

Interval valued fuzzy quasi-ideals of near-rings

V. CHINNADURAI, S. KADALARASI

Received 22 July 2015; Revised 13 September 2015; Accepted 28 September 2015

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the notion of interval valued fuzzy quasi-ideals of near-rings. Some examples and characterizations of interval valued fuzzy quasi-ideals of near-rings are discussed here.

2010 AMS Classification: 18B40, 03E72, 16D25

Keywords: Near-rings, Quasi-ideals, Interval valued fuzzy quasi-ideals.

Corresponding Author: V. Chinnadurai (kv.chinnadurai@yahoo.com)

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy set was first initiated by Zadeh[15] in 1965. After ten years, Zadeh[16] introduced a new notion of fuzzy subsets viz., interval valued fuzzy subset (in short i-v fuzzy subsets) where the values of the membership functions are closed intervals of numbers instead of a number. Interval valued fuzzy sets have many application in several areas. In [12], Rosenfeld defined fuzzy subgroup and gave some of its properties. In 1991, Abou Said[1] introduced the idea of fuzzy subnear-rings and fuzzy ideals in near-rings. Jun and Kim[5] and Davvaz[2, 3] applied a few concepts of interval valued fuzzy subsets in near-rings. Deena and Coumaressane[4] discussed some concepts of generalized fuzzy ideals in near-ring. Narayanan et al.[10, 11] introduced the concept of generalized fuzzy quasi-ideals of near-rings. Manikantan[7] defined and discussed fuzzy bi-ideals of near-rings. Recently, Muhammad Shabir et al.[8, 9] introduced and discussed some characterizations of fuzzy h-ideals of hemirings with interval valued fuzzy set. In this paper we introduce the notion of i-v fuzzy quasi-ideals of near-rings. We investigate some of their properties. We give examples which are i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal and i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal but not i-v fuzzy ideal of near-rings.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we list some basic concepts and well known results of interval valued fuzzy set theory. Throughout this paper, R will denote a left near-ring.

Definition 2.1 ([6]). A non-empty set R with two binary operations $+$ and \cdot is called a near-ring if

- (1) $(R, +)$ is a group,
- (2) (R, \cdot) is a semigroup,
- (3) $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$, for all $x, y, z \in R$.

We use the word 'near-ring' to mean 'left near-ring'. We denote xy instead of $x \cdot y$. Note that $x0 = 0$ and $x(-y) = -xy$ but in general $0x \neq 0$ for some $x \in R$.

Definition 2.2 ([6]). An ideal I of a near-ring R is a subset of R such that

- (4) $(I, +)$ is a normal subgroup of $(R, +)$,
- (5) $RI \subseteq I$,
- (6) $((x + i)y - xy) \in I$ for any $i \in I$ and $x, y \in R$.

Note that I is a left ideal of R if I satisfies (4) and (5), and I is a right ideal of R if I satisfies (4) and (6).

Definition 2.3 ([5]). A two sided R -subgroup of a near-ring R is a subset H of R such that

- (i) $(H, +)$ is a subgroup of $(R, +)$,
- (ii) $RH \subset H$, (iii) $HR \subset H$.

If H satisfies (i) and (ii) then it is called a left R -subgroup of R . If H satisfies (i) and (iii) then it is called a right R -subgroup of R .

Definition 2.4 ([4]). Let R be a near-ring. Given two subsets A and B of R , the product $AB = \{ab | a \in A, b \in B\}$ and $A * B = \{(a' + b)a - a'a | a, a' \in A, b \in B\}$.

Definition 2.5 ([10]). A subgroup Q of $(R, +)$ is said to be a quasi-ideal of R if $QR \cap RQ \cap Q * R \subseteq Q$.

Notation 2.6 ([13, 3]). By an interval number \tilde{a} , we mean an interval $[a^-, a^+]$ such that $0 \leq a^- \leq a^+ \leq 1$ where a^- and a^+ are the lower and upper limits of \tilde{a} respectively. The set of all closed subintervals of $[0, 1]$ is denoted by $D[0, 1]$. We also identify the interval $[a, a]$ by the number $a \in [0, 1]$. For any interval numbers $\tilde{a}_i = [a_i^-, a_i^+]$, $\tilde{b}_i = [b_i^-, b_i^+] \in D[0, 1]$, $i \in I$ we define

$$\begin{aligned} \max^i \{\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i\} &= [\max\{a_i^-, b_i^-\}, \max\{a_i^+, b_i^+\}], \\ \min^i \{\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{b}_i\} &= [\min\{a_i^-, b_i^-\}, \min\{a_i^+, b_i^+\}], \\ \inf^i \tilde{a}_i &= \left[\bigcap_{i \in I} a_i^-, \bigcap_{i \in I} a_i^+ \right], \sup^i \tilde{a}_i = \left[\bigcup_{i \in I} a_i^-, \bigcup_{i \in I} a_i^+ \right] \end{aligned}$$

and let

- (1) $\tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b} \iff a^- \leq b^-$ and $a^+ \leq b^+$,
- (2) $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b} \iff a^- = b^-$ and $a^+ = b^+$,
- (3) $\tilde{a} < \tilde{b} \iff \tilde{a} \leq \tilde{b}$ and $\tilde{a} \neq \tilde{b}$,
- (4) $k\tilde{a} = [ka^-, ka^+]$, whenever $0 \leq k \leq 1$.

Definition 2.7 ([13]). Let X be a non-empty set. A mapping $\tilde{\mu} : X \rightarrow D[0, 1]$ is called an i-v fuzzy subset of X . For any $x \in X$, $\tilde{\mu}(x) = [\mu^-(x), \mu^+(x)]$, where μ^- and μ^+ are fuzzy subsets of X such that $\mu^-(x) \leq \mu^+(x)$. Thus $\tilde{\mu}(x)$ is an interval (a closed subset of $[0, 1]$) and not a number from the interval $[0, 1]$ as in the case of a fuzzy set.

Let $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}$ be i-v fuzzy subsets of X . The following are defined by

- (1) $\tilde{\mu} \leq \tilde{\nu} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\mu}(x) \leq \tilde{\nu}(x)$.
- (2) $\tilde{\mu} = \tilde{\nu} \Leftrightarrow \tilde{\mu}(x) = \tilde{\nu}(x)$.
- (3) $(\tilde{\mu} \cup \tilde{\nu})(x) = \max^i\{\tilde{\mu}(x), \tilde{\nu}(x)\}$.
- (4) $(\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\nu})(x) = \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(x), \tilde{\nu}(x)\}$.

Definition 2.8 ([13]). Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be an i-v fuzzy subset of X and $[t_1, t_2] \in D[0, 1]$. Then the set $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2]) = \{x \in X \mid \tilde{\mu}(x) \geq [t_1, t_2]\}$ is called the upper level set of $\tilde{\mu}$.

Definition 2.9 ([14]). An i-v fuzzy subset $\tilde{\mu}$ of a near-ring R is called an i-v fuzzy subnear-ring of R if

- (1) $\tilde{\mu}(x - y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(x), \tilde{\mu}(y)\}$,
- (2) $\tilde{\mu}(xy) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(x), \tilde{\mu}(y)\}$,

for all $x, y \in R$.

An i-v fuzzy subset $\tilde{\mu}$ of a near-ring R is called an i-v fuzzy ideal of R if $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy subnear-ring of R and

- (3) $\tilde{\mu}(x) = \tilde{\mu}(y + x - y)$,
- (4) $\tilde{\mu}(xy) \geq \tilde{\mu}(y)$,
- (5) $\tilde{\mu}((x + i)y - xy) \geq \tilde{\mu}(i)$,

for any $x, y, i \in R$.

Note that $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy left ideal of R if it satisfies (1), (3) and (4), and $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy right ideal of R if it satisfies (1), (2), (3) and (5).

Definition 2.10 ([5]). An i-v fuzzy subset $\tilde{\mu}$ of a near-ring R is called an i-v fuzzy R -subgroup of R if for all $x, y \in R$,

- (1) $\tilde{\mu}(x - y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(x), \tilde{\mu}(y)\}$,
- (2) $\tilde{\mu}(xy) \geq \tilde{\mu}(y)$,
- (3) $\tilde{\mu}(xy) \geq \tilde{\mu}(x)$.

Note that $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy left ideal of R if it satisfies (1) and (2), and $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy right ideal of R if it satisfies (1) and (3).

3. INTERVAL VALUED FUZZY QUASI-IDEAL OF NEAR-RING

In this section, we introduce the notion of i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . We characterize i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . Throughout this paper, \tilde{f}_I is an i-v fuzzy characteristic function of a subset I of R and the i-v fuzzy characteristic function of R is denoted by \mathbf{R} , that means, $\mathbf{R} : R \rightarrow D[0, 1]$ mapping every element of R to $[1, 1]$.

Definition 3.1. An i-v fuzzy subset $\tilde{\mu}$ of R is said to be an i-v fuzzy subgroup of R if $x, y \in R$ implies $\tilde{\mu}(x - y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(x), \tilde{\mu}(y)\}$.

Definition 3.2. An i-v fuzzy subgroup $\tilde{\mu}$ of R is called an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R if $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R}) \cap (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu}) \cap (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R}) \subseteq \tilde{\mu}$.

Definition 3.3. Let \tilde{f} and \tilde{g} be any two i-v fuzzy subsets of R . Then $\tilde{f} \cap \tilde{g}$, $\tilde{f} \cup \tilde{g}$, $\tilde{f} + \tilde{g}$, $\tilde{f}\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{f} * \tilde{g}$ are i-v fuzzy subsets of R defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{f} \cap \tilde{g})(x) &= \min^i \{ \tilde{f}(x), \tilde{g}(x) \}. \\ (\tilde{f} \cup \tilde{g})(x) &= \max^i \{ \tilde{f}(x), \tilde{g}(x) \}. \\ (\tilde{f} + \tilde{g})(x) &= \begin{cases} \sup_{x=y+z}^i \min^i \{ \tilde{f}(y), \tilde{g}(z) \} & \text{if } x \text{ can be expressed as } x = y + z \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ (\tilde{f}\tilde{g})(x) &= \begin{cases} \sup_{x=yz}^i \min^i \{ \tilde{f}(y), \tilde{g}(z) \} & \text{if } x \text{ can be expressed as } x = yz \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ (\tilde{f} * \tilde{g})(x) &= \begin{cases} \sup_{x=(a+c)b-ab}^i \min^i \{ \tilde{f}(c), \tilde{g}(b) \} & \text{if } x \text{ can be expressed as} \\ & x = (a+c)b - ab. \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.4. Let $R = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be a set with two binary operations is defined as follows:

+	a	b	c	d
a	a	b	c	d
b	b	a	d	c
c	c	d	b	a
d	d	c	a	b

·	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	a
b	a	a	a	a
c	a	a	a	a
d	a	a	b	b

Then clearly $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a left near-ring. Let $\tilde{\mu} : R \rightarrow D[0, 1]$ be an i-v fuzzy subset of R such that $\tilde{\mu}(a) = [0.5, 0.6]$, $\tilde{\mu}(b) = [0.3, 0.4]$ and $\tilde{\mu}(c) = [0.2, 0.3] = \tilde{\mu}(d)$. Clearly $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy subgroup of R . $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(a) = [0.5, 0.6] = (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(a)$, similarly, $(\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(a) = [0.5, 0.6]$ and $\min^i \{ (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(a), (\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(a), (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(a) \} = [0.5, 0.6] = \tilde{\mu}(a)$. Similarly, $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(b) = (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(b) = (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(b) = [0.2, 0.3]$, $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(c) = (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(c) = (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(c) = [0, 0]$ and $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(d) = (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(d) = (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(d) = [0, 0]$. Thus $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R .

Lemma 3.5. Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be an i-v fuzzy subset of R . If $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy right ideal of R , then $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R .

Proof. Let $x' \in R$ and $x' = ab = (x+z)y - xy$, where a, b, x, y and z are in R . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R}) \cap (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu}) \cap (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R}))(x') \\ &= \min^i \{ (\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(x'), (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(x'), (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x') \} \\ &= \min^i \{ \sup_{x'=ab}^i \min^i \{ \tilde{\mu}(a), \mathbf{R}(b) \}, \sup_{x'=ab}^i \min^i \{ \mathbf{R}(a), \tilde{\mu}(b) \}, \\ & \quad \sup_{x'=(x+z)y-xy}^i \min^i \{ \tilde{\mu}(z), \mathbf{R}(y) \} \} \\ &= \min^i \{ \sup^i \{ \tilde{\mu}(a) \}, \sup^i \{ \tilde{\mu}(b) \}, \sup^i \{ \tilde{\mu}(z) \} \} \\ & \quad \text{Since } \tilde{\mu} \text{ is an i-v fuzzy right ideal, } \tilde{\mu}((x+z)y - xy) \geq \tilde{\mu}(z). \\ &\leq \min^i \{ \tilde{1}, \tilde{1}, \tilde{\mu}((x+z)y - xy) \} = \tilde{\mu}((x+z)y - xy) = \tilde{\mu}(x'). \end{aligned}$$

If x' is not expressed as $x' = ab = (x+z)y - xy$, then $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x') = 0 \leq \tilde{\mu}(x')$. Thus $\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R} \subseteq \tilde{\mu}$. Hence $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . \square

Lemma 3.6. *Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be an i -v fuzzy subset of R . If $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i -v fuzzy left ideal of R , then $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i -v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R .*

Proof. Let $x' \in R$ and $x' = ab = (x + z)y - xy$, where a, b, x, y and z are in R . Consider,

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R}) \cap (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu}) \cap (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R}))(x') \\ &= \min^i \{(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(x'), (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(x'), (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x')\} \\ &= \min^i \{\sup_{x'=ab}^i \min^i \{\tilde{\mu}(a), \mathbf{R}(b)\}, \sup_{x'=ab}^i \min^i \{\mathbf{R}(a), \tilde{\mu}(b)\}, \\ & \quad \sup_{x'=(x+z)y-xy}^i \min^i \{\tilde{\mu}(z), \mathbf{R}(y)\}\} \\ &= \min^i \{\sup^i \{\tilde{\mu}(a)\}, \sup^i \{\tilde{\mu}(b)\}, \sup^i \{\tilde{\mu}(z)\}\} \\ & \quad \text{Since } \tilde{\mu} \text{ is an } i\text{-v fuzzy left ideal, } \tilde{\mu}(ab) \geq \tilde{\mu}(b). \\ &\leq \min^i \{\tilde{1}, \tilde{\mu}(ab), \tilde{1}\} = \tilde{\mu}(ab) = \tilde{\mu}(x'). \end{aligned}$$

If x' is not expressed as $x' = ab = (x + z)y - xy$, then $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x') = 0 \leq \tilde{\mu}(x')$. Thus $\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R} \subseteq \tilde{\mu}$. Hence $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i -v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . \square

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be an i -v fuzzy subset of R . If $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i -v fuzzy ideal of R , then $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i -v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R .*

However the converse of the Theorem 3.7 is not true in general which is demonstrated by the following Example.

Example 3.8. Let $R = \{a, b, c, d\}$ be a set with two binary operations defined as follows:

+	a	b	c	d
a	a	b	c	d
b	b	a	d	c
c	c	d	b	a
d	d	c	a	b

·	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	a
b	a	a	a	a
c	a	a	a	a
d	a	b	c	d

Then clearly $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a left near-ring. Define an i -v fuzzy subset $\tilde{\mu} : R \rightarrow D[0, 1]$ by $\tilde{\mu}(a) = [0.8, 0.9], \tilde{\mu}(b) = [0.6, 0.7], \tilde{\mu}(c) = [0.3, 0.4] = \tilde{\mu}(d)$. Then $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(a) = [0.8, 0.9], (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(a) = [0.8, 0.9], (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(a) = [0.8, 0.9]$. $\min^i \{(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(a), (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(a), (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(a)\} = \min^i \{[0.8, 0.9], [0.8, 0.9], [0.8, 0.9]\} = [0.8, 0.9] = \tilde{\mu}(a)$. Thus $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i -v fuzzy quasi ideal of R and $\tilde{\mu}$ is not an i -v fuzzy right ideal of R , since $\tilde{\mu}((c+b)d - cd) = \tilde{\mu}(d) < \tilde{\mu}(b)$. Thus $\tilde{\mu}$ is not an i -v fuzzy ideal of R .

Lemma 3.9. *Every i -v fuzzy quasi-ideals in a zero-symmetric near-ring R is an i -v fuzzy subnear-ring of R .*

Proof. Let μ be an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideals of a zero-symmetric near-ring R . Choose $a, b, c, x, y, z \in R$ such that $a = bc = (x + z)y - xy$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mu}(bc) &= \tilde{\mu}(a) \geq \min^i\{(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(a), (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(a), (\mu * \mathbf{R})(a)\} \\ &= \min^i\{\sup_{a=bc}^i \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(b), \mathbf{R}(c)\}, \sup_{a=bc}^i \min^i\{\mathbf{R}(b), \tilde{\mu}(c)\}, \\ &\quad \sup_{a=(x+z)y-xy}^i \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(z), \mathbf{R}(y)\}\} \\ &\geq \min^i\{\sup_{a=bc}^i \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(b), \mathbf{R}(c)\}, \sup_{a=bc}^i \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(c), \mathbf{R}(b)\}, \\ &\quad \sup_{a=(0+c)b-0b}^i \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(c)\}\} \\ &= \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(b), \tilde{\mu}(c), \tilde{\mu}(c)\} \\ &= \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(b), \tilde{\mu}(c)\}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tilde{\mu}(bc) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(b), \tilde{\mu}(c)\}$ and since $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of a zero-symmetric near-ring R , then $\tilde{\mu}(b - c) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(b), \tilde{\mu}(c)\}$ for all $b, c \in R$. Thus μ is an i-v fuzzy subnear-ring of R . \square

Theorem 3.10. Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be an i-v fuzzy subset of R . Then $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R if and only if upper level subsets $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$ is a quasi-ideal of R , for all $[t_1, t_2] \in D[0, 1]$ with $[t_1, t_2] \neq [0, 0]$.

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . Let $[t_1, t_2] \in D[0, 1]$ with $[t_1, t_2] \neq [0, 0]$. Let $x, y \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$. Then $\tilde{\mu}(x) \geq [t_1, t_2]$ and $\tilde{\mu}(y) \geq [t_1, t_2]$. Since $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R , we have $\tilde{\mu}(x - y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(x), \tilde{\mu}(y)\} \geq [t_1, t_2]$. It follows that $x - y \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$. Let $x' \in R$ and $x' \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2]) \cap \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2]) * \mathbf{R}$. If there exist $a, b_1, z \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$ and $a_1, b, x, y \in R$ such that $x' = ab = a_1b_1 = (x + z)y - xy$. Then $\tilde{\mu}(a) \geq [t_1, t_2], \tilde{\mu}(b_1) \geq [t_1, t_2]$ and $\tilde{\mu}(z) \geq [t_1, t_2]$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mu}(x') &\geq (\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x') \\ &= \min^i\{(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(x'), (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(x'), (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x')\} \\ &= \min^i\{\sup_{x'=ab}^i \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(a), \mathbf{R}(b)\}, \sup_{x'=a_1b_1}^i \min^i\{\mathbf{R}(a_1), \tilde{\mu}(b_1)\}, \\ &\quad \sup_{x'=(x+z)y-xy}^i \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(z), \mathbf{R}(y)\}\} \\ &= \min^i\{\sup_{x'=ab}^i \{\tilde{\mu}(a)\}, \sup_{x'=a_1b_1}^i \{\tilde{\mu}(b_1)\}, \sup_{x'=(x+z)y-xy}^i \{\tilde{\mu}(z)\}\}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\tilde{\mu}(x') \geq [t_1, t_2]$ and so $x' \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$, that is, $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2]) \cap \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2]) * \mathbf{R} \subseteq \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$ and hence $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$ is a quasi-ideal of R .

Conversely, assume that $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2]), [t_1, t_2] \in D[0, 1]$ with $[t_1, t_2] \neq [0, 0]$, is a quasi-ideal of R . Let $x' \in R$. Suppose that $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x') > \tilde{\mu}(x')$. Choose $[0, 0] < [t_1, t_2] \leq [1, 1]$ such that $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x') > [t_1, t_2] > \tilde{\mu}(x')$. This implies that $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(x') \geq [t_1, t_2], (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(x') \geq [t_1, t_2]$ and $(\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x') \geq [t_1, t_2]$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(x') &= \sup_{x'=ab}^i \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(a), \mathbf{R}(b)\} = \sup_{x'=ab}^i \{\tilde{\mu}(a)\} \geq [t_1, t_2] \text{ and} \\ (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(x') &= \sup_{x'=a_1b_1}^i \min^i\{\mathbf{R}(a_1), \tilde{\mu}(b_1)\} = \sup_{x'=a_1b_1}^i \{\tilde{\mu}(b_1)\} \geq [t_1, t_2] \text{ and} \\ (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x') &= \sup_{x'=(x+z)y-xy}^i \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(z), \mathbf{R}(y)\} = \sup_{x'=(x+z)y-xy}^i \{\tilde{\mu}(z)\} \geq [t_1, t_2].\end{aligned}$$

Then $a, b_1, z \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$. Since $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$ is a quasi-ideal of R , then

$x' = ab \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])\mathbf{R}$, $x' = a_1b_1 \in \mathbf{R}\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$ and $x' = (x+z)y - xy \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2]) * \mathbf{R}$. Thus $x' \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2]) \cap \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2]) * \mathbf{R}$, that is, $x' \in \tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$, because $\tilde{U}(\tilde{\mu} : [t_1, t_2])$ is a quasi-ideal of R . Thus $\tilde{\mu}(x') \geq [t_1, t_2]$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R} \subseteq \tilde{\mu}$ and hence $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let A and B be two nonempty subsets of R . Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) $\tilde{f}_A \cap \tilde{f}_B = \tilde{f}_{A \cap B}$.
- (2) $\tilde{f}_A \cup \tilde{f}_B = \tilde{f}_{A \cup B}$.
- (3) $\tilde{f}_A \tilde{f}_B = \tilde{f}_{AB}$.
- (4) $\tilde{f}_A * \tilde{f}_B = \tilde{f}_{A * B}$.

Lemma 3.12. *Let Q be a subgroup of R . Then Q is a quasi-ideal of R if and only if \tilde{f}_Q is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R .*

Proof. Assume that Q is a quasi-ideal of R . Then \tilde{f}_Q is an i-v fuzzy subgroup of R .

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{f}_Q\mathbf{R}) \cap (\mathbf{R}\tilde{f}_Q) \cap (\tilde{f}_Q * \mathbf{R}) &= (\tilde{f}_Q\tilde{f}_R) \cap (\tilde{f}_R\tilde{f}_Q) \cap (\tilde{f}_Q * \tilde{f}_R) \\ &= \tilde{f}_{QR} \cap \tilde{f}_{RQ} \cap \tilde{f}_{Q*R} \\ &= \tilde{f}_{QR \cap RQ \cap Q*R} \subseteq \tilde{f}_Q. \end{aligned}$$

This means that \tilde{f}_Q is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R .

Conversely, let us assume that \tilde{f}_Q is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . Let x be any element of $QR \cap RQ \cap Q * R$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_Q(x) &\geq (\tilde{f}_Q\mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R}\tilde{f}_Q \cap \tilde{f}_Q * \mathbf{R})(x) \\ &= \min^i \{ (\tilde{f}_Q\mathbf{R})(x), (\mathbf{R}\tilde{f}_Q)(x), (\tilde{f}_Q * \mathbf{R})(x) \} \\ &= \min^i \{ (\tilde{f}_Q\tilde{f}_R)(x), (\tilde{f}_R\tilde{f}_Q)(x), (\tilde{f}_Q * \tilde{f}_R)(x) \} \\ &= \min^i \{ \tilde{f}_{QR}(x), \tilde{f}_{RQ}(x), \tilde{f}_{Q*R}(x) \} \\ &= \tilde{f}_{QR \cap RQ \cap Q*R}(x) = \tilde{1}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $x \in Q$ and so $QR \cap RQ \cap Q * R \subseteq Q$. This means that Q is a quasi ideal of R . \square

Theorem 3.13. *Every i-v fuzzy right R -subgroup of R is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal.*

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy right R -subgroup of R . Let $a, b, x, y, z \in R$ be such that $x' = ab = (x + z)y - xy$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R}) \cap (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu}) \cap (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R}))(x') \\ &= \min^i \{(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(x'), (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(x'), (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x')\} \\ &= \min^i \{\sup_{x'=ab}^i \min^i \{\tilde{\mu}(a), \mathbf{R}(b)\}, \sup_{x'=ab}^i \min^i \{\mathbf{R}(a), \tilde{\mu}(b)\}, (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x')\} \\ &= \min^i \{\sup^i \{\tilde{\mu}(a)\}, \sup^i \{\tilde{\mu}(b)\}, (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x')\} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy right R -subgroup of R , $\tilde{\mu}(ab) \geq \tilde{\mu}(a)$.

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \min^i \{\tilde{\mu}(ab), \mathbf{R}(b), \mathbf{R}((x + z)y - xy)\} \\ &= \min^i \{\tilde{\mu}(ab), \tilde{1}, \tilde{1}\} = \tilde{\mu}(ab) = \tilde{\mu}(x'). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . \square

Theorem 3.14. Every i-v fuzzy left R -subgroup of R is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal.

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy left R -subgroup of R . Let $a, b, x, y, z \in R$ be such that $x' = ab = (x + z)y - xy$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R}) \cap (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu}) \cap (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R}))(x') \\ &= \min^i \{(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(x'), (\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(x'), (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x')\} \\ &= \min^i \{\sup_{x'=ab}^i \min^i \{\tilde{\mu}(a), \mathbf{R}(b)\}, \sup_{x'=ab}^i \min^i \{\mathbf{R}(a), \tilde{\mu}(b)\}, (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x')\} \\ &= \min^i \{\sup^i \{\tilde{\mu}(a)\}, \sup^i \{\tilde{\mu}(b)\}, (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x')\} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy right R -subgroup of R , $\tilde{\mu}(ab) \geq \tilde{\mu}(b)$.

$$\leq \min^i \{\mathbf{R}(a), \tilde{\mu}(ab), \mathbf{R}((x + z)y - xy)\} = \min^i \{\tilde{1}, \tilde{\mu}(ab), \tilde{1}\} = \tilde{\mu}(ab) = \tilde{\mu}(x').$$

Therefore $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . \square

Theorem 3.15. Every i-v fuzzy R -subgroup of R is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal.

Proof. The proof is straightforward from Theorem 3.13 and Theorem 3.14. \square

The converse of the Theorem 3.15 is not true in general as shown in following Example.

Example 3.16. Let $R = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a set with two binary operations $+$ and \cdot is defined as follows:

$+$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

\cdot	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	0	a
a	0	a	0	a
b	0	a	b	c
c	0	a	b	c

Then clearly $(R, +, \cdot)$ is a left near-ring. Let $\tilde{\mu} : R \rightarrow D[0, 1]$ be an i-v fuzzy subset defined by $\tilde{\mu}(0) = [0.7, 0.8]$, $\tilde{\mu}(a) = [0.2, 0.3] = \tilde{\mu}(b)$ and $\tilde{\mu}(c) = [0.4, 0.6]$. Thus, $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(0) = [0.7, 0.8]$, $(\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(0) = [0.7, 0.8]$, $(\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(0) = [0.7, 0.8]$, $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(a) = [0.7, 0.8]$, $(\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(a) = [0.4, 0.6]$, $(\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(a) = \bar{0}$, $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(b) = [0.4, 0.6]$, $(\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(b) = [0.4, 0.6]$, $(\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(b) = [0.4, 0.6]$, and $(\tilde{\mu}\mathbf{R})(c) = [0.4, 0.6]$, $(\mathbf{R}\tilde{\mu})(c) = [0.4, 0.6]$, $(\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(c) = \bar{0}$,

Hence $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . But $\tilde{\mu}$ is not an i-v fuzzy R -subgroups of R , because $\tilde{\mu}(0c) = \tilde{\mu}(a) = [0.2, 0.3] < [0.4, 0.6] = \tilde{\mu}(c)$ and $\tilde{\mu}(ca) = \tilde{\mu}(a) = [0.2, 0.3] < [0.4, 0.6] = \tilde{\mu}(c)$.

Theorem 3.17. *Let $\tilde{\mu}$ be an i-v fuzzy subset of R . Then $\tilde{\mu} = [\mu^-, \mu^+]$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R if and only if μ^-, μ^+ are fuzzy quasi-ideals of R .*

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . For any $x, y \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\mu^-(x-y), \mu^+(x-y)] &= \tilde{\mu}(x-y) \geq \min^i\{\tilde{\mu}(x), \tilde{\mu}(y)\} \\ &= \min^i\{[\mu^-(x), \mu^+(x)], [\mu^-(y), \mu^+(y)]\} \\ &= [\min\{\mu^-(x), \mu^-(y)\}, \min\{\mu^+(x), \mu^+(y)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mu^-(x-y) \geq \min\{\mu^-(x), \mu^-(y)\}$ and $\mu^+(x-y) \geq \min\{\mu^+(x), \mu^+(y)\}$. Thus $\tilde{\mu}$ is an additive subgroup of R . Next,

$$\begin{aligned} ((\mu^- \mathbf{R}^-) \cap (\mathbf{R}^- \mu^-) \cap (\mu^- * \mathbf{R}^-))(x), ((\mu^+ \mathbf{R}^+) \cap (\mathbf{R}^+ \mu^+) \cap (\mu^+ * \mathbf{R}^+))(x) \\ = ((\tilde{\mu} \mathbf{R}) \cap (\mathbf{R} \tilde{\mu}) \cap (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R}))(x) \\ \leq \tilde{\mu}(x) = [\mu^-(x), \mu^+(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $((\mu^- \mathbf{R}^-) \cap (\mathbf{R}^- \mu^-) \cap (\mu^- * \mathbf{R}^-))(x) \leq \mu^-(x)$ and $((\mu^+ \mathbf{R}^+) \cap (\mathbf{R}^+ \mu^+) \cap (\mu^+ * \mathbf{R}^+))(x) \leq \mu^+(x)$.

Therefore μ^- and μ^+ are fuzzy quasi-ideals of R .

Conversely, assume that μ^- and μ^+ are fuzzy quasi-ideals of R and $x \in R$

(i.e.) $((\mu^- \mathbf{R}^-) \cap (\mathbf{R}^- \mu^-) \cap (\mu^- * \mathbf{R}^-))(x) \leq \mu^-(x)$.
 $((\mu^+ \mathbf{R}^+) \cap (\mathbf{R}^+ \mu^+) \cap (\mu^+ * \mathbf{R}^+))(x) \leq \mu^+(x)$.

$$\begin{aligned} ((\tilde{\mu} \mathbf{R}) \cap (\mathbf{R} \tilde{\mu}) \cap (\tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R}))(x) \\ = ((\mu^- \mathbf{R}^- \cap \mathbf{R}^- \mu^- \cap \mu^- * \mathbf{R}^-)(x), (\mu^+ \mathbf{R}^+ \cap \mathbf{R}^+ \mu^+ \cap \mu^+ * \mathbf{R}^+)(x)) \\ \leq [\mu^-(x), \mu^+(x)] = \tilde{\mu}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . □

Theorem 3.18. *Let $\{\tilde{\mu}_i : i \in \Omega\}$ be any family of i-v fuzzy quasi-ideals of R . Then $\mu = \bigcap_{i \in \Omega} \tilde{\mu}_i$ is also an i-v fuzzy quasi ideal of R , where Ω is an index set.*

Proof. Let $\{\tilde{\mu}_i : i \in \Omega\}$ be i-v fuzzy quasi-ideals of R . Let $x, y \in R$. By Theorem 3.1 of [14], μ is an i-v fuzzy subgroup of R . Since $\tilde{\mu} = \bigcap_{i \in \Omega} \tilde{\mu}_i \subseteq \tilde{\mu}_i$, for every $i \in \Omega$. Let

$x \in R$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu} \mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R} \tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x) &\leq (\tilde{\mu}_i \mathbf{R}_i \cap \mathbf{R}_i \tilde{\mu}_i \cap \tilde{\mu}_i * \mathbf{R}_i)(x) \\ &\text{since } \tilde{\mu}_i \text{ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideals of } R. \\ &\leq \tilde{\mu}_i(x), \text{ for every } i \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(\tilde{\mu} \mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R} \tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R})(x) \leq \inf^i\{\tilde{\mu}_i(x) : i \in \Omega\} = \left(\bigcap_{i \in \Omega} \tilde{\mu}_i\right)(x) = \tilde{\mu}(x).$$

Thus, $(\tilde{\mu} \mathbf{R} \cap \mathbf{R} \tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * \mathbf{R}) \subseteq \tilde{\mu}$. Hence $\mu = \bigcap_{i \in \Omega} \tilde{\mu}_i$ is an i-v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . □

Theorem 3.19. *Let R be a zero-symmetric near-ring and $\tilde{\mu}$ be an i -v fuzzy subgroup of R . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i -v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R .
- (ii) $\tilde{\mu}R \cap R\tilde{\mu} \subseteq \tilde{\mu}$.

Proof. Let R be a zero-symmetric near-ring and $\tilde{\mu}$ be an i -v fuzzy subgroup of R .

(i) \Rightarrow (ii): Assume that $\tilde{\mu}$ be an i -v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . This implies that $\tilde{\mu}R \cap R\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * R \subseteq \tilde{\mu}$. Since $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i -v fuzzy subgroup of R , then we have $\tilde{\mu}(0) \geq \tilde{\mu}(x)$, for all $x \in R$. Clearly, $R(x) = \tilde{1}$, for all $x \in R$. Then $(\tilde{\mu}R)(0) \geq (\tilde{\mu}R)(x)$, for all $x \in R$. By our assumption R is a zero-symmetric near-ring, then $\tilde{\mu}R \cap R\tilde{\mu} \subseteq \tilde{\mu} * R$. It is clear that $\tilde{\mu}R \cap R\tilde{\mu} \subseteq \tilde{\mu}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $x \in R$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\mu}R \cap R\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * R)(x) &= \min^i \{ (\tilde{\mu}R)(x), (R\tilde{\mu})(x), (\tilde{\mu} * R)(x) \} \\ &\leq \min^i \{ (\tilde{\mu}R)(x), (R\tilde{\mu})(x) \} \\ &\leq (\tilde{\mu}R \cap R\tilde{\mu})(x) \leq \tilde{\mu}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{\mu}R \cap R\tilde{\mu} \cap \tilde{\mu} * R \subseteq \tilde{\mu}$ and $\tilde{\mu}$ is an i -v fuzzy quasi-ideal of R . \square

4. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are highly grateful to referees for their valuable comments and suggestions for improving the paper. The second author was supported in part by UGC-BSR Grant # F4-1/2006(BSR)/7-254/2009(BSR) in India.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abou-Zaid, On fuzzy subnear-rings and ideals, Fuzzy sets and Systems 44 (1991) 139–146.
- [2] B. Davvaz, Fuzzy ideals of near-rings with interval valued membership functions, Journals of Sciences, Islamic republic of Iran 12 (2) (2001) 171–175.
- [3] B. Davvaz, Jianming Zhan and K. P. Shum, Generalized fuzzy H_v -submodules endowed with interval valued membership functions, Inform. Sci. 178 (2008) 3147–3159.
- [4] P. Dheena and S. Coumaressane, Generalization of $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subnear-rings and ideals, Iranian Journal of fuzzy systems 5 (2008) 79–97.
- [5] Y. B. Jun and K. H. kim, Interval valued fuzzy R-subgroup of near-rings, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 33 (1) (2002) 71–80.
- [6] S. D. Kim and H. S. kim, On Fuzzy Ideals of Near-rings, Bulletin Korean Mathematical Society 33 (1996) 593–601.
- [7] T. Manikantan, Fuzzy bi-ideals of near-rings, J. Fuzzy Math. 17 (3) (2009) 659–671.
- [8] Muhammad Shabir, Nosheen Malik and Tahir Mahmood, Characterizations of hemirings by the properties of their interval valued fuzzy ideals, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 3 (2) (2012) 229–242.
- [9] Muhammad Shabir, Noshin Malik and Tahir Mahmood, On interval valued fuzzy h-ideals in hemirings, East Asian Mathematical Journal 28 (2012) 49–62.
- [10] AL. Narayanan and T. Manikatan, $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy subnear-rings and $(\in, \in \vee q)$ -fuzzy ideals of near-rings, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing 18 (2005) 419–430.
- [11] AL. Narayanan, Contributions to the algebraic structures in fuzzy theory, Ph.D. Thesis, Annamalai University 2001.
- [12] A. Rosenfeld, Fuzzy Groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35 (1971) 512–517.
- [13] N. Thillaigovindan and V. Chinnadurai, On Interval Valued Fuzzy Quasi-Ideals of Semigroups, East Asian Mathematics Journals 25 (4) (2009) 449–467.
- [14] N. Thillaigovindan, V. Chinnadurai and S. Kadarasi, Interval valued Fuzzy ideals of near-rings, J. Fuzzy Math. 23 (2) (2015) 471–484.

- [15] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [16] L. A. Zadeh, The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning, Inform. Sci. 8 (1975) 199–249.

V.CHINNADURAI (kv.chinnadurai@yahoo.com)

Department of mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, postal code 608 002, India

S. KADALARASI (kadalarasi89@gmail.com)

Department of mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, postal code 608 002, India