX}$ - $RO(X)$. $i^{-1}(C) = C = 1_X \setminus C \in m_{IX}$ - $\delta SO(X)$, but $1_X \setminus C \notin m_{IX}$ - $aO(X)$. Thus $C \in m_{IX}$ - $\delta SC(X)$, but $C \notin m_{IX}$ - $aC(X)$.

Example 4.5. Fuzzy $(\delta$ -pre, r)-continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy (a, r) -continuity.

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$, $m'_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, B\}$, where $A(a) = 0.5, A(b) = 0.4, B(a) = 0.5, B(b) = 0.3$. Then (X, m_{IX}) and (X, m'_{IX}) are fuzzy m -spaces.

Consider the identity function $i : (X, m_{IX}) \rightarrow (X, m'_{IX})$. Now m_{IX} - $\delta O(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$ and m'_{IX} - $\delta O(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, B\}$. Now $B \in m'_{IX}$ - $RO(X)$. $i^{-1}(B) = B$. Then m_{IX} - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $\delta intB) = 0_X < B$. Thus $B \in m_{IX}$ - $\delta PC(X)$, but m_{IX} - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $int(m_{IX}$ - $\delta clB)) = m_{IX}$ - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $int(m_{IX}$ - $clB)) = m_{IX}$ - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $int(1_X \setminus A)) = m_{IX}$ - $clA = 1_X \setminus A \not\subseteq B$. So $B \notin m_{IX}$ - $aC(X)$.

Example 4.6. Fuzzy (e, r) -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy $(\delta$ -semi, $r)$ -continuity.

Consider Example 4.5. Here $B \in m'_{IX}$ - $RO(X)$. $i^{-1}(B) = B$. Then m_{IX} - $int(m_{IX}$ - $\delta clB) = m_{IX}$ - $int(1_X \setminus A) = A \not\subseteq B$. Thus $B \notin m_{IX}$ - $\delta SC(X)$, but m_{IX} - $int(m_{IX}$ - $\delta clB) \wedge m_{IX}$ - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $\delta intB) = A \wedge 0_X = 0_X < B$. So $B \in m_{IX}$ - $eC(X)$.

Example 4.7. Fuzzy (e, r) -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy $(\delta$ -pre, $r)$ -continuity.

Consider Example 4.4. Here m_{IX} - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $\delta intC) = m_{IX}$ - $clA = 1_X \setminus A \not\subseteq C$. Thus $C \notin m_{IX}$ - $\delta PC(X)$. But m_{IX} - $int(m_{IX}$ - $\delta clC) \wedge m_{IX}$ - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $\delta intC) = A \wedge (1_X \setminus A) = A < C$. So $C \in m_{IX}$ - $eC(X)$.

Example 4.8. Fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy (e, r) -continuity.

Let $X = \{a, b\}$, $m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A, B\}$, $m'_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, C\}$, where $A(a) = 0.5, A(b) = 0.6, B(a) = B(b) = 0.4, C(a) = 0.4, C(b) = 0.5$. Then (X, m_{IX}) and (X, m'_{IX}) are fuzzy m -spaces.

Consider the identity function $i : (X, m_{IX}) \rightarrow (X, m'_{IX})$. Here m_{IX} - $\delta O(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, A, B\}$. Now $C \in m'_{IX}$ - $RO(X)$. $i^{-1}(C) = C$. Now m_{IX} - $int(m_{IX}$ - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $\delta intC)) = m_{IX}$ - $int(m_{IX}$ - $clB) = m_{IX}$ - $int(1_X \setminus A) = B < C$. Then $C \in m_{IX}$ - $e^*C(X)$. But m_{IX} - $int(m_{IX}$ - $\delta clC) \wedge m_{IX}$ - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $\delta intC) = m_{IX}$ - $int(1_X \setminus B) \wedge m_{IX}$ - $clB = A \wedge (1_X \setminus A) = 1_X \setminus A \not\subseteq C$. Thus $C \notin m_{IX}$ - $eC(X)$.

Definition 4.9. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function between fuzzy m -spaces. Then f is said to be fuzzy

- (i) e^* -continuous, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}$ - $e^*O(X)$, for all $A \in m_{IY}$,
- (ii) almost- e^* -continuous, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}$ - $e^*O(X)$, for all $A \in m_{IY}$ - $RO(Y)$,
- (iii) almost- e -continuous, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}$ - $eO(X)$, for all $A \in m_{IY}$ - $RO(Y)$,
- (iv) almost- a -continuous, if $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}$ - $aO(X)$, for all $A \in m_{IY}$ - $RO(Y)$.

Theorem 4.10. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function between fuzzy m -spaces. Then the following statements hold:

- (1) If f is fuzzy e^* -continuous, then f is fuzzy almost- e^* -continuous.
- (2) If f is fuzzy almost- e -continuous, then f is fuzzy almost- e^* -continuous.
- (3) If f is fuzzy almost- a -continuous, then f is fuzzy almost- e -continuous.

Proof. The proof is obvious. □

But the converses are not true, in general, follow from the next examples.

Example 4.11. Fuzzy almost- e^* -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy e^* -continuity.

Let $X = \{a, b\}, m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A, B\}, m'_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, E, F\}$ where $A(a) = 0.4, A(b) = 0.6, B(a) = 0.6, B(b) = 0.4, E(a) = E(b) = 0.4, F(a) = 0.5, F(b) = 0.45$. Then (X, m_{IX}) and (X, m'_{IX}) are fuzzy m -spaces. Now $m_{IX}\text{-}\delta O(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, A, B, C\}$, where $C(a) = C(b) = 0.6, m'_{IX}\text{-}RO(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, F\}$.

Now consider the identity function $i : (X, m_{IX}) \rightarrow (X, m'_{IX})$. Then $i^{-1}(F) = F$. Now $m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}int(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl F)) = 1_X > F$. Then $F \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$. But $i^{-1}(E) = E, m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}int(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl E)) = m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}int(1_X \setminus C)) = m_{IX}\text{-}cl 0_X = 0_X \not\geq E \Rightarrow E \notin m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$. Thus i is fuzzy almost- e^* -continuous but not fuzzy e^* -continuous.

Example 4.12. Fuzzy almost- e^* -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy almost- e -continuity.

Let $X = \{a, b\}, m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}, m'_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, B, C\}$, where $A(a) = 0.5, A(b) = 0.4, B(a) = 0.4, B(b) = 0.6, C(a) = 0.6, C(b) = 0.4$. Then (X, m_{IX}) and (X, m'_{IX}) are fuzzy m -spaces. Now $m_{IX}\text{-}\delta O(X) = m_{IX}, m'_{IX}\text{-}RO(X) = m'_{IX}$.

Now consider the identity function $i : (X, m_{IX}) \rightarrow (X, m'_{IX})$. Then $i^{-1}(B) = B$. Now $m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}int(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl B)) = 1_X \setminus A > B$. Then $B \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X), i^{-1}(C) = C, m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}int(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl C)) = 1_X > C$. Thus $C \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$. So i is fuzzy almost- e^* -continuous. But $m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta int B) \vee m_{IX}\text{-}int(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl B) = 0_X \vee A = A \not\geq B$. Hence $B \notin m_{IX}\text{-}eO(X)$. Therefore i is not fuzzy almost e -continuous.

Example 4.13. Fuzzy almost- e -continuity $\not\Rightarrow$ fuzzy almost- a -continuity.

Let $X = \{a, b\}, m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}, m'_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, C\}$, where $A(a) = 0.5, A(b) = 0.4, C(a) = C(b) = 0.5$. Then (X, m_{IX}) and (X, m'_{IX}) are fuzzy m -spaces. Now $m_{IX}\text{-}\delta O(X) = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}, m'_{IX}\text{-}RO(X) = m'_{IX}$.

Consider the identity function $i : (X, m_{IX}) \rightarrow (X, m'_{IX})$. Now $i^{-1}(C) = C, m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta int C) \vee m_{IX}\text{-}int(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta cl C) = (1_X \setminus A) \vee A = 1_X \setminus A > C$. Then $C \in m_{IX}\text{-}eO(X)$. Thus i is fuzzy almost- e -continuous. But $m_{IX}\text{-}int(m_{IX}\text{-}cl(m_{IX}\text{-}\delta int C)) = m_{IX}\text{-}int(m_{IX}\text{-}cl A) = A < C$. So $C \notin m_{IX}\text{-}aO(X)$. Hence i is not fuzzy almost- e -continuous.

Definition 4.14. A fuzzy m -space (X, m_{IX}) is said to be fuzzy m_{IX} -extremally disconnected, if the fuzzy m_{IX} -closure of all fuzzy m_{IX} -interior of a fuzzy set in X is fuzzy m_{IX} -open.

Example 4.15. Let $X = \{a, b\}, m_{IX} = \{0_X, 1_X, A\}$, where $A(a) = A(b) = 0.5$. Then (X, m_{IX}) is a fuzzy m -space. Now $m_{IX}\text{-}cl A = A \in m_{IX} \Rightarrow X$ is fuzzy m_{IX} -extremally disconnected.

Theorem 4.16. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. If (Y, m_{IY}) is a fuzzy m_{IY} -extremally disconnected, then f is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous (resp., fuzzy (e, r) -continuous, fuzzy (a, r) -continuous) iff f is fuzzy almost- e^* -continuous (resp., fuzzy almost- e -continuous, fuzzy almost- a -continuous).

Proof. First suppose that f is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous. Let $U (\in I^Y) \in m_{IY}$ - $RO(Y)$. Then $U = m_{IY}$ - $int(m_{IY}$ - $clU)$. As Y is fuzzy m_{IY} -extremally disconnected, m_{IY} - $clU \in m_{IY}$ and so $U \in m_{IY}$ as well as $1_Y \setminus U \in m_{IY}$, i.e., U is fuzzy m_{IY} -open as well as fuzzy m_{IY} -closed and so $U = m_{IY}$ - $cl(m_{IY}$ - $intU)$, i.e., $U \in m_{IY}$ - $RC(Y)$. As f is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous, $f^{-1}(U) \in m_{IX}$ - $e^*O(X)$. Then f is fuzzy almost- e^* -continuous.

Conversely, suppose that f is fuzzy almost- e^* -continuous and let $W \in m_{IY}$ - $RC(Y)$. Since Y is fuzzy m_{IY} -extremally disconnected, $W \in m_{IY}$ - $RO(Y)$. By hypothesis, $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{IX}$ - $e^*O(X) \Rightarrow f$ is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous.

The other two cases are similar to that of first case. □

Definition 4.17. A fuzzy m -space (X, m_{IX}) is said to be fuzzy

- (i) m_{IX} - e^* - $T_{1/2}$ -space, if all fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -closed set in X is fuzzy m_{IX} - δ -closed in X ,
- (ii) m_{IX} - e - $T_{1/2}$ -space, if all fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -closed set in X is fuzzy m_{IX} - e -closed in X ,
- (iii) m_{IX} - a - $T_{1/2}$ -space, if all fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -closed set in X is fuzzy m_{IX} - a -closed in X .

Example 4.18. Consider Example 4.15. Here (X, m_{IX}) is a fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* - $T_{1/2}$ -space.

Theorem 4.19. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. If X is fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* - $T_{1/2}$ -space, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) f is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous.
- (2) f is fuzzy (e, r) -continuous.
- (3) f is fuzzy $(\delta$ -semi, $r)$ -continuous.
- (4) f is fuzzy $(\delta$ -pre, $r)$ -continuous.
- (5) f is fuzzy (a, r) -continuous.
- (6) f is fuzzy (δ, r) -continuous.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (4): Let $W \in m_{IY}$ - $RO(Y)$. By (1), $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{IX}$ - $eC(X)$. As X is fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* - $T_{1/2}$ -space, $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{IX}$ - $\delta C(X)$. Then $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{IX}$ - $\delta PC(X)$. Thus f is fuzzy $(\delta$ -pre, $r)$ -continuous.

(4) \Rightarrow (6): Let $W \in m_{IY}$ - $RO(Y)$. By (4), $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{IX}$ - $\delta PC(X)$. Then $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{IX}$ - $e^*C(X)$. As X is fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* - $T_{1/2}$ -space, $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{IX}$ - $\delta C(X)$. Thus f is fuzzy (δ, r) -continuous.

(6) \Rightarrow (5): Let $W \in m_{IY}$ - $RO(Y)$. By (6), $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{IX}$ - $\delta C(X)$. Then $f^{-1}(W) = m_{IX}$ - $\delta cl(f^{-1}(W))$. Thus, m_{IX} - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $int(m_{IX}$ - $\delta cl(f^{-1}(W)))) \leq m_{IX}$ - $cl(m_{IX}$ - $\delta cl(f^{-1}(W))) \leq m_{IX}$ - $\delta cl(m_{IX}$ - $\delta cl(f^{-1}(W))) = m_{IX}$ - $\delta cl(f^{-1}(W)) = f^{-1}(W)$. So $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{IX}$ - $aC(X)$. Hence f is fuzzy (a, r) -continuous.

(5) \Rightarrow (3): Let $W \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$. By (5), $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}aC(X)$. Then $1_X \setminus f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}aO(X)$. Thus $1_X \setminus f^{-1}(W) \leq m_{I^X}\text{-}int(m_{I^X}\text{-}cl(m_{I^X}\text{-}\delta int(1_X \setminus f^{-1}(W)))) \leq m_{I^X}\text{-}cl(m_{I^X}\text{-}\delta int(1_X \setminus f^{-1}(W)))$. So $1_X \setminus f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}\delta SO(X)$. Hence $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}\delta SC(X)$. Therefore f is fuzzy $(\delta\text{-semi}, r)$ -continuous.

(3) \Rightarrow (2): Let $W \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$. By (3), $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}\delta SC(X)$. Then $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}eC(X)$ as every fuzzy $m_{I^X}\text{-}\delta$ -semiclosed set is fuzzy $m_{I^X}\text{-}e$ -closed. Therefore, f is fuzzy (e, r) -continuous.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $W \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$. By (2), $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}eC(X)$. Then $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*C(X)$ (as every fuzzy $m_{I^X}\text{-}e$ -closed set is fuzzy $m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*$ -closed). Thus f is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous. \square

Theorem 4.20. *Let (X, m_{I^X}) and (Y, m_{I^Y}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) f is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous.
- (2) $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, for all $A \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RC(Y)$.
- (3) $f(m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clU) \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-}r\text{-ker}(f(U))$, for all $U \in I^X$.
- (4) $m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}r\text{-ker}(A))$, for all $A \in I^Y$.
- (5) For each fuzzy point x_α in X and each $A \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y)$ with $f(x_\alpha)qA$, there exists $U \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ with $x_\alpha qU$, $f(U) \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-}clA$.
- (6) $f(m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clP) \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}(f(P))$, for all $P \in I^X$.
- (7) $m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}R)$, for all $R \in I^Y$.
- (8) $m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}R)$, for all $R \in m_{I^Y}$.
- (9) $m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}sclR)$, for all $R \in m_{I^Y}$.
- (10) $m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}int(m_{I^Y}\text{-}clR))$, for all $R \in m_{I^Y}$.
- (11) For each fuzzy point x_α in X and each $A \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y)$ with $f(x_\alpha) \in A$, there exists $U \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ such that $x_\alpha \in U$ and $f(U) \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-}clA$.
- (12) $f^{-1}(A) \leq m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}int(f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}clA))$, for all $A \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y)$.
- (13) $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}int(m_{I^Y}\text{-}clA)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*C(X)$, for all $A \in m_{I^Y}$.
- (14) $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}cl(m_{I^Y}\text{-}intF)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, for all $1_X \setminus F \in m_{I^Y}$.
- (15) $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}clU) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, for all $U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}\beta O(Y)$.
- (16) $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}clU) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, for all $U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y)$.
- (17) $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}int(m_{I^Y}\text{-}clU)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*C(X)$, for all $U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}PO(Y)$.
- (18) $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}\alpha clU) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, for all $U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}\beta O(Y)$.
- (19) $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}pclU) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, for all $U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y)$.
- (20) $m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}R)$, for all $R \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y)$.
- (21) $m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}R)$, for all $R \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}PO(Y)$.
- (22) $m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}R)$, for all $R \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}\beta O(Y)$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Let $W \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RC(Y)$. Then $1_Y \setminus W \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$. By (1), $f^{-1}(1_Y \setminus W) = 1_X \setminus f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*C(X)$. Thus $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Let $W \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$. Then $1_Y \setminus W \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RC(Y)$. By (2), $f^{-1}(1_Y \setminus W) = 1_X \setminus f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$. Thus $f^{-1}(W) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*C(X)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3): Let $U \in I^X$ and suppose that y_α be a fuzzy point in Y with $y_\alpha \notin m_{I^Y}\text{-}r\text{-ker}(f(U))$. Then there exists $V \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$ such that $f(U) \leq V$ and $y_\alpha \notin V$. Thus $V(y) < \alpha$. So $y_\alpha q(1_Y \setminus V) \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RC(Y)$ and $1_Y \setminus f(U) \geq 1_Y \setminus V$. Hence $f(U) \not\leq (1_Y \setminus V)$. Therefore $U \not\leq f^{-1}(1_Y \setminus V)$. By (2), $f^{-1}(1_Y \setminus V) = 1_X \setminus f^{-1}(V) \in$

$m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$. By Lemma 3.22(2), $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clU \not\subseteq q(1_X \setminus f^{-1}(U))$. Then $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clU \leq f^{-1}(V)$, i.e., $f(m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clU) \leq V$. Thus $1_Y \setminus f(m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clU) \geq 1_Y \setminus V$. So $1 - f(m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clU)(y) > 1 - V(y) > 1 - \alpha$, i.e., $\alpha > f(m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clU)(y)$. Hence $y_\alpha \notin f(m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clU)$. Therefore, $f(m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clU) \leq m_{IY}\text{-}r\text{-}ker(f(U))$.

(3) \Rightarrow (4): Let $A \in I^Y$. Then $f^{-1}(A) \in I^X$. By (3), $f(m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clf^{-1}(A)) \leq m_{IY}\text{-}r\text{-}ker(A)$. Then $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}r\text{-}ker(A))$.

(4) \Rightarrow (1): Let $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$. By (4), $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}r\text{-}ker(A)) = f^{-1}(A)$. But $f^{-1}(A) \leq m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(A))$ and thus $f^{-1}(A) = m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(A))$. So $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*C(X)$.

(5) \Rightarrow (6). Let $P \in I^X$ and x_α be any fuzzy point in X such that $x_\alpha \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clP$ and let $G \in m_{IY}\text{-}SO(Y)$ with $f(x_\alpha)qG$. By (5), there exists $U \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ with $x_\alpha qU$, $f(U) \leq m_{IY}\text{-}clG$. As $x_\alpha \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clP$, by Lemma 3.22(1), UqP and so $f(U)qf(P)$. Then $f(P)qm_{IY}\text{-}clG \Rightarrow f(x_\alpha) \in m_{IY}\text{-}\theta\text{-}scl(f(P))$. Thus $f(m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}clP) \leq m_{IY}\text{-}\theta\text{-}scl(f(P))$.

(6) \Rightarrow (7): Let $R \in I^Y$. By (6), $f(m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(R))) \leq m_{IY}\text{-}\theta\text{-}scl(f(f^{-1}(R))) \leq m_{IY}\text{-}\theta\text{-}sclR$. Then $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}\theta\text{-}sclR)$.

(7) \Rightarrow (5): Let x_α be any fuzzy point in X and $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}SO(Y)$ with $f(x_\alpha)qA$. Since, $(m_{IY}\text{-}clA) \not\subseteq (1_Y \setminus m_{IY}\text{-}clA)$, by definition $f(x_\alpha) \notin m_{IY}\text{-}\theta\text{-}scl(1_Y \setminus m_{IY}\text{-}clA)$. Then $x_\alpha \notin f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}\theta\text{-}scl(1_Y \setminus m_{IY}\text{-}clA))$. By (7), $x_\alpha \notin m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(1_Y \setminus m_{IY}\text{-}clA))$. Thus there exists $U \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ with $x_\alpha qU$, $U \not\subseteq f^{-1}(1_Y \setminus m_{IY}\text{-}clA)$. So $f(U) \not\subseteq (1_Y \setminus m_{IY}\text{-}clA)$. Hence $f(U) \leq m_{IY}\text{-}clA$.

(7) \Rightarrow (8): Let $A \in m_{IY}$. By (7), $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}\theta\text{-}sclA)$.

(8) \Rightarrow (9): It follows from Lemma 3.22(7).

(9) \Rightarrow (10): It follows from Lemma 3.22(3).

(10) \Rightarrow (1): Let $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$. By (10), $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}int(m_{IY}\text{-}clA)) = f^{-1}(A)$. Then $f^{-1}(A) \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*C(X)$. Thus f is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous.

(1) \Rightarrow (10): Let $A \in m_{IY}$. Then $m_{IY}\text{-}int(m_{IY}\text{-}clA) \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$. By (1), $f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}int(m_{IY}\text{-}clA)) \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*C(X)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(A)) &\leq m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}int(m_{IY}\text{-}clA))) \\ &= f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}int(m_{IY}\text{-}clA)). \end{aligned}$$

(10) \Rightarrow (9): It follows from lemma 3.22(3).

(9) \Rightarrow (8): It follows from Lemma 3.22(7).

(7) \Rightarrow (1): Let $R \in m_{IY}\text{-}RO(Y)$. By (7), $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}cl(f^{-1}(R)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}\theta\text{-}sclR) = f^{-1}(R)$. Then $f^{-1}(R) \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*C(X)$. Thus f is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous.

(5) \Rightarrow (12): Let $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}SO(Y)$ and x_α be any fuzzy point in X such that $x_\alpha qf^{-1}(A)$. Then $f(x_\alpha)qA$. By (5), there exists $U \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ such that $x_\alpha qU$, $f(U) \leq m_{IY}\text{-}clA$. Thus $x_\alpha qU \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}clA)$. So $x_\alpha qm_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}int(f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}clA))$, as $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}int(f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}clA))$ is the union of all fuzzy $m_{IX}\text{-}e^*$ -open sets in X contained in $f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}clA)$. Hence $f^{-1}(A) \leq m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}int(f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}clA))$.

(12) \Rightarrow (5): Let x_α be any fuzzy point in X and $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}SO(Y)$ with $f(x_\alpha)qA$. Then $x_\alpha qf^{-1}(A) \leq m_{IX}\text{-}e^*\text{-}int(f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}clA))$ (by (12)) implies there exists $U \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ with $x_\alpha qU$, $U \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-}clA)$. Thus $f(U) \leq m_{IY}\text{-}clA$.

(11) \Rightarrow (12): Let $A \in m_{IY}\text{-}SO(Y)$ and x_α be any fuzzy point in X such that $x_\alpha \in f^{-1}(A)$. Then $f(x_\alpha) \in A$. By (11), there exists $U \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ with $x_\alpha \in U$

and $f(U) \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}A$. Thus $U \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}A)$. So $x_\alpha \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-int}(f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}A))$. Hence $f^{-1}(A) \leq m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-int}(f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}A))$.

(12) \Rightarrow (11): Let x_α be any fuzzy point in X and $A \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y)$ with $f(x_\alpha) \in A$. Then $x_\alpha \in f^{-1}(A) \leq m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-int}(f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}A))$ (by (12)) implies there exists $U \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ with $x_\alpha \in U$ and $U \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}A)$. Thus $f(U) \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}A$.

(1) \Rightarrow (13): Let $A \in m_{I^Y}$. Then $m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}A) \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$. By (1), $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}A)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*C(X)$.

(13) \Rightarrow (1): Let $A \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$. Then $A \in m_{I^Y}$. By (13), $f^{-1}(A) = f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}A)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*C(X)$.

(12) \Rightarrow (2): Let $F \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RC(Y)$. Then $F \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y)$. By (12), $f^{-1}(F) \leq m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-int}(f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}F)) = m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-int}(f^{-1}(F))$.

(2) \Rightarrow (14): Let $F \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RC(Y)$. By (2), $f^{-1}(F) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$. But $f^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}F))$. Then $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}F)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$.

(14) \Rightarrow (2): Let $F \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RC(Y)$. By (14), $f^{-1}(F) = f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}F)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$.

(2) \Rightarrow (15): Let $U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}\beta O(Y)$. Then $U \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U)) \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U$. Thus $m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U))) = m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U)) \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U) = m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U \Rightarrow m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U = m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U))$. So $m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RC(Y)$. Hence by (2), $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$.

(15) \Rightarrow (16): Since $m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y) \subseteq m_{I^Y}\text{-}\beta O(Y)$, by (15), $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$, for all $U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y)$.

(16) \Rightarrow (17): Let $U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}PO(Y)$. Then $U \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U)$. We claim that $m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U) \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$. Indeed,

$m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U) \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U))) \leq m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U)$. Thus $m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U) = m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U))) \Rightarrow m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U) \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$. So $1_Y \setminus m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U) \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RC(Y)$. Hence $1_Y \setminus m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U) \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y)$. By (16), $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}(1_Y \setminus m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U))) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$. Thus $1_X \setminus f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U))) = 1_X \setminus f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*O(X)$. So $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*C(X)$.

(17) \Rightarrow (1): Let $U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}RO(Y)$. Then $U \in m_{I^Y}\text{-}PO(Y)$. By (17), $f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*C(X)$. Thus $f^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-int}(m_{I^Y}\text{-cl}U)) \in m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*C(X)$. So (1) holds.

(15) \Leftrightarrow (18): The proof follows from Lemma 3.22(5).

(15) \Leftrightarrow (19): The proof follow from Lemma 3.22(6).

(7) \Rightarrow (20): Obvious.

(20) \Rightarrow (8): Let $A \in m_{I^Y}$. Since $m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y) \supseteq m_{I^Y}$, by (20), $m_{I^X}\text{-}e^*\text{-cl}(f^{-1}(A)) \leq f^{-1}(m_{I^Y}\text{-}\theta\text{-scl}A)$.

(7) \Rightarrow (22): Obvious.

(22) \Rightarrow (20): Since $m_{I^Y}\text{-}SO(Y) \subseteq m_{I^Y}\text{-}\beta O(Y)$, the result follows.

(7) \Rightarrow (21). Obvious.

(21) \Rightarrow (8): Since $m_{I^Y} \subseteq m_{I^Y}\text{-}PO(Y)$, the result follows. \square

Remark 4.21. In a similar manner we can characterize fuzzy (e, r) -continuous (resp., fuzzy (a, r) -continuous) function by changing e^* by e (resp., by a) in the Theorem 4.20.

5. Fuzzy compact sets and fuzzy s -closed sets in fuzzy m -Space

Definition 5.1 ([5, 4]). Let A be a fuzzy set in X . A collection \mathcal{U} of fuzzy sets in X is called a fuzzy cover of A , if $\sup\{U(x) : U \in \mathcal{U}\} = 1$, for each $x \in \text{supp}A$. In particular, if $A = 1_X$, we get the definition of fuzzy cover of X .

Definition 5.2 ([5, 4]). A fuzzy cover \mathcal{U} of a fuzzy set A in X is said to have a finite subcover \mathcal{U}_0 , if \mathcal{U}_0 is a finite subcollection of \mathcal{U} such that $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_0 \geq A$, i.e., \mathcal{U}_0 is also a fuzzy cover of A . In particular, if $A = 1_X$, we get $\bigcup \mathcal{U}_0 = 1_X$.

Definition 5.3. A fuzzy set A in a fuzzy m -space (X, m_{IX}) is said to be fuzzy m -compact (resp., fuzzy m - e^* -compact, fuzzy m - e -compact, fuzzy m - a -compact), if every fuzzy covering \mathcal{U} of A by fuzzy m_{IX} -open (resp., fuzzy m_{IX} - $e^*O(X)$, fuzzy m_{IX} - $eO(X)$, fuzzy m_{IX} - $aO(X)$) sets in X has a finite subcovering \mathcal{U}_0 of \mathcal{U} . In particular, if $A = 1_X$, we get the definition of fuzzy m -compact (resp., fuzzy m - e^* -compact, fuzzy m - e -compact, fuzzy m - a -compact) space.

Since every fuzzy m_{IX} -open (resp., fuzzy m_{IX} - e -open, fuzzy m_{IX} - a -open) set is fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -open (resp., fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -open, fuzzy m_{IX} - e -open), the following theorem is obvious.

Theorem 5.4. Let (X, m_{IX}) be a fuzzy m -space and $A \in I^X$.

- (1) If A is fuzzy m - e^* -compact, then A is fuzzy m -compact.
- (2) If A is fuzzy m - e^* -compact, then A is fuzzy m - e -compact.
- (3) If A is fuzzy m - e -compact, then A is fuzzy m - a -compact.

Definition 5.5. A fuzzy m -space (X, m_{IX}) is said to be fuzzy m - s -closed, if for every fuzzy covering of X by fuzzy m_{IX} -regular closed sets in X contains a finite subcovering.

Theorem 5.6. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be surjective, fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous function. If X is fuzzy m - e^* -compact space, then Y is fuzzy m - s -closed space.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a fuzzy covering of Y by fuzzy m_{IY} -regular closed sets of Y . As f is fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous, $\mathcal{V} = \{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ covers X by fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -open sets of X . As X is fuzzy m - e^* -compact, there exists a finite subset Λ_0 of Λ such that $1_X = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. Then $1_Y = f(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} f(f^{-1}(U_\alpha)) \leq$

$\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda_0} U_\alpha$. Thus Y is fuzzy m - s -closed space. □

In a similar manner we can easily state the following two theorems the proof of which are similar to that of Theorem 5.6.

Theorem 5.7. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be surjective, fuzzy (e, r) -continuous function. If X is fuzzy m - e -compact space, then Y is fuzzy m - s -closed space.

Theorem 5.8. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be surjective, fuzzy (a, r) -continuous function. If X is fuzzy m - a -compact space, then Y is fuzzy m - s -closed space.

Theorem 5.9. *Every fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -closed set A in a fuzzy m - e^* -compact space X is fuzzy m - e^* -compact.*

Proof. Let A be a fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -closed set in a fuzzy m - e^* -compact space X . Let \mathcal{U} be a fuzzy covering of A by fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -open sets in X . Then $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{U} \cup (1_X \setminus A)$ is a fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -open covering of X . By hypothesis, there exists a finite subcollection \mathcal{V}_0 of \mathcal{V} which also covers X . If \mathcal{V}_0 contains $1_X \setminus A$, we omit it and get a finite subcovering of A . Consequently, A is fuzzy m - e^* -compact. \square

Similarly we can easily state the following two theorems the proof of which are similar to that of Theorem 5.9.

Theorem 5.10. *Every fuzzy m_{IX} - e -closed set A in a fuzzy m - e -compact space X is fuzzy m - e -compact.*

Theorem 5.11. *Every fuzzy m_{IX} - a -closed set A in a fuzzy m - a -compact space X is fuzzy m - a -compact.*

Theorem 5.12. *Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be fuzzy e^* -continuous function. If A is fuzzy m - e^* -compact relative to X , then the image $f(A)$ is fuzzy m -compact relative to Y .*

Proof. Let A be fuzzy m - e^* -compact relative to X and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a fuzzy covering of $f(A)$ by fuzzy m_{IY} -open sets of Y , i.e., $f(A) \leq \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$. Then $A \leq f^{-1}(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Lambda} f^{-1}(U_\alpha)$. Thus $\mathcal{V} = \{f^{-1}(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ is a fuzzy covering of A by fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -open sets in X . As A is fuzzy m - e^* -compact relative to X , there exists a finite subcollection $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ of \mathcal{V} such that $A \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})$. So $f(A) \leq f(\bigvee_{i=1}^n f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n f(f^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i})) \leq \bigvee_{i=1}^n U_{\alpha_i}$. Hence $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{U_{\alpha_i} : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a finite subcovering of $f(A)$. Therefore the result holds. \square

Similarly we can easily state the following two theorems the proof of which are similar to that of Theorem 5.12.

Theorem 5.13. *Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces where (X, m_{IX}) is fuzzy m_{IX} - e - $T_{1/2}$ -space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be fuzzy e^* -continuous function. If A is fuzzy m - e -compact relative to X , then the image $f(A)$ is fuzzy m -compact relative to Y .*

Theorem 5.14. *Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces where (X, m_{IX}) is fuzzy m_{IX} - a - $T_{1/2}$ -space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be fuzzy e^* -continuous function. If A is fuzzy m - a -compact relative to X , then the image $f(A)$ is fuzzy m -compact relative to Y .*

Definition 5.15. Let (X, m_{IX}) be a fuzzy m -space. Then X is said to be fuzzy m_{IX} - T_2 (resp., fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* - T_2 , fuzzy m_{IX} - e - T_2 , fuzzy m_{IX} - a - T_2) space, if for each pair of distinct fuzzy points x_α, y_β ; when $x \neq y$, there exist fuzzy m_{IX} -open (resp., fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -open, fuzzy m_{IX} - e -open, fuzzy m_{IX} - a -open) sets U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 in X

such that $x_\alpha \in U_1, y_\beta qV_1$ and $U_1 \not/qV_1$ and $x_\alpha qU_2, y_\beta \in V_2$ and $U_2 \not/qV_2$; when $x = y, \alpha < \beta$ (say), there exist fuzzy m_{IX} -open (resp., fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* -open, fuzzy m_{IX} - e -open, fuzzy m_{IX} - a -open) sets U, V in X such that $x_\alpha \in U, y_\beta qV$ and $U \not/qV$.

Definition 5.16. A fuzzy m -space (X, m_{IX}) is said to be fuzzy s -Urysohn if for each pair of distinct fuzzy points x_α, y_β : when $x \neq y$, there exist fuzzy m_{IX} -semiopen sets U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 in X such that $x_\alpha \in U_1, y_\beta qV_1$ and $m_{IX}\text{-cl}U_1 \not/qm_{IX}\text{-cl}V_1$ and $x_\alpha qU_2, y_\beta \in V_2$ and $m_{IX}\text{-cl}U_2 \not/qm_{IX}\text{-cl}V_2$; when $x = y, \alpha < \beta$ (say), there exist fuzzy m_{IX} -semiopen sets U, V in X such that $x_\alpha \in U, y_\beta qV$ and $m_{IX}\text{-cl}U \not/qm_{IX}\text{-cl}V$.

Theorem 5.17. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be injective fuzzy (e^*, r) -continuous function and Y is fuzzy s -Urysohn space. Then X is fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* - T_2 .

Proof. Let x_α and y_β be two distinct fuzzy points in X where $x \neq y$. Since f is injective, $f(x_\alpha) \neq f(y_\beta)$. Since Y is fuzzy s -Urysohn, there exist fuzzy m_{IY} -semiopen sets U_1, U_2, V_1, V_2 in Y such that $f(x_\alpha) \in U_1, f(y_\beta) qV_1$ and $m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_1 \not/qm_{IY}\text{-cl}V_1$ and $f(x_\alpha) qU_2, f(y_\beta) \in V_2$ and $m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_2 \not/qm_{IY}\text{-cl}V_2$. By Theorem 4.20, there exist $W_1, W_2 \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ such that $x_\alpha \in W_1, W_1 \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_1), y_\beta qW_2, W_2 \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-cl}V_1)$ or $x_\alpha qW_2, W_2 \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_2), y_\beta \in W_1, W_1 \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-cl}V_2)$. We claim that $W_1 \not/qW_2$. Indeed, $m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_1 \not/qm_{IY}\text{-cl}V_1$ and $m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_2 \not/qm_{IY}\text{-cl}V_2$. Then $f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_1) \not/qf^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-cl}V_1)$ and $f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_2) \not/qf^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-cl}V_2)$.

Similarly, when $x = y, \alpha < \beta$ (say), there exist $U_1, U_2 \in m_{IY}\text{-}SO(Y)$ such that $f(x_\alpha) \in U_1, f(y_\beta) qU_2$ and $m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_1 \not/qm_{IY}\text{-cl}U_2$. By Theorem 4.20, there exist $W_1, W_2 \in m_{IX}\text{-}e^*O(X)$ such that $x_\alpha \in W_1, W_1 \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_1), y_\beta qW_2, W_2 \leq f^{-1}(m_{IY}\text{-cl}U_2)$. Thus as above, $W_1 \not/qW_2$. So X is fuzzy m_{IX} - e^* - T_2 -space. \square

Similarly we can easily state the following two theorems the proof of which are similar to that of Theorem 5.17

Theorem 5.18. Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be injective, fuzzy (e, r) -continuous function and Y is fuzzy s -Urysohn space. Then X is fuzzy m_{IX} - e - T_2 .

Theorem 5.19. . Let (X, m_{IX}) and (Y, m_{IY}) be two fuzzy m -spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be injective, fuzzy (a, r) -continuous function and Y is fuzzy s -Urysohn space. Then X is fuzzy m_{IX} - a - T_2 .

Acknowledgements. The author acknowledges the financial support from UGC (Minor Research Project), New Delhi.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Alimohammady and M. Roohi, Fuzzy minimal structure and fuzzy minimal vector spaces, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 27 (2006) 599–605.
- [2] A. Bhattacharyya, Fuzzy upper and lower M -continuous multifunctions, Vasile Alecsandri, University of Bacău, Faculty of Sciences, Scientific Studies and Research, Series Mathematics and Informatics 21 (2) (2015) 125–144.
- [3] M. Brescan, On quasi-irresolute functions in fuzzy minimal structures, BULETINUL Universității Petrol Gaze din Ploiești, Seria Matematică-Informatică-Fizică, LXII (1) (2010) 19–25.

- [4] C. L. Chang; Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 24 (1968) 182–190.
- [5] S. Ganguly and S. Saha; A note on compactness in fuzzy setting, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 34 (1990) 117–124.
- [6] M.J. Nematollahi and M. Roohi, Fuzzy minimal structures and fuzzy minimal subspaces, *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 27 (2010) 147–156.
- [7] Pao Ming Pu and Ying Ming Liu, Fuzzy topology I. Neighbourhood structure of a fuzzy point and Moore-Smith Convergence, *J. Math Anal. Appl.* 76 (1980) 571–599.
- [8] L. A. Zadeh; *Fuzzy Sets, Informatin and Control* 8 (1965) 338–353.

ANJANA BHATTACHARYYA (anjanabhattacharyya@hotmail.com)
Department of Mathematics, Victoria Institution (College), 78 B, A.P.C.Road, Kolkata
- 700009, India