

On intuitionistic dimension functions

M. KOUSALYAPARASAKTHI, E. ROJA, M. K. UMA

Received 24 January 2014; Revised 1 June 2014; Accepted 11 June 2014

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the concepts of intuitionistic small inductive dimension, intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open set, intuitionistic partitions are introduced and studied. The concepts of intuitionistic large inductive dimension, intuitionistic addition theorem and intuitionistic product theorem are introduced and studied.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A40, 54E55

Keywords: Intuitionistic small inductive dimension, Intuitionistic large inductive dimension, Intuitionistic addition theorem, Intuitionistic product theorem.

Corresponding Author: M. Kousalyaparasakthi (koushi.1902@gmail.com)

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of intuitionistic sets was introduced by Çoker [1]. In 1998, J. Donchev [4] introduced the concept of \mathcal{AB} -sets and decomposition of continuity. In this paper, the concepts of intuitionistic small inductive dimension, intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open sets, intuitionistic partitions are introduced and studied. The concepts of intuitionistic large inductive dimension, intuitionistic addition theorem and intuitionistic product theorem are introduced and studied.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 ([1]). Let X be a non empty set. An *intuitionistic set* (IS for short) A is an object having the form $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$, where A^1 and A^2 are subsets of X satisfying $A^1 \cap A^2 = \emptyset$. The set A^1 is called the set of members of A , while A^2 is called the set of nonmembers of A . Every crisp set A on a nonempty set X is obviously an intuitionistic set having the form $\langle x, A, A^c \rangle$.

Definition 2.2 ([1]). Let X be a non empty set, $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ and $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ be intuitionistic sets on X , and let $\{A_i : i \in J\}$ be an arbitrary family of intuitionistic sets in X , where $A^i = \langle x, A_i^1, A_i^2 \rangle$.

- (i) $A \subseteq B$ if and only if $A^1 \subseteq B^1$ and $A^2 \supseteq B^2$.
- (ii) $A = B$ if and only if $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq A$.
- (iii) $\bar{A} = \langle x, A^2, A^1 \rangle$.
- (iv) $\bigcup A_i = \langle x, \bigcup A_i^1, \bigcap A_i^2 \rangle$.
- (v) $\bigcap A_i = \langle x, \bigcap A_i^1, \bigcup A_i^2 \rangle$.
- (vi) $\phi_{\sim} = \langle x, \phi, X \rangle$; $X_{\sim} = \langle x, X, \phi \rangle$.

Definition 2.3 ([2]). An intuitionistic topology (IT for short) on a nonempty set X is a family T of intuitionistic set in X satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) $\phi_{\sim}, X_{\sim} \in T$.
- (ii) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in T$ for any $G_1, G_2 \in T$.
- (iii) $\bigcup G_i \in T$ for any arbitrary family $\{G_i : i \in J\} \subseteq T$.

In this case the pair (X, T) is called an *intuitionistic topological space* (ITS for short) and any intuitionistic set in T is called an *intuitionistic open set* (IOS for short) in X . The complement \bar{A} of an intuitionistic open set A is called an *intuitionistic closed set* (ICS for short) in X .

Definition 2.4 ([2]). Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space and $A = \langle X, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic set in X . Then the *closure* and *interior* of A are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} cl(A) &= \bigcap \{K : K \text{ is an intuitionistic closed set in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}, \\ int(A) &= \bigcup \{G : G \text{ is an intuitionistic open set in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be also shown that $cl(A)$ is an intuitionistic closed set and $int(A)$ is an intuitionistic open set in X , and A is an intuitionistic closed set in X iff $cl(A) = A$; and A is an intuitionistic open set in X iff $int(A) = A$.

Definition 2.5 ([7]). Let (X, T) be a topological space. A subset A in X is said to be *semi - open* if $A \subseteq cl(int(A))$.

Definition 2.6 ([7]). Let (X, T) be a topological space. A subset A in X is said to be *semi - closed* if $int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$.

Definition 2.7 ([3]). Let (X, T) be a topological space. A subset S in X is said to be *semi - regular* if both semi - open and semi - closed.

Definition 2.8 ([4]). Let (X, T) be a topological space. A subset A in X is said to be an *AB - set* if $A = U \cap V$, where $U \in T$ and V is semi - regular. The family of all AB - sets of a space X will be denoted by $AB(X)$.

Definition 2.9 ([5]). Let (X, T) be a topological spaces. A *boundary* of a subset V in X is denoted and defined as $\partial V = cl(V) \cap cl(\bar{V})$.

3. INTUITIONISTIC SMALL INDUCTIVE DIMENSION

Notation 3.1. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space and $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic set in X .

- (i) $cl(A)$ denotes $Icl(A)$.
- (ii) $int(A)$ denotes $Iint(A)$.

Definition 3.2. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space. An intuitionistic set $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ in X is said to be *intuitionistic semi - open* if $A \subseteq Icl(Iint(A))$.

Definition 3.3. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space. An intuitionistic set $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ in X is said to be *intuitionistic semi - closed* if $Iint(Icl(A)) \subseteq A$.

Definition 3.4. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space. An intuitionistic set $S = \langle x, S^1, S^2 \rangle$ in X is said to be *intuitionistic semi - regular* if both intuitionistic semi - open and intuitionistic semi - closed.

Example 3.5. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $T = \{X_\sim, \phi_\sim, \langle \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle, \langle \{c\}, \{a, b\} \rangle, \langle \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle, \langle \{a, c\}, \{b\} \rangle\}$. Let $A = \langle \{a, b\}, \{c\} \rangle$ be an intuitionistic set now A is *intuitionistic semi - regular*.

Definition 3.6. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space. A subset $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ in X is said to be an *intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open-set* if $A = U \cap V$, where $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle \in T$ and $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle$ is an intuitionistic semi - regular. The complement \bar{A} of an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open-set A is called an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed-set.

Example 3.7. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and $T = \{X_\sim, \phi_\sim, \langle \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle, \langle \{b\}, \{a\} \rangle, \langle \{a, b\}, \phi \rangle\}$. Let $A = \langle \{a\}, \{b, c\} \rangle$ be an intuitionistic set now A is intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} - open set.

Definition 3.8. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space and $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic set in X . Then the *intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} interior* ($IABint$ for short) of A are defined by

$$IABint(A) = \cup \{G = \langle x, G^1, G^2 \rangle : G \text{ is an intuitionistic } \mathcal{AB} \text{ open set in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}.$$

Definition 3.9. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space and $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic set in X . Then the *intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closure* ($IABcl$ for short) of A are defined by

$$IABcl(A) = \cap \{K = \langle x, K^1, K^2 \rangle : K \text{ is an intuitionistic } \mathcal{AB} \text{ closed set in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}.$$

Notation 3.10. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space and $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic set, $x \in U$ denotes $x \in U^1$ and $x \notin U^2$.

Definition 3.11. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space. An *intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} boundary* of an intuitionistic set $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle$ in X is denoted and defined as $IAB\partial V = IABcl(V) \cap IABcl(\bar{V})$.

Definition 3.12. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space. An intuitionistic set $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ in X is said to be an *intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} neighborhood* of x if there exists an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open set $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle$ such that $x \in U \subseteq A$.

Definition 3.13. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space and $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ be an intuitionistic set of X is said to be an *intuitionistic dense* in X , if $IABcl(A) = X_\sim$.

Definition 3.14. An intuitionistic small inductive dimension of X is denoted $I-ind(X)$, and is defined as follows:

- (i) We say that the intuitionistic dimension of a space X , $(I-ind(X))$ is -1 iff $X = \phi_{\sim}$.
- (ii) $I-ind(X) \leq n$ if for every point $x \in X$ and for every intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} - open set $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle$ there exists an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} - open set $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle$, $x \in V$ such that $IABcl(V) \subseteq U$ and $I-ind(IAB\partial V) \leq n - 1$. Where $IAB\partial V$ is an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} boundary of V .
- (iii) $I-ind(X) = n$ if (ii) is true for n , but false for $n - 1$.
- (iv) $I-ind(X) = \infty$ if for every n , $I-ind(X) \leq n$ is false.

Definition 3.15. Let R^I be a real line. An interval $I = \langle (a, b), (c, d) \rangle$ is said to be an intuitionistic interval if (a, b) and (c, d) are disjoint.

Example 3.16. Let's show that $I-ind(R^I)$ is 1.

For each $x \in R^I$, let's select an intuitionistic neighborhood V and an intuitionistic set $U = \langle (a, b), (c, d) \rangle$, $I-ind(IAB\partial U) = I-ind \{ \{a, b\}, \{c, d\} \} = 0$. This implies that $I-ind(R^I) \leq 1$.

so it is enough to prove that $I-ind(R^I)$ is not 0. But that is easy. If $I-ind(R^I) = 0$, that means that an intuitionistic set U exists such that $I-ind(IAB\partial U) = -1 \Leftrightarrow IAB\partial U = \phi_{\sim} \Leftrightarrow U$ is intuitionistic clopen, but if U is intuitionistic clopen, R^I is intuitionistic disconnected. Because R^I is intuitionistic connected we get that $I-ind(R^I) > 0$. So finally, $I-ind(R^I) = 1$.

Proposition 3.17. If $Y \subseteq X$ then $I-ind(Y) \leq I-ind(X)$.

Proof. By induction, it is true for $I-ind(X) = -1$. If $I-ind(X) = n$, for every point $y \in X$ there is an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} neighborhood $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle$, with an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open set $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle$, $IABcl(V) \subseteq U$ such that $I-ind(IAB\partial V) \leq n - 1$.

Note that $V_Y = Y \cap V$ is an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} neighborhood in Y and U_Y is an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open in Y . By induction, it is enough to show that $IAB\partial U_Y \subseteq IAB\partial U$.

Because then $I-ind(\partial U_Y) \leq n - 1$, and therefore $I-ind(Y) \leq n = I-ind(X)$ (By definition 3.13). And indeed $IABcl(U_Y) \subseteq IABcl(U)$,

$$\begin{aligned} IAB\partial U_Y &= IABcl(U_Y) \cap IABcl(\overline{U_Y}) \\ &\subseteq IABcl(U) \cap IABcl(\overline{U}) \\ &\subseteq IAB\partial U. \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved. \square

Definition 3.18. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic topological space. Let $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ and $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ a pair of disjoint intuitionistic sets of the space (X, T) , we say that an intuitionistic set $L = \langle x, L^1, L^2 \rangle \subseteq X$ is an intuitionistic partition between A and B if there exist intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open sets $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle$ and $W = \langle x, W^1, W^2 \rangle$ in (X, T) satisfying $A \subseteq U$, $B \subseteq W$ such that $W \cap U = \phi_{\sim}$ and $L = \overline{U} \cap \overline{W}$.

Proposition 3.19. $I-ind(X) \leq n \Leftrightarrow$ For every point x and every intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed set B there is an intuitionistic partition L , such that $I-ind(L) \leq n - 1$.

Proof. If $x \in X$ and $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ is an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed set, then there is an intuitionistic set $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle$ such that $I\mathcal{AB}cl(V) \cap B = \phi_{\sim}$. By definition of $I-ind$, there is an $I\mathcal{AB}cl(U) \subseteq V$, where $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle$, $x \in U$, $I-ind(I\mathcal{AB}\partial U) \leq n-1$. Now, let $W = I\mathcal{AB}cl(U)$ and $L = I\mathcal{AB}\partial U$. Hence $I-ind(L) \leq n-1$.

Conversely, let $x \in X$ and V be an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} neighborhood x . An intuitionistic set $B = \overline{V}$ is intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed and therefore there are $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle$, $W = \langle x, W^1, W^2 \rangle$ such that $x \in U$, $B \subseteq W$. Note that by definition of B , $U \subseteq V$. Now \overline{W} is intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed, therefore $I\mathcal{AB}cl(U) \subseteq \overline{W}$ and obviously

$$I\mathcal{AB}\partial U \subseteq I\mathcal{AB}cl(U) \subseteq \overline{W}$$

and

$$I\mathcal{AB}\partial U = I\mathcal{AB}cl(U) \cap I\mathcal{AB}cl(\overline{U}) \subseteq \overline{U}$$

Therefore $I\mathcal{AB}\partial U \subseteq \overline{U} \cap \overline{W} = L$. So that $I-ind(I\mathcal{AB}\partial U) \leq n-1$. Hence $I-ind(X) \leq n$. \square

Definition 3.20. An intuitionistic topological space is called *intuitionistic separable* if it contains a countable, intuitionistic dense subset.

Definition 3.21. An *intuitionistic metrizable space* is an intuitionistic topological space that is homeomorphic to an intuitionistic metric space.

Proposition 3.22. If X is an intuitionistic zero-dimensional separable metric space, then for every pair A, B of disjoint intuitionistic closed subsets of X the empty set is an intuitionistic partition between A and B , that is there exists an intuitionistic open and intuitionistic closed set $U \subset X$ such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset X \setminus U$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 1.2.6[5] with suitable modification. \square

Proposition 3.23. Let M be an intuitionistic subspace of a metric space X and A, B a pair of disjoint intuitionistic closed sets of X . For every intuitionistic partition L' in the space M between $M \cap \overline{V}_1$ and $M \cap \overline{V}_2$, where V_1, V_2 are intuitionistic open sets of X such that $A \subset V_1$, $B \subset V_2$ and $\overline{V}_1 \cap \overline{V}_2 = \phi_{\sim}$, there exists an intuitionistic partition L in the space X between A and B which satisfies the inclusion $M \cap L \subset L'$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 1.2.9[5] with suitable modification. \square

Proposition 3.24. If X is an arbitrary intuitionistic metric space and Z is an intuitionistic zero-dimensional separable subspace of X , then for all intuitionistic closed set A, B of X , $A \cap B = \phi_{\sim}$ there is an intuitionistic partition between them L , such that $L \cap Z = \phi_{\sim}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 1.2.11[5] with suitable modification. \square

Proposition 3.25. X is an intuitionistic separable metrizable space, then $I-ind(X) \leq n \Leftrightarrow X$ is the union of two intuitionistic sub spaces, Y, Z such that $I-ind(Y) \leq n-1$, $I-ind(Z) \leq 0$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Theorem 3.9[8] with suitable modification. \square

Proposition 3.26. For every intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed sets A and B of an intuitionistic separable metric space X , $I-ind(X) \leq n$, there is an intuitionistic partition L , such that $I-ind(L) \leq n-1$.

Proof. We can decompose X into two intuitionistic spaces Y, Z by Proposition 3.24 $I - ind(Y) \leq n - 1$, $I - ind(Z) = 0$. By Proposition 3.23, There is an intuitionistic partition L between an intuitionistic sets $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$, $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ and $L \cap Z = \phi_{\sim} \Rightarrow L \subseteq Y$ and $I - ind(L) \leq n - 1$ as an intuitionistic subspace of Y . \square

Proposition 3.27. *Let X be an intuitionistic separable metric spaces, $I - ind(X) \leq n \iff$ Then for every sequence of $(A_1, B_1), \dots, (A_{n+1}, B_{n+1})$ of an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed disjoint sets. An intuitionistic partitions L_1, \dots, L_{n+1} exist such that they have an empty intersection.*

Proof. Let us take $A_1 = \langle x, A_1^1, A_1^2 \rangle$, $B_1 = \langle x, B_1^1, B_1^2 \rangle$ by Proposition 3.25, we can find an intuitionistic partition L_1 , $I - ind(L_1) \leq n - 1$. Let us take $A_2 = \langle x, A_2^1, A_2^2 \rangle$, $B_2 = \langle x, B_2^1, B_2^2 \rangle$ and $M = L_1$. we can find an intuitionistic partition L_2 such that $I - ind(M \cap L_2) \leq n - 2$.

Let us take $A_i = \langle x, A_i^1, A_i^2 \rangle$, $B_i = \langle x, B_i^1, B_i^2 \rangle$ and let $M = L_1 \cap L_2 \cap \dots \cap L_{i-1}$. we can find an intuitionistic partition L_i such that $I - ind(M \cap L_i) = n - i$. When we go to do $A_{n+1} = \langle x, A_{n+1}^1, A_{n+1}^2 \rangle$, $B_{n+1} = \langle x, B_{n+1}^1, B_{n+1}^2 \rangle$, we have $I - ind(L_1 \cap L_2 \cap \dots \cap L_{n+1}) \leq -1 \iff L_1 \cap L_2 \cap \dots \cap L_{n+1} = \phi_{\sim}$. \square

4. INTUITIONISTIC LARGE INDUCTIVE DIMENSION

Definition 4.1. (X, T) is an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} normal space if, given any intuitionistic disjoint \mathcal{AB} closed sets $E = \langle x, E^1, E^2 \rangle$ and $F = \langle x, F^1, F^2 \rangle$, there are an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} neighborhood $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle$ of E and an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} neighborhood $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle$ of F that are also intuitionistic disjoint.

Definition 4.2. An intuitionistic large inductive dimension of intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} normal space X is denoted $I - Ind(X)$ and is defined as follows:

- (i) We say that the intuitionistic dimension of a space X , $I - Ind(X)$ is -1 iff $X = \phi_{\sim}$.
- (ii) $I - Ind(X) \leq n$ if for every intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed set $C = \langle x, C^1, C^2 \rangle \subseteq X$ and for every intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open set $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle$ there exists an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle$, $C \subseteq V$ such that $IABcl(V) \subseteq U$ and $I - Ind(AB\partial V) \leq n - 1$. Where $IAB\partial V$ is an intuitionistic boundary of V .
- (iii) $I - Ind(X) = n$ if (ii) is true for n , but false for $n - 1$.
- (iv) $I - Ind(X) = \infty$ if for every n , $I - Ind(X) \leq n$ is false.

Proposition 4.3. *An intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} normal space X satisfies the inequality $I - Ind(X) \leq n$ iff for every pair E and F of disjoint intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed set of X exists an intuitionistic partition L between $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ and $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ such that $I - Ind(L) \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. Proof is obvious. \square

Proposition 4.4. *For every intuitionistic separable space X we have $I - ind(X) = I - Ind(X)$*

Proof. For every intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} normal space X we have $I - ind(X) \leq I - Ind(X)$ by definition. To show that $I - Ind(X) \leq I - ind(X)$ we will use induction with respect to $I - ind(X)$, clearly one can suppose that $I - ind(X) < \infty$.

If $I-ind(X) = -1 \Rightarrow I-ind(X) \leq I-Ind(X)$. Assume that the inequality is proven for all intuitionistic separable metric space X of $I-ind(X) < n$ and consider an intuitionistic separable metric space X such that $I-ind(X) = n$.

Let $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ and $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ be a pair of intuitionistic disjoint \mathcal{AB} closed subset of X , by Proposition 3.26, there exists an intuitionistic partition L between A and B such that $I-ind(L) \leq n-1$, by the inductive assumption for every $k < n$, $I-Ind(L) \leq n-1$ and according to the Proposition 4.4, $I-Ind(X) \leq n$ and finally we get $I-Ind(X) \leq I-ind(X) \Rightarrow I-Ind(X) = I-ind(X)$. \square

5. INTUITIONISTIC ADDITION THEOREM

Proposition 5.1. *Let X be an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} normal space and Y be an intuitionistic dense subset of X . Let also A and B be disjoint intuitionistic subset of X ; $M = \langle x, M^1, M^2 \rangle$ and $N = \langle x, N^1, N^2 \rangle$ are intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open sets of X and $A \subset M$, $B \subset N$, $IABcl_X M \cap IABcl_X N = \phi_\sim$. Assume that C_Y be an intuitionistic partition between the intuitionistic sets $IABcl_X M \cap Y$ and $IABcl_X N \cap Y$ in Y . Then there exists an intuitionistic partition C in the intuitionistic space X between A and B such that $C \cap Y = C_Y$.*

Proof. Let $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle$ and $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle$ be any two disjoint intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} - open subsets of Y such that $Y \setminus C_Y = U \cup V$, $IABcl_X M \cap Y \subset U$ and $IABcl_X N \cap Y \subset V$. Put $Y_1 = U \cup C_Y$, $Y_2 = V \cup C_Y$ and $X_i = IABcl_X Y_i$ for each $i = 1, 2$.

It is easy to see that

- (i) $Y_1 = \langle y, Y_1^1, Y_1^2 \rangle$ and $Y_2 = \langle y, Y_2^1, Y_2^2 \rangle$ are intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} - closed subset of Y and $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$, $C_Y = Y_1 \cap Y_2$.
- (ii) $X_1 = \langle x, X_1^1, X_1^2 \rangle$ and $X_2 = \langle x, X_2^1, X_2^2 \rangle$ are intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} - closed subset of X and $X = IABcl_X Y = IABcl_X (Y_1 \cup Y_2) = IABcl_X (Y_1) \cup IABcl_X (Y_2) = X_1 \cup X_2$.
- (iii) $X_i \cap Y = Y_i$ for each $i = 1, 2$.

Moreover, we have $X_1 \cap B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle = \phi_\sim$ (because $Y_1 \cap (IABcl_X N \cap Y) = \phi_\sim$, so $Y_1 \cap N = \phi_\sim$ and consequently, $IABcl_X Y_1 \cap N = \phi_\sim$).

Analogously, we get $X_2 \cap A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle = \phi_\sim$. Put $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle = X \setminus X_2$, $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle = X \setminus X_1$ and $C = X_1 \cap X_2$. Observe that U and V are disjoint intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open sets of the intuitionistic space X and $A \subset U$, $B \subset V$ and $C = X \setminus (U \cup V)$. In addition we have $C \cap Y = (X_1 \cap Y) \cap (X_2 \cap Y) = Y_1 \cap Y_2 = C_Y$. \square

Remark 5.2. Let X be an intuitionistic space, x be a point of X , $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ is an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed set of X and $x \notin B$. Put $U = \langle x, U^1, U^2 \rangle = X \setminus B$. In the intuitionistic space X let us consider intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open subsets $M = \langle x, M^1, M^2 \rangle$, $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle$ and $W = \langle x, W^1, W^2 \rangle$ such that

$$x \in M \subset IABcl(M) \subset V \subset IABcl(V) \subset W \subset IABcl(W) \subset U$$

put $N = \langle x, N^1, N^2 \rangle = X \setminus IABcl(W)$. It is evident that $B \subset N$ and $IABcl(M) \cap IABcl(N) = \phi_\sim$. Let Y be an intuitionistic subset of X . In Y let us consider an intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open subset $P = \langle x, P^1, P^2 \rangle$ such that $IABcl(M) \cap Y \subset P \subset V \cap Y$. It is evident that $IABcl_Y P \subset IABcl_Y (V \cap Y) \subset IABcl_Y (V) \cap Y \subset W \cap$

$Y \subset Y \setminus I\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}clN$. So the $I\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\partial_Y P$ is an intuitionistic partition between the sets $I\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}clM \cap Y$, $I\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}clN \cap Y$ in Y . By $trI-ind$, $trI-Ind$ we will denote the natural transfinite extension of $I-ind$ $trI-Ind$.

Proposition 5.3. *Let X be an intuitionistic space and Y be an intuitionistic dense subset of X with $trI-IndY = \alpha$, where α is an intuitionistic ordinal number ≥ 0 . Then for each point $x \in X$ and every intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ closed set $B \subset X$ such that $x \notin B$ there exist an intuitionistic partition C in X between the intuitionistic point x and the intuitionistic set B such that $trI-Ind(C \cap Y) < \alpha$. In particular, if $trI-Ind(Y) = 0$ then $C \subset X \setminus Y$.*

Proof. Let us choose intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ open subsets $M = \langle x, M^1, M^2 \rangle$, $N = \langle x, N^1, N^2 \rangle$ and $V = \langle x, V^1, V^2 \rangle$ of the intuitionistic space (X, T) as in Remark 5.2. In the intuitionistic space (Y, T) by our assumption there exists an intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ open set $P = \langle x, P^1, P^2 \rangle$ such that $I\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}clM \cap Y \subset P \subset V \cap Y$ and $trI-Ind\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\partial_Y P < \alpha$.

Moreover, the intuitionistic set $I\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\partial_Y P$ is an intuitionistic partition between the sets $I\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}clM \cap Y$, $I\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}clN \cap Y$ in Y . By proposition 5.2 there is an intuitionistic partition C in X between the intuitionistic point x and the intuitionistic set $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ such that $C \cap Y = I\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}\partial_Y P$. \square

6. INTUITIONISTIC PRODUCT THEOREM

Definition 6.1. Let d be an intuitionistic dimension function which is monotone with respect to intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ - closed subsets. Then the *intuitionistic finite sum dimension function* for d holds in an intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ normal space (X, T) (in intuitionistic dimension $k \geq 0$ ($IFSDF(d)$ in short) (respectively ($IFSDF(d, k)$), if $d(A \cup B) = \max\{dA, dB\}$ for every intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ - closed in X intuitionistic sets $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ and $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ (such that $dA, dB \leq k$).

For any intuitionistic space X , let us define

$$IFSDF(d, X) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } IFSDF(d) \text{ hold in } X; \\ \min\{k \geq 0 : IFSDF(d, k)\}, & \text{otherwise.} \\ \text{does not hold in } X, \end{cases}$$

It is evident that either $0 \leq IFSDF(d, k) \leq dX - 1$ or $IFSDF(d, k) = \infty$.

Moreover, for every intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ closed set A in X we have $IFSDF(d, A) \geq IFSDF(d, X)$, and if $dA \leq IFSDF(d, X)$ then $IFSDF(d)$ holds in A .

Remark 6.2. Let (X, T) and (Y, T) be any two intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ normal space, $I-ind(X \times Y) \leq I-ind(X) + I-ind(Y)$ if $IFSDF(ind)$ holds in the intuitionistic space X and Y .

The proof is similar to (problem 2.4 H[6]) with suitable modification.

Remark 6.3. Let (X, T) and (Y, T) be any two intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ normal spaces with $I-indX = m \geq 0$ and $I-indY = n \geq 0$ then

$$I-ind(X \times Y) = \begin{cases} m + n, & \text{if } m=0 \text{ or } n=0; \\ 2(m + n) - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Remark 6.4. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ normal space. Let A and B be any two intuitionistic $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}$ closed subsets such that $A \cup B = X_{\sim}$ then $I-indX \leq \max\{I-indA, I-indB\} + 1$.

Proposition 6.5. *Let X and Y be an intuitionistic space and $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ and $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$ are intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} closed sets of X and Y , respectively. Assume that $I\text{-ind}A \leq IFSDf(I\text{-ind}, X)$ and $I\text{-ind}B \leq IFSDf(I\text{-ind}, Y)$. Then $I\text{-ind}(A \times B) \leq I\text{-ind}A + I\text{-ind}B$.*

Proof. Observe that $IFSDf(ind)$ holds in the subspaces $A = \langle x, A^1, A^2 \rangle$ and $B = \langle x, B^1, B^2 \rangle$. Hence by Remark 6.2, $I\text{-ind}(A \times B) \leq I\text{-ind}A + I\text{-ind}B$. Hence proved. \square

Proposition 6.6. *Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic space and $trI\text{-ind}X = 0$. Then $trI\text{-ind}(X \times Y) = trI\text{-ind}Y$ for any intuitionistic space Y .*

Proof. Proof is obvious. \square

Proposition 6.7. *Let (X, T) and (Y, T) be any two intuitionistic spaces with $I\text{-ind}X \leq m \geq 0$ and $I\text{-ind}Y \leq n \geq 0$. Assume that $IFSDf(I\text{-ind}, X)$, $IFSDf(I\text{-ind}, Y) \geq k$ for some $k = 0, 1, \dots, \text{or } \infty$. Then*

$$I\text{-ind}(X \times Y) \leq \begin{cases} m + n & \text{if } n = 0, m = 0, \text{ or } m, n \leq k, ; \\ 2(m + n) - k - 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Observe that the proposition is valid for $k = 0$ (by Remark 6.3) and $k = \infty$ (by Remark 6.2). Assume that k is an integer ≥ 1 . Note that the proposition holds if

either $m, n \leq k$ (by proposition 6.5) or $m = 0$, or $n = 0$ (by proposition 6.6).

Let us prove the statement for the remained part of the set $\{m, n \geq 0\}$. Put $s = m + n$. It would be enough if we prove that $I\text{-ind}(X \times Y) \leq 2s - k - 1$, for $s \geq k + 1$. Apply induction. Observe that for $s = k + 1$ the inequality evidently holds. Suppose that the inequality is valid for all $s : k + 1 < s < r$.

Let now $s = r$ and $m, n \geq 1$ for each point $p \in X \times Y$ and every intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open neighborhood W of p let us choose a rectangular intuitionistic \mathcal{AB} open neighborhood $U \times V \subset W$ of this point such that

$$I\text{-ind}(I\mathcal{AB}\partial U) \leq m - 1 \text{ and } I\text{-ind}(I\mathcal{AB}\partial V) \leq n - 1$$

Observe that $I\mathcal{AB}\partial(U \times V) = (I\mathcal{AB}\partial U \times I\mathcal{AB}clV) \cup (I\mathcal{AB}clU \times I\mathcal{AB}\partial V)$ and $I\text{-ind } I\mathcal{AB}\partial(U \times V) \leq \max\{I\text{-ind}(I\mathcal{AB}\partial U \times I\mathcal{AB}clV), I\text{-ind}(I\mathcal{AB}clU \times I\mathcal{AB}\partial V)\} + 1$ (By Definition 6.4). Moreover, we have

$$IFSDf(I\text{-ind}, I\mathcal{AB}\partial U), IFSDf(I\text{-ind}, I\mathcal{AB}clU), IFSDf(I\text{-ind}, I\mathcal{AB}\partial V), IFSDf(I\text{-ind}, I\mathcal{AB}clV) \geq k. \text{ (by the proposition condition)}$$

$$IFSDf(I\text{-ind}, X) \geq k \text{ and } IFSDf(I\text{-ind}, Y) \geq k$$

This allow us to apply induction. By induction assumption,

$$\max\{I\text{-ind}(I\mathcal{AB}\partial U \times I\mathcal{AB}clV), I\text{-ind}(I\mathcal{AB}clU \times I\mathcal{AB}\partial V)\} \leq 2(r - 1) - 1 - k.$$

$$\max\{I\text{-ind}(I\mathcal{AB}\partial U \times I\mathcal{AB}clV), I\text{-ind}(I\mathcal{AB}clU \times I\mathcal{AB}\partial V)\} \leq 2r - 3 - k.$$

So by Remark 6.4 we get $I\text{-ind}(I\mathcal{AB}\partial U \times V) \leq 2r - 3 - k + 1 = 2r - 2 - k$. Hence the inequality $I\text{-ind}(X \times Y) \leq 2r - 1 - k$ holds. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the referee for reading the paper carefully and giving very valuable suggestions and remarks.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Coker, A note on intuitionistic sets and intuitionistic points, Turkish J. Math. 20 (1996) 343–351.
- [2] D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic topological spaces, BUSEFAL, 81 (2000) 51–56.
- [3] G. Di Maio and T. Noiri, On s-closed spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 18 (1987) 226–233.
- [4] J. Donchev, Between A- and B-sets, Math Balkanica, New Ser., 12 (1988) 295–302.
- [5] R. Engelking, Dimension theory, 1978.
- [6] R. Engelking, Theory of Dimension, Finite and Infinite, Heldermann-Verlag, Lemgo, 1995.
- [7] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly 70 (1963) 36–41.
- [8] Yuval Kohavi and Hadar Davdovich, Topological dimensions, Hausdorff dimensions and fractals, May 2006.

M. KOUSALYAPARASAKTHI (koushi.1902@gmail.com)

Research scholar, Department of mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem - 16, Tamil Nadu, India

E. ROJA (AMV_1982@rediffmail.com)

Associate Professor, Department of mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem - 16, Tamil Nadu, India

M. K. UMA (mkuma70@yahoo.co.in)

Associate professor, Department of mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem - 16, Tamil Nadu, India