

e-compactness in fuzzifying topology

A. VADIVEL, M. PALANISAMY

Received 30 September 2014; Accepted 4 December 2014

ABSTRACT. In this paper the concepts of fuzzifying *e*-irresolute functions and fuzzifying *e*-compact spaces were characterized in terms of fuzzifying *e*-open sets and some of their properties are discussed.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A40, 54C08

Keywords: Lukasiewicz logic, Fuzzifying topology, Fuzzifying *e*-open set, Fuzzifying *e*-compactness.

Corresponding Author: A. Vadivel (avmaths@gmail.com)

1. INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy topology, as an important research field in fuzzy set theory, has been developed into a quite nature discipline [4]-[6], [9], [10], [15]. In contrast to classical topology, fuzzy topology is endowed with richer structure, to a certain extent, which is manifested with different ways to generalize certain classical concepts. So far, according to Ref. [5], the kind of topologies defined by Chang [1] and Goguen [2] is called the topologies of fuzzy subsets, and further is naturally called *L*-topological spaces if a lattice *L* of membership values has been chosen. Loosely speaking, a topology of fuzzy subsets (resp. an *L*-topological space) is a family τ of fuzzy subsets (resp. *L*-fuzzy subsets) of nonempty set *X*, and τ satisfies the basic conditions of classical topologies [8].

On the other hand, the authors of [7], [11] proposed the terminologies *I*-fuzzy topologies (if the set of membership values is chosen to be the unit interval [0,1]) and *L*-fuzzy topologies (if the corresponding set of membership values is chosen to be lattice *L*). More specifically, an *I*-fuzzy topology (resp. an *L*-fuzzy topology) is a (resp. an *L*-) fuzzy family τ over $P(X)$, where $P(X)$ denotes the class of all crisp subsets of nonempty set *X*. They were defined and extensively studied by Höhle, Šostak, Kubiak, Radabaugh and others [3], [7], [9], [10], [11].

In general, *L*-fuzzy topologies are investigated and described with algebraic and analytic methods.

In 1991, Ying [16]-[19] used the semantic method of continuous valued logic to propose the so-called fuzzifying topology as a preliminary of the research on bifuzzy topology and elementally develop topology in the theory of fuzzy sets from completely different direction. Briefly speaking, a fuzzifying topology on a set X assigns each crisp subset of X to a certain degree of being open, other than being definitely open or not. In a way, fuzzifying topologies are analogous to I -fuzzy topologies, but the former appeal to some semantical expressions of Lukasiewicz logic as a basic tool, and thus can be viewed as an alternative approach to fuzzy topology. Particularly, as the author [16]-[19] indicated, by investigating fuzzifying topology we may partially answer an important question proposed by Rosser and Turquette [12] in 1952, which asked whether there are many valued theories beyond the level of predicates calculus.

Roughly speaking, the semantical analysis approach transforms formal statements of interest, which are usually expressed as implication formulas in logical language, into some inequalities in the truth value set by truth valuation rules, and then these inequalities are demonstrated in an algebraic way and the semantic validity of conclusions is thus established. So far, there has been significant research on fuzzifying topologies [14, 19]. For example, Ying [19] introduced the concepts of compactness and established a generalization of Tychonoff's theorem in the framework of fuzzifying topology. In [14] the concepts of fuzzifying e -open set and fuzzifying e -continuity were introduced and studied and also introduced and studied the concept of fuzzifying e -separation axioms.

In [13] the concepts of e -irresolute function and e -compactness for fuzzy topological spaces were introduced. In this paper we introduce and study the concept of e -irresolute function between fuzzifying topological spaces. Furthermore, we introduce and study the concept of e -compactness in the framework of fuzzifying topology. We use the finite intersection property to give a characterization of the fuzzifying e -compact spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we offer some concepts and results in fuzzifying topology, which will be used in the sequel. For the details, we refer to [14], [16]-[19]. First, we display the Lukasiewicz logic and corresponding set theoretical notations used in this paper. For any formula φ , the symbol $[\varphi]$ means the truth value of φ , where the set of truth values is the unit interval $[0, 1]$. We write $\models \varphi$ if $[\varphi] = 1$ for any interpretation. By $\models^w \varphi$ (φ is feebly valid) we mean that for any valuation it always holds that $[\varphi] > 0$, and $\varphi \models^{ws} \psi$ we mean that $[\varphi] > 0$ implies $[\psi] = 1$. The original formulae of fuzzy logical and corresponding set theoretical notations are:

(1) $[\alpha] = \alpha (\alpha \in [0, 1]); [\varphi \wedge \psi] := \min([\varphi], [\psi]); [\varphi \rightarrow \psi] := \min(1, 1 - [\varphi] + [\psi]).$

(2) If $\tilde{A} \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$, where $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ is the family of all fuzzy sets of X , then

$$[x \in \tilde{A}] := \tilde{A}(x).$$

(3) If X is the universe of discourse, then $[\forall x \varphi(x)] := \inf_{x \in X} [\varphi(x)]$. In addition

the following derived formulae are given,

(1) $[\neg \varphi] := [\varphi \rightarrow 0] := 1 - [\varphi];$

(2) $[\varphi \vee \psi] := [\neg(\neg \varphi \wedge \neg \psi)] := \max([\varphi], [\psi]);$

(3) $[\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi] := [(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)];$

(4) $[\varphi \wedge \psi] := [\neg(\varphi \rightarrow \neg\psi)] := \max(0, [\varphi] + [\psi] - 1)$;

(5) $[\exists x\varphi(x)] := [\neg\forall x\neg\varphi(x)] := \sup_{x \in X} [\varphi(x)]$;

(6) If $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$, then

$$[\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}] := [\forall x(x \in \tilde{A} \rightarrow x \in \tilde{B})] := \inf_{x \in X} \min(1, 1 - \tilde{A}(x) + \tilde{B}(x));$$

where $\mathfrak{S}(X)$ is the family of all fuzzy sets in X .

Often we do not distinguish the connectives and their truth value functions and state strictly our results on formalization as Ying [16]-[19] did.

Second, we give some definitions and results in fuzzifying topology.

Definition 2.1 ([16]). Let X be a universe of discourse, $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$ satisfy the following condition:

- (1) $\tau(X) = 1, \tau(\phi) = 1$;
- (2) for any $A, B, \tau(A \cap B) \geq \tau(A) \wedge \tau(B)$;
- (3) for any $\{A_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \tau(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda) \geq \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \tau(A_\lambda)$.

Then τ is called a fuzzifying topology and (X, τ) is a fuzzifying topological space.

Definition 2.2 ([16]). The family of all fuzzifying closed sets, denoted by $\mathcal{F} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$, is defined as follows: $A \in \mathcal{F} := (X - A) \in \tau$, where $X - A$ is the complement of A .

Definition 2.3 ([16]). The fuzzifying neighbourhood system of a point $x \in X$ is denoted by $N_x \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$ and defined as follows: $N_x(A) = \sup_{x \in B \subseteq A} \tau(B)$.

Definition 2.4 ([16], Lemma 5.2.). The closure \bar{A} of A is defined as $\bar{A}(x) = 1 - N_x(X - A)$. In Theorem 5.3 [16], Ying proved that the closure $\bar{\cdot} : P(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(X)$ is a fuzzifying closure operator (see Definition 5.3 [16]) because its extension $\bar{\cdot} : \mathfrak{S}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}(X), \bar{A} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \alpha \bar{A}_\alpha, \bar{A} \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$, where $\bar{A}_\alpha = \{x : \bar{A}(x) \geq \alpha\}$ is the

α -cut of \bar{A} and $\alpha \bar{A}(x) = \alpha \wedge \bar{A}(x)$ satisfied the following kuratowski closure axioms:

- (1) $\bar{\bar{\phi}} = \bar{\phi}$;
- (2) for any $\tilde{A} \in \mathfrak{S}(X), \bar{\bar{\tilde{A}}} \subseteq \bar{\tilde{A}}$;
- (3) for any $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B}, \in \mathfrak{S}(X), \bar{\overline{\tilde{A} \cup \tilde{B}}} \equiv \bar{\tilde{A}} \cup \bar{\tilde{B}}$; (4) for any $\tilde{A}, \tilde{B} \in \mathfrak{S}(X), \bar{\bar{(\tilde{A})}} \subseteq \bar{\tilde{A}}$.

Definition 2.5 ([17]). For any $A \subseteq X$, the fuzzy set of interior points of A is called the interior of A , and given as follows: $A^\circ(x) := N_x(A)$. From Lemma 3.1 [16] and the definitions of $N_x(A)$ and A° we have $\tau(A) = \inf_{x \in A} A^\circ(x)$.

Definition 2.6 ([14]). For any $\tilde{A} \in \mathfrak{S}(X), \bar{(\tilde{A})}^\circ \equiv X - \overline{(X - \tilde{A})}$.

Lemma 2.7 ([14]). If $[\tilde{A} \subseteq \tilde{B}] = 1$, then (1) $\bar{\bar{\tilde{A}}} \subseteq \bar{\tilde{B}}$ (2) $\bar{(\tilde{A})}^\circ \subseteq \bar{(\tilde{B})}^\circ$.

Definition 2.8 ([14]). Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space.

- (1) The family of all fuzzifying e -open sets, denoted by $\tau_e \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$, is defined as follows:

$$A \in \tau_e := \forall x(x \in A \rightarrow x \in A^{-\circ\delta} \cup A^{\circ-\delta}), \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$\tau_e(A) = \inf_{x \in A} \max(A^{-\circ\delta}(x), A^{\circ-\delta}(x)).$$

- (2) The family of all fuzzifying e -closed sets, denoted by $\mathcal{F}_e \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$, is defined as follows:

$$A \in \mathcal{F}_e := (X - A) \in \tau_e.$$

- (3) The fuzzifying e -neighborhood system of a point $x \in X$ is denoted by $N_x^e \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$, is defined as follows:

$$N_x^e(A) = \sup_{x \in B \subseteq A} \tau_e(B).$$

- (4) The fuzzifying e -closure of a set $A \in P(X)$ is denoted by $Cl_e \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$, is defined as follows:

$$Cl_e(A)(x) = 1 - N_x^e(X - A).$$

- (5) Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces and let $f \in Y^X$. A unary fuzzy predicate $C_e \in \mathfrak{S}(Y^X)$, called fuzzifying e -continuity, is given as follows

$$C_e(f) := \forall B(B \in \sigma \rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_e).$$

Definition 2.9 ([14]). Let Ω be the class of all fuzzifying topological spaces. The unary fuzzy predicate T_2^e (fuzzifying e -Hausdorff) $\in \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ is defined as follows:

$$T_2^e(X, \tau) := \forall x \forall y \left((x \in X \wedge y \in X \wedge x \neq y) \rightarrow \exists B \exists C (B \in N_x^e \wedge C \in N_y^e \wedge B \cap C = \emptyset) \right).$$

Definition 2.10 ([19]). Let X be a set. If $\tilde{A} \in \mathfrak{S}(X)$ such that the support $\text{supp } \tilde{A} = \{x \in X : \tilde{A}(x) > 0\}$ of \tilde{A} is finite, then \tilde{A} is said to be finite and we write $F(\tilde{A})$. A unary fuzzy predicate $FF \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(X))$, called fuzzy finiteness, is given as $FF(\tilde{A}) := (\exists \tilde{B})(F(\tilde{B}) \wedge (\tilde{A} \equiv \tilde{B})) = 1 - \inf\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : F(\tilde{A}_\alpha)\} = 1 - \inf\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : F(\tilde{A}_{[\alpha]})\}$, where $\tilde{A}_\alpha = \{x \in X : \tilde{A}(x) \geq \alpha\}$ and $\tilde{A}_{[\alpha]} = \{x \in X : \tilde{A}(x) > \alpha\}$.

Definition 2.11 ([19]). Let X be a set.

- (1) A binary fuzzy predicate $K \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(P(X)) \times P(X))$, called fuzzifying covering, is given as follows:

$$K(\mathfrak{R}, A) := \forall x(x \in A \rightarrow \exists B(B \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in B)).$$

- (2) Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space. A binary fuzzy predicate $K_\circ \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(P(X)) \times P(X))$, called fuzzifying open covering, is given as follows:

$$K_\circ(\mathfrak{R}, A) := K(\mathfrak{R}, A) \wedge (\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau).$$

Definition 2.12 ([19]). Let Ω be the class of all fuzzifying topological spaces. A unary fuzzy predicate $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$, called fuzzifying compactness, is given as follows:

$$(X, \tau) \in \Gamma := (\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left(K_\circ(\mathfrak{R}, X) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi) ((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \wedge FF(\varphi)) \right),$$

where $\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}$ means that for any $M \in P(X)$, $\varphi(M) \leq \mathfrak{R}(M)$.

Definition 2.13 ([19]). Let X be a set. A unary fuzzy predicate $fI \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(P(X)))$, called fuzzifying finite intersection property, is given as follows:

$$fI(\mathfrak{R}) := (\forall \mathcal{B}) \left((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall B)((B \in \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow (x \in B)) \right).$$

Theorem 2.14 ([14]). Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space. Then, we have

- (1) $\models \tau \subseteq \tau_e$
- (2) $\models F \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e$
- (3) $\models \mathcal{F}_e(\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda) \geq \bigwedge_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{F}_e(A_\lambda)$.

Theorem 2.15 ([14]). The mapping $N^e : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^N(P(X))$, $x \mapsto N_x^e$, where $\mathfrak{S}^N(P(X))$ is the set of all normal fuzzy subset of $P(X)$, has the following properties:

- (1) $\models A \in N_x^e \rightarrow x \in A$;
- (2) $\models A \subseteq B \rightarrow (A \in N_x^e \rightarrow B \in N_x^e)$;
- (3) $\models A \in N_x^e \wedge B \in N_x^e \rightarrow A \cap B \in N_x^e$.

Conversely, if a mapping N_x^e satisfies (2) and (3), then N_x^e assigns a fuzzifying topology on X which is denoted by $\tau_e \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$ and defined as

$$A \in \tau_e := \forall x(x \in A \rightarrow A \in N_x^e). \\ \left(\text{i.e., } \tau_e(A) = \inf_{x \in A} N_x^e(A) \right)$$

3. FUZZIFYING e -IRRESOLUTE FUNCTIONS

Definition 3.1. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces and let $f \in Y^X$. A unary fuzzy predicate $I_e \in \mathfrak{S}(Y^X)$, called fuzzifying e -irresolute, is given as follows: $I_e(f) := \forall B(B \in \sigma_e \rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in \tau_e)$. Intuitively, the degree to which f is fuzzifying e -irresolute function is

$$[I_e(f)] = \inf_{B \subseteq Y} \min \left(1, 1 - \sigma_e(B) + \tau_e(f^{-1}(B)) \right)$$

Theorem 3.2. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces and let $f \in Y^X$. Then

$$\models f \in I_e \rightarrow f \in C_e.$$

Proof. From Theorem 2.14 we have $\sigma(B) \leq \sigma_e(B)$ and the result holds. □

Definition 3.3. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces and let $f \in Y^X$. we define the unary fuzzy predicates $e_j \in \mathfrak{S}(Y^X)$ where $j = 1, \dots, 5$ as follows:

- (1) $f \in e_1 := \forall B(B \in \mathcal{F}_e^Y \rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}_e^X)$, where \mathcal{F}_e^X and \mathcal{F}_e^Y are the fuzzifying e -closed subsets of X and Y , respectively;
- (2) $f \in e_2 := \forall x \forall u(u \in N_{f(x)}^{e^Y} \rightarrow f^{-1}(u) \in N_x^{e^X})$, where N^{e^X} and N^{e^Y} are the family of fuzzifying e -neighborhood systems of X and Y , respectively;
- (3) $f \in e_3 := \forall x \forall u \left(u \in N_{f(x)}^{e^Y} \rightarrow \exists v(f(v) \subseteq u \rightarrow v \in N_x^{e^X}) \right)$;

$$(4) f \in e_4 := \forall A \left(f(Cl_e^X(A)) \subseteq Cl_e^Y(f(A)) \right);$$

$$(5) f \in e_5 := \forall B \left(Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_e^Y(B)) \right).$$

Theorem 3.4. $\models f \in I_e \leftrightarrow f \in e_j$, for $j = 1, \dots, 5$.

Proof. (a) We will prove that $\models f \in I_e \leftrightarrow f \in e_1$.

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in e_1] &= \inf_{B \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - \mathcal{F}_e^Y(B) + \mathcal{F}_e^X(f^{-1}(B)) \right) \\ &= \inf_{B \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - \sigma_e(Y - B) + \tau_e(X - f^{-1}(B)) \right) \\ &= \inf_{B \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - \sigma_e(Y - B) + \tau_e(f^{-1}(Y - B)) \right) \\ &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - \sigma_e(u) + \tau_e(f^{-1}(u)) \right) \\ &= [f \in I_e]. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We will prove that $\models f \in I_e \leftrightarrow f \in e_2$. First, We prove that $[f \in e_2] \geq [f \in I_e]$. If $N_{f(x)}^{e^Y}(u) \leq N_x^{e^X}(f^{-1}(u))$, then $\min \left(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{e^Y}(u) + N_x^{e^X}(f^{-1}(u)) \right) = 1 \geq [f \in I_e]$. Suppose $N_{f(x)}^{e^Y}(u) > N_x^{e^X}(f^{-1}(u))$. It is clear that, if $f(x) \in A \subseteq u$, then $x \in f^{-1}(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(u)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{f(x)}^{e^Y}(u) - N_x^{e^X}(f^{-1}(u)) &= \sup_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} \sigma_e(A) - \sup_{x \in B \subseteq f^{-1}(u)} \tau_e(B) \\ &\leq \sup_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} \sigma_e(A) - \sup_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} \tau_e(f^{-1}(A)) \\ &\leq \sup_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} \left(\sigma_e(A) - \tau_e(f^{-1}(A)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$1 - N_{f(x)}^{e^Y}(u) + N_x^{e^X}(f^{-1}(u)) \geq \inf_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} \left(1 - \sigma_e(A) + \tau_e(f^{-1}(A)) \right),$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \min \left(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{e^Y}(u) + N_x^{e^X}(f^{-1}(u)) \right) &\geq \inf_{f(x) \in A \subseteq u} \min \left(1, 1 - \sigma_e(A) + \tau_e(f^{-1}(A)) \right) \\ &\geq \inf_{v \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - \sigma_e(v) + \tau_e(f^{-1}(v)) \right) = [f \in I_e]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\inf_{x \in X} \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{e^Y}(u) + N_x^{e^X}(f^{-1}(u)) \right) \geq [f \in I_e].$$

Second, we prove that $[f \in I_e] \geq [f \in e_2]$. From Theorem 2.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f \in I_e] &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - \sigma_e(u) + \tau_e(f^{-1}(u)) \right) \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - \inf_{f(x) \in u} N_{f(x)}^{e^Y}(u) + \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(u)} N_x^{e^X}(f^{-1}(u)) \right) \\ &\geq \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(u)} N_{f(x)}^{e^Y}(u) + \inf_{x \in f^{-1}(u)} N_x^{e^X}(f^{-1}(u)) \right) \\ &\geq \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{e^Y}(u) + N_x^{e^X}(f^{-1}(u)) \right) = [f \in e_2]. \end{aligned}$$

(c) We prove that $[f \in e_2] = [f \in e_3]$. From Theorem 2.15 we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f \in e_3] &= \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \min \left(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{e_Y}(u) + \sup_{v \in P(X), f(v) \subseteq u} N_x^{e_X}(v) \right) \\
 &\geq \inf_{x \in X} \inf_{u \in P(X)} \min \left(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{e_Y}(u) + N_x^{e_X}(f^{-1}(u)) \right) = [f \in e_2].
 \end{aligned}$$

(d) We prove that $[f \in e_4] = [f \in e_5]$. First, since for any fuzzy set \tilde{A} we have $[f^{-1}(f(\tilde{A})) \supseteq \tilde{A}] = 1$, then for any $B \in P(Y)$ we have $[f^{-1}(f(Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B)))) \supseteq Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B))] = 1$. Also, since $[f(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq B] = 1$, then we have that

$$[Cl_e^X(f(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq Cl_e^Y(B)] = 1.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_e^Y(B))] &\geq [f^{-1}(f(Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_e^Y(B))] \\
 &\geq [f^{-1}(f(Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_e^Y(f(f^{-1}(B))))] \\
 &\geq [f(Cl_e^Y(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq Cl_e^Y(f(f^{-1}(B)))]
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f \in e_5] &= \inf_{B \in P(Y)} [Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_e^Y(B))] \\
 &\geq \inf_{B \in P(Y)} [f(Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq Cl_e^Y(f(f^{-1}(B)))] \\
 &\geq \inf_{A \in P(X)} [f(Cl_e^X(A)) \subseteq Cl_e^Y(f(A))] = [f \in e_4].
 \end{aligned}$$

Second, for each $A \in P(X)$, there exists $B \in P(Y)$ such that $f(A) = B$ and $f^{-1}(B) \supseteq A$. Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f \in e_4] &= \inf_{A \in P(X)} [f(Cl_e^X(A)) \subseteq Cl_e^Y(f(A))] \\
 &\geq \inf_{A \in P(Y)} [f(Cl_e^X(A)) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(Cl_e^Y(f(A))))] \\
 &\geq \inf_{A \in P(Y)} [Cl_e^X(A) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_e^Y(f(A)))] \\
 &\geq \inf_{B \in P(Y), B=f(A)} [Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_e^Y(B))] \\
 &\geq \inf_{B \in P(Y)} [Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_e^Y(B))] = [f \in e_5].
 \end{aligned}$$

(e) We want to prove that $\models f \in e_2 \leftrightarrow f \in e_5$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 [f \in e_5] &= \inf_{B \in P(Y)} [Cl_e^X(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(Cl_e^Y(B))] \\
 &= \inf_{B \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min \left(1, 1 - \left(1 - N_x^{e_X}(X - f^{-1}(B)) \right) + 1 - N_{f(x)}^{e_Y}(Y - B) \right) \\
 &= \inf_{B \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min \left(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{e_Y}(Y - B) + N_x^{e_X}(f^{-1}(Y - B)) \right) \\
 &= \inf_{u \in P(Y)} \inf_{x \in X} \min \left(1, 1 - N_{f(x)}^{e_Y}(u) + N_x^{e_X}(f^{-1}(u)) \right) = [f \in e_2]. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

4. FUZZIFYING e -COMPACT SPACE

Definition 4.1. A fuzzifying topological space (X, τ) is said to be e -fuzzifying topological space if $\tau_e(A \cap B) \geq \tau_e(A) \wedge \tau_e(B)$.

Definition 4.2. A binary fuzzy predicate $K_e \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(P(X)) \times P(X))$, called fuzzifying e -open covering, is given as $K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) := K(\mathfrak{R}, A) \wedge (\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e)$.

Definition 4.3. Let Ω be the class of all fuzzifying topological spaces. A unary fuzzy predicate $\Gamma_e \in \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$, called fuzzifying e -compactness, is given as follows:

- (1) $(X, \tau) \in \Gamma_e := (\forall \mathfrak{R}) (K_e(\mathfrak{R}, X) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \wedge FF(\varphi))$;
- (2) If $A \subseteq X$, then $\Gamma_e(A) := \Gamma_e(A, \tau/A)$.

Lemma 4.4. $\models K_o(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A)$.

Proof. Since from Theorem 2.14 $\models \tau \subseteq \tau_e$, then we have $[\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau] \leq [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e]$. So, $[K_o(\mathfrak{R}, A)] \leq [K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A)]$. □

Theorem 4.5. $\models (X, \tau) \in \Gamma_e \rightarrow (X, \tau) \in \Gamma$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.4 the proof is immediate. □

Theorem 4.6. For any fuzzifying topological spaces (X, τ) and $A \subseteq X$,

$$\Gamma_e(A) \leftrightarrow (\forall \mathfrak{R}) (K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \wedge FF(\varphi))),$$

Where K_e is related to τ .

Proof. For any $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(X))$, we get $\bar{\mathfrak{R}} \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathfrak{S}(A))$ defined as $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}(C) = \mathfrak{R}(B)$ with $C = A \cap B, B \subseteq X$. Then

$$K(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}, A) = \inf_{x \in A} \sup_{x \in C} \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(C) = \inf_{x \in A} \sup_{x \in C=A \cap B} \mathfrak{R}(B) = \inf_{x \in A} \sup_{x \in B} \mathfrak{R}(B) = K(\mathfrak{R}, A),$$

because $x \in A$ and $x \in B$ if and only if $x \in A \cap B$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \tau_e/A] &= \inf_{c \subseteq A} \min(1, 1 - \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(C) + \tau_e/A(C)) \\ &= \inf_{c \subseteq A} \min\left(1, 1 - \sup_{C=A \cap B, B \subseteq X} \mathfrak{R}(B) + \sup_{C=A \cap B, B \subseteq X} \tau_e(B)\right) \\ &\geq \inf_{c \subseteq A, C=A \cap B, B \subseteq X} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(B) + \tau_e(B)) \\ &\geq \inf_{B \subseteq X} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(B) + \tau_e(B)) = [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e]. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\varphi \leq \bar{\mathfrak{R}}$, we define $\varphi' \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$ as follows:

$$\varphi'(B) = \begin{cases} \varphi(B) & \text{if } B \subseteq A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\varphi' \leq \mathfrak{R}$, $FF(\varphi') = FF(\varphi)$ and $K(\varphi', A) = K(\varphi, A)$.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma_e(A) \wedge K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A)] &\leq [\Gamma_e(A) \wedge K'_e(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}, A)] \\ &\leq [(\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \bar{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \wedge FF(\varphi))] \\ &\leq [(\exists \varphi')((\varphi' \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi', A) \wedge FF(\varphi'))] \\ &\leq [(\exists \mathcal{B})((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}, A) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}))]. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Gamma_e(A) \leq [K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A)] \rightarrow [(\exists \mathcal{B})((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}, A) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}))]$, where $K'_e(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}A) = [K(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}, A) \wedge (\bar{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \tau_e/A)]$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_e(A) &\leq \inf_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))} \left[K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B}) \left((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}, A) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left(K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B}) \left((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}, A) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}) \right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, for any $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(A))$, if $[\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e/A] = \inf_{B \subseteq A} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(B) + \tau_e/A(B)) = \lambda$, then for any $n \in N$ and $B \subseteq A$, $\sup_{B=A \cap C, C \subseteq X} \tau_e(C) = \tau_e/A(B) > \lambda + \mathfrak{R}(B) - 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, and there exists $C_B \subseteq X$ such that $C_B \cap A = B$ and $\tau_e(C_B) > \lambda + \mathfrak{R}(B) - 1 - \frac{1}{n}$. Now, we define $\bar{\mathfrak{R}} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$ as $\bar{\mathfrak{R}}(C) = \max(0, \lambda + \mathfrak{R}(B) - 1 - \frac{1}{n})$.

Then $[\bar{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \tau_e] = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} K(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}, A) &= \inf_{x \in A} \sup_{x \in C \subseteq X} \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(C) = \inf_{x \in A} \sup_{x \in B} \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(C_B) \geq \inf_{x \in A} \sup_{x \in B} \left(\lambda + \mathfrak{R}(B) - 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &= \inf_{x \in A} \sup_{x \in B} \mathfrak{R}(B) + \lambda - 1 - \frac{1}{n} = K(\mathfrak{R}, A) + \lambda - 1 - \frac{1}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} K_e(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}, A) &= [K(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}, A) \wedge (\bar{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \tau_e)] = [K(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}, A)] \geq \max(0, K(\mathfrak{R}, A) + \lambda - 1 - \frac{1}{n}) \\ &\geq \max(0, K(\mathfrak{R}, A) + \lambda - 1) - \frac{1}{n} = K'_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) - \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\wp \leq \bar{\mathfrak{R}}$, we set $\wp' \in \mathfrak{S}(P(A))$ as $\wp'(B) = \wp(C_B), B \subseteq A$. Then $\wp' \leq \mathfrak{R}$, $FF(\wp') = FF(\wp)$ and $K(\wp', A) = K(\wp, A)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left(K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \wp) \left((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\wp, A) \wedge FF(\wp) \right) \right) \right] \wedge [K'_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) - \frac{1}{n}] \\ &\leq \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left(K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \wp) \left((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\wp, A) \wedge FF(\wp) \right) \right) \right] \wedge \\ &([K'_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) - \frac{1}{n}]) \\ &\leq [K_e(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \wp) \left((\wp \leq \bar{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge K(\wp, A) \wedge FF(\wp) \right)] \wedge [K_e(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}, A)] \\ &\leq [(\exists \wp) \left((\wp \leq \bar{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge K(\wp, A) \wedge FF(\wp) \right)] \\ &\leq [(\exists \wp') \left((\wp' \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\wp', A) \wedge FF(\wp') \right)] \\ &\leq [(\exists \mathcal{B}) \left((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}, A) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}) \right)]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left(K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \wp) \left((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\wp, A) \wedge FF(\wp) \right) \right) \right] \wedge [K'_e(\mathfrak{R}, A)] \leq \\ &[(\exists \mathcal{B}) \left((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}, A) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}) \right)]. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left(K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \wp) \left((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\wp, A) \wedge FF(\wp) \right) \right) \right] \\ &\leq [K'_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B}) \left((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}, A) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}) \right)] \\ &\leq \inf_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))} [K'_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B}) \left((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}, A) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}) \right)] \\ &= \Gamma_e(A). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4.7. Let (X, τ) be a fuzzifying topological space.

$$\pi_1 := (\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left((\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))) \wedge (\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge fI(\mathfrak{R}) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow x \in A) \right);$$

$$\pi_2 := (\forall \mathfrak{R})(\exists B) \left(((\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge (B \in \tau_e)) \wedge (\forall \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge FF(\varphi) \rightarrow \neg(\cap \varphi \subseteq B)) \rightarrow \neg(\cap \mathfrak{R} \subseteq B) \right).$$

Then $\models \Gamma_e(X, \tau) \leftrightarrow \pi_i, i = 1, 2.$

Proof. (a) We prove $\Gamma_e(X, \tau) = [\pi_1]$. For any $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$, we set $\mathcal{R}^c(X - A) = \mathfrak{R}(A)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e] &= \inf_{A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(A) + \tau_e(A)) \\ &= \inf_{X-A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}^c(X - A) + \mathcal{F}_e(X - A)) = [\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e], \\ FF(\mathfrak{R}) &= 1 - \inf\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : F(\mathcal{R}_\alpha)\} = 1 - \inf\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : F(\mathcal{R}_\alpha^c)\} = FF(\mathfrak{R}^c) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}^c \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}(M) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^c(X - M) \leq \mathfrak{R}(X - M) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}^c \leq \mathfrak{R}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_e(X, \tau) &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left(K_e(\mathfrak{R}, A) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \wedge FF(\varphi)) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left((\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e) \wedge K(\mathfrak{R}, X) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \wedge FF(\varphi)) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left((\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e) \rightarrow (K(\mathfrak{R}, X)(\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \wedge FF(\varphi))) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \rightarrow ((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, A) \wedge FF(\varphi))) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \rightarrow ((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A) \rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B}^c)((\mathcal{B}^c \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}^c, A) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}^c))) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \rightarrow ((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A) \rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B})((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}^c) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}) \wedge K(\mathcal{B}^c, X))) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \rightarrow \left((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A) \rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B})((\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}^c) \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}) \wedge (\forall x)(\exists B)(B \in \mathcal{B}^c \wedge x \in B)) \right) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \rightarrow (\neg((\exists \mathcal{B})(\mathcal{B} \leq \mathfrak{R}^c \wedge FF(\mathcal{B}) \wedge (\forall x)(\exists B)(B \in \mathcal{B}^c \wedge x \in B))) \rightarrow \neg((\forall x)(\exists A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A))) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \rightarrow (fI(\mathfrak{R}^c) \rightarrow \neg((\forall x)(\exists B)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \wedge x \in A))) \right) \right] \\ &= \left[(\forall \mathfrak{R}) \left((\mathfrak{R}^c \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge fI(\mathfrak{R}^c) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in \mathfrak{R}^c \rightarrow x \in A) \right) \right] = [\pi_1]. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We prove $[\pi_1] = [\pi_2]$. Let $X - B \in P(X)$. For any $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$.

$$\begin{aligned} [(\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge (B \in \tau_e)] &= [(\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge (X - B \in \mathcal{F}_e)] \\ &= \inf_{A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(A) + \mathcal{F}_e(A)) \wedge \mathcal{F}_e(X - B) \\ &= \inf_{A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(A) + \mathcal{F}_e(A)) \wedge \inf_{A \in P(X)} \min(1, 1 - [A \in \{X - B\}] + \mathcal{F}_e(A)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \inf_{A \in P(X)} \min \left(1, 1 - \left[(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\})(A) \right] + \mathcal{F}_e(A) \right) \\
 &= \left[(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any $\mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$, let $\wp = \mathcal{B} \setminus \{X - B\} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$.

$$\wp(A) = \begin{cases} \mathcal{B}(A), & \text{if } A \neq X - B \\ 0, & A = X - B \end{cases}$$

Then $\wp \leq \mathcal{B}$, $\wp \cup \{X - B\} \geq \mathcal{B}$, $[FF(\wp)] = [FF(\mathcal{B})]$,

$$[\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}] = [\mathcal{B} \leq (\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\})]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left[(\forall \wp) \left((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge FF(\wp) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in (\wp \cup \{X - B\}) \rightarrow (x \in A)) \right) \right] \\
 &= \inf_{\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}} \min \left(1, 1 - [FF(\wp)] + \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{A \in P(X)} \left((\wp \cup \{X - B\})(A) \rightarrow A(x) \right) \right) \\
 &\leq \inf_{\mathcal{B} \leq (\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\})} \min \left(1, 1 - [FF(\mathcal{B})] + \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{A \in P(X)} \left(\mathcal{B}(A) \rightarrow A(x) \right) \right) \\
 &= fI(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_1 \wedge &\left[((\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge (B \in \tau_e)) \wedge (\forall \wp) \left((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge FF(\wp) \rightarrow \neg(\cap \wp \subseteq B) \right) \right] \\
 &= \pi_1 \wedge \left[(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge (\forall \wp) \right. \\
 &\left. \left[(\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge FF(\wp) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in (\wp \cup \{X - B\}) \rightarrow x \in A) \right] \right] \\
 &= \pi_1 \wedge \left[(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge fI(\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\}) \right] \\
 &\leq \left[(\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in (\mathfrak{R} \cup \{X - B\}) \rightarrow x \in A) \right] = [\neg(\cap \mathfrak{R} \subseteq B)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\pi_1 \leq \inf_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))} \sup_{B \subseteq X} \left((\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e \wedge B \in \tau_e) \wedge (\forall \wp) \left((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge FF(\wp) \rightarrow \neg(\cap \wp \subseteq B) \right) \right) = \pi_2.$$

Conversely,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_2 \wedge &\left[(\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge fI(\mathfrak{R}) \right] = \pi_2 \wedge \left[((\mathfrak{R} \setminus \{B\}) \cup \{B\}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e \right] \wedge \left[fI((\mathfrak{R} \setminus \{B\}) \cup \{B\}) \right] \\
 &= \pi_2 \wedge \left[(\mathfrak{R}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge (X - B \in \tau_e) \wedge (\forall \wp) \left((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}') \wedge FF(\wp) \rightarrow \right. \right. \\
 &\left. \left. (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in (\wp \cup \{B\}) \rightarrow x \in A) \right) \right] \\
 &= \pi_2 \wedge \left[(\mathfrak{R}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge (X - B \in \tau_e) \wedge (\forall \wp) \left((\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}') \wedge FF(\wp) \rightarrow \right. \right. \\
 &\left. \left. \neg(\cap \wp \subseteq X - B) \right) \right] \\
 &\leq [\neg(\cap \mathfrak{R}' \subseteq X - B)] = \left[(\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in (\mathfrak{R}' \cup \{B\}) \rightarrow (x \in A)) \right] \\
 &= \left[(\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow (x \in A)) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\pi_2 \leq \inf_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))} \left[(\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e) \wedge fI(\mathfrak{R}) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall A)(A \in \mathfrak{R} \rightarrow (x \in A)) \right] = \pi_1. \quad \square$$

5. SOME PROPERTIES OF FUZZIFYING e -COMPACTNESS

Theorem 5.1. For any fuzzifying topological space (X, τ) and $A \subseteq X$,

$$\models \Gamma_e(X, \tau) \wedge A \in \mathcal{F}_e \rightarrow \Gamma_e(A).$$

Proof. For any $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(A))$, we define $\bar{\mathfrak{R}} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$ as follows:

$$\bar{\mathfrak{R}}(B) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{R}(B) & \text{if } B \subseteq A, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $FF(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}) = 1 - \inf \{ \alpha \in [0, 1] : F(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}_\alpha) \} = 1 - \inf \{ \alpha \in [0, 1] : F(\mathfrak{R}_\alpha) \} = FF(\mathfrak{R})$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{x \notin B \subseteq X} (1 - \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) &= \sup_{x \in X} \left(\left(\inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \right) \wedge \left(\inf_{x \notin B \not\subseteq A} (1 - \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \right) \right) \\ &= \sup_{x \in X} \left(\inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \right) \wedge \sup_{x \in X} \left(\inf_{x \notin B \not\subseteq A} (1 - \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \right) \\ &= \sup_{x \in X} \left(\inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \right) \\ &= \sup_{x \in A} \left(\inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \right) \vee \sup_{x \notin A} \left(\inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

If $x \notin A$, then for any $x' \in A$ we have

$$\inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) = \inf_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \leq \inf_{x' \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)).$$

Therefore, $\sup_{x \in X} \inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) = \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B))$,

$$\begin{aligned} [fI(\bar{\mathfrak{R}})] &= \left[(\forall \bar{\mathcal{B}}) \left((\bar{\mathcal{B}} \leq \bar{\mathfrak{R}}) \wedge FF(\bar{\mathcal{B}}) \rightarrow (\exists x)(\forall B)((B \in \bar{\mathfrak{R}}) \rightarrow (x \in B)) \right) \right] \\ &= \inf_{\bar{\mathcal{B}} \leq \bar{\mathfrak{R}}} \min \left(1, 1 - FF(\bar{\mathcal{B}}) + \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{x \notin B \subseteq X} (1 - \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) \right) \\ &= \inf_{\bar{\mathcal{B}} \leq \bar{\mathfrak{R}}} \min \left(1, 1 - FF(\mathcal{B}) + \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \right) = [fI(\mathfrak{R})]. \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove that $\mathcal{F}_e(A) \wedge [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_e/A] \leq [\bar{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e]$. In fact, from Theorem 2.14 (3)

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_e(A) \wedge [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_e/A] &= \max \left(0, \mathcal{F}_e(A) + \inf_{B \subseteq A} \min (1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(B) + F_e/A(B)) - 1 \right) \\ &\leq \inf_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + (\mathcal{F}_e(A) + F_e/A(B) - 1) \\ &\leq \inf_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + (\mathcal{F}_e(A) \wedge F_e/A(B)) \\ &= \inf_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + (\mathcal{F}_e(A) \wedge \sup_{B' \cap A = B, B' \subseteq X} \mathcal{F}_e(B')) \\ &= \inf_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + \sup_{B' \cap A = B, B' \subseteq X} (\mathcal{F}_e(A) \wedge \mathcal{F}_e(B')) \\ &= \inf_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + \sup_{B' \cap A = B, B' \subseteq X} (\mathcal{F}_e(A \cap B')) \\ &= \inf_{B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) + \mathcal{F}_e(B) \\ &= \inf_{B \subseteq A} \min (1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(B) + \mathcal{F}_e(B)) \\ &= \inf_{B \subseteq A} \min (1, 1 - \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(B) + \mathcal{F}_e(B)) = [\bar{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_e]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, from Theorem 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_e(X, \tau) \wedge \mathcal{F}_e(A) \wedge [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_e/A] \wedge fI(\mathfrak{R}) &\leq \Gamma_e(X, \tau) \wedge [\bar{\mathfrak{R}} \subseteq F_e] \wedge fI(\bar{\mathfrak{R}}) \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \bar{\mathfrak{R}}(B)) = \sup_{x \in A} \inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_e(X, \tau) \wedge \mathcal{F}_e(A) &\leq [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_e/A] \wedge fI(\mathfrak{R}) \rightarrow \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \\ &\leq \inf_{\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))} \left([\mathfrak{R} \subseteq F_e/A] \wedge fI(\mathfrak{R}) \rightarrow \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{x \notin B \subseteq A} (1 - \mathfrak{R}(B)) \right) = \Gamma_e(A). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.2. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two fuzzifying topological spaces and $f \in Y^X$ is surjection. Then $\models \Gamma_e(X, \tau) \wedge C_e(f) \rightarrow \Gamma(f(X))$.*

Proof. For any $B \in \mathfrak{S}(P(Y))$, we define $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$ as follows:

$$\mathfrak{R}(A) = f^{-1}(B)(A) = \mathcal{B}(f(A)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathfrak{R}, X) &= \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{x \in A} \mathfrak{R}(A) = \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{x \in A} \mathcal{B}(f(A)) \\ &= \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{f(x) \in B} \mathcal{B}(B) = \inf_{y \in f(X)} \sup_{y \in B} \mathcal{B}(B) = K(\mathcal{B}, f(X)), \\ [\mathcal{B} \subseteq \sigma] \wedge [C_e(f)] &= \inf_{B \subseteq Y} \min(1, 1 - \mathcal{B}(B) + \sigma(B)) \wedge \inf_{B \subseteq Y} \min(1, 1 - \sigma(B) + \\ \tau_e(f^{-1}(B))) & \\ &= \max\left(0, \inf_{B \subseteq Y} \min(1, 1 - \mathcal{B}(B) + \sigma(B)) + \inf_{B \subseteq Y} \min(1, 1 - \sigma(B) + \right. \\ \tau_e(f^{-1}(B))) & \left. - 1\right) \\ &\leq \inf_{B \subseteq Y} \max\left(0, \min(1, 1 - \mathcal{B}(B) + \sigma(B)) + \min(1, 1 - \sigma(B) + \tau_e(f^{-1}(B))) - 1\right) \\ &\leq \inf_{B \subseteq Y} \min(1, 1 - \mathcal{B}(B) + \tau_e(f^{-1}(B))) = \inf_{A \subseteq X} \inf_{f^{-1}(B)=A} \min(1, 1 - \mathcal{B}(B) + \\ \tau_e(f^{-1}(B))) & \\ &= \inf_{A \subseteq X} \inf_{f^{-1}(B)=A} \min(1, 1 - \mathcal{B}(B) + \tau_e(A)) = \inf_{A \subseteq X} \min(1, 1 - \\ \sup_{f^{-1}(B)=A} \mathcal{B}(B) + \tau_e(A)) & \\ &= \inf_{A \subseteq X} \min(1, 1 - \mathfrak{R}(A) + \tau_e(A)) = [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e]. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\wp \leq \mathfrak{R}$, we set $\bar{\wp} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(Y))$ defined as follows:

$$\bar{\wp}(f(A)) = f(\wp)(f(A)) = \wp(A), \quad A \subseteq X.$$

Then $\bar{\wp}(f(A)) = f(\wp)(f(A)) \leq f(\mathfrak{R})(f(A)) = f(f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})(f(A))) \leq \mathcal{B}(f(A))$,

$$FF(\wp) = 1 - \inf \left\{ \alpha \in [0, 1] : F(\wp_{[\alpha]}) \right\} = 1 - \inf \left\{ \alpha \in [0, 1] : F(f(\wp_{[\alpha]})) \right\}$$

$$FF(f(\wp)) \leq FF(\bar{\wp})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K(\bar{\wp}, f(X)) &= \inf_{y \in f(X)} \sup_{y \in B} \bar{\wp}(B) = \inf_{y \in f(X)} \sup_{y \in B=f(A)} \wp(A) \geq \inf_{y \in f(X)} \sup_{f^{-1}(y) \in A} \wp(A) \\ &= \inf_{x \in X} \sup_{x \in A} \wp(A) = K(\wp, X). \end{aligned}$$

Futhermore

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Gamma_e(X, \tau)] \wedge [C_e(f)] \wedge [K'_o(\mathcal{B}, f(X))] \\ &= [\Gamma_e(X, \tau)] \wedge [C_e(f)] \wedge [K(\mathcal{B}, f(X))] \wedge [\mathcal{B} \subseteq \sigma] \\ &\leq [\Gamma_e(X, \tau)] \wedge [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e] \wedge [K(\mathfrak{R}, X)] = [\Gamma_e(X, \tau)] \wedge [K_e(\mathfrak{R}, X)] \\ &\leq [(\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \wedge FF(\varphi))] \\ &\leq [(\exists \varphi')((\varphi' \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi', f(X)) \wedge FF(\varphi'))] \end{aligned}$$

where K' is related to σ . Therefore, from Theorem 4.6 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Gamma_e(X, \tau)] \wedge [C_e(f)] \\ &\leq K'_o(\mathcal{B}, f(X)) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi')((\varphi' \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi', f(X)) \wedge FF(\varphi')) \\ &\leq \inf_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))} (K'_o(\mathcal{B}, f(X)) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi')((\varphi' \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi', f(X)) \wedge FF(\varphi'))) \\ &= [\Gamma(f(X))]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.3. *Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be any two fuzzifying topological spaces and $f \in Y^X$ is surjection. Then $\models \Gamma_e(X, \tau) \wedge I_e(f) \rightarrow \Gamma(f(X))$.*

Proof. From the proof of Theorem 5.2 we have for any $\mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(Y))$ we define $\mathfrak{R} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))$ as

$$\mathfrak{R}(A) = f^{-1}(\mathcal{B})(A) = \mathcal{B}(f(A)).$$

Then $K(\mathfrak{R}, X) = K(\mathcal{B}, f(X))$ and $[\mathcal{B} \subseteq \sigma_e] \wedge [I_e(f)] \leq [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e]$. For any $\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}$, we get $\bar{\varphi} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(Y))$ defined as $\bar{\varphi}(f(A)) = f(\varphi)(f(A)) = \varphi(A)$, $A \subseteq X$ and we have $FF(\varphi) \leq FF(\bar{\varphi})$, $K(\bar{\varphi}, f(X)) \geq K(\varphi, X)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Gamma_e(X, \tau)] \wedge [I_e(f)] \wedge [K'_e(\mathcal{B}, f(X))] \\ &= [\Gamma_e(X, \tau)] \wedge [I_e(f)] \wedge [K(\mathcal{B}, f(X))] \wedge [\mathcal{B} \subseteq \sigma_e] \\ &\leq [\Gamma_e(X, \tau)] \wedge [\mathfrak{R} \subseteq \tau_e] \wedge [K(\mathfrak{R}, X)] = [\Gamma_e(X, \tau)] \wedge [K(\mathfrak{R}, X)] \\ &\leq [(\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\varphi, X) \wedge FF(\varphi))] \\ &\leq [(\exists \varphi)((\varphi \leq \mathfrak{R}) \wedge K(\bar{\varphi}, f(X)) \wedge FF(\bar{\varphi}))] \\ &\leq [(\exists \varphi')((\varphi' \leq \mathcal{B}) \wedge K(\varphi', f(X)) \wedge FF(\varphi'))], \end{aligned}$$

Where K'_e is related to σ . Therefore, from Theorem 4.6 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & [\Gamma_e(X, \tau)] \wedge [I_e(f)] \\ &\leq K'_e(\mathcal{B}, f(X)) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi')((\varphi' \leq \mathcal{B}) \wedge K(\varphi', f(X)) \wedge FF(\varphi')) \\ &\leq \inf_{\mathcal{B} \in \mathfrak{S}(P(X))} (K'_e(\mathcal{B}, f(X)) \rightarrow (\exists \varphi')((\varphi' \leq \mathcal{B}) \wedge K(\varphi', f(X)) \wedge FF(\varphi'))) \\ &= [\Gamma_e(f(X))]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.4. *Let (X, τ) be any fuzzifying e -topological space and $A, B \subseteq X$. Then*

$$(1) T_2^e(X, \tau) \wedge (\Gamma_e(A) \wedge \Gamma_e(B)) \wedge A \cap B = \phi \models^{ws} T_2^e(X, \tau) \rightarrow$$

$(\exists U)(\exists V)((U \in \tau_e) \wedge (V \in \tau_e) \wedge (A \subseteq U) \wedge (B \subseteq V) \wedge (U \cap V = \phi));$
 $(2) T_2^e(X, \tau) \wedge \Gamma_e(A) \models^{ws} T_2^e(X, \tau) \rightarrow A \in \mathcal{F}_e.$

Proof. (1) Assume $A \cap B = \phi$ and $T_2^e(X, \tau) = t$. Let $x \in A$. Then for any $y \in B$ and $\lambda < t$, we have from Theorem 2.15 that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup \left\{ \tau_e(P) \wedge \tau_e(Q) : x \in P, y \in Q, P \cap Q = \phi \right\} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \tau_e(P) \wedge \tau_e(Q) : x \in P \subseteq U, y \in Q \subseteq V, U \cap V = \phi \right\} \\ &= \sup_{U \cap V = \phi} \left\{ \sup_{x \in P \subseteq U} \tau_e(P) \wedge \sup_{y \in Q \subseteq V} \tau_e(Q) \right\} = \sup_{U \cap V = \phi} \left\{ N_x^e(U) \wedge N_y^e(V) \right\} \\ &\geq \inf_{x \neq y} \sup_{U \cap V = \phi} \left\{ N_x^e(U) \wedge N_y^e(V) \right\} = T_2^e(X, \tau) = t > \lambda, \text{ i.e.,} \end{aligned}$$

there exist P_y, Q_y such that $x \in P_y, y \in Q_y, P_y \cap Q_y = \phi$ and $\tau_P(P_y) > \lambda, \tau_P(Q_y) > \lambda$. Set $\mathcal{B}(Q_y) = \tau_P(Q_y)$ for $y \in B$. Since $[\mathcal{B} \subseteq \tau_e] = 1$, we have $[K_e(\mathcal{B}, B)] = [K(\mathcal{B}, B)] = \inf_{y \in B} \sup_{y \in C} \mathcal{B}(C) \geq \inf_{y \in B} \mathcal{B}(Q_y) = \inf_{y \in B} \tau_P(Q_y) \geq \lambda$.

On other hand, since $T_2^e(X, \tau) \wedge (\Gamma_e(A) \wedge \Gamma_e(B)) > 0$, then $1 - t < \Gamma_e(A) \wedge \Gamma_e(B) \leq \Gamma_e(A)$.

Therefore, for any $\lambda \in (1 - \Gamma_e(A), t)$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \lambda < \Gamma_e(A) &\leq 1 - [K_e(\mathcal{B}, B)] + \sup_{\phi \leq B} \left\{ [K(\phi, B)] \wedge FF(\phi) \right\} \\ &\leq 1 - \lambda + \sup_{\phi \leq \mathcal{B}} \left\{ [K(\phi, B)] \wedge FF(\phi) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $\sup_{\phi \leq \mathcal{B}} \left\{ [K(\phi, B)] \wedge FF(\phi) \right\} > 0$ and there exists $\phi \leq \mathcal{B}$ such that $K(\phi, B) +$

$FF(\phi) - 1 > 0$, i.e., $1 - FF(\phi) < K(\phi, B)$. Then, $\inf \left\{ \theta : F(\phi_\theta) \right\} < K(\phi, B)$. Now, there exist θ_1 such that $\theta_1 < K(\phi, B)$ and $F(\phi_{\theta_1})$. Since $\phi \leq \mathcal{B}$, we may write $\phi_{\theta_1} = \{Q_{y_1}, \dots, Q_{y_n}\}$. We put $U_x = \{P_{y_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{y_n}\}, V_x = \{Q_{y_1} \cap \dots \cap Q_{y_n}\}$ and have $V_x \supseteq B, U_x \cap V_x = \phi, \tau_e(U_x) \geq \tau_e(P_{y_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_e(P_{y_n}) > \lambda$ because (X, τ) is fuzzifying e -topological space. Also, $\tau_e(V_x) \geq \tau_e(Q_{y_1}) \wedge \dots \wedge \tau_e(Q_{y_n}) > \lambda$. In fact, $\inf_{y \in B} \sup_{y \in D} \phi(D) = K(\phi, B) > \theta_1$, and for any $y \in B$, there exists D such that $y \in D$

and $\phi(D) > \theta_1, D \in \phi_{\theta_1}$. Similarly, if $\lambda \in (1 - [\Gamma_e(A) \wedge \Gamma_e(B)], t)$, then we can find $x_1, \dots, x_m \in A$ with $U_o = U_{x_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{x_m} \supseteq A$. By putting $V_o = V_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap V_{x_m}$ we obtain $V_o \supseteq B, U_o \cap V_o = \phi$ and

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists U)(\exists V) \left((U \in \tau_e) \wedge (V \in \tau_e) \wedge (A \subseteq U) \wedge (B \subseteq V) \wedge (U \cap V = \phi) \right) \\ & \geq \tau_e(U_o) \wedge \tau_e(V_o) \geq \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \tau_e(U_{x_i}) \wedge \min_{i=1, \dots, n} \tau_e(V_{x_i}) \geq \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we let $\lambda \rightarrow t$ and complete the proof.

(2) Assume $\models^{ws} T_2^e(X, \tau) \wedge \Gamma_e(A)$. For any $x \in X - A$ we have from (1)

$$\sup_{x \in U \subseteq X - A} \tau_e(U) \geq \sup \left\{ \tau_e(U) \wedge \tau_e(V) : x \in U, A \subseteq V, U \cap V = \phi \right\} \geq [T_2^e(X, \tau)].$$

From Theorem 2.15, we obtain,

$$\mathcal{F}_e(A) = \inf_{x \in X - A} N_x^e(X - A) = \inf_{x \in X - A} \sup_{x \in U \subseteq X - A} \tau_e(U) \geq [T_2^e(X, \tau)].$$

□

Definition 5.5. Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two fuzzifying topological spaces. A unary fuzzy predicate $Q_e \in \mathfrak{S}(Y^X)$, called fuzzifying e -closedness, is given as follows:

$$Q_e(f) := \forall B (B \in \mathcal{F}_e^X \rightarrow f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}_e^Y),$$

where \mathcal{F}_e^X and \mathcal{F}_e^Y are the fuzzy families of τ , σ - e -closed in X and Y respectively

Theorem 5.6. Let (X, τ) a fuzzifying topological space, (Y, σ) be an e -fuzzifying topological space and $f \in Y^X$. Then $\models \Gamma_e(X, \tau) \wedge T_2^e(Y, \sigma) \wedge I_e(f) \rightarrow Q_e(f)$.

Proof. For any $A \subseteq X$, we have the following:

- (i) From Theorem 5.1 we have $[\Gamma_e(X, \tau) \wedge \mathcal{F}_e^X(A)] \leq \Gamma_e(A)$;
- (ii)
$$\begin{aligned} I_e(f/A) &= \inf_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_e(U) + \tau_e/A((f/A)^{-1}(U))) \\ &= \inf_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_e(U) + \tau_e/A(A \cap f^{-1}(U))) \\ &= \inf_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_e(U) + \sup_{A \cap f^{-1}(U) = B \cap A} \tau_e(B)) \\ &\geq \inf_{U \in P(Y)} \min(1, 1 - \sigma_e(U) + \tau_e(f^{-1}(U))) = I_e(f). \end{aligned}$$
- (iii) From Theorem 5.3, we have $[\Gamma_e(A) \wedge I_e(f/A)] \leq \Gamma_e(f(A))$.
- (iv) From Theorem 5.4(2) we have $T_2^e(X, \tau) \wedge \Gamma_e(A) \models^{ws} T_2^e(Y, \sigma) \rightarrow f(A) \in \mathcal{F}_e^Y$,

which implies $\models T_2^e(Y, \sigma) \wedge \Gamma_e(f(A)) \rightarrow f(A) \in \mathcal{F}_e^Y$. By combining (i)-(iv) we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma_e(X, \tau) \wedge T_2^e(Y, \sigma) \wedge I_e(f)] &\leq [(\mathcal{F}_e^X(A) \rightarrow \Gamma_e(A)) \wedge I_e(f/A) \wedge T_2^e(Y, \sigma)] \\ &\leq [(\mathcal{F}_e^X(A) \rightarrow (\Gamma_e(A) \wedge I_e(f/A)) \wedge T_2^e(Y, \sigma)] \\ &\leq [\mathcal{F}_e^X(A) \rightarrow (\Gamma_e(A) \wedge T_2^e(Y, \sigma))] \\ &\leq [\mathcal{F}_e^X(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_e^Y(f(A))]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} [\Gamma_e(A) \wedge T_2^e(X, \tau) \wedge I_e(f)] &\leq [\mathcal{F}_e^X(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_e^Y(f(A))] \\ &\leq \inf_{A \subseteq X} ([\mathcal{F}_e^X(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_e^Y(f(A))]) = Q_e(f). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968) 182–190.
- [2] J. A. Goguen, The fuzzy tychonoff theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 43 (1973) 182–190.
- [3] U. Höhle, Uppersemicontinuous fuzzy sets and applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 78 (1980) 659–673.
- [4] U. Höhle, Many valued topology and its applicaiton, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (2001).
- [5] U. Höhle and S. E. Rodabaugh, Mathematics of fuzzy sets and Logic, Topology and Measure Theory, in : Handbook of fuzzy sets series, vol. 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (1999).
- [6] U. Höhle, S. E. Rodabaugh and A. Söstaak, (Eds.), Special issue on fuzzy topology, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 73 (1995) 1–183.

- [7] U. Höhle and A. Sóstak, Axiomatic foundations of fixed basis fuzzy topology, in : U. Höhle, S. E. Rodabaugh, (Eds.), Mathematics of fuzzy sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory, in: Handbook of fuzzy sets series, vol. 3, Kluwer academic publishers, Dordrecht, (1999), 123–272.
- [8] J. L. Kelley, General Topology, Van Nostrand, New York, (1995).
- [9] T. Kubiak, On fuzzy topologies, Ph. D. Thesis, Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznan, Poland, (1985).
- [10] Y. M. Liu and M. K. Luo, Fuzzy topology, World Scientific, Singapore, (1998).
- [11] S. E. Rodabaugh, Categorical foundations of variable-basis fuzzy topology, in: U. Höhle, S. E. Rodabaugh, (Eds.), Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory, in: Handbook of fuzzy sets series, vol. 3, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, (1999), 273-388.
- [12] J. B. Rosser and R. R. Turquette, Many-valued Logics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, J. Fuzzy Math. (to appear).
- [13] R. V. M. Rangarajan, M. Chandrsekharan, A. Vadival and M. Palanisamy, Fuzzy e -irresolute mappings on fuzzy topological spaces, Ann Fuzzy Math. Inform (in press).
- [14] A. Vadival and M. Palanisamy, e -open sets and separation axioms in fuzzifying topology, Ann Fuzzy Math. Inform (in press).
- [15] G. J. Wang, Theory of L -fuzzy topological spaces, Shanxi Normal University Press, Xian, (1988) (in chinese).
- [16] M. S. Ying, A new approach for fuzzy topology (I), Fuzzy Sets and Systems 39 (1991) 303–321.
- [17] M. S. Ying, A new approach for fuzzy topology (II), Fuzzy Sets and Systems 47 (1992) 221–232.
- [18] M. S. Ying, A new approach for fuzzy topology (III), Fuzzy Sets and Systems 55 (1993) 193–207.
- [19] M. S. Ying, Compactness in fuzzifying topology, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 55 (1993) 79–92.

A. VADIVEL (avmaths@gmail.com)

Mathematics Section (FEAT), Annamalai University, Annamalainagar, Tamil Nadu-608 002.

M. PALANISAMY (palaniva26@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalainagar-608 002.