Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 12, No. 4, (October 2016), pp. 527–538 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr

©FMI © Kyung Moon Sa Co. http://www.kyungmoon.com

# Prime $\cdot$ -ideals and fuzzy prime $\cdot$ -ideals in *PMV*-algebras

S. SAIDI GORAGHANI, R. A. BORZOOEI

Received 4 March 2016; Revised 19 March 2016; Accepted 12 April 2016

ABSTRACT. In the present paper, by considering the notion of PMV-algebras, we present definition of prime  $\cdot$ -ideals in PMV-algebras and obtain some results on them. In addition, we introduce the notions of fuzzy  $\cdot$ -ideals and fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideals in PMV-algebras. Then by proving some theorems, we state some conditions to obtain fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideals.

2010 AMS Classification: 06D35, 08A72

Keywords: PMV-algebra, Prime --ideal, Fuzzy prime --ideal.

Corresponding Author: S. Saidi Goraghani (siminsaidi@yahoo.com)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

M V-algebras were defined by C. C. Chang [2, 3] as algebras corresponding to the Lukasiewicz infinite valued propositional calculus. These algebras have appeared in the literature under different names and polynomially equivalent presentation: CN-algebras, Wajsberg algebras, bounded commutative BCK-algebras and bricks. It is discovered that MV-algebras are naturally related to the Murray-von Neumann order of projections in operator algebras on Hilbert spaces and that they play an interesting role as invariants of approximately finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras. They are also naturally related to Ulam's searching games with lies. MV-algebras admit a natural lattice reduct and hence a natural order structure. Many important properties can be derived from the fact, established by Chang that nontrivial MV-algebras. To prove this fundamental result, Chang introduced the notion of prime ideal in an MV-algebra.

A product MV-algebra (or PMV-algebra, for short) is an MV-algebra which has an associative binary operation ".". It satisfies an extra property which will be explained in Preliminaries. PMV-algebras were introduced by A. Di Nola and A. Dvurečenskij in [5]. They also introduced --ideals in PMV-algebras. During the last years, PMV-algebras were considered and their equivalence with a certain class of l-rings with strong unit was proved. In 2014, F. Forouzesh, E. Eslami and A. Borumand Saeid defined  $\cdot$ -prime ideals in *PMV*-algebras [8].

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh for the first time [11]. Since then, many studies were performed about this subject and many researchers started working on the fuzzy algebraic structures. Recently, many papers were writed, too. For example, see [1, 10].

In this paper, we introduce the notions of prime  $\cdot$ -ideals and fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideals in PMV-algebras and prove some results on them. In fact, we open new fields to anyone that is interested to studying and development of fuzzy ideals in PMV-algebras.

#### 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we review related lemmas and theorems that we use in the next sections.

**Definition 2.1** ([4]). An *MV*-algebra is a structure  $M = (M, \oplus, ', 0)$  of type (2, 1, 0) such that:

(MV1)  $(M, \oplus, 0)$  is an Abelian monoid,

 $(MV2) \ (a')' = a,$ 

 $(MV3) \ 0' \oplus a = 0',$ 

 $(MV4) \ (a' \oplus b)' \oplus b = (b' \oplus a)' \oplus a.$ 

If we define the constant 1 = 0' and operations  $\odot$  and  $\ominus$  by  $a \odot b = (a' \oplus b')'$ ,  $a \ominus b = a \odot b'$ , then

 $(MV5) (a \oplus b) = (a' \odot b')',$ (MV6)  $x \oplus 1 = 1,$ 

$$(MV7) (a \ominus b) \oplus b = (b \ominus a) \oplus a,$$

$$(MV8) \ a \oplus a' = 1$$

for every  $a, b \in A$ .

It is clear that  $(M, \odot, 1)$  is an abelian monoid. Now, if we define auxiliary operations  $\lor$  and  $\land$  on M by  $a \lor b = (a \odot b') \oplus b$  and  $a \land b = a \odot (a' \oplus b)$ , for every  $a, b \in M$ , then  $(M, \lor, \land, 0)$  is a bounded distributive lattice.

In MV-algebra M, the following conditions are equivalent: for every  $a, b, c \in M$ , (i)  $a' \oplus b = 1$ .

(ii)  $a \odot b' = 0$ .

(iii)  $b = a \oplus (b \ominus a)$ .

(iv)  $\exists c \in A$  such that  $a \oplus c = b$ .

For any two elements a, b of MV-algebra  $M, a \leq b$  if and only if a, b satisfy in the above equivalent conditions (i)-(iv).

An ideal of MV-algebra M is a subset I of M, satisfying the following condition: for every  $x, y \in I$ ,

(I1)  $0 \in I$ ,

(I2)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \in I$  implies that  $x \in I$ ,

(I3)  $x \oplus y \in I$ .

A proper ideal I of M is a prime ideal if and only if  $x \ominus y \in I$  or  $y \ominus x \in I$  (or  $x \land y \in I$  implies that  $x \in I$  or  $y \in I$ ), for every  $x, y \in M$ .

In *MV*-algebra *M*, the distance function  $d: M \times M \to M$  is defined by  $d(x, y) = (x \ominus y) \oplus (y \ominus x)$  which satisfies

(i) d(x, y) = 0 if and only if x = y, (ii) d(x, y) = d(y, x), (iii)  $d(x, z) \le d(x, y) \oplus d(y, z)$ , (iv) d(x, y) = d(x', y'), (v)  $d(x \oplus z, y \oplus t) \le d(x, y) \oplus d(z, t)$ ,

for every  $x, y, z, t \in M$ .

Let *I* be an ideal of *MV*-algebra *M*. Then we denote  $x \sim y$  ( $x \equiv_I y$ ) if and only if  $d(x, y) \in I$ , for every  $x, y \in M$ . Thus  $\sim$  is a congruence relation on *M*. Denote the equivalence class containing x by  $\frac{x}{I}$  and  $\frac{M}{I} = \{\frac{x}{I} : x \in M\}$ . Then  $(\frac{M}{I}, \oplus, ', \frac{0}{I})$  is an *MV*-algebra, where  $(\frac{x}{I})' = \frac{x'}{I}$  and  $\frac{x}{I} \oplus \frac{y}{I} = \frac{x \oplus y}{I}$ , for all  $x, y \in M$ .

Let M and K be two  $MV\text{-algebras}. A mapping <math display="inline">f:M\to K$  is called an MV- homomorphism if

(H1) 
$$f(0) = 0$$
,

(H2)  $f(x \oplus y) = f(x) \oplus f(y)$ ,

(H3) 
$$f(x') = (f(x))'$$

for every  $x, y \in M$ .

If f is one to one (onto), then f is called an MV-monomorphism (epimorphism) and if f is onto and one to one, then f is called an MV-isomorphism(see [6]).

**Proposition 2.2** ([4]). Let M be an MV-algebra and  $W \subseteq M$ . Then the principal ideal generated by W is denoted by  $\prec W \succ$  and  $\prec W \succ = \{x \in M : x \leq w_1 \oplus w_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus w_n, \text{ for some } w_1, \cdots, w_n \in W\}$ . Further, for every ideal J of M,  $\prec J \cup \{z\} \succ = \{x \in M : nz \oplus a \geq x, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } a \in J\}.$ 

**Lemma 2.3** ([4]). Let M, N be two MV-algebras and  $f : M \to N$  be an MV-homomorphism. Then the following properties hold:

(i) Ker(f) is an ideal of M.

- (ii) If f is an MV-epimorphism, then  $\frac{M}{Kerf} \cong N$ .
- (iii)  $f(x) \le f(y)$  iff  $x \ominus y \in Ker(f)$ .
- (iv) f is injective iff  $Ker(f) = \{0\}$ .

**Lemma 2.4** ([4]). In every MV-algebra M, the natural order " $\leq$ " has the following properties: for every  $x, x', y, y', z \in M$ ,

- (i)  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $y' \leq x'$ .
- (ii) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $x \oplus z \leq y \oplus z$ .
- (iii) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $x \odot z \leq y \odot z$ .
- **Proposition 2.5** ([4]). The following equatoins hold in every MV-algebra: (i)  $x \odot (y \lor z) = (x \odot y) \lor (x \odot z)$ . (ii)  $x \oplus (y \land z) = (x \oplus y) \land (x \oplus z)$ .

**Definition 2.6** ([6]). In MV-algebra M, a partial addition is defined as following: x + y is defined if and only if  $x \le y'$  and in this case,  $x + y = x \oplus y$ , for any  $x, y \in M$ .

**Lemma 2.7** ([6]). Let M be an MV-algebra and let + is the partial addition on M. Then for every  $x, y, z \in M$ ,

<sup>(</sup>c)  $x \lor y = x + (x' \odot y)$ .

- (d) If x + y and (x + y) + z are defined, then y + z and x + (y + z) are defined and (x + y) + z = x + (y + z).
- (e) x + y = 1 if and only if y = x'.
- (f) If  $z + x \le z + y$ , then  $x \le y$ .
- (g) If x + y = z, then  $y = x' \odot z$ .
- (h) If z + x = z + y, then x = y.

**Definition 2.8** ([5]). A product MV-algebra (or PMV-algebra, for short) is a structure  $(A, \oplus, ., ', 0)$ , where  $(A, \oplus, ', 0)$  is an MV-algebra and  $\cdot$  is a binary associative operation on A such that the following property is satisfied: if x + y is defined, then  $x \cdot z + y \cdot z$  and  $z \cdot x + z \cdot y$  are defined and  $(x+y) \cdot z = x \cdot z + y \cdot z$ ,  $z \cdot (x+y) = z \cdot x + z \cdot y$ , where + is a partial addition on A.

If A is a PMV-algebra, then a unity for the product is an element  $e \in A$  such that  $e \cdot x = x \cdot e = x$ , for every  $x \in A$ .

A PMV-algebra that has unity for the product will be called unital.

A ·-ideal of a *PMV*-algebra A is an ideal I of *MV*-algebra A such that if  $a \in I$  and  $b \in A$ , then  $a \cdot b \in I$  and  $b \cdot a \in I$ .

**Lemma 2.9** ([6]). If A is a unital PMV-algebra, then

(i) The unity for the product is e = 1.

(ii)  $x \cdot y \leq x \wedge y$ , for every  $x, y \in A$ .

**Definition 2.10** ([6]). Let X and Y be *PMV*-algebras. An *MV*-homomorphism  $f: X \to Y$  is called a homomorphism of *PMV*-algebras (or *PMV*-homomorphism) if and only if  $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$ .

**Lemma 2.11** ([5]). Let A be a PMV-algebra. Then 1.a = a and  $a \le b$  implies that  $a.c \le b.c$  and  $c.a \le c.b$ , for every  $a, b, c \in A$ .

**Definition 2.12** ([6]). Let  $A = (A, \oplus, ., ', 0)$  be a *PMV*-algebra,  $M = (M, \oplus, ', 0)$  be an *MV*-algebra and the operation  $\Phi : A \times M \longrightarrow M$  be defined by  $\Phi(a, m) = am$ , which satisfies the following axioms:

(AM1) If x+y is defined in M, then ax+ay is defined in M and a(x+y) = ax+ay. (AM2) If a+b is defined in A, then ax+bx is defined in M and (a+b)x = ax+bx. (AM3) (a.b)x = a(bx), for every  $a, b \in A$  and  $x, y \in M$ .

Then M is called a (left) MV-module over A or briefly an A-module.

We say that M is a unitary MV-module if A has a unity  $1_A$  for the product, i.e., (AM4)  $1_A x = x$ , for every  $x \in M$ .

**Lemma 2.13** ([6]). Let A be a PMV-algebra and M be an A-module. Then for every  $a, b \in A$  and  $x, y \in M$ ,

(a) 0x = 0. (b) a0 = 0. (c)  $ax' \le (ax)'$ . (d)  $a'x \le (ax)'$ . (e) (ax)' = a'x + (1x)'. (f)  $x \le y$  implies  $ax \le ay$ . (g)  $a \le b$  implies  $ax \le bx$ . (h)  $a(x \oplus y) \le ax \oplus ay$ . (i)  $d(ax, ay) \le ad(x, y)$ . **Definition 2.14** ([11]). A fuzzy set in set of A is a mapping  $\mu : A \to [0, 1]$ . Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in A and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $\mu_t = \{x \in A : \mu(x) \ge t\}$  is called a level subset of  $\mu$ .

**Definition 2.15** ([9]). If A is an MV-algebra, then a fuzzy set  $\mu$  in A is a fuzzy ideal of A, if it satisfies

(FI1)  $\mu(0) \ge \mu(x)$ , for all  $x \in A$ , (FI2)  $\mu(y) \ge \mu(x) \land \mu(y \odot x')$ , for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Theorem 2.16** ([9]). Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal in A. Then for every  $x, y \in A$ ,

- (i)  $\mu(x \oplus y) = \mu(x) \land \mu(y)$ .
- (ii)  $\mu(x \lor y) = \mu(x) \land \mu(y)$
- (iii)  $\mu(x \wedge y) \ge \mu(x) \lor \mu(y)$ .

**Lemma 2.17** ([7]). Let A be an MV-algebra and  $\mu : A \rightarrow [0,1]$  be a fuzzy set on A. Then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal on A if and only if

(i)  $\mu(x) \leq \mu(0)$ , (ii)  $\mu(x \oplus y) \geq \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$ , (iii) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in A$ .

**Theorem 2.18** ([7]). Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set in A.  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal in A if and only if for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\mu_t$  is either empty or an ideal of A.

**Corollary 2.19** ([7]). *I* is an ideal of *A* if and only if  $\chi_I$  is a fuzzy ideal of *A*, where  $\chi_I$  is characteristic function of *I*.

# 3. Prime --ideals in PMV-algebras

Note: From now on, in this paper, A is a PMV-algebra. In this section, we introduce prime  $\cdot$ -ideals in PMV-algebras and state some conditions to obtain them.

**Definition 3.1.** Let P be a  $\cdot$ -ideal of A. If P is a prime ideal of MV-algebra A, then P is called a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and the operations " $\oplus$ " and "." on A be defined as follows:

$\oplus$	0	1	2	3	•	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	3	3	1	0	1	0	1
2	2	3	2	3	2	0	0	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	0	1	2	3

Consider 0' = 3, 1' = 2, 2' = 1 and 3' = 0. Then it is easy to show that  $(A, \oplus, ', .., 0)$  is a *PMV*-algebra,  $J = \{0, 2\}$  and  $I = \{0, 1\}$  are prime  $\cdot$ -ideals of A. Also,  $\{0\}$  is not a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let A be an MV algebra and  $I \subseteq A$ . Then I is an ideal of A if and only if

- (i)  $0 \in I$ ,
- (ii) x ⊕ y ∈ I, for every x, y ∈ I,
  (iii) if x ⊖ y, y ∈ I, then x ∈ I, for any x, y ∈ A.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let *I* be an ideal of *A*. Then (i) and (ii) are clear. Now, let  $x \ominus y, y \in I$ . Then by (ii) and (*MV7*),  $(y \ominus x) \oplus x = (x \ominus y) \oplus y \in I$ . Since  $x \leq (y \ominus x) \oplus x \in I$ ,  $x \in I$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let (i), (ii) and (iii) be true. If  $x \leq y$  and  $y \in I$ , then  $x \ominus y = x \odot y' = 0 \in I$ . Thus by (iii),  $x \in I$ . So I is an ideal of A.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let A, B be PMV-algebras and  $f : A \longrightarrow B$  be a PMV-homomorphism. Then

(i) If P is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of B, then  $f^{-1}(P)$  is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

(ii) If f is onto, P is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A and  $Ker(f) \subseteq P$ , then f(P) is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of B.

*Proof.* (i) The proof is routine.

(ii) The first we show that f(P) is an ideal of B. The proofs of  $(I_1)$  and  $(I_3)$  are easy. We show that f(P) satisfies in  $(I_2)$ . Let  $a \leq b \in f(P)$ , for some  $a, b \in B$ . Since f is onto, there are  $x \in A$  and  $y \in P$  such that a = f(x) and b = f(y). Since  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ , by Lemma 2.3 (iii),  $x \ominus y \in P$ . Thus by Lemma 3.3,  $x \in P$ . It means that  $a = f(x) \in f(P)$ . So f(P) is an ideal of B. It is routine to see that f(P) is a  $\cdot$ -ideal of B.

Now, let  $a \wedge b \in f(P)$ , for  $a, b \in B$ . Then there are  $x, y \in A$  such that a = f(x) and b = f(y). We have

$$a \wedge b = f(x) \wedge f(y) = f(x \wedge y) \in f(P) \quad \Rightarrow \quad f(x \wedge y) = f(t), \ t \in P$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad x \wedge y \odot t' \in P, \ t \in P$$
$$\Rightarrow \quad x \wedge y \in P.$$

Thus  $x \in P$  or  $y \in P$ . So  $a = f(x) \in f(P)$  or  $b = f(y) \in f(P)$ . Hence f(P) is a prime ideal of B and thus it is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of B.

**Lemma 3.5.** In PMV-algebra A,  $(\alpha \oplus \beta)a \leq \alpha a \oplus \beta a$ , for every  $\alpha, \beta, a \in A$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\beta a \leq (\alpha a)' \oplus \beta a$ , by Lemma 2.4 (i),  $(\alpha a) \odot (\beta a)' = ((\alpha a)' \oplus \beta a)' \leq (\beta a)'$ . Then  $(\alpha a) \odot (\beta a)' + \beta a$  is defined, where "+" is the partial addition on A. Similarly,  $\alpha \odot \beta' + \beta$  is defined, too.

Consider A as A-module, where ab = a.b, for every  $a, b \in A$ . Then by Lemma 2.13 (d) and (g), since  $\alpha \odot \beta' \leq \beta'$ ,  $(\alpha \odot \beta')a \leq \beta'a \leq (\beta a)'$ . Thus  $(\alpha \odot \beta')a + \beta a$  is defined. Now,  $\alpha \leq \alpha \lor \beta$  implies that  $\alpha a \leq (\alpha \lor \beta)a$  and similarly,  $\beta a \leq (\alpha \lor \beta)a$ . So  $\alpha a \lor \beta a \leq (\alpha \lor \beta)a$ . Hence by Lemma 2.7 (c),

$$(\alpha a) \odot (\beta a)' + \beta a = \alpha a \lor \beta a \le (\alpha \lor \beta)a = (\alpha \odot \beta' \oplus \beta)a = (\alpha \odot \beta' + \beta)a = (\alpha \odot \beta')a + \beta a.$$

Now, by Lemma 2.7(f),  $\alpha a \odot (\beta a)' \leq (\alpha \odot \beta')a$ . If we set  $\alpha \oplus \beta$  instead of  $\alpha$ , then by Lemma 2.13 (g), we have  $(\alpha \oplus \beta)a \odot (\beta a)' \leq ((\alpha \oplus \beta) \odot \beta')a = (\alpha \land \beta')a \leq \alpha a$ . Thus

$$(\alpha \oplus \beta)a = (\alpha \oplus \beta)a \lor \beta a = (\alpha \oplus \beta)a \odot (\beta a)' \oplus \beta a \le \alpha a \oplus \beta a.$$

**Lemma 3.6.** Let I be an ideal of A and  $c \in I$ . Then  $a.c \in I$ , for every  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* The proof is easy.

**Definition 3.7.**  $S \subseteq A$  is called a  $\cdot$ -closed subset of A, if  $x.y \in S$ , for every  $x, y \in S$ .

**Example 3.8.** In Example 3.2,  $S = \{1, 3\}$  is a  $\cdot$ -closed subset of A.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let A be unital, I be a  $\cdot$ -ideal of A and S be a  $\cdot$ -closed subset of A such that  $I \cap S = \emptyset$ . Then there exists a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal P of A such that  $I \subseteq P$  and  $P \cap S = \emptyset$ .

Proof. Let  $T = \{J : J \text{ is } a \ -ideal \text{ of } A, I \subseteq J \text{ and } J \cap S = \emptyset\}$ . Since  $I \in T$ ,  $T \neq \emptyset$ . By Zorn's Lemma, T has a maximal element P. We show that P is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A. Let  $x \land y \in P$  and  $x, y \notin P$ . Consider  $\prec P \cup \{x\} \succ$  and  $\prec P \cup \{y\} \succ$ . By maximality  $P, \prec P \cup \{x\} \succ \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and  $\prec P \cup \{y\} \succ \cap S \neq \emptyset$  and so there exist  $\alpha \in \prec P \cup \{x\} \succ \cap S$  and  $\beta \in \prec P \cup \{y\} \succ \cap S$ . Then by Proposition 2.2, there exist  $a, b \in P$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $\alpha \leq nx \oplus a$  and  $\beta \leq my \oplus b$ . By Proposition 2.13 (f),(g),  $\alpha.\beta \leq (nx \oplus a).(my \oplus b)$ . If we consider A as A-module, where xy = x.y, for every  $x, y \in A$ , then by Lemmas 3.5, 3.6 and 2.13 (h),

$$\begin{array}{lll} \alpha.\beta & \leq & (nx \oplus a).my \oplus (nx \oplus a).b \leq nx.my \oplus a.my \oplus nx.b \oplus a.b \\ & \leq & \underbrace{x.y \oplus \cdots \oplus x.y}_{mn \ times} \oplus \underbrace{a.y \oplus \cdots \oplus a.y}_{m \ times} \oplus \underbrace{x.b \oplus \cdots \oplus}_{n \ times} \oplus a.b \in P. \end{array}$$

So  $\alpha.\beta \in P$ . Since S is a  $\cdot$ -closed subset of A,  $\alpha.\beta \in P \cap S$ , which is a contradiction. Hence P is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

**Lemma 3.10.** Let A be unital, I be an ideal of A and r(I) be the intersection of all prime  $\cdot$ -ideals of A containing I. Then

$$r(I) = \{ x \in A : x^n = \underbrace{x.x.\cdots.x}_{n \ times} \in I, for \ some \ n \in \mathbb{N} \}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $T = \{x \in A : x^n = \underbrace{x.x.\dots.x}_{n \text{ times}} \in I, \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . It is easy to show

that  $T \subseteq r(I)$ . Let  $x \in r(I)$ . If  $x \notin T$ , then  $x^n \notin I$ , for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider  $S = \{x^n \oplus a : n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, a \in I \text{ and } x^n \leq a'\}$ . Let  $x^n \oplus a, x^m \oplus b \in S$ , for  $a, b \in I$  and  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $x^n \leq a'$  and  $x^m \leq a', x^n + a$  and  $x^m + a$  are defined in A. Thus

$$(x^{n} \oplus a).(x^{m} \oplus b) = (x^{n} + a).(x^{m} + b) = x^{m+n} + a.x^{m} + x^{n}.b + a.b = x^{n+m} \oplus t \in S,$$

where by Lemma 3.6,  $t \in I$ . It results that S is a  $\cdot$ -closed subset of A. It is easy to see that  $S \cap I = \emptyset$ . So by Theorem 3.9, there is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal P of A such that  $I \subseteq P$  and  $S \cap P = \emptyset$ . Now, since  $x \in r(I)$  and  $x = x^1 \oplus 0 \in S$ ,  $x \in P \cap S$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $x \in T$ . Therefore T = r(I).

**Theorem 3.11.** Let P be a  $\cdot$ -ideal of A. P = r(P) if and only if  $\frac{A}{P}$  has no nilpotent elements.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let P = r(P) and  $\frac{0}{P} \neq \frac{x}{P}$  be an element of  $\frac{A}{P}$ . If  $(\frac{x}{P})^n = \frac{0}{P}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\frac{x^n}{P} = \frac{0}{P}$ . Thus  $x^n \in P$ . So by Lemma 3.10,  $x \in r(P) = P$  and thus  $\frac{x}{P} = \frac{0}{P}$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\frac{A}{P}$  has no nilpotent elements.

(⇐) Let  $\frac{A}{P}$  has no nilpotent elements. It is clear that  $P \subseteq r(P)$ . Let  $x \in r(P)$ . Then by Lemma 3.10,  $x^n \in P$ . Thus  $\frac{x^n}{P} = \frac{0}{P}$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\frac{x}{P}$  has no nilpotent elements,  $\frac{x}{P} = \frac{0}{P}$ . Sand so  $x \in P$ . Hence r(P) = P.

## 4. Fuzzy prime $\cdot$ -ideals in *PMV*-algebras

In this section, we introduce fuzzy  $\cdot$ -ideals, fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideals in PMV-algebras and obtain some results on them.

### **Definition 4.1.** Let $\mu$ be a fuzzy ideal in A. Then

(i)  $\mu$  is called a fuzzy --ideal in A if and only if  $\mu(x.y) \wedge \mu(y.x) \geq \mu(x)$ , for every  $x, y \in A$ .

(ii)  $\mu$  is called a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A if and only if  $\mu_t = A$  or  $\mu_t$  is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

**Example 4.2.** (i) Let  $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and the operations " $\oplus$ " and "." be defined on A as follows:

$\oplus$	0	1	2	3		0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	2	3	1	0	1	1	1
2	2	2	2	3	2	0	1	2	2
3	3	3	3	3	3	0	1	2	3

Consider 0' = 3, 1' = 2, 2' = 1 and 3' = 0. Then it is easy to show that  $(A, \oplus, ', ., 0)$  is a *PMV*-algebra Now, let  $\mu(0) = 0.8$  and  $\mu(2) = \mu(1) = \mu(3) = 0.5$ . Then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

(ii) Let  $A = \{0, 1\}$  and the operations " $\oplus$ " and "." on A be defined as follows:

$\oplus$	0	1		0	1
0	0	1	0	0	0
1	1	1	1	1	1

Then it is easy to show that  $(A, \oplus, ', \cdot, 0)$  is a *PMV*-algebra. Now, let  $\mu(0) = 0.8$  and  $\mu(1) = 0.5$ . Then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

**Corollary 4.3.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\cdot$ -ideal in A such that  $\mu(0) \neq \mu(1)$ .  $\mu$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A if and only if  $\mu_{\mu(0)}$  is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

*Proof.* The proof is clear.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\cdot$ -ideal in A such that  $\mu(0) \neq \mu(1)$ .  $\mu$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A if and only if  $\mu(x) \lor \mu(y) \ge \mu(x \land y)$ , for every  $x, y \in A$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A. Then  $\mu_t$  is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A. Let  $x, y \in A$ . Consider  $t = \mu(x \land y)$ . Then  $\mu(x \land y) \ge t$ . Thus  $x \land y \in \mu_t$ . So  $x \in \mu_t$  or  $y \in \mu_t$  and thus  $\mu(x) \ge t$  or  $\mu(y) \ge t$ . Hence  $\mu(x) \lor \mu(y) \ge t$ . Therefore  $\mu(x) \lor \mu(y) \ge \mu(x \land y)$ .

 $(\Leftarrow) \text{ Let } \mu(x) \lor \mu(y) \ge \mu(x \land y), \text{ for every } x, y \in A \text{ and } \mu_t \ne A, \text{ for } t \in [0, 1]. \text{ The first, we show that } \mu_t \text{ is a } \text{-ideal of } A. \text{ Let } x \in \mu_t \text{ and } y \in A. \text{ Since } \mu \text{ is a fuzzy } \text{-ideal of } A, \ \mu(x.y) \land \mu(y.x) \ge \mu(x) \ge t. \text{ Then } \mu(x.y) \ge t \text{ and } \mu(y.x) \ge t. \text{ Thus } x.y, \ y.x \in \mu_t. \text{ If } x \land y \in \mu_t, \text{ then } \mu(x \land y) \ge t. \text{ Thus } \mu(x) \lor \mu(y) \ge t. \text{ So } \mu(x) \ge t \text{ or } \mu(y) \ge t. \text{ Hence } x \in \mu_t \text{ or } y \in \mu_t. \square$ 

**Corollary 4.5.** Let A be unital and  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\cdot$ -ideal in A such that  $\mu(0) \neq \mu(1)$ .  $\mu$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A if and only if  $\mu(x) \lor \mu(y) = \mu(x \land y)$ , for every  $x, y \in A$ . *Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy prime --ideal in A. By Lemma 2.16 ,(iii),  $\alpha.\beta \leq (nx \oplus a).(my \oplus b)$ . If we consider A as A-mo,  $\mu(x \wedge y) \geq \mu(x) \vee \mu(y)$ . Then by Theorem 4.4,  $\mu(x) \vee \mu(y) = \mu(x \wedge y)$ .

 $(\Leftarrow)$  By Theorem 4.4, it is clear.

**Corollary 4.6.** Let I be a  $\cdot$ -ideal in A. I is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A if and only if  $\chi_I$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let I be a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A. We have  $(\chi_I)_t = \{x : \chi_I(x) \ge t\} = I$ , for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $(\chi_I)_t$  is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A. Thus  $\chi_I$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

(⇐) Let  $\chi_I$  be a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A. Then by Corollary 4.3,  $I = \{x \in A : \chi(x) \ge 1\} = (\chi_I)_{\chi_I(0)}$  is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

**Theorem 4.7.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy set of A such that  $\mu(0) \neq \mu(1)$ .  $\mu$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A if and only if

- (i)  $\mu(x \cdot y) = \mu(y \cdot x) = \mu(0)$ , for every  $x \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$  and  $y \in A$ .
- (ii)  $\mu(x \ominus y) = \mu(0)$  or  $\mu(y \ominus x) = \mu(0)$ , for every  $x, y \in A$ .

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy prime --ideal in A. Then by Corollary 4.3,  $\mu_{\mu(0)}$  is a prime --ideal of A. Since  $\mu_{\mu(0)}$  is a --ideal of A,  $x \cdot y$ ,  $y \cdot y \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$ . Thus  $\mu(x \cdot y) = \mu(y \cdot x) = \mu(0)$ , for every  $x \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$  and  $y \in A$ . Since  $\mu_{\mu(0)}$  is a prime ideal of A,  $x \ominus y \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$  or  $y \ominus x \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$ ). So  $\mu(x \ominus y) \ge \mu(0)$  or  $\mu(y \ominus x) \ge \mu(0)$ . Hence  $\mu(x \ominus y) = \mu(0)$  or  $\mu(y \ominus x) = \mu(0)$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let (i) and (ii) be true. Then it is easy to see that  $\mu$  is a fuzzy --ideal and  $\mu_{\mu(0)}$  is a prime --ideal of A. Thus by Corollary 4.3,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy prime --ideal in A.

**Theorem 4.8.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\cdot$ -ideal and  $\nu$  be a  $\cdot$ -ideal in A such that  $\nu(0) \neq \nu(1)$ . If  $\mu \leq \nu$  and  $\mu(0) = \nu(0)$ , then  $\nu$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A, too.

Proof. Since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A, by Theorem 4.7,  $\mu(x \ominus y) = \mu(0)$ or  $\mu(y \ominus x) = \mu(0)$ , for every  $x, y \in A$ . Let  $\mu(x \ominus y) = \mu(0)$ . Since  $\mu \leq \nu$ ,  $\mu(x \ominus y) \leq \nu(x \ominus y)$ . Then  $\mu(0) \leq \nu(x \ominus y)$ . Since  $\mu(0) = \nu(0), \nu(0) \leq \nu(x \ominus y)$ . Thus  $\nu(0) = \nu(x \ominus y)$ . Simillarly, if  $\mu(y \ominus x) = \mu(0)$ , then  $\nu(y \ominus x) = \nu(0)$ . Also, it is easy to see that  $\nu(x \cdot y) = \nu(y \cdot x) = \nu(0)$ , for every for every  $x \in \nu_{\nu(0)}$  and  $y \in A$ . So by Theorem 4.7,  $\nu$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A.

**Theorem 4.9.** If A be unital and  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\cdot$ -ideal of A such that  $\mu(0) \neq \mu(1)$ , then the following are equivalent:

(i)  $\mu$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

- (ii)  $\mu_{\mu(0)}$  is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.
- (iii)  $\mu(x) \lor \mu(y) \ge \mu(x \cdot y).$

*Proof.* By Corollary 4.3, (i) and (ii) are equivalent. We prove that (ii) and (iii) are equivalent, too.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $\mu_{\mu(0)}$  be a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A. Then  $\mu_{\mu(0)}$  is a prime ideal of A. Thus  $x \ominus y \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$  or  $y \ominus x \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$ , for every  $x, y \in A$ . If  $x \ominus y \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$ , then since  $x.y \leq x \wedge y \leq x \vee y$ , by Lemma 2.4 (iii) and Proposition 2.5 (i),

$$x.y \ominus y \le (x \lor y) \ominus y = (x \lor y) \odot y' = (x \odot y') \lor (y \odot y') = x \ominus y \in \mu_{\mu(0)}.$$
535

# Thus $x.y \ominus y \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$ .

Similarly, if  $y \ominus x \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$ , then  $x.y \ominus x \in \mu_{\mu(0)}$ . Thus  $\mu(x.y \ominus y) = \mu(0)$  or  $\mu(x.y \ominus x) = \mu(0)$ . So  $\mu(y) \ge \mu(x.y \ominus y) \land \mu(x.y) = \mu(x.y)$  or  $\mu(x) \ge \mu(x.y \ominus x) \land \mu(x.y) = \mu(x.y)$ . It results that  $\mu(x) \lor \mu(y) \ge \mu(x.y)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $\mu(x) \lor \mu(y) \ge \mu(x \cdot y)$ , for every  $x, y \in A$ . Then by Lemma 2.9 (ii) and Theorem 2.16 (ii),  $\mu(x) \lor \mu(y) \ge \mu(x \land y)$ . Thus by Theorem 4.4,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A. So  $\mu_t$  is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A, for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Hence  $\mu_{\mu(0)}$  is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal of A. Note that, since  $\mu(1) < \mu(0)$ ,  $1 \notin \mu_{\mu(o)}$  and thus  $\mu_{\mu(o)}$  is a proper  $\cdot$ -ideal of A.

#### **Lemma 4.10.** Let $\mu$ be a fuzzy set in A.

(i)  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal in A if and only if  $(z \ominus y) \ominus x = 0$  implies that  $\mu(z) \ge \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y)$ .

(ii)  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal in A if and only if  $(x \ominus y) \leq z$  implies that  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(z) \wedge \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

*Proof.* (i) ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy ideal in A and  $(z \ominus y) \ominus x = 0$ , for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . Then  $\mu(z) \ge \mu(y) \land \mu(z \ominus y)$  and  $\mu(z \ominus y) \ge \mu(x) \land \mu((z \ominus y) \ominus x) = \mu(x) \land \mu(0) = \mu(x)$ . Thus  $\mu(z) \ge \mu(x) \land \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in A$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose  $(z \ominus y) \ominus x = 0$  implies that  $\mu(z) \ge \mu(x) \land \mu(y)$ , for all  $x, y, z \in A$ . Since  $(0 \ominus x) \ominus x = 0$ ,  $\mu(0) \ge \mu(x) \land \mu(x) = \mu(x)$ . Also, since  $(x \ominus y) \ominus (x \ominus y) = 0$ ,  $\mu(x) \ge \mu(y) \land \mu(x \ominus y)$ . Thus  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of A.

(ii) By (i), the proof is clear.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A. Then  $\mu \oplus \alpha$  is also a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal in A, where  $(\mu \oplus \alpha)(x) = \mu(x) \oplus \alpha$  and  $\alpha \oplus \beta = Min\{\mu(0), \alpha + \beta\}$ , for all  $x \in A$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \mu(0))$ .

*Proof.* The first we show that  $\mu \oplus \alpha$  is a fuzzy --ideal of A. Let  $(x \ominus y) \leq z$ , for  $x, y, z \in A$ . Since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy ideal of A,  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(z) \land \mu(y)$ . Consider MV-algebra [0, 1]. Then by Proposition 2.5 (ii),  $\mu(x) \oplus \alpha \geq (\mu(z) \land \mu(y)) \oplus \alpha = (\mu \oplus \alpha)(z) \land (\mu \oplus \alpha)(y)$ . Thus  $(\mu \oplus \alpha)(x) \geq (\mu \oplus \alpha)(z) \land (\mu \oplus \alpha)(y)$ . So by Lemma 4.10 (ii),  $\mu \oplus \alpha$  is a fuzzy ideal of A. Since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy prime --ideal of A,  $\mu(x) \lor \mu(y) \geq \mu(x \land y)$ . Hence  $(\mu(x) \lor \mu(y)) \oplus \alpha \geq \mu(x \land y) \oplus \alpha$ , for every  $x, y \in A$ . It results that  $(\mu(x) \oplus \alpha) \lor (\mu(y)) \oplus \alpha \geq \mu(x \land y) \oplus \alpha$  and thus  $(\mu \oplus \alpha)(x) \lor (\mu \oplus \alpha)(y) \geq (\mu \oplus \alpha)(x \land y)$ . Now, since  $(\mu \oplus \alpha)(0) = \mu(0) \oplus \alpha \neq \mu(1) \oplus \alpha = (\mu \oplus \alpha)(1)$  and  $\mu \leq \mu \oplus \alpha$ , by Theorem 4.8,  $\mu \oplus \alpha$  is a fuzzy prime --ideal of A.

**Lemma 4.12.** Let A be unital and J be a proper  $\cdot$ -ideal of A. Then there is a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal P of A such that  $J \subseteq P$ .

*Proof.* A routine application of Zorn's Lemma shows that there is a proper --ideal I of A which is maximal with respect to the property  $J \subseteq I$ . We show that I is a prime ideal of A. Let  $x \land y \in I$  and  $x, y \notin I$ , for  $x, y \in A$ . Consider  $\prec I \cup \{x\} \succ$  and  $\prec I \cup \{y\} \succ$ . By maximality I, we have  $1 \in \prec I \cup \{x\} \succ$  and  $1 \in \prec I \cup \{y\} \succ$ . Then by Proposition 2.2, there are  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a, b \in I$  such that  $1 = nx \oplus a$  and  $1 = my \oplus b$ . Now, let  $u = a \oplus b$  and  $k = max\{m, n\}$ . Then  $1 = kx \oplus u$  and  $1 = ky \oplus u$ . By Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 2.13 (h), we have  $1.1 = (kx \oplus u).(ky \oplus u) = (kx \oplus u).ky \oplus (kx \oplus u).u \leq (kx).(ky) \oplus u.ky \oplus (kx).u \oplus u.u \in I$ . Thus  $1 = 1.1 \in I$ ,

which is a contradiction. So I is a prime ideal of A. Hence I is a prime  $\cdot$ -idael of A.

**Theorem 4.13.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy  $\cdot$ -ideal in A such that  $1 \neq \mu(0) \neq \mu(1)$ . Then there is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal  $\nu$  such that  $\mu \leq \nu$ .

Proof. Consider  $\mu_{\mu(0)} = \{x \in A : \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$  that is a proper --ideal of A. By Lemma 4.12, there is a prime --ideal P of A such that  $\mu_{\mu(0)} \subseteq P$ . By Corollary 4.6,  $\chi_P$  is a fuzzy prime --ideal of A. Now, let  $\nu = \chi_P \oplus \alpha$ , where  $\alpha = \bigvee_{x \in A - P} \mu(x)$ . Then  $\alpha \leq \mu(0) < 1$ . Thus by Theorem 4.11,  $\nu$  is a fuzzy prime --ideal of A.

**Theorem 4.14.** Let A be unital,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be fuzzy  $\cdot$ -ideals in A and  $\mu \wedge \nu \leq \alpha$ , for  $\alpha \in [0, \mu(0))$ . Then there is a fuzzy prime  $\cdot$ -ideal h in A such that  $\mu \leq h$  and  $\nu \wedge h \leq \alpha$ .

*Proof.* Let  $T = \{x \in A : \mu(x) > \alpha\}$  and  $S = \{x \in A : \nu(x) > \alpha\}$ . If  $a, b \in S$ , then  $\nu(a) > \alpha$  and  $\nu(b) > \alpha$ . Thus by Lemma 2.9 (ii) and Theorem 2.16 (iii),  $\nu(a,b) > \nu(a \land b) > \nu(a) \lor \nu(b) > \alpha$ . It results that  $a,b \in S$  and thus S is .-closed. We must show that T is a  $\cdot$ -ideal of A. Since  $\alpha \in [0, \mu(0)), 0 \in T$ . Let  $x, y \ominus x \in T$ , for  $x, y \in A$ . Then  $\mu(x) > \alpha$ ,  $\mu(y \ominus x) > \alpha$ . Thus  $\mu(x) \wedge \mu(y \ominus x) > \alpha$ . Since  $\mu(y) \ge \mu(x) \land \mu(y \ominus x), \ \mu(y) > \alpha$ . So  $y \in T$ . Also, since  $\mu(x \oplus y) \ge \mu(x), \ x \oplus y \in T$ , for every  $x, y \in T$ . Hence by Lemma 3.3, T is an ideal of A. Now, let  $x \in T$  and  $y \in A$ . Then  $\mu(x) > \alpha$ . Since  $x \cdot y \leq x \land y \leq x$ ,  $\mu(x \cdot y) \geq \mu(x) > \alpha$ . Thus  $x \cdot y \in T$ . So T is a --ideal of A. Let  $T \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . Then there is  $x \in T \cap S$ . Thus  $\mu(x) > \alpha$  and  $\nu(x) > \alpha$ . It results that  $(\nu \wedge \mu)(x) = \nu(x) \wedge \mu(x) > \alpha$ , which is a contradiction. Hence, by Theorem 3.9, there exists a prime  $\cdot$ -ideal P of A such that  $S \cap P = \emptyset$ and  $T \subseteq P$ . Consider  $h = \chi_P \oplus \alpha$ . Then by Corollary 4.6 and Theorem 4.11, h is a fuzzy prime --ideal of A. Now, we show that  $\mu \leq h$ . If  $x \in P$ , then  $\chi_P(x) = 1$  and so  $h(x) = 1 \oplus \alpha$ . It results that  $\mu \leq h$ . If  $x \notin P$ , then  $x \notin T$  and so  $\mu(x) \leq \alpha$ . On the other hand,  $h(x) = \chi_P(x) \oplus \alpha = \alpha$ . Therefore  $\mu(x) \leq h(x)$ . It is easy to show that  $\nu \wedge h \leq \alpha$ . 

## 5. Conclusions

Prime ideals, --ideals and --prime ideals had been defined in MV-algebras [4, 5, 8]. Lately, ideals in PMV-algebras were considered and some researchers have been interested to them. We defined prime --ideals in PMV-algebras and stated some conditions to have them. They are --ideals that are prime ideals, too. Also, we defined fuzzy prime --ideals in PMV-algebras and obtained some results on them. In fact, we opened new fields to anyone that is interested to studying and development of ideals in MV-algebras.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank referee for some very helpful comments in improving several aspects of this paper.

#### References

S. Abdullah, M. Aslam and Bijan Davvaz, Semigroups characterized by the properties of fuzzy ideals, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 9 (1) (2015) 43–63.

<sup>[2]</sup> C. C. Chang, Algebric analysis of many-valued logic, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958) 467–490.

- [3] C. C. Chang, A new proof of the completeness of the Lukasiewicz axioms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1959) 74–80.
- [4] R. Cignoli, M. L. D'Ottaviano and D. Mundici, Algebric Foundation of Many-valued Reasoning, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht 2000.
- [5] A. Di Nola and A. Dvurečenskij, Product MV-algebras, Multiple-Valued Logics 6 (2001) 193– 215.
- [6] A. Di Nola, P. Flondor and I. Leustean, MV-modules, Journal of Algebra 267 (2003) 21-40.
- [7] G. Dymek, Fuzzy prime ideals of Pseudo-MV-algebras, Soft comput. 12 (2008) 365–372.
- [8] F. Forouzesh, E. Eslami and A. Borumand, On Prime A-ideals in MV-modules, Politehn. Univ. Bucharest Sci. Bull. 76 (2014) 181–198.
- [9] C. S. Hoo, Fuzzy ideals of BCI and MV-algebras, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 62 (1994) 111–114.
   [10] H. Rashmanlou, Y. Bae Jun and R. A. Borzooei, More results on highly irregular bipolar fuzzy
- graphs, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 8 (1) (2014) 149–168.
- [11] A. Zadeh, Fuzzy set, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.

<u>SIMIN SAIDI GORAGHANI</u> (siminsaidi@yahoo.com) Department of Mathematics, Farhangian University, Iran

<u>RAJAB ALI BORZOOEI</u> (borzooei@sbu.ac.ir) Department of Mathematics, Shahid Beheshti University, Tehran, Iran