Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 9, No. 4, (April 2015), pp. 665–674 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr

©FMI © Kyung Moon Sa Co. http://www.kyungmoon.com

Sum of fuzzy ideals of Γ -near-rings

P. NARASIMHA SWAMY, K. VIJAY KUMAR, T. NAGAIAH, T. SRINIVAS

Received 21 August 2014; Accepted 14 October 2014

ABSTRACT. In the present paper we introduce the concept on sum of fuzzy ideals of a Γ -near-ring and the sum of anti fuzzy ideals of a Γ -near-ring.

2010 AMS Classification: 16Y30, 03E72

Keywords: Γ-near-ring, Sum of fuzzy ideals, Direct sum of fuzzy ideals.

Corresponding Author: T. Srinivas (thotasrinivas.srinivas@gmail.com)

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1965, Zadeh [10] has initiated the notion of fuzzy set. Then many researchers were applying it in various branches of mathematics (see [1, 2, 3, 6]). The algebraic system Γ -near-ring was introduced by Satyanarayana [8]. Later several mathematicians worked on this algebraic system. The notion of an anti fuzzy ideals of Γ -nearring was studied by Srinivas, etc., [9]. Kim and Jun [4] has studied the concept of an anti fuzzy ideals in near-rings. The sum of the fuzzy ideals of a near-ring was studied by Narasimha swamy [7]. Now we are introducing the sum of fuzzy ideals of a Γ -near-ring and also the sum of anti fuzzy ideals of a Γ -near-ring. Also studied the concept of direct sum in both cases.

2. Preliminaries

A non-empty set N with two binary operations "+" and "." is said to be a left near-ring (see [5]) if it satisfies the following three conditions;

- (i) (N, +) is a group (not necessarily abelian),
- (ii) (N, \cdot) is a semigroup,

(iii) $x \cdot (y+z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ for all $x, y, z \in N$.

We will use the word "near-ring" to mean "left near-ring". We denote xy instead of $x \cdot y$. Moreover, a near-ring N is said to be a zero-symmetric if $0 \cdot n = 0$ for all $n \in N$, where 0 is the additive identity in N.

Definition 2.1. Let (M, +) be a group (not necessarily abelian) and Γ be a non empty set. Then M is said to be a Γ -near-ring, if there exist a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \longrightarrow M$ (the image of (x, α, y) is $x\alpha y$) satisfying the following conditions; (i) $x\alpha(y+z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$,

(ii) $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$ for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Definition 2.2. A Γ -near-ring M is said to be a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring if $0\alpha n = 0$ for every $n \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma$, where 0 is the additive identity in M.

Definition 2.3. Let M be a Γ -near-ring. A normal subgroup (I, +) of (M, +) is called

(i) a right ideal, if $(x+i)\alpha y - x\alpha y \in I$ for all $x, y \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in I$,

(ii) a left ideal, if $x\alpha i \in I$ for all $x \in M, \alpha \in \Gamma, i \in I$,

(iii) an ideal, if it is both a left ideal and a right ideal.

A fuzzy set μ on a non-empty A is a mapping $\mu : A \to [0, 1]$.

Definition 2.4. A fuzzy set μ of a Γ -near-ring M is called a fuzzy ideal of M if (i) $\mu(x-y) \ge Min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\},$ (ii) $\mu(y+x-y) \ge \mu(x),$ (iii) $\mu((x+i)\alpha y - x\alpha y) \ge \mu(i)$ (or equivalently, $\mu(z\alpha y - x\alpha y) \ge \mu(z-x)),$ (iv) $\mu(x\alpha y) \ge \mu(y)$ for all $x, y, z, i \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

If μ satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii) then μ is called a fuzzy right ideal of M. If μ satisfies (i), (ii) and (iv) then μ is called a fuzzy left ideal of M.

Definition 2.5 ([9]). A fuzzy set μ of a Γ -near-ring M is called an anti fuzzy ideal of M, if

 $\begin{array}{l} (\mathrm{i}) \ \mu(x-y) \leq Max\{\mu(x),\mu(y)\},\\ (\mathrm{ii}) \ \mu(y+x-y) \leq \mu(x),\\ (\mathrm{iii}) \ \mu((x+i)\alpha y - x\alpha y) \leq \mu(i) \ (\mathrm{or \ equivalently}, \ \mu(z\alpha y - x\alpha y) \leq \mu(z-x)),\\ (\mathrm{iv}) \ \mu(x\alpha y) \leq \mu(y) \ \mathrm{for \ all} \ x, y, z, i \in M \ \mathrm{and} \ \alpha \in \Gamma. \end{array}$

If μ satisfies (i), (ii) and (iii) then μ is called an anti fuzzy right ideal of a Γ -nearring M. If μ satisfies (i), (ii) and (iv), then μ is called an anti fuzzy left ideal of a Γ -near-ring M.

Example 2.6 ([9]). Let $M = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$. Define a binary operation "+" on M and a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \to M$ by the following tables;

+	0	a	b	c	α	0	a	b	c	β	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	c	b	a	0	0	0	0	a	0	0	0	0
b	b	c	0	a	b	0	0	0	0	b	0	0	0	0
c	c	b	a	0	c	0	0	0	0	c	0	0	a	a

Clearly (M, +) is a group and (i) $x\gamma(y+z) = x\gamma y + x\gamma z$, for every $x, y, z \in M$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, (ii) $(x\gamma y)\omega z = x\gamma(y\omega z)$ for every $x, y, z \in M$ and $\gamma, \omega \in \Gamma$. Thus M is a Γ -near-ring. Define a fuzzy set $\mu : M \to [0,1]$ by $\mu(0) < \mu(a) = \mu(b) = \mu(c)$. The routine calculation shows that, μ is an anti fuzzy ideal of M.

3. Sum and direct sum of fuzzy ideals

Definition 3.1. Let μ and ν be two fuzzy ideals of a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring M. Then the sum $\mu + \nu$ is a fuzzy subset of M defined by

$$(\mu + \nu)(x) = \begin{cases} Sup(min(\mu(y), \nu(z))) & : x = y + z \\ 0 & : otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 3.2. If μ and ν are two fuzzy ideals of a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring M, then $\mu + \nu$ is also a fuzzy ideal of M.

Proof. Let $x, y, u \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$.

(i) Put $x = x_1 + x_2$ and $y = y_1 + y_2$ where $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in M$. Then

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x-y &=& x_1+x_2-(y_1+y_2) \\ &=& x_1-y_1+y_1+x_2-(y_1+y_2). \\ (\mu+\nu)(x-y) &=& (\mu+\nu)(x_1-y_1+y_1+x_2-y_1-y_2) \\ &=& \bigvee(\mu(x_1-y_1)\wedge\nu(y_1+x_2-y_1-y_2)) \\ &\geq& \bigvee[(\mu(x_1)\wedge\mu(y_1))\wedge(\nu(y_1+x_2-y_1)\wedge\nu(y_2))] \\ &\geq& \bigvee[(\mu(x_1)\wedge\mu(y_1))\wedge(\nu(x_2)\wedge\nu(y_2))] \\ &\geq& (\bigvee(\mu(x_1)\wedge\nu(x_2)))\wedge(\bigvee(\mu(y_1)\wedge\nu(y_2))) \\ &=& (\mu+\nu)(x)\wedge(\mu+\nu)(y). \end{array}$$

(ii) Put $x = x_1 + x_2$ where $x_1, x_2 \in M$. Then

$$y + x - y = y + x_1 + x_2 - y = y + x_1 - y + y + x_2 - y.$$

$$(\mu + \nu)(y + x - y) = (\mu + \nu)(y + x_1 - y + y + x_2 - y)$$

$$= \bigvee [\mu(y + x_1 - y) \wedge \nu(y + x_2 - y)]$$

$$\geq \bigvee [\mu(x_1) \wedge \nu(x_2)]$$

$$= (\mu + \nu)(x).$$

(iii) Let $u - x = t_1 + t_2$; $t_1, t_2 \in M$. Which implies $u = t_1 + t_2 + x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u\alpha y - x\alpha y &= (t_1 + t_2 + x)\alpha y - x\alpha y \\ &= (t_1 + t_2 + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + x)\alpha y + (t_2 + x)\alpha y - x\alpha y. \\ (\mu + \nu)(u\alpha y - x\alpha y) &= (\mu + \nu)((t_1 + t_2 + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + x)\alpha y + (t_2 + x)\alpha y - x\alpha y) \\ &= \bigvee [\mu((t_1 + t_2 + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + x)\alpha y) \wedge \nu((t_2 + x)\alpha y - x\alpha y)] \\ &\geq \bigvee [\mu(t_1) \wedge \nu(t_2)] \\ &= (\mu + \nu)(u - x). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Put $y = y_1 + y_2; \ y_1, y_2 \in M.$ Then

$$(\mu + \nu)(x\alpha y) = (\mu + \nu)(x\alpha y_1 + x\alpha y_2)$$
$$= \bigvee [\mu(x\alpha y_1) \wedge \nu(x\alpha y_2)]$$

$$\geq \bigvee [\mu(y_1) \wedge \nu(y_2)] \\ = (\mu + \nu)(y).$$

Hence $\mu + \nu$ is a fuzzy ideal of M.

Example 3.3. From example 2.6, M is a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring. Now define two fuzzy sets $\mu: M \to [0, 1]$ and $\nu: M \to [0, 1]$ by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0.8 & : x = 0\\ 0.5 & : otherwise \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nu(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & : x = 0\\ 0.2 & : otherwise. \end{cases}$$

The routine calculation shows that, μ and ν are fuzzy ideals of M. Now for any $y,z\in M,$

$$\begin{split} &(\mu + \nu)(0) = \bigvee_{0=y+z} \{\min(\mu(y), \nu(z))\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\min(\mu(0), \nu(0)), \min(\mu(a), \nu(a)), \min(\mu(b), \nu(b)), \min(\mu(c), \nu(c)))\} \\ &= \bigvee \{0.8, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2\} = 0.8, \\ &(\mu + \nu)(a) = \bigvee_{a=y+z} \{\min(\mu(y), \nu(z))\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\min(\mu(0), \nu(a)), \min(\mu(a), \nu(0)), \min(\mu(b), \nu(c)), \min(\mu(c), \nu(b))\} \\ &= \bigvee \{0.2, 0.5, 0.2, 0.2\} = 0.5, \\ &(\mu + \nu)(b) = \bigvee_{b=y+z} \{\min(\mu(y), \nu(z))\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\min(\mu(0), \nu(b)), \min(\mu(a), \nu(c)), \min(\mu(b), \nu(0)), \min(\mu(c), \nu(a))\} \\ &= \bigvee \{0.2, 0.2, 0.5, 0.2\} = 0.5, \\ &(\mu + \nu)(c) = \bigvee_{c=y+z} \{\min(\mu(y), \nu(z))\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\min(\mu(0), \nu(c)), \min(\mu(a), \nu(b)), \min(\mu(b), \nu(a)), \min(\mu(c), \nu(0))\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\min(\mu(0), \nu(c)), \min(\mu(a), \nu(b)), \min(\mu(b), \nu(a)), \min(\mu(c), \nu(0))\} \\ &= \bigvee \{0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.5\} = 0.5. \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$(\mu + \nu)(x) = \begin{cases} 0.8 & : x = 0\\ 0.5 & : otherwise. \end{cases}$$

The routine calculation shows that, $\mu + \nu$ is a fuzzy ideal of M.

Now we extend the above theorem 3.2 to the sum of finite number of fuzzy ideals of a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring M.

Definition 3.4. Let M be a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring and let $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$ be the fuzzy ideals of a Γ -near-ring M. For any $x \in M$, put

 $S(x) = \{\mu_1(x_1) \land \mu_2(x_2) \land \dots \land \mu_n(x_n) : x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n, x_i \in M, i = 1 \text{ to } n\}.$ Define $(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n)(x) = SupS(x) = Sup\{\mu_1(x_1) \land \mu_2(x_2) \land \dots \land \mu_n(x_n) : x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n\}.$

Remark 3.5 ([7]). Let $x = x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n$. Consider a transposition of the indices (1,k), k > 1. Then $x = x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_{k-1} + x_k + x_{k+1} + ... + x_n = y + x_k - y + x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_{k-1} + x_{k+1} + ... + x_n$ (where $y = x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_{k-1}$) $= (y + x_k - y) + z - z + x_1 + z + x_{k+1} + ... + x_n$ (where $z = x_2 + ... + x_{k-1}$) $= x'_k + z + x'_1 + x_{k+1} + ... + x_n$ (where $x'_k = y + x_k - y, x'_1 = -z + x_1 + z$) $= x'_k + x_2 + ... + x_{k-1} + x'_1 + x_{k+1} + ... + x_n$. Thus $\mu_1(x'_k) \land \mu_2(x_2) \land ... \land \mu_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) \land \mu_k(x'_1) \land \mu_{k+1}(x_{k+1}) \land ... \land \mu_n(x_n) = \mu_1(x_k) \land \mu_2(x_2) \land ... \land \mu_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) \land \mu_K(x_1) \land \mu_{k+1}(x_{k+1}) \land ... \land \mu_n(x_n) \in S(x)$. This is true for every transposition (i, j) of the indices. Since every permutation is a product of transpositions, then for any permutation $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & ... & n \\ i_1 & i_2 & ... & i_n \end{pmatrix}$ we have

 $(i_1 \quad i_2 \quad \dots \quad i_n)$ we have $(i_1 \quad i_2 \quad \dots \quad i_n)$ we have $\mu_1(x_{i_1}) \wedge \mu_2(x_{i_2}) \wedge \dots \wedge \mu_n(x_{i_n})$ belongs to S(x) for $x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n$. Hence $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n = \mu_{i_1} + \mu_{i_2} + \dots + \mu_{i_n}$.

Theorem 3.6. Let M be a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring. If $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$ are the fuzzy ideals of M, then $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + ... + \mu_n$ is also a fuzzy ideal of M.

Proof. Put $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + ... + \mu_n$.

(i) Let $x = x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n$, $y = y_1 + y_2 + \ldots + y_n$; $x_i, y_i \in M, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then $x - y = x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n - y_1 - y_2 - \ldots - y_n$. This can be expressed as $x - y = x_1' - y_1' + x_2' - y_2' + \ldots + x_n' - y_n'$, where x_i' is a conjugate of x_i and y_i' is a conjugate of y_i . Therefore $x - y = (x_1' - y_1') + (x_2' - y_2') + \ldots + (x_n' - y_n')$. Which implies $\mu(x - y) = \mu((x_1' - y_1') + (x_2' - y_2') + \ldots + (x_n' - y_n') + (x_2' - y_2') + \ldots + (x_n' - y_n') + (x_1' - y_1') + (x_2' - y_2') + \ldots + (x_n' - y_n') = \bigvee [\mu_1(x_1' - y_1') \wedge \mu_2(x_2' - y_2') \wedge \ldots \wedge \mu_n(x_n' - y_n')] \ge \bigvee [\mu_1(x_1') \wedge \mu_1(y_1') \wedge \mu_2(x_2') \wedge \mu_2(y_2') \wedge \ldots \wedge \mu_n(x_n') \wedge \mu_n(y_n')] = [\bigvee (\mu_1(x_1') \wedge \mu_2(x_2') \wedge \dots + \mu_n(y_n'))] = SupS(x) \wedge SupS(y) = \mu(x) \wedge \mu(y).$

(ii) Let $x, y \in M$ and $x = x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n; x_i \in M, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then $y + x - y = y + x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n - y = y + x_1 - y + y + x_2 - y + y + x_3 - y + \ldots + y + x_n - y$. This implies that $\mu(y + x - y) = \mu(y + x_1 - y + y + x_2 - y + \ldots + y + x_n - y) = \bigvee [\mu_1(y + x_1 - y) \wedge \mu_2(y + x_2 - y) \wedge \ldots \wedge \mu_n(y + x_n - y)] \ge \bigvee [\mu_1(x_1) \wedge \mu_2(x_2) \wedge \ldots \wedge \mu_n(x_n)]. = SupS(x) = \mu(x).$

(iii) Let $x, y, u \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. And let $u - x = t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_n; t_i \in M, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Which implies $u = t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_n + x$. And so $u\alpha y - x\alpha y = (t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y + (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots +$

(iv) Let $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Put $y = y_1 + y_2 + \ldots + y_n; y_i \in M, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then $\mu(x\alpha y) = \mu(x\alpha(y_1 + y_2 + \ldots + y_n)) = \mu(x\alpha y_1 + x\alpha y_2 + \ldots + x\alpha y_n) = \bigvee(\mu_1(x\alpha y_1) \land \mu_2(x\alpha y_2) \land \ldots \land \mu_n(x\alpha y_n)) \ge \bigvee(\mu_1(y_1) \land \mu_2(y_2) \land \ldots \land \mu_n(y_n)) = SupS(y) = \mu(y).$ Hence μ is a fuzzy ideal of M. \Box **Definition 3.7.** Let M be a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring and $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$ be the fuzzy ideals of M. Then the sum $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + ... + \mu_n$ is said to be direct, if $(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + ... + \mu_{i-1} + \mu_{i+1} + ... + \mu_n) \wedge \mu_i = 0.$

Theorem 3.8. Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus ... \oplus M_n$ be the direct sum of Γ -near-rings $M_1, M_2, ..., M_n$ with left or right identity $e = (e_1, e_2, ..., e_n)$ and μ be a fuzzy ideal of M. Then there exists fuzzy ideals $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$ of M such that $\mu = \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \oplus ... \oplus \mu_n$.

Proof. Let $x_i = (0, 0, ..., 0, x_i, 0, ..., 0)$ and $e_i = (0, 0, ..., 0, e_i, 0, ..., 0), \alpha \in \Gamma$. Then for $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n$, we have $\mu(x) = \mu(x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n) \ge \mu(x_1) \land \mu(x_2) \land ... \land \mu(x_n)$. But $\mu(x_i) = \mu(e_i \alpha x) \ge \mu(x)$, for i = 1, 2, ..., n. That is $\mu(x_1) \land \mu(x_2) \land ... \land \mu(x_n) \ge \mu(x)$ Thus $\mu(x) = \mu(x_1) \land \mu(x_2) \land ... \land \mu(x_n)$. Define μ_i on M by

$$\mu_i(x) = \begin{cases} \mu(x) & : x \in M_i \\ 0 & : otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Hence $\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mu_n = \mu$.

4. SUM, DIRECT SUM OF ANTI FUZZY IDEALS

Definition 4.1. Let μ and ν be two anti fuzzy ideals of a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring M. Then the sum $\mu + \nu$ is a fuzzy set of M defined by

$$(\mu + \nu)(x) = \begin{cases} Inf(max(\mu(y), \nu(z))) & : x = y + z \\ 0 & : otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.2. If μ and ν are two anti fuzzy ideals of a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring M, then $\mu + \nu$ is also an anti fuzzy ideal of M.

Proof. Let $x, y, u \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. (i) Put $x = x_1 + x_2, y = y_1 + y_2; x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in M$. Then $x - y = x_1 + x_2 - (y_1 + y_2)$ $= x_1 - y_1 + y_1 + x_2 - (y_1 + y_2)$. $(\mu + \nu)(x - y) = (\mu + \nu)(x_1 - y_1 + y_1 + x_2 - y_1 - y_2)$ $= \bigwedge (\mu(x_1 - y_1) \lor \nu(y_1 + x_2 - y_1 - y_2))$ $\leq \bigwedge [(\mu(x_1) \lor \mu(y_1)) \lor (\nu(y_1 + x_2 - y_1) \lor \nu(y_2))]$ $\leq \bigwedge [(\mu(x_1) \lor \mu(y_1)) \lor (\nu(x_2) \lor \nu(y_2))]$ $= [\bigwedge (\mu(x_1) \lor \mu(y_1)) \lor (\nu(x_2) \lor \nu(y_2))]$ $= (\mu + \nu)(x) \lor (\mu + \nu)(y)$. (ii) Put $x = x_1 + x_2; x_2, x_3 \in M$. Then

(ii) Put $x = x_1 + x_2$; $x_1, x_2 \in M$. Then

$$y + x - y = y + x_1 + x_2 - y = y + x_1 - y + y + x_2 - y.$$

$$(\mu + \nu)(y + x - y) = (\mu + \nu)(y + x_1 - y + y + x_2 - y)$$

$$= \bigwedge [\mu(y + x_1 - y) \lor \nu(y + x_2 - y)]$$

$$\leq \bigwedge [\mu(x_1) \lor \nu(x_2)]$$

$$= (\mu + \nu)(x).$$

670

(iii) Let $u - x = t_1 + t_2$; $t_1, t_2 \in M$. Which implies $u = t_1 + t_2 + x$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} u\alpha y - x\alpha y &= (t_1 + t_2 + x)\alpha y - x\alpha y \\ &= (t_1 + t_2 + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + x)\alpha y + (t_2 + x)\alpha y - x\alpha y. \\ (\mu + \nu)(u\alpha y - x\alpha y) &= (\mu + \nu)((t_1 + t_2 + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + x)\alpha y + (t_2 + x)\alpha y - x\alpha y) \\ &= \bigwedge [\mu((t_1 + t_2 + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + x)\alpha y) \lor \nu((t_2 + x)\alpha y - x\alpha y)] \\ &\leq \bigwedge \{\mu(t_1) \lor \nu(t_2)\} \\ &= (\mu + \nu)(u - x). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Put $y = y_1 + y_2$. Then

$$(\mu + \nu)(x\alpha y) = (\mu + \nu)(x\alpha y_1 + x\alpha y_2)$$

= $\bigwedge [\mu(x\alpha y_1) \lor \nu(x\alpha y_2)]$
 $\leq \bigwedge [\mu(y_1) \lor \nu(y_2)]$
= $(\mu + \nu)(y).$

Hence $\mu + \nu$ is an anti fuzzy ideal of M.

Example 4.3. Let $M = \{0, a, b, c\}$ and $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta\}$ be a non-empty set. Define a binary operation " + " on M and a mapping $M \times \Gamma \times M \to M$ by the following tables;

+	0	a	b	c		α	0	a	b	c		β	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c	•	0	0	0	0	0	•	0	0	0	0	0
a	a	0	c	b		a	0	0	0	0		a	0	0	0	0
b	b	c	0	a		b	0	0	0	0		b	0	0	0	0
c	c	b	a	0		c	0	0	0	0		c	0	0	a	a

Clearly, (M, +) is a group and

(i) $x\gamma(y+z) = x\gamma y + x\gamma z$, for every $x, y, z \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma$,

(ii) $(x\gamma y)\omega z = x\gamma(y\omega z)$ for every $x, y, z \in M$ and $\gamma, \omega \in \Gamma$.

And also $0\alpha n = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma, n \in M$; 0 is the additive identity in M. Thus M is a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring. Define two fuzzy sets μ and ν on M as follows; $\mu: M \to [0, 1]$ by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & : x = 0\\ 0.8 & : otherwise \end{cases}$$

and $\nu: M \to [0,1]$ by

$$\nu(x) = \begin{cases} 0.2 & : x = 0\\ 0.9 & : otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Then the routine calculation shows that μ and ν are anti fuzzy ideals of M. Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu + \nu)(0) &= \bigwedge_{0=y+z} \{ max(\mu(y), \nu(z)) \} \\ &= \bigwedge \{ max(\mu(0), \nu(0)), max(\mu(a), \nu(a)), max(\mu(b), \nu(b)), max(\mu(c), \nu(c))) \} \\ &= \bigwedge \{ 0.5, 0.9, 0.9, 0.9 \} = 0.5, \\ 671 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &(\mu + \nu)(a) = \bigwedge_{a=y+z} \{max(\mu(y), \nu(z))\} \\ &= \bigwedge \{max(\mu(0), \nu(a)), max(\mu(a), \nu(0)), max(\mu(b), \nu(c)), max(\mu(c), \nu(b))\} \\ &= \bigwedge \{0.9, 0.8, 0.9, 0.9\} = 0.8, \\ &(\mu + \nu)(b) = \bigwedge_{b=y+z} \{max(\mu(y), \nu(z))\} \\ &= \bigwedge \{max(\mu(0), \nu(b)), max(\mu(a), \nu(c)), max(\mu(b), \nu(0)), max(\mu(c), \nu(a))\} \\ &= \bigwedge \{0.9, 0.9, 0.8, 0.9\} = 0.8, \\ &(\mu + \nu)(c) = \bigwedge_{c=y+z} \{min(\mu(y), \nu(z))\} \\ &= \bigwedge \{max(\mu(0), \nu(c)), max(\mu(a), \nu(b)), max(\mu(b), \nu(a)), max(\mu(c), \nu(0))\} \\ &= \bigwedge \{0.9, 0.9, 0.9, 0.8\} = 0.8. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$(\mu + \nu)(x) = \begin{cases} 0.5 & : x = 0\\ 0.8 & : otherwise. \end{cases}$$

We can easily verify that, $\mu + \nu$ is an anti fuzzy ideal of M.

Now we extend the theorem 4.2 to the sum of finite number of anti fuzzy ideals of a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring M.

Definition 4.4. Let M be a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring and let $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$ be the anti fuzzy ideals of a Γ -near-ring M. For any $x \in M$ put $I(x) = \{\mu_1(x_1) \lor \mu_2(x_2) \lor \dots \mu_n(x_n) : x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n, x_i \in M, i = 1 \text{ to } n\}$. Define $(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_n)(x) = InfI(x) = Inf\{\mu_1(x_1) \lor \mu_2(x_2) \lor \dots \lor \mu_n(x_n) : x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n\}$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Remark 4.5. Let } x = x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n. \text{ Consider a transposition of the indices } \\ (1,k), k > 1. \text{ Then } x = x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_{k-1} + x_k + x_{k+1} + \ldots + x_n = y + x_k - y + x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_{k-1} + x_{k+1} + \ldots + x_n (\text{ where } y = x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_{k-1}) = (y + x_k - y) + z - z + x_1 + z + x_{k+1} + \ldots + x_n (\text{ where } z = x_2 + \ldots + x_{k-1}) = x'_k + z + x'_1 + x_{k+1} + \ldots + x_n (\text{ where } x'_k = y + x_k - y, x'_1 = -z + x_1 + z) = x'_k + x_2 + \ldots + x_{k-1} + x'_1 + x_{k+1} + \ldots + x_n. \\ \text{Thus } \mu_1(x'_k) \lor \mu_2(x_2) \lor \ldots \lor \mu_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) \lor \mu_k(x'_1) \lor \mu_{k+1}(x_{k+1}) \lor \ldots \lor \mu_n(x_n) = \mu_1(x_k) \lor \mu_2(x_2) \lor \ldots \lor \mu_{k-1}(x_{k-1}) \lor \mu_k(x_1) \lor \mu_{k+1}(x_{k+1}) \lor \ldots \lor \mu_n(x_n) \in I(x). \\ \text{This is true for every transposition } (i, j) \text{ of the indices. As every permutation is a product of transpositions, then for any permutation } \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ddots & n \\ i_1 & i_2 & \ddots & i_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ we have } \\ \mu_1(x_{i_1}) \lor \mu_2(x_{i_2}) \lor \ldots \lor \mu_n(x_{i_n}) \text{ belongs to } I(x) \text{ for any } x = x_1 + x_2 + \ldots + x_n. \\ \text{Hence } \\ \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \ldots + \mu_n = \mu_{i_1} + \mu_{i_2} + \ldots + \mu_{i_n}. \end{aligned}$

Theorem 4.6. Let M be a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring. If $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$ are the anti fuzzy ideals of M, then $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + ... + \mu_n$ is an anti fuzzy ideal of M.

Proof. Put $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + ... + \mu_n$.

(i) Let $x = x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n$, $y = y_1 + y_2 + ... + y_n$; $x_i, y_i \in M, i = 1, 2, ..., n$. Then $x - y = x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n - y_1 - y_2 - ... - y_n$. This can be expressed as x - y = 672

 $\begin{array}{l} x_1' - y_1' + x_2' - y_2' + \ldots + x_n' - y_n', \text{ where } x_i' \text{ is a conjugate of } x_i \text{ and } y_i' \text{ is a conjugate of } y_i. \\ \text{Therefore } x - y = (x_1' - y_1') + (x_2' - y_2') + \ldots + (x_n' - y_n'). \\ \text{Which implies } \mu(x - y) = \mu((x_1' - y_1') + (x_2' - y_2') + \ldots + (x_n' - y_n')) \\ \text{A}[\mu_1(x_1') + (x_2' - y_2') + \ldots + (x_n' - y_n')] = \bigwedge[\mu_1(x_1' - y_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2' - y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(x_n' - y_n')] \\ \text{A}[\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_1(y_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(x_n') \vee \mu_n(y_n')] = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(x_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(x_n') \vee \mu_n(y_n')]] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(x_n') \vee \mu_n(y_n')]] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \ldots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \dots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(y_2') \vee \dots \vee \mu_n(y_n'))] \\ \text{Constant} = [\bigwedge(\mu_1(x_1') \vee \mu_2(x_2') \vee \mu_2(x_2')$

(ii) Let $x, y \in M$ and $x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n; x_i \in M, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $y + x - y = y + x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n - y = y + x_1 - y + y + x_2 - y + y + x_3 - y + \dots + y + x_n - y$. Which implies $\mu(y + x - y) = \mu(y + x_1 - y + y + x_2 - y + \dots + y + x_n - y) = \bigwedge [\mu_1(y + x_1 - y) \lor \mu_2(y + x_2 - y) \lor \dots \lor \mu_n(y + x_n - y)] \le \bigwedge [\mu_1(x_1) \lor \mu_2(x_2) \lor \dots \lor \mu_n(x_n)]. = InfI(x) = \mu(x).$

(iii) Let $x, y, u \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. And let $u - x = t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_n; t_i \in M, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Which implies $u = t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_n + x$. And so $u\alpha y - x\alpha y = (t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y + (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_1 + t_2 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_2 + t_3 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots + t_n + x)\alpha y - (t_3 + t_4 + \ldots +$

(iv) Let $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Put $y = y_1 + y_2 + \ldots + y_n; y_i \in M, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then $\mu(x\alpha y) = \mu(x\alpha(y_1 + y_2 + \ldots + y_n)) = \mu(x\alpha y_1 + x\alpha y_2 + \ldots + x\alpha y_n) = \bigwedge(\mu_1(x\alpha y_1) \lor \mu_2(x\alpha y_2) \lor \ldots \lor \mu_n(x\alpha y_n)) \le \bigwedge(\mu_1(y_1) \lor \mu_2(y_2) \lor \ldots \lor \mu_n(y_n)) = InfI(y) = \mu(y).$ Hence $\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \ldots + \mu_n$ is an anti fuzzy ideal of M.

Definition 4.7. Let M be a zero symmetric Γ -near-ring and $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$ be the anti fuzzy ideals of M. Then a sum $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + ... + \mu_n$ is said to be direct, if $(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + ... + \mu_{i-1} + \mu_{i+1} + ... + \mu_n) \vee \mu_i = 0.$

Theorem 4.8. Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus ... \oplus M_n$ be the direct sum of Γ -near-rings $M_1, M_2, ..., M_n$ with left or right identity $e = (e_1, e_2, ..., e_n)$ and μ be an anti fuzzy ideal of M. Then there exists anti fuzzy ideals $\mu_1, \mu_2, ..., \mu_n$ of M such that $\mu = \mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \oplus ... \oplus \mu_n$.

Proof. Let $x_i = (0, 0, ..., 0, x_i, 0, ..., 0)$ and $e_i = (0, 0, ..., 0, e_i, 0, ..., 0), \alpha \in \Gamma$. Then for $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) = x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n$, we have $\mu(x) = \mu(x_1 + x_2 + ... + x_n) \leq \mu(x_1) \lor \mu(x_2) \lor ... \lor \mu(x_n)$. But $\mu(x_i) = \mu(e_i \alpha x) \leq \mu(x)$, for i = 1, 2, ..., n. That is $\mu(x_1) \lor \mu(x_2) \lor ... \lor \mu(x_n) \leq \mu(x)$. Thus $\mu(x) = \mu(x_1) \lor \mu(x_2) \lor ... \lor \mu(x_n)$. Define μ_i on M by

$$\mu_i(x) = \begin{cases} \mu(x) & : x \in M_i \\ 0 & : otherwise. \end{cases}$$

Hence $\mu_1 \oplus \mu_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mu_n = \mu$.

Acknowledgements. The authors wish to thank the anonymous reviewers for their comments and valuable suggestions.

References

[1] R. Biswas, Fuzzy subgroups and anti fuzzy subgroups, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 44 (1990) 121–124.

- [2] S. M. Hong, Y. B. Jun and H. S. Kim, Fuzzy ideals in near-rings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 35(3) (1998) 455–464.
- [3] Y. B. Jun, M. Sapanci and M. A. Ozturk, Fuzzy ideals in Gamma near-rings, Turkish J. Math. 22(4) (1998) 449–459.
- [4] K. H. Kim, Y. B. Jun and Y. H. Yon, On anti fuzzy ideals in near rings, Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst. 2(2) (2005) 71–80,
- J. D. P. Meldrum, Near-ring and their links with groups, Research Notes in Mathematics, 134. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1985.
- [6] J. N. Mordeson and D. S. Malik, Fuzzy commutative algebra, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1998.
- [7] P. Narasimha Swamy, A note on fuzzy ideals in near-rings, International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and Engineering Applications 4(4) (2010) 423–435.
- [8] Bh.Satyanarayana, Contributions to near-ring theory, Doctoral thesis, Nagarjuna University (1984).
- [9] T. Srinivas, T. Nagaiah and P. Narasimha Swamy, Anti fuzzy ideals of Γ-near-rings, Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform. 3(2) (2012) 255-266.
- [10] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform and Control 8 (1965) 338–353.

P. NARASIMHA SWAMY (swamy.pasham@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, GITAM University, Hyderabad Campus- 502329, Telangana State, India

<u>K.VIJAY KUMMAR</u> (vijay.kntm@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal- 506 009, Telangana State, India

<u>T. NAGAIAH</u> (nagaiahphd4@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal- 506 009, Telangana State, India

<u>T. SRINIVAS</u> (thotasrinivas.srinivas@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal- 506 009, Telangana State, India