Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 13, No. 1, (January 2017), pp. 63–71 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr

© FMI © Kyung Moon Sa Co. http://www.kyungmoon.com

# Soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed sets

A. M. Abd El-latif

Received 24 Aprilr 2016; Revised 24 June 2016; Accepted 21 July 2016

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the concept of soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed sets (soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed for short) in a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$  and study their properties in detail. The relationship between soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed sets and other existing soft sets have been investigated. It has been pointed out in this paper that many of these parameters studied have, in fact, applications in real world situations and therefore I believe that this is an extra justification for the work conducted in this paper.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A05, 54A40, 54B05, 06D72

Keywords: Supra soft topological space, Soft supra strongly generalized closed set, Soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed set.

Corresponding Author: Alaa Mohamed Abd El-latif (alaa\_8560@yahoo.com)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1970, Levine [13] introduced the notion of g-closed sets in topological spaces as a generalization of closed sets. Indeed ideals are very important tools in general topology. In 1983, Mashhour et al. [14] introduced the supra topological spaces, not only, as a generalization to the class of topological spaces, but also, these spaces were easier in the application as shown in [4]. In 2001, Popa et al. [16] generalized the supra topological spaces to the minimal spaces and generalized spaces as a new wider classes. In 2001, El-Sheikh [8] succeed to use the fuzzy supra topology to study some topological properties to the fuzzy bitopological spaces. In 2007, Arpad Szaz [5] succeed to introduce an application on the minimal spaces and generalized spaces. In 1987, Abd El-Monsef et al. [3] introduced the fuzzy supra topological spaces.

The notions of supra soft topological spaces were first introduced by El-Sheikh et al. [7]. Recently, Kandil et al. [12] introduced the concept of soft supra g-closed soft sets in supra soft topological spaces, which is generalized in [1]. Here, we used the concept of supra soft closure, supra soft interior and supra semi open soft sets to

define the notion of soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed (resp. open) sets. The relationship between soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed sets and other existing soft sets have been investigated. Furthermore, the union and intersection of two soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed (resp. open) sets have been obtained.

### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we present the basic definitions and results of soft set theory, which may be found in earlier studies. Throughout this paper, X will be a nonempty initial universe set and E will be the set of all parameters, which are often attributes, characteristics or properties of the objects in X. Let P(X) be the power set of X. Then, the soft set over X is defined as follows:

**Definition 2.1** ([15]). Let A be a non-empty subset of E. A pair (F, A) denoted by  $F_A$  is called a soft set over X, where  $F : A \to P(X)$ .

In other words, a soft set over X is a parameterized family of subsets of the universe X. For a particular  $e \in A$ , F(e) may be considered the set of e-approximate elements of the soft set (F, A) and if  $e \notin A$ , then  $F(e) = \varphi$ , i.e.,

$$F_A = \{(e, F(e)) : e \in A \subseteq E, F : A \to P(X)\}.$$

The family of all these soft sets denoted by  $SS(X)_A$ . Clearly, a soft set is not a crisp set.

**Definition 2.2** ([17]). Let  $\tau$  be a collection of soft sets over a universe X with a fixed set of parameters E, then  $\tau \subseteq SS(X)_E$  is called a soft topology on X, if

(i)  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{\varphi} \in \tau$ , where  $\tilde{\varphi}(e) = \varphi$  and  $\tilde{X}(e) = X$ ,  $\forall e \in E$ ,

(ii) the union of any number of soft sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ ,

(iii) the intersection of any two soft sets in  $\tau$  belongs to  $\tau$ .

The triplet  $(X, \tau, E)$  is called a soft topological space over X. The members of  $\tau$  are said to be open soft sets in X. We denote the set of all open soft sets over X by  $OS(X, \tau, E)$ , or OS(X) and the set of all closed soft sets by  $CS(X, \tau, E)$ , or CS(X).

**Definition 2.3** ([9, 10]). Let  $(X, \tau, E)$  be a soft topological space and  $(F, E) \in SS(X)_E$ . Then, (F, E) is said to be a semi open soft set, if  $(F, E)\subseteq cl(int(F, E))$ . The set of all semi open soft sets is denoted by SOS(X) and the set of all semi closed soft sets is denoted by SCS(X).

**Definition 2.4** ([7]). Let  $\tau$  be a collection of soft sets over a universe X with a fixed set of parameters E. Then  $\mu \subseteq SS(X)_E$  is called supra soft topology on X with a fixed set E, if

(i)  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{\varphi} \in \mu$ ,

(ii) the union of any number of soft sets in  $\mu$  belongs to  $\mu$ .

The triplet  $(X, \mu, E)$  is called supra soft topological space (or supra soft spaces) over X.

**Definition 2.5** ([7]). Let  $(X, \mu, E)$  be a supra soft topological space and  $(F, E) \in SS(X)_E$ . Then, (F, E) is said to be a supra semi open soft set, if  $(F, E) \subseteq cl^s(int^s(F, E))$ . The set of all supra semi open soft sets is denoted by supra-SOS(X) and the set of all semi closed soft sets is denoted by supra-SCS(X).

**Definition 2.6** ([12]). A soft set (F, E) is called soft supra generalized closed set (soft supra g-closed) in a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$  if  $cl^s(F, E) \subseteq (G, E)$  whenever  $(F, E) \subseteq (G, E)$  and (G, E) is supra open soft in X.

**Definition 2.7** ([18]). A soft set (F, E) is called supra regular open [resp. closed] soft set in a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$ , if  $int^s(cl^s(F, E)) = (F, E)$  [resp.  $cl^s(int^s(F, E)) = (F, E)$ ]. The set of all supra regular open soft sets is denoted by supra-ROS(X) and the set of all supra regular closed soft sets is denoted by supra-RCS(X). Also, it is called soft supra regular generalized closed (soft supra rg-closed) in a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$  if  $cl^s(F, E) \subseteq (G, E)$ , whenever  $(F, E) \subseteq (G, E)$  and (G, E) is supra regular open soft in X.

**Definition 2.8** ([2]). A soft set (F, E) is called a supra semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed soft set (supra semi<sup>\*</sup>g-closed soft) in a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$ , if  $cl^{s}(F, E) \subseteq (G, E)$  whenever  $(F, E) \subseteq (G, E)$  and (G, E) is supra semi open soft in X.

#### 3. Soft supra strongly semi\* generalized closed sets

The notions of soft supra generalized closed sets in a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$  [7], were first introduced by Kandil et al. [12], which are generalized in [1]. In this section, we introduce and study the concept of soft strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed sets in supra soft topological spaces.

**Definition 3.1.** A soft set (F, E) is called soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed set (soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed) in a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$ , if  $cl^s(int^s(F, E)) \subseteq (G, E)$ , whenever  $(F, E) \subseteq (G, E)$  and (G, E) is supra semi open soft in X.

**Example 3.1.** Suppose that there are three phones in the universe X given by  $X = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$ . Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  be the set of decision parameters which are stands for "expensive" and "android" respectively.

Let  $(G_1, E), (G_2, E)$  be two soft sets over the common universe X, which describe the composition of the phones, which Mr. Z are going to buy, where:

 $G_1(e_1) = \{p_2, p_3\}, \quad G_1(e_2) = \{p_1, p_2\},$ 

 $G_2(e_1) = \{p_1, p_2\}, \quad G_2(e_2) = \{p_1, p_3\}.$ 

Then,  $\mu = \{X, \tilde{\varphi}, (G_1, E), (G_2, E)\}$  is a supra soft topology over X. Thus, the soft sets  $(F_1, E), (F_2, E)$ , where:

 $F_1(e_1) = \{p_2, p_3\}, \quad F_1(e_2) = \{p_1, p_3\},\$ 

 $F_2(e_1) = \{p_1, p_2\}, \quad F_2(e_2) = \{p_1, p_2\}.$ 

are soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed in  $(X, \mu, E)$ , but the soft sets  $(G_1, E), (G_2, E)$  are not soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed.

**Remark 3.1.** The soft intersection (resp. soft union) of any two soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed sets needs not to be a soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed in general as shown in the following examples.

**Example 3.2.** Suppose that there are four alternatives in the universe of cars  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and consider  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  be the set of decision parameters which are stands for "quality of cars" and "expensive" respectively. Let  $(F_1, E), (F_2, E), (F_3, E), (F_4, E), (F_5, E), (F_6, E), (F_7, E), (F_8, E), (F_9, E), (F_{10}, E), (F$   $(F_{11}, E), (F_{12}, E)$  be twelve soft sets over the common universe X, which describe the goodness of the cars which Mr. A are going to buy, where:

$$\begin{split} F_2(e_1) &= \{a, d\}, \ F_2(e_2) = \{a, d\}, \\ F_3(e_1) &= \{d\}, \ F_3(e_2) = \{a\}, \\ F_4(e_1) &= \{a, b\}, \ F_4(e_2) = \{b, d\}, \\ F_5(e_1) &= \{b, d\}, \ F_5(e_2) = \{a, b\}, \\ F_6(e_1) &= \{a, b, c\}, \ F_6(e_2) = \{a, b, c\}, \\ F_7(e_1) &= \{b, c, d\}, \ F_7(e_2) = \{b, c, d\}, \\ F_8(e_1) &= \{a, b, d\}, \ F_8(e_2) = \{a, b, d\}, \\ F_9(e_1) &= X, \ F_9(e_2) = \{a, b, c\}, \\ F_{10}(e_1) &= \{b, c, d\}, \ F_{10}(e_2) = X, \\ F_{11}(e_1) &= \{a, b, c\}, \ F_{11}(e_2) = X, \\ F_{12}(e_1) &= X, \ F_{12}(e_2) = \{b, c, d\}. \\ \end{split}$$
Then,  $\mu = \{\tilde{X}, \tilde{\varphi}, (F_1, E), (F_2, E), (F_3, E), (F_4, E), (F_5, E), (F_6, E), (F_7, E), (F_8, E), \\ (F_9, E), (F_{10}, E), (F_{11}, E), (F_{12}, E)\} \text{ is a supra soft topology over } X. Thus, the soft sets (H_1, E), (H_2, E) are soft supra strongly semi^* g-closed in (X, \mu, E), \text{ where:} \end{split}$ 

 $H_1(e_1) = \{a, b, c\}, H_1(e_2) = \{b, c, d\},\$ 

 $H_2(e_1) = \{a, b, d\}, H_2(e_2) = \{b, c, d\}.$ 

But, their soft intersection  $(H_1, E) \tilde{\cap} (H_2, E) = (Q, E)$ , where:  $Q(e_1) = \{a, b\}, Q(e_2) = \{b, c, d\},$ 

is not soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed.

 $F_1(e_1) = \{a\}, F_1(e_2) = \{d\},\$ 

On the other hand, the soft sets  $(G_1, E), (G_2, E)$  are soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> *q*-closed sets in  $(X, \mu, E)$ , where:

 $G_1(e_1) = \{d\}, \ G_1(e_2) = \{d\},\$ 

 $G_2(e_1) = \{a\}, G_2(e_2) = \{a\}.$ 

But, their soft union  $(G_1, E)\tilde{\cup}(G_2, E) = (P, E)$ , where:

 $P(e_1) = \{a, d\}, P(e_2) = \{a, d\},\$ 

is not soft supra strongly semi\* g-closed.

**Theorem 3.1.** Every supra closed soft set is a soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed in a supra soft topological space.

*Proof.* Let  $F_E \subseteq G_E$  and  $G_E \in \text{supra-}SOS(X)$ . Since  $F_E$  is closed soft,

$$cl^s(int^s(F_E)) \tilde{\subseteq} cl^s(F_E) = F_E \tilde{\subseteq} G_E$$

Then,  $F_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed.

**Remark 3.2.** The converse of the above theorem is not true in general as shall shown in the following example.

**Example 3.3.** In Example 3.1, the soft sets  $(F_1, E), (F_2, E)$  are soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed in  $(X, \mu, E)$ , but not supra closed soft.

**Theorem 3.2.** If a soft subset  $F_E$  of a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$  is both supra semi open soft and soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed, then it is supra regular closed soft set.

*Proof.* Since  $F_E$  is supra semi open soft and soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed,

$$cl^{s}(int^{s}(F_{E})) \tilde{\subseteq} F_{E} \tilde{\subseteq} cl^{s}(int^{s}(F_{E})).$$
  
66

Then,  $F_E$  is supra regular closed soft set.

**Theorem 3.3.** In a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$ , supra-SOS(X) = supra-RCS(X) if and only if each soft set is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed.

Proof. Necessity: Let  $F_E$  be any soft subset of  $\tilde{X}$  such that  $F_E \subseteq G_E$ , where  $G_E \in$  supra-SOS(X). Then, by hypothesis,  $G_E \in$  supra-RCS(X). Thus,  $cl^s(int^s(F_E)) \subseteq cl^s(int^s(G_E)) = G_E$ . So,  $F_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed.

Sufficient: Let  $O_E \in \text{supra-}SOS(X)$ . From the necessary condition,  $O_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed. Then,  $cl^s(int^s(O_E))\subseteq O_E \subseteq cl^s(int^s(O_E))$ . This implies that,  $O_E \in \text{supra-}RCS(X)$ . Thus,  $\text{supra-}SOS(X) \subseteq \text{supra-}RCS(X)$ . But, we have  $\text{supra-}RCS(X) \subseteq \text{supra-}SOS(X)$ . This means that, supra-SOS(X) = supra-RCS(X).  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $(X, \mu, E)$  be a supra soft topological space and  $F_E$  be a soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed in X. If  $F_E \subseteq H_E \subseteq cl^s(int^s(F_E))$ , then  $H_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed.

*Proof.* Let  $H_E \subseteq G_E$  and  $G_E \in \text{supra-}SOS(X)$ . Since  $F_E \subseteq H_E \subseteq G_E$  and  $F_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed in X,  $cl^s(int^s(F_E)) \subseteq G_E$ . Thus,

$$cl^{s}(int^{s}(H_{E})) = cl^{s}(int^{s}(F_{E})) \subseteq G_{E}.$$

So,  $H_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed.

**Theorem 3.5.** A soft subset  $H_E$  of a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed if and only if  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E$  contains only null supra semi closed soft set.

*Proof.* Necessity: Let  $H_E$  be a soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed set, let  $F_E$  be a non-null supra semi closed soft and  $F_E \subseteq cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E$ . Then,  $F_E \subseteq H_E^{\tilde{c}}$  implies that  $H_E \subseteq F_E^{\tilde{c}}$ . Since  $H_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed and  $F_E^{\tilde{c}}$  is supra semi open soft,  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \subseteq F_E^{\tilde{c}}$ . Thus,  $F_E \subseteq [cl^s(int^s(H_E))]^{\tilde{c}}$ . So,

$$F_E \tilde{\subseteq} cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \tilde{\cap} [cl^s(int^s(H_E))]^{\tilde{c}} = \tilde{\varphi}.$$

Hence,  $F_E = \tilde{\varphi}$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E$  contains only null supra semi closed soft set.

Sufficient: Assume that  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E$  contains only null supra semi closed soft set,  $H_E \subseteq G_E$ , where  $G_E$  is supra semi open soft set. Suppose  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \notin G_E$ . Then,  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \cap G_E^{\tilde{c}}$  is a non-null supra semi closed soft subset of  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E$ . This is a contradiction. Thus,  $H_E$  is a soft supra strongly semi\* g-closed.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.1.** A soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed  $H_E$  is supra regular closed soft if and only if  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E$  is supra semi closed soft and  $H_E \tilde{\subseteq} cl^s(int^s(H_E))$ .

*Proof.* Necessity: Sine  $H_E$  is supra regular closed soft,  $H_E = cl^s(int^s(H_E))$ . Then,  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E = \tilde{\varphi}$  is supra semi closed soft.

Sufficient: Assume that  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E$  is supra semi closed soft. Since  $H_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed,  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E$  contains only null supra semi closed soft set from Theorem 3.5. Since  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E$  is supra semi closed soft, it follows that,  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \setminus H_E = \tilde{\varphi}$ , implies that  $cl^s(int^s(H_E)) \subseteq H_E$ . But,

 $H_E \subseteq cl^s(int^s(H_E))$  from the necessary condition. Thus,  $H_E = cl^s(int^s(H_E))$  and  $H_E$  is supra regular closed soft.

#### 4. Soft supra strongly semi\* generalized open sets

**Definition 4.1.** A soft set  $F_E$  is called soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open set (soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open) in a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$ , if its relative complement  $F_E^{\tilde{c}}$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed.

**Example 4.1.** In Example 3.1, the soft sets  $(F_1, E)^{\tilde{c}}$ ,  $(F_2, E)^{\tilde{c}}$  are soft supra strongly semi\* *g*-open, where  $(F_1, E)^{\tilde{c}}$  and  $(F_2, E)^{\tilde{c}}$  are defined by:

$$\begin{array}{ll} F_1^{\tilde{c}}(e_1) = \{p_1\}, & F_1^{\tilde{c}}(e_2) = \{p_2\}, \\ F_2^{\tilde{c}}(e_1) = \{p_3\}, & F_2^{\tilde{c}}(e_2) = \{p_3\}. \end{array}$$

**Theorem 4.1.** A soft set  $G_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open set if and only if  $F_E \subseteq int^s(cl^s(G_E))$  whenever  $F_E \subseteq G_E$  and  $F_E$  is supra semi closed soft.

*Proof.* Necessity: Let  $F_E \subseteq G_E$  and  $F_E$  be supra semi closed soft in X. Then  $G_E^{\tilde{c}} \subseteq F_E^{\tilde{c}}$  and  $F_E^{\tilde{c}}$  is supra semi open soft. Since  $G_E^{\tilde{c}}$  is soft supra strongly semi\* g-closed,  $cl^s(int^s(G_E^{\tilde{c}})) \subseteq F_E^{\tilde{c}}$ . Thus,

$$F_E \tilde{\subseteq} [cl^s(int^s(G_E^{\tilde{c}}))]^{\tilde{c}} = int^s(cl^s(G_E)).$$

Sufficient: Let  $G_E^{\tilde{c}} \subseteq H_E$  and  $H_E$  be supra semi open soft in X. Then,  $H_E^{\tilde{c}} \subseteq G_E$ and  $H_E^{\tilde{c}}$  is supra semi closed soft in X. Thus,  $H_E^{\tilde{c}} \subseteq int^s(cl^s(G_E))$ , from the necessary condition. So,  $[int^s(cl^s(G_E))]^{\tilde{c}} = cl^s(int^s(G_E^{\tilde{c}})) \subseteq H_E$  and  $H_E$  is supra semi open soft in X. This shows that,  $G_E^{\tilde{c}}$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-closed in X. Hence,  $G_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open set.

**Remark 4.1.** The soft intersection (resp. soft union) of any two soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open sets is not soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open in general as shown in the following examples.

**Examples 4.1.** (1) In Example 3.2, the soft sets  $(L_1, E), (L_2, E)$  are soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open sets in  $(X, \mu, E)$ , where:

 $L_1(e_1) = \{a, b, c\}, L_1(e_2) = \{a, b, c\},\$ 

 $L_2(e_1) = \{b, c, d\}, \ L_2(e_2) = \{b, c, d\}.$ 

But, their soft intersection  $(L_1, E) \cap (L_2, E) = (J, E)$ , where:

 $J(e_1) = \{b, c\}, \ J(e_2) = \{b, c\},\$ 

is not soft supra strongly semi\* g-open.

(2) Suppose that there are two jobs in the universe X given by  $X = \{j_1, j_2\}$ . Let  $E = \{e_1, e_2\}$  be the set of decision parameters which are stands for "salary" and "position", respectively.

Let  $(G_1, E), (G_2, E), (G_3, E), (G_4, E)$  be four soft sets over the common universe X, which describe the details of the jobs which Mr. S are going to work, where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} G_1(e_1)=X, & G_1(e_2)=\{j_2\},\\ G_2(e_1)=\{j_1\}, & G_2(e_2)=X,\\ G_3(e_1)=\{j_1\}, & G_3(e_2)=\{j_2\},\\ G_4(e_1)=\{j_2\}, & G_4(e_2)=\{j_2\}.\\ \end{array}$  Then,  $\mu=\{\tilde{X},\tilde{\varphi},(G_1,E),(G_2,E),(G_3,E),(G_4,E)\}$  is a supra soft topology over X.

Thus, the soft sets  $(H_1, E), (H_2, E)$  are soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open in  $(X, \mu, E)$ , where:

 $F(e_1) = X, \quad F(e_2) = \{j_1\}, \\ H(e_1) = \{j_2\}, \quad H(e_2) = X. \\ (F, F) \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A})$ 

But, their soft intersection  $(F, E) \tilde{\cap} (H, E) = (A, E)$  where:

 $A(e_1) = \{j_2\}, \quad A(e_2) = \{j_1\},$ 

is not soft supra strongly semi\* g-open.

**Theorem 4.2.** Every supra open soft is a soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open.

*Proof.* Let  $A_E$  be a supra open soft set such that  $F_E \subseteq A_E$ , where  $F_E \in$  supra-SCS(X). Then,  $F_E \subseteq A_E = int^s(A_E) \subseteq int^s(cl^s(A_E))$ . Thus,  $A_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open.

**Remark 4.2.** The converse of the above theorem is not true in general as shown in the following example.

**Example 4.2.** In Example 3.1, the soft sets  $(F_1, E)^{\tilde{c}}$ ,  $(F_2, E)^{\tilde{c}}$  are soft supra strongly semi\* *g*-open in  $(X, \mu, E)$ , but not supra open soft over X, where:

 $\begin{array}{ll} F_1^{\tilde{c}}(e_1) = \{p_1\}, & F_1^{\tilde{c}}(e_2) = \{p_2\}, \\ F_2^{\tilde{c}}(e_1) = \{p_3\}, & F_2^{\tilde{c}}(e_2) = \{p_3\}. \end{array}$ 

**Theorem 4.3.** If a soft subset  $F_E$  of a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$  is both supra semi closed soft and soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open, then it is supra regular open soft.

*Proof.* Since  $F_E$  is supra semi closed soft and soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open, then

$$int^{s}(cl^{s}(F_{E})) \subseteq F_{E} \subseteq int^{s}(cl^{s}(F_{E})).$$

Thus,  $F_E$  is supra regular open soft.

**Theorem 4.4.** A soft subset  $H_E$  of a supra soft topological space  $(X, \mu, E)$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open if and only if  $H_E \setminus int^s(cl^s(H_E))$  contains only null supra semi open soft set.

*Proof.* It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.5.

**Corollary 4.1.** A soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open  $H_E$  is supra regular open soft if and only if  $H_E \setminus int^s(cl^s(H_E))$  is supra closed soft and  $H_E \subseteq int^s(cl^s(H_E))$ .

*Proof.* It is similar to the proof of Corollary 3.1.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $(X, \mu, E)$  be a supra soft topological space and  $F_E$  be a soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open in X. If  $int^s(cl^s(F_E)) \subseteq H_E \subseteq F_E$ , then  $H_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open.

*Proof.* Let  $G_E \subseteq H_E$  and  $G_E \in \text{supra-}SCS(X)$ . Since  $G_E \subseteq H_E \subseteq F_E$  and  $F_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open in X,  $G_E \subseteq int^s(cl^s(F_E))$ . Then,

$$G_E \subseteq int^s(cl^s(F_E)) \subseteq int^s(cl^s(H_E)).$$

Thus,  $H_E$  is soft supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> g-open.

## 5. Conclusion

In this paper, the notions of supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized closed sets and supra strongly semi<sup>\*</sup> generalized open sets have been introduced and investigated. In future, the generalization of these concepts by using the notions of soft ideals [11] will be introduced and the future research will be undertaken in this direction.

#### 6. Contribution

In Definition 3.1, if we exchange (G, E) to be a supra  $\alpha$ - (resp.  $\beta$ -, b-) open soft set [6, 7] instead of supra semi open soft set, we can get a similar results to which have been obtained here.

#### 7. Acknowledgements

The authors express their sincere thanks to the reviewers for their valuable suggestions. The authors are also thankful to the editors-in-chief and managing editors for their important comments which helped to improve the presentation of the paper.

#### References

- A. M. Abd El-latif, Soft supra strongly generalized closed sets, Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems 31 (2016) 1331–1317.
- [2] A. M. Abd El-latif, Supra semi\*generalized closed soft sets, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 10 (6) (2015) 955–1004.
- [3] M. E. Abd El-Monsef and A. E. Ramadan, On fuzzy supra topological spaces, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. 4 (18) (1987) 322–329.
- [4] Andreas Alpers, Digital Topology: Regular Sets and Root Images of the Cross-Median Filter, Journal of Mathematical Imaging and Vision 17 (2002) 7–14.
- [5] Arpad Szaz, Minimal structures, generalized topologies, and ascending systems should not be studied without generalized uniformities, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics University of Nis 21 (1) (2007) 87–97
- [6] S. A. El-Sheikh and A. M. Abd El-latif, Characterization of b-open soft sets in soft topological spaces, Journal of New Theory 2 (2015) 8–18.
- [7] S. A. El-Sheikh and A. M. Abd El-latif, Decompositions of some types of supra soft sets and soft continuity, International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology 9 (1) (2014) 37–56.
- [8] S. A. El-Sheikh, A new approach to fuzzy bitopological spaces, Inform. Sci. 137 (2001) 283–301.
- [9] A. Kandil, O. A. E. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. M. Abd El-latif, γ-operation and decompositions of some forms of soft continuity in soft topological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 7 (2) (2014) 181–196.
- [10] A. Kandil, O. A. E. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. M. Abd El-latif, Soft semi separation axioms and irresolute soft functions, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 8 (2) (2014) 305–318.
- [11] A. Kandil, O. A. E. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. M. Abd El-latif, Soft ideal theory, Soft local function and generated soft topological spaces, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 8 (4) (2014) 1595–1603.
- [12] A. Kandil, O. A. E. Tantawy, S. A. El-Sheikh and A. M. Abd El-latif, Supra generalized closed soft sets with respect to an soft ideal in supra soft topological spaces, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 8 (4) (2014) 1731–1740.
- [13] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 19 (2) (1970) 89–96.
- [14] A. S. Mashhour, A. A. Allam, F. S. Mahmoud and F. H. Khedr, On supra topological spaces, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math. 14 (4) (1983) 502–510.
- [15] D. Molodtsov, Soft set theory-firs tresults, Comput. Math. Appl. 37 (1999) 19–31.

- [16] V. Popa and T. Noiri, On the definitions of some generalized forms of continuity under minimal conditions, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math. Ser. 22 (2001) 9–19.
- [17] M. Shabir and M. Naz, On soft topological spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 61 (2011) 1786-1799.
- [18] Zehra Guzel Ergul and Saziye Yuksel, Supra regular generalized closed sets in supra soft topological spaces, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 11 (3) (2016) 349–360.

A. M. ABD EL-LATIF (Alaa\_8560@yahoo.com, Alaa.ali@nbu.edu.sa)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Ain Shams University, Roxy, 11341, Cairo, Egypt

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Northern Borders University, Rafha, KSA.