Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 10, No. 2, (August 2015), pp. 331–342 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr

© FMI © Kyung Moon Sa Co. http://www.kyungmoon.com

# $\gamma$ -Synchronized fuzzy automata and their applications

V. KARTHIKEYAN, M. RAJASEKAR

Received 16 April 2014; Revised 24 November 2014; Accepted 3 December 2014

ABSTRACT.  $\gamma$ -Synchronized fuzzy automaton were introduced by V. Karthikeyan and M. Rajasekar in [5]. In this paper we introduce  $\gamma$ synchronization degree of a fuzzy automaton and procedure is given to find  $\gamma$ -synchronized words. Using this concept, we introduce an application related to petrol passing through two different pipelines in 4 cities. The objective is to find shortest optimum sequence of pipelines to cover all cities (we can start anywhere in 4 cities) such that the petrol reaches each city at least once and ends in a particular city with minimal flow capacity and minimum maintenance cost.

2010 AMS Classification: 18B20, 68Q70

Keywords: Stability relation,  $\gamma\text{-}\mathrm{Synchronized}$  fuzzy automaton, Quotient fuzzy automaton.

Corresponding Author: V. Karthikeyan (vkarthikau@gmail.com)

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy set was introduced by L. A. Zadeh in 1965 [7]. The mathematical formulation of a fuzzy automaton was first proposed by W.G. Wee in 1967 [6].  $\gamma$ -synchronized fuzzy automaton were introduced by V. Karthikeyan and M. Rajasekar in [5]. In this paper, we introduce  $\gamma$ -synchronization degree of a fuzzy automaton and procedure is given to find  $\gamma$ -synchronized words. Using this concept, we introduce an application related to petrol passing through two different pipelines in n cities. Flow capacity of the pipelines is given. Each pair of city is connected by pipelines with one other. Let  $C_{ij}$  be the maintenance cost for the pipeline that connects from  $i^{th}$  city to  $j^{th}$  city. The objective is to find the shortest optimum sequence of pipelines to cover all cities (we can start anywhere in n cities) such that the petrol reaches each city at least once and ends in a particular city with minimal flow capacity and minimum maintenance cost.

#### 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1** ([8]). Let X denote a universal set. Then a fuzzy set A in X is set of ordered pairs:  $A = \{(x, \mu_A(x)|x \in X\}, \mu_A(x) \text{ is called the membership function or grade of membership of x in A which maps X to the membership space [0, 1].$ 

**Definition 2.2** ([4]). A finite fuzzy automaton is a system of 5 tuples,  $M = (Q, \Sigma f_M, q_0, F)$ ,

where,

Q - set of states  $\{q_0, q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\},\$ 

 $\Sigma$  - alphabets (or) input symbols,

 $f_M$  - function from  $Q \times \Sigma \times Q \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,

 $q_0$  initial state and  $q_0 \in Q$ , and

 $F \subseteq Q$  set of final states.

 $f_M(q_i, a, q_j) = \mu, \ 0 \le \mu \le 1$ , means that when M is in state  $q_i$  and reads the input a will move to the state  $q_j$  with weight function  $\mu$ .  $f_M$  can be extended to  $Q \times \Sigma^* \times Q \to [0, 1]$  by,

$$f_M(q_i, \ \epsilon, \ q_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q_i = q_j \\ 0 & \text{if } q_i \neq q_j \end{cases}$$

 $f_M(q_i, w, q_m) = Max\{Min\{f_M(q_i, a_1, q_1), f_M(q_1, a_2, q_2), \dots, f_M(q_{m-1}, a_m, q_m)\}\}$ for  $w = a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_m \in \Sigma^*$ , where Max is taken over all the paths from  $q_i$  to  $q_m$ . We can represent transitions more conveniently by the matrix notation as follows:

For each  $a \in \Sigma$ , we can form a  $n \times n$  matrix F(a) whose  $(i, j)^{th}$  element is  $f_M(q_i, a, q_j) > 0$ .

#### Note

Throughout this paper, we consider the fuzzy automaton  $M = (Q, \Sigma, f_M)$ . That is, we consider a fuzzy automaton without initial state and final state.

**Definition 2.3** ([3]). A fuzzy automaton  $M = (Q, \Sigma, f_M)$  is called deterministic if for each  $a \in \Sigma$  and  $q_i \in Q$ , there exists a unique state  $q_a$  such that  $f_M(q_i, a, q_a) > 0$ otherwise it is called nondeterministic.

**Definition 2.4.** A relation R on a set Q is said to be an equivalence relation if it is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

**Definition 2.5** ([1, 2]). Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, f_M)$  be a fuzzy automaton. An equivalence relation R on Q in M is called a congruence relation if for all  $q_i, q_j \in Q$  and  $a \in \Sigma, q_i R q_j$  implies that, then there exists  $q_l, q_k \in Q$  such that  $f_M(q_i, a, q_l) > 0$ ,  $f_M(q_j, a, q_k) > 0$  and  $q_l R q_k$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, f_M)$  be a fuzzy automaton. The quotient fuzzy automaton determined by the congruence  $\cong$  is a fuzzy automaton  $M/\cong = (Q/\cong , \Sigma, f_{M/\cong})$ , where  $Q/\cong = \{Q_i = [q_i]\}$  and

 $f_{M/\cong}(Q_1, a, Q_2) = Min \{ f_M(q_1, a, q_2) > 0 \ / \ q_1 \in Q_1, \ q_2 \in Q_2 \ \text{ and } a \in \Sigma \}.$ 

**Definition 2.7** ([5]). Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, f_M)$  be a fuzzy automaton. We say that two states  $q_i, q_j \in Q$  are stability related and denoted by  $q_i \equiv q_j$ , if for any word

 $u \in \Sigma^*$ , there exists a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$  and  $q_k \in Q$  such that  $f_M(q_i, uw, q_k) > 0 \Leftrightarrow f_M(q_i, uw, q_k) > 0$ .

**Definition 2.8** ([5]). Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, f_M)$  be a fuzzy automaton. We say that a fuzzy automaton is  $\gamma$ -synchronized at the state  $q_j$  if there exist a real number  $\gamma$ with  $0 < \gamma \leq 1$ , and a word  $w \in \Sigma^*$  that takes each state  $q_i$  of Q into  $q_j$  such that  $f_M(q_i, w, q_j) \geq \gamma$ , where  $\gamma = \text{minimal membership value in a fuzzy automaton.}$ 

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, f_M)$  be a fuzzy automaton and let  $P \subseteq Q$ . The  $\gamma$ -synchronization degree is defined as,  $\theta_M = Min_{w \in \Sigma^*} \{card(P) / Min\{f_M(Q, w, P) > 0, q \in Q, p \in P\}\}$ . A fuzzy automaton is  $\gamma$ -synchronized if and only if  $\theta_M$  is equal to 1.

#### 3. Procedure to Find $\gamma$ -Synchronized Words

Let  $M = (Q, \Sigma, f_M)$  be a fuzzy automaton. We define another fuzzy automaton  $M_S$  as follows:

 $M_S = (2^Q, \Sigma, f_{M_S}, Q, F \subseteq Q)$ , where,

Q is called initial state on  $M_S$ ,

F is called set of all final states on  $M_S$ ,

 $f_{M_S}$  is the transition function on  $M_S$ .

The transition function  $f_{M_S}$  is defined by,  $f_{M_S}(P, a, T) = Min \{ f_M(p, a, t) > 0, p \in P, t \in T \}, P, T \in 2^Q \text{ for } a \in \Sigma.$ Clearly,  $M_S$  is a deterministic fuzzy automaton and more over a word w is  $\gamma$ synchronized in M if and only if there exists a singleton subsets  $S \in 2^Q$  such that  $f_{M_S}(Q, w, S) = \gamma.$ 

Consider the following example,



333



Fig - 3.2

 $M_S$  contains two elements subsets of Q and hence,  $\gamma\text{-synchronization}$  degree is 2. Hence, M is not  $\gamma\text{-synchronized}.$ 

Consider the following example,





Fig - 3.4

In  $M_S$ ,  $S = \{q_4\}$  is a singleton subset of Q. Hence, the fuzzy automaton is  $\gamma$ -synchronized with a word ab and  $\gamma = 0.2$ 

#### 4. Applications

Assume that there are 4 cities and two different pipelines that are leaving from each city. Assume that the pipelines are of different width and the particular petrol agency decided to supply petrol through two pipelines. Flow capacity of the pipelines is given. Each pair of city is connected by pipelines with one other. Let  $C_{ij}$  be the maintenance cost for the pipeline that connects from  $i^{th}$  city to  $j^{th}$  city.

The main objective is to find the shortest optimum sequence of pipelines to cover all cities (we can start anywhere in n cities) such that the petrol reaches each city atleast once through two different pipelines and ends in a particular city with minimal flow capacity and minimum maintenance cost.

Consider the following example, we consider the cities as states, the two different pipelines as alphabets and flow capacity as a membership value. We can construct the given problem as a fuzzy automaton. Example 4.1





Cost sheet for pipelines "a" and "b" as follows.

pipeline a	<b>q</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>q</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>q</b> <sub>3</sub>	q4
q <sub>1</sub>	_	05	13	16
q <sub>2</sub>	03	_	11	06
q <sub>3</sub>	09	13	_	12
q <sub>4</sub>	07	14	02	_

pipeline b	<b>q</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>q</b> <sub>2</sub>	q <sub>3</sub>	<b>q</b> 4
<b>q</b> <sub>1</sub>	-	03	09	12
q <sub>2</sub>	14	-	01	13
q <sub>3</sub>	04	14	_	15
q <sub>4</sub>	10	16	01	_





## Shortest optimum sequence to cover all cities with minimal flow capacity 1) *aabb*

2) bbaa such that

(i) 
$$F(aabb) = \begin{pmatrix} 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
(ii)  $F(bbaa) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

#### Minimum cost calculation

1) For the sequence *aabb* 

(i)  $f_M(q_1, aabb, q_1) = 0.2 > 0$  the cost is 05 + 11 + 15 + 10 = 41(ii)  $f_M(q_2, aabb, q_1) = 0.2 > 0$  the cost is 11 + 13 + 13 + 10 = 47(iii)  $f_M(q_3, aabb, q_1) = 0.2 > 0$  the cost is 13 + 11 + 15 + 10 = 49(iv)  $f_M(q_4, aabb, q_1) = 0.2 > 0$  the cost is 14 + 11 + 15 + 10 = 50

2) For the sequence *bbaa* 

(i)  $f_M(q_1, bbaa, q_3) = 0.2 > 0$  the cost is 12 + 10 + 05 + 11 = 38(ii)  $f_M(q_2, bbaa, q_3) = 0.2 > 0$  the cost is 13 + 10 + 05 + 11 = 39(iii)  $f_M(q_3, bbaa, q_3) = 0.2 > 0$  the cost is 15 + 10 + 05 + 11 = 41(iv)  $f_M(q_4, bbaa, q_3) = 0.2 > 0$  the cost is 10 + 12 + 14 + 11 = 47

#### The optimum sequence is

 $f_M(q_1, bbaa, q_3) = 0.2 > 0$  such that the minimal cost is Rs. 38.

Example 4.2





Cost sheet for pipelines "a" and "b" are as follows.

pipeline a	<b>q</b> <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{q}_2$	q <sub>3</sub>	$\mathbf{q}_4$
q <sub>1</sub>	-	02	03	06
q <sub>2</sub>	03	-	01	06
q <sub>3</sub>	09	05	_	04
q <sub>4</sub>	07	08	02	-

pipeline b	q <sub>1</sub>	$\mathbf{q}_2$	q <sub>3</sub>	q <sub>4</sub>
q <sub>1</sub>	-	03	09	02
q <sub>2</sub>	04	-	01	03
q <sub>3</sub>	04	09	_	05
<b>q</b> <sub>4</sub>	10	06	07	_



Fig - 4.4

In  $M_S$  contains two elements subsets of Q and hence  $\gamma$ - synchronization degree is 2. Hence M is not  $\gamma$ - synchronized.

In the above fuzzy automaton (Fig - 4.3), for any word  $u \in \Sigma^*$ , there exists a word  $ba \in \Sigma^*$  and  $q_k \in Q$  such that  $f_M(q_1, uba, q_k) > 0 \Leftrightarrow f_M(q_2, uba, q_k) > 0$ . Therefore, the states  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  are stability related.

For any word  $u \in \Sigma^*$ , there exists a word  $aa \in \Sigma^*$  and  $q_l \in Q$  such that  $f_M(q_3, uaa, q_l) > 0 \Leftrightarrow f_M(q_4, uaa, q_l) > 0.$ 

Therefore, the states  $q_3$  and  $q_4$  are stability related.

#### The Quotient Fuzzy Automaton F



### The Relabeled Quotient Fuzzy Automaton F'



Fig - 4.6

The Relabeled Fuzzy Automaton  $M_1$ 



Fig - 4.7





Shortest optimum sequence to cover all cities with minimal flow capacity 1) bbaa such that

$$(\mathbf{i})F(bbaa) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0.1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Minimum cost calculation

1) For the sequence bbaa

(i)  $f_{M_1}(q_1, bbaa, q_3) = 0.1 > 0$  the cost is 03 + 04 + 06 + 02 = 15(ii)  $f_{M_1}(q_2, bbaa, q_3) = 0.1 > 0$  the cost is 04 + 03 + 06 + 02 = 15(iii)  $f_{M_1}(q_3, bbaa, q_3) = 0.1 > 0$  the cost is 09 + 04 + 06 + 02 = 21(iv)  $f_{M_1}(q_4, bbaa, q_3) = 0.1 > 0$  the cost is 10 + 03 + 06 + 02 = 21

#### The optimum sequence is

 $f_{M_1}(q_1, bbaa, q_3) = 0.1 > 0$  and  $f_{M_1}(q_2, bbaa, q_3) = 0.1 > 0$  such that the minimal cost is Rs. 15.

#### 5. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduce  $\gamma$ -synchronization degree of a fuzzy automaton and procedure is given to find  $\gamma$ -synchronized words. We introduce an application related to petrol passing through two different pipelines in 4 cities. The objective is to find shortest optimum sequence of pipelines to cover all cities (we can start anywhere in 4 cities) such that the petrol reaches each city at least once and ends in a particular city with minimal flow capacity and minimum maintenance cost.

#### References

- Y. Cao, G. Chen and E. Kerre, Bisimulations for fuzzy-transition systems, IEEE Transactions on Fuzzy Systems 19 (2011) 540–552.
- [2] Y. Cao and Y. Ezawa, Nondeterministic fuzzy automata, Information Sciences 191 (2012) 86-97.
- [3] M. Doostfatemeh and S. C. Kremer, New directions in fuzzy automata, International Journal of Approximate Reasoning 38 (2005) 175–214.
- [4] A. Kandel and S. C. Lee, Fuzzy switching and automata theory applications, Edward Arnold Publishers Ltd. London 1979.
- [5] V. Karthikeyan and M. Rajasekar, Relation in fuzzy automata, Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, 6(1) (2011) 121–126.
- [6] W. G. Wee, On Generalizations of Adaptive Algorithm and Application Of The Fuzzy Sets Concept to Pattern Classification, Ph.D Thesis Purude University 1967.
- [7] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.
- [8] H. J. Zimmermann, Fuzzy Set Theory and its Applications, International Series in Management Science/ Operation Research, Kluwer- Nijhoff, Boston, MA 1985.

#### V. KARTHIKEYAN (vkarthikau@gmail.com)

Mathematics Section, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram, Tamilnadu, India - 608002

#### M. RAJASEKAR (mdivraj19620gmail.com)

Mathematics Section, Faculty of Engineering and Technology, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar, Chidambaram, Tamilnadu, India - 608002