

## $\mathcal{C}$ -set and a decomposition of bicontinuous difunctions

ŞENOL DOST

---

Received 20 September 2013; Revised 17 January 2014; Accepted 18 February 2014

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, the counterparts of  $\mathcal{C}$ -sets and  $\text{co-}\mathcal{C}$ -sets for ditopological texture spaces are introduced. The  $\mathcal{C}$ -bicontinuity is defined and given a decomposition of bicontinuous difunction.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A05, 54C10

**Keywords:** Texture space, Ditopology, Difunction,  $\mathcal{C}$ -set,  $\mathcal{C}$ -bicontinuity, Decomposition.

Corresponding Author: Şenol Dost ([dost@hacettepe.edu.tr](mailto:dost@hacettepe.edu.tr))

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In general topology, decomposition of continuous function has been remarkable area. Tong [15] introduced the notion of  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity and decomposed continuity into  $\alpha$ -continuity and  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity. In [9], some characterizations were given depending on these concepts for bicontinuous difunctions. On the other hand, in [11] (and [14]), the notion of  $\mathcal{C}$ -set for topological spaces (fuzzy topological spaces) and  $\mathcal{C}$ -continuity were introduced and given another decomposition of continuity. In this study we generalize these notions to ditopological texture spaces and give a decomposition of bicontinuous difunction.

Textures and ditopological texture spaces were first introduced by L. M. Brown as a point-based setting for the study fuzzy topology. This section concludes with some basic definitions from the theory, and the reader is referred to [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] for more background material.

**Definition 1.1** ([2]). Let  $S$  be a set. A texturing is a point-separating, complete, completely distributive lattice with respect to inclusion, which contains  $S$  and  $\emptyset$ , and for which arbitrary meets coincide with intersections, and finite joins with unions. If  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a texturing of  $S$ , then the pair  $(S, \mathfrak{S})$  is called a *texture space* or shortly, *texture*.

For a texture  $(S, \mathcal{S})$ , most properties are conveniently defined in terms of the  $p$ -sets  $P_s = \bigcap\{A \in \mathcal{S} \mid s \in A\}$  and, as a dually, the  $q$ -sets,  $Q_s = \bigvee\{A \in \mathcal{S} \mid s \notin A\}$ . We note in particular that  $A^b = \{s \in S \mid A \not\subseteq Q_s\}$  is called the *core* of  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Examples 1.2.** (1) If  $X$  is a set and  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  the powerset of  $X$ , then  $(X, \mathcal{P}(X))$  is the *discrete texture* on  $X$ . For  $x \in X$ ,  $P_x = \{x\}$  and  $Q_x = X \setminus \{x\}$ .

(2) The texture  $(L, \mathcal{L})$  is defined by  $L = (0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{L} = \{(0, r] \mid r \in \mathbb{I}\}$ . For  $r \in L$ ,  $P_r = (0, r] = Q_r$ .

(3) Setting  $\mathbb{I} = [0, 1]$ ,  $\mathcal{J} = \{[0, r), [0, r] \mid r \in \mathbb{I}\}$  gives the *unit interval texture*  $(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{J})$ . For  $r \in \mathbb{I}$ ,  $P_r = [0, r]$  and  $Q_r = [0, r)$ .

**Definition 1.3** ([1]). A *ditopology* on a texture  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  is a pair  $(\tau, \kappa)$  of subsets of  $\mathcal{S}$ , where the set of *open sets*  $\tau$  and the set of closed sets  $\kappa$  satisfies

$$\begin{array}{ll} S, \emptyset \in \tau, & S, \emptyset \in \kappa, \\ G_1, G_2 \in \tau \implies G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau, & K_1, K_2 \in \kappa \implies K_1 \cup K_2 \in \kappa, \\ G_i \in \tau, i \in I \implies \bigvee_i G_i \in \tau. & K_i \in \kappa, i \in I \implies \bigcap K_i \in \kappa. \end{array}$$

Hence a ditopology is essentially a "topology" for which there is no *a priori* relation between the open and closed sets. For  $A \in \mathcal{S}$  we define the *closure* and the *interior* of  $A$  under  $(\tau, \kappa)$  by the equalities

$$cl(A) = \bigcap\{K \in \kappa \mid A \subseteq K\}, \quad int(A) = \bigvee\{G \in \tau \mid G \subseteq A\}.$$

We denote by  $O(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$ , or when there can be no confusion by  $O(S)$ , the set of open sets in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Likewise,  $C(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$ ,  $C(S)$  will denote the set of closed sets.

If a ditopology  $(\tau, \kappa)$  on  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  satisfies the following conditions it is called *clint* ditopology [9],

- (1)  $\forall A \in \tau, A \cap cl(B) \subseteq cl(A \cap B), B \in \mathcal{S}$ ,
- (2)  $\forall A \in \kappa, int(A \cup B) \subseteq A \cup int(B), B \in \mathcal{S}$ .

**Definition 1.4** ([2]). A mapping  $\sigma : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  satisfying  $\sigma(\sigma(A)) = A, \forall A \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $A \subseteq B \implies \sigma(B) \subseteq \sigma(A), \forall A, B \in \mathcal{S}$  is called a *complementation* on  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  and  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \sigma)$  is said to be a *complemented texture*.

If  $(\tau, \kappa)$  is a ditopology on a complemented texture  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \sigma)$  we say  $(\tau, \kappa)$  is complemented if  $\kappa = \sigma(\tau)$ . In this case we have  $\sigma(cl(A)) = int(\sigma(A))$  and  $\sigma(int(A)) = cl(\sigma(A))$ .

Let  $(S, \mathcal{S}), (T, \mathcal{T})$  be textures. In the following definition we consider the product texture  $\mathcal{P}(S) \otimes \mathcal{T}$ . To avoid confusion  $\overline{P}_{(s,t)}, \overline{Q}_{(s,t)}$  are used to denote the  $p$ -sets and  $q$ -sets for the product texture  $(S \times T, \mathcal{P}(S) \otimes \mathcal{T})$ .

**Definition 1.5** ([4]). Let  $(S, \mathcal{S}), (T, \mathcal{T})$  be textures. Then

- (1)  $r \in \mathcal{P}(S) \otimes \mathcal{T}$  is called a *relation from  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  to  $(T, \mathcal{T})$*  if it satisfies
  - R1  $r \not\subseteq \overline{Q}_{(s,t)}, P_{s'} \not\subseteq Q_s \implies r \not\subseteq \overline{Q}_{(s',t)}$ .
  - R2  $r \not\subseteq \overline{Q}_{(s,t)} \implies \exists s' \in S$  such that  $P_s \not\subseteq Q_{s'}$  and  $r \not\subseteq \overline{Q}_{(s',t)}$ .
- (2)  $R \in \mathcal{P}(S) \otimes \mathcal{T}$  is called a *corelation from  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  to  $(T, \mathcal{T})$*  if it satisfies
  - CR1  $\overline{P}_{(s,t)} \not\subseteq R, P_s \not\subseteq Q_{s'} \implies \overline{P}_{(s',t)} \not\subseteq R$ .
  - CR2  $\overline{P}_{(s,t)} \not\subseteq R \implies \exists s' \in S$  such that  $P_{s'} \not\subseteq Q_s$  and  $\overline{P}_{(s',t)} \not\subseteq R$ .

- (3) A pair  $(r, R)$ , where  $r$  is a relation and  $R$  a corelation from  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  to  $(T, \mathcal{T})$ , is called a *direlation from  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  to  $(T, \mathcal{T})$* .

**Definition 1.6** ([4]). Let  $(f, F)$  be a direlation from  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  to  $(T, \mathcal{T})$ . Then  $(f, F)$  is called a *difunction from  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  to  $(T, \mathcal{T})$*  if it satisfies the following two conditions.

- DF1 For  $s, s' \in S, P_s \not\subseteq Q_{s'} \implies \exists t \in T$  with  $f \not\subseteq \overline{Q}_{(s,t)}$  and  $\overline{P}_{(s',t)} \not\subseteq F$ .  
 DF2 For  $t, t' \in T$  and  $s \in S, f \not\subseteq \overline{Q}_{(s,t)}$  and  $\overline{P}_{(s,t')} \not\subseteq F \implies P_{t'} \not\subseteq Q_t$ .

**Definition 1.7** ([5]). Let  $(f, F) : (S, \mathcal{S}) \rightarrow (T, \mathcal{T})$  be a difunction.

- (1) For  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ , the *image*  $f^{\rightarrow}(A)$  and the *co-image*  $F^{\leftarrow}(A)$  are defined by  
 $f^{\rightarrow}(A) = \bigcap \{Q_t \mid \forall s, f \not\subseteq \overline{Q}_{(s,t)} \implies A \subseteq Q_s\}$ ,  
 $F^{\leftarrow}(A) = \bigvee \{P_t \mid \forall s, \overline{P}_{(s,t)} \not\subseteq F \implies P_s \subseteq A\}$ .  
 (2) For  $B \in \mathcal{T}$ , the *inverse image*  $f^{\leftarrow}(B)$  and the *inverse co-image*  $F^{\rightarrow}(B)$  are defined by  
 $f^{\leftarrow}(B) = \bigvee \{P_s \mid \forall t, f \not\subseteq \overline{Q}_{(s,t)} \implies P_t \subseteq B\}$ ,  
 $F^{\rightarrow}(B) = \bigcap \{Q_s \mid \forall t, \overline{P}_{(s,t)} \not\subseteq F \implies B \subseteq Q_t\}$ .

For a difunction, the inverse image and the inverse co-image are equal; and the image and co-image can't be equal.

**Definition 1.8** ([4]). Let  $(f, F)$  be a difunction between the complemented textures  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \sigma)$  and  $(T, \mathcal{T}, \theta)$ . The complement  $(f, F)' = (F', f')$  of the difunction  $(f, F)$  is a difunction, where  $f' = \bigcap \{\overline{Q}_{(s,t)} \mid \exists u, v$  with  $f \not\subseteq \overline{Q}_{(u,v)}, \sigma(Q_s) \not\subseteq Q_u$  and  $P_v \not\subseteq \theta(P_t)\}$  and  $F' = \bigvee \{\overline{P}_{(s,t)} \mid \exists u, v$  with  $\overline{P}_{(u,v)} \not\subseteq F, P_u \not\subseteq \sigma(P_s)$  and  $\theta(Q_t) \not\subseteq Q_v\}$ .

If  $(f, F) = (f, F)'$  then the difunction  $(f, F)$  is called complemented.

**Definition 1.9** ([5]). A difunction  $(f, F) : (S, \mathcal{S}, \tau_S, \kappa_S) \rightarrow (T, \mathcal{T}, \tau_T, \kappa_T)$  is called *continuous* if  $B \in \tau_T \implies F^{\leftarrow} B \in \tau_S$ , *cocontinuous* if  $B \in \kappa_T \implies f^{\leftarrow} B \in \kappa_S$  and *bicontinuous* if it is both.

Finally, we also recall the some classes of ditopological texture spaces.

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$  be a ditopological texture space.  $A \in \mathcal{S}$  is called pre-open [12] (respectively, semi-open [8],  $\beta$ -open [10],  $\alpha$ -open [7], regular open [13]) if  $A \subseteq \text{int cl}(A)$  (respectively,  $A \subseteq \text{cl int}(A)$ ,  $A \subseteq \text{cl int cl}(A)$ ,  $A \subseteq \text{int cl int}(A)$ ,  $A = \text{int cl}(A)$ ).  $A$  is called  $\mathcal{A}$ -set [9], if  $A = G \cap K$ , where  $G$  is open and  $K$  is regular closed.  $A$  is called locally closed [9], if  $A = G \cap K$ , where  $G$  is open and  $K$  is closed.

We denote by  $PO(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$ , more simply by  $PO(S)$  the set of pre-open sets in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Likewise, it is denoted by  $SO(S)$  (respectively,  $\beta O(S)$ ,  $O_\alpha(S)$ ,  $RO(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}O(S)$ ,  $LC(S)$ ) the set of semi-open (respectively,  $\beta$ -open,  $\alpha$ -open, regular open,  $\mathcal{A}$ -set, locally closed).

On the other hand,  $A \in \mathcal{S}$  is called pre-closed (respectively, semi-closed,  $\beta$ -closed,  $\alpha$ -closed, regular closed) if  $\text{cl int}(A) \subseteq A$  (respectively,  $\text{int cl}(A) \subseteq A$ ,  $\text{int cl int}(A) \subseteq A$ ,  $\text{cl int cl}(A) \subseteq A$ ,  $A = \text{cl int}(A)$ ).  $A$  is called co- $\mathcal{A}$ -set, if  $A = K \cup G$ , where  $K$  is closed and  $G$  is regular open.  $A$  is called locally-coclosed, if  $A = K \cup G$ , where  $K$  is closed and  $G$  is open.

We denote by  $PC(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$ , more simply by  $PC(S)$  the set of pre-closed sets in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Likewise, it is denoted by  $SC(S)$  (respectively,  $\beta C(S)$ ,  $C_\alpha(S)$ ,  $RC(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}C(S)$ ,

$LCC(S)$ ) the set of semi-closed (respectively,  $\beta$ -closed,  $\alpha$ -closed, regular closed, co- $\mathcal{A}$ -set, locally-coclosed).

Corresponding to the above concepts, we have variations of continuity.

**Definition 1.11.** A difunction  $(f, F) : (S, \mathcal{S}, \tau_S, \kappa_S) \rightarrow (T, \mathcal{T}, \tau_T, \kappa_T)$  is called pre-continuous [13] (resp. semi-continuous [8],  $\beta$ -continuous [10],  $\alpha$ -continuous [7],  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous [9], locally-continuous [9]) if the inverse image under  $(f, F)$  of open set in  $\tau_T$  is an pre-open (semi-open,  $\beta$ -open,  $\alpha$ -open,  $\mathcal{A}$ -set, locally-closed).

On the other hand,  $(f, F)$  is called pre-cocontinuous (resp. semi-cocontinuous,  $\beta$ -cocontinuous,  $\alpha$ -cocontinuous,  $\mathcal{A}$ -cocontinuous, locally-cocontinuous) if the inverse co-image under  $(f, F)$  of closed set in  $\kappa_T$  is an pre-closed (semi-closed,  $\beta$ -closed,  $\alpha$ -closed, co- $\mathcal{A}$ -set, locally-coclosed).

## 2. $\mathcal{C}$ -SETS IN DITOPOLOGICAL TEXTURE SPACES

We begin by recalling [11] that a subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$  is called  $\mathcal{C}$ -set if  $A = O \cap C$ , where  $O$  is open and  $C$  is pre-closed set. This leads to the following analogous concepts in a ditopological texture space. As expected, there is also the dual notion.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$  be ditopological texture space and  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ .

- (1)  $A$  is called  $\mathcal{C}$ -set, if  $A = G \cap K$ , where  $G \in O(S)$  and  $K \in PC(S)$ .
- (2)  $A$  is called co- $\mathcal{C}$ -set, if  $A = K \cup G$ , where  $K \in C(S)$  and  $G \in PO(S)$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{CO}(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$ , or when there can be no confusion by  $\mathcal{CO}(S)$ , the set of  $\mathcal{C}$ -sets in  $\mathcal{S}$ . Likewise,  $\mathcal{CC}(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$ , or  $\mathcal{CC}(S)$  will denote the set of co- $\mathcal{C}$ -sets.

**Remark 2.2.** For any ditopological space  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$ :

- (1)  $O(S), C(S) \subseteq \mathcal{CO}(S)$  and  $O(S), C(S) \subseteq \mathcal{CC}(S)$ .  
Because, we may write  $G = G \cap S$  and  $K = \emptyset \cup K$  for some  $G \in \tau, K \in \kappa$ .
- (2) Likewise,  $PC(S) \subseteq \mathcal{CO}(S)$  and  $PO(S) \subseteq \mathcal{CC}(S)$ .
- (3) Since every open(closed) set is pre-open(pre-closed) set, it is obvious that  $AO(S) \subseteq LC(S) \subseteq \mathcal{CO}(S)$  and  $AC(S) \subseteq LCC(S) \subseteq \mathcal{CC}(S)$ , by [9, Proposition 3.4].

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$  be a ditopological texture space. For  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ , we define:

- (1) The pre-closure  $pcl(A)$  of  $A$  under  $(\tau, \kappa)$  by the equality  $pcl(A) = \bigcap \{B \mid B \in PC(S) \text{ and } A \subseteq B\}$ .
- (2) The pre-interior  $pint(A)$  of  $A$  under  $(\tau, \kappa)$  by the equality  $pint(A) = \bigvee \{B \mid B \in PO(S) \text{ and } B \subseteq A\}$ .

By [10, Lemma 2.3], since the family of pre-closed (pre-open) sets is closed under arbitrary intersections(joins) we have,  $pint(A) \in PO(S)$ ,  $pcl(A) \in PC(S)$ , while  $A \in PO(S) \iff A = pint(A)$  and  $A \in PC(S) \iff A = pcl(A)$ .

Obviously,  $pint(A)$  is the greatest pre-open set which is contained in  $A$  and  $pcl(A)$  is pre-closed set which contains  $A$  and we have,  $A \subseteq pcl(A) \subseteq clA$  and  $intA \subseteq pint(A) \subseteq A$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$  be a clint ditopological texture space and  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ .

- (1)  $pcl(A) = A \cup cl\,int(A)$ ,
- (2)  $pint(A) = A \cap int\,cl(A)$

*Proof.* We prove (1), leaving the dual proof of (2) to the interested reader. Since  $pcl(A)$  is pre-closed, we have  $cl\,int(A) \subseteq cl\,int(pcl(A)) \subseteq pcl(A)$  and hence  $A \cup cl\,int(A) \subseteq pcl(A)$ .

On the other hand,  $cl\,int(A \cup cl\,int(A)) \subseteq cl(int(A) \cup cl\,int(A)) = cl\,int(A) \subseteq A \cup cl\,int(A)$  by the definition of  $cl\,int$  ditopology. Hence  $A \cup cl\,int(A)$  is pre-closed and  $pcl(A) \subseteq A \cup cl\,int(A)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$  be a ditopological space. Then:*

- (1)  $A \in \mathcal{CO}(S)$  if and only if  $A = G \cap pcl(A)$  for some  $G \in O(S)$ .
- (2)  $A \in \mathcal{CC}(S)$  if and only if  $A = K \cap pint(A)$  for some  $K \in C(S)$ .

*Proof.* We prove (1), leaving the dual proof of (2) to the interested reader. Suppose that  $A = G \cap pcl(A)$  for some  $G \in O(S)$ . Since  $pcl(A)$  is pre-closed,  $A \in \mathcal{CO}(S)$ .

Conversely, let  $A \in \mathcal{CO}(S)$ . Then  $A = G \cap K$ , where  $G \in O(S)$  and  $K \in PC(S)$ . Since  $A \subseteq G \cap K \subseteq K$  and  $K$  is pre-closed, we have  $pcl(A) \subseteq pcl(K) = K$ . Thus,  $G \cap pcl(A) \subseteq G \cap K = A$ . Since  $A \subseteq G$  and  $A \subseteq pcl(A)$ , we have  $A \subseteq G \cap pcl(A)$ , which shows that  $A = G \cap pcl(A)$ , with  $G \in O(S)$  as required.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$  be a ditopological space and  $A \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then:*

- (1)  $A = G \cap cl\,int(A)$  for some  $G \in O(S)$  if and only if  $A \in \mathcal{CO}(S) \cap SO(S)$ .
- (2)  $A = K \cup int\,cl(A)$  for some  $K \in C(S)$  if and only if  $A \in \mathcal{CC}(S) \cup SC(S)$ .

*Proof.* We prove only (1), leaving the dual proof of (2) to the interested reader. Suppose that  $A = G \cap cl\,int(A)$  for some  $G \in O(S)$ . Then  $A \subseteq cl\,int(A)$ , which show that  $A \in SO(S)$ . Since  $cl\,int(A)$  is closed and so pre-closed, we have  $A \in \mathcal{CO}(S)$ .

Conversely, let  $A \in \mathcal{CO}(S) \cap SO(S)$ . Then there exists  $G \in O(S)$  such that  $A = G \cap pcl(A)$ , by Lemma 2.5. Because of  $A \in SO(S)$ ,  $A \subseteq cl\,int(A)$  and so  $pcl(A) \subseteq cl\,int(A)$ . Since  $(A \cup cl\,int(A)) \subseteq pcl(A)$ , we have  $(G \cap cl\,int(A)) \subseteq (G \cap pcl(A)) = A \subseteq (G \cap cl\,int(A))$  and  $A = G \cap cl\,int(A)$  with  $G \in O(S)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \tau, \kappa)$  be a clint ditopological texture space.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{AO}(S) = \mathcal{CO}(S) \cap SO(S)$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{AC}(S) = \mathcal{CC}(S) \cap SC(S)$ .

*Proof.* We prove (1), leaving the dual proof of (2) to the interested reader. From Remark 2.2. and [8, Proposition 3.4], it is clear that  $\mathcal{AO}(S) \subseteq \mathcal{CO}(S) \cap SO(S)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $A \in \mathcal{CO}(S) \cap SO(S)$ . Then, by Lemma 2.6,  $A = G \cap cl\,int(A)$ , where  $G$  is open. Since  $int(G)$  is open,  $cl\,int(A)$  is regular closed, by [9, Lemma 3.13(1)]. Thus,  $A \in \mathcal{AO}(S)$ , which is required.  $\square$

Generally there is no relation between the  $\mathcal{C}$ -sets and co- $\mathcal{C}$ -closed sets, but for a complemented ditopological texture space we have the following result.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $(S, \mathcal{S}, \sigma, \tau, \kappa)$  be a complemented ditopological texture space.*

$$A \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text{is } \mathcal{C}\text{-set if and only if } \sigma(A) \text{ is co-}\mathcal{C}\text{-set}$$

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $A = G \cap K$ , where  $G \in O(S)$ ,  $K \in PC(S)$ . Since  $\sigma(\tau) = \kappa$  and  $\sigma(A) = \sigma(G \cap K) = \sigma(G) \cup \sigma(K)$ , the proof is trivial.  $\square$

**Example 2.9.** (1) If  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  is a topological space then  $(X, \mathcal{P}(X), \pi_X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^c)$  is a complemented ditopological texture space. Here  $\pi_X(Y) = X \setminus Y$  for  $Y \subseteq X$  is the usual complementation on  $(X, \mathcal{P}(X))$  and  $\mathcal{J}^c = \{\pi_X(G) \mid G \in \mathcal{J}\}$ . Clearly the  $\mathcal{C}$ -sets, co- $\mathcal{C}$ -sets in  $(X, \mathcal{J})$  correspond precisely to the  $\mathcal{C}$ -sets, co- $\mathcal{C}$ -sets respectively, in  $(X, \mathcal{P}(X), \pi_X, \mathcal{J}, \mathcal{J}^c)$ .

(2) For the unit interval texture  $(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{J})$  of Examples 1.2.(3), let  $\iota$  be the complementation  $\iota([0, r)) = [0, 1 - r]$ ,  $\iota([0, r]) = [0, 1 - r)$ , and  $(\tau_{\mathbb{I}}, \kappa_{\mathbb{I}})$  the standard complemented ditopology given by

$$\tau_{\mathbb{I}} = \{[0, r) \mid r \in \mathbb{I}\} \cup \{\mathbb{I}\}, \quad \kappa_{\mathbb{I}} = \{[0, r] \mid r \in \mathbb{I}\} \cup \{\emptyset\}.$$

Since  $[0, r]$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{I}$  is pre-closed,  $[0, r) = [0, r) \cap [0, r]$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -set. Likewise,  $[0, r)$  is pre-open,  $[0, r] = [0, r) \cup [0, r]$  is co- $\mathcal{C}$ -set. Thus,  $\mathcal{C}O(\mathbb{I}) = \mathcal{J} = \mathcal{C}C(\mathbb{I})$ .

(3) The  $\mathcal{C}$ -set (co- $\mathcal{C}$ -set) is different from semi-open(semi-closed) or locally-closed (locally-coclosed) set. Now, we consider  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and the ditopology  $(\tau, \kappa)$  on the discrete texture  $(X, \mathcal{P}(X))$ , where  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, X\}$ ,  $\kappa = \{\emptyset, \{a, c\}, \{b\}, X\}$ . Then  $\{a\}$  is pre-closed. Thus it is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -set, but is neither semi-open nor locally-closed. Besides,  $\{b, c\}$  is semi-open, but it is not  $\mathcal{C}$ -set.

Now, we discuss  $\mathcal{C}$ -bicontinuous difunction and its relation other classes of difunctions. We recall that a function between topological spaces is called  $\mathcal{C}$ -continuous [11] if the inverse image of each open set is  $\mathcal{C}$ -set. This leads to the following concepts for a difunction between ditopological texture spaces.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $(S_j, \mathcal{S}_j, \tau_j, \kappa_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , be ditopological texture spaces and  $(f, F) : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$  a difunction.

- (1) It is called  $\mathcal{C}$ -continuous, if  $F^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{C}O(S_1)$ , for every  $G \in O(S_2)$ .
- (2) It is called  $\mathcal{C}$ -cocontinuous, if  $f^{-1}(K) \in \mathcal{C}C(S_1)$ , for every  $K \in C(S_2)$ .
- (3) It is called  $\mathcal{C}$ -bicontinuous, if it is  $\mathcal{C}$ -continuous and  $\mathcal{C}$ -cocontinuous.

The following proposition is obtained immediate from Theorem 2.7.

**Proposition 2.11.** Let  $(f, F)$  be a difunction between clint ditopological texture spaces.

- (1)  $(f, F)$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuous if and only if it is  $\mathcal{C}$ -continuous and semi-continuous.
- (2)  $(f, F)$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -cocontinuous if and only if it is  $\mathcal{C}$ -cocontinuous and semi-cocontinuous.

In [9], it is proved that a difunction is bicontinuous if and only if it is both  $\alpha$ -bicontinuous and semi-bicontinuous. Since  $\alpha$ -bicontinuity implies semi-bicontinuity, with Theorem 2.7, we obtain the following decomposition of bicontinuity.

**Corollary 2.12.** Let  $(f, F)$  be a difunction between clint ditopological texture spaces.

- (1)  $(f, F)$  is continuous if and only if it is  $\mathcal{C}$ -continuous and  $\alpha$ -continuous.
- (2)  $(f, F)$  is cocontinuous if and only if it is  $\mathcal{C}$ -cocontinuous and  $\alpha$ -cocontinuous.

**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $(S_j, \mathcal{S}_j, \sigma_j, \tau_j, \kappa_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , complemented ditopology and  $(f, F) : (S_1, \mathcal{S}_1) \rightarrow (S_2, \mathcal{S}_2)$  be complemented difunction. Then  $(f, F)$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -continuous if and only if  $(f, F)$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ -cocontinuous.*

*Proof.* Since  $(f, F)$  is complemented,  $(F', f') = (f, F)$ . From [5, Lemma 2.20],  $\sigma_1((f')^{\leftarrow}(B)) = f^{\leftarrow}(\sigma_2(B))$  and  $\sigma_1((F')^{\leftarrow}(B)) = F^{\leftarrow}(\sigma_2(B))$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{S}_2$ . Hence the proof is clear from these equalities.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referees for their helpful comments that have helped improve the presentation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. M. Brown and M. Diker, Ditopological texture spaces and intuitionistic sets, *Fuzzy sets and systems* 98 (1998) 217–224.
- [2] L. M. Brown and R. Ertürk, Fuzzy sets as texture spaces, I. Representation Theorems, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 110(2) (2000) 227–236.
- [3] L. M. Brown and R. Ertürk, Fuzzy sets as texture spaces, II. Subtextures and quotient textures, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 110(2) (2000) 237–245.
- [4] L. M. Brown, R. Ertürk and Ş. Dost, Ditopological texture spaces and fuzzy topology, I. Basic Concepts, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 147(2) (2004) 171–199.
- [5] L. M. Brown, R. Ertürk and Ş. Dost, Ditopological texture spaces and fuzzy topology, II. Topological Considerations, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 147(2) (2004) 201–231.
- [6] L. M. Brown, R. Ertürk and Ş. Dost, Ditopological texture spaces and fuzzy topology, III. Separation Axioms, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 157(14) (2006) 1886–1912.
- [7] Ş. Dost,  $\alpha$ -open sets and  $\alpha$ -bicontinuity in ditopological texture space, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 3(1) (2010) 12–20.
- [8] Ş. Dost, Semi-open and semi-closed sets in ditopological texture space, *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* 5(1) (2012) 97–110.
- [9] Ş. Dost, Some decompositions of bicontinuous difunction, *J. Adv. Stud. Topol.* 2(1) (2011) 1–8.
- [10] Ş. Dost, L. M. Brown and R. Ertürk,  $\beta$ -open and  $\beta$ -closed sets in ditopological setting, *Filomat* 24(2) (2010) 11–26.
- [11] Y. Erguang and Y. Pengfei, On decomposition of  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 110(4) (2006) 309–313.
- [12] M. Gohar and L. M. Brown, Strong compactness of ditopological texture spaces, *ICMS Conference on Mathematical Science 2010*, 1309(1).
- [13] M. Gohar, Compactness in ditopological texture spaces, Ph. D. Thesis, Hacettepe University, 2002.
- [14] S. Jafari, K. Viswanathan, M. Rajamani and S. Krishnaprakash, On decomposition of fuzzy  $\mathcal{A}$ -continuity, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 1(4) (2008) 236–240.
- [15] J. Tong, A decomposition of continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 48(1-2) (1986) 11–15.

ŞENOL DOST (dost@hacettepe.edu.tr)

Department of Secondary Science and Mathematics Education, Hacettepe University, 06800 Beytepe, Ankara, Turkey