Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics and Informatics Volume 7, No. 2, (February 2014), pp. 303–311 ISSN: 2093–9310 (print version) ISSN: 2287–6235 (electronic version) http://www.afmi.or.kr

©FMI © Kyung Moon Sa Co. http://www.kyungmoon.com

# L-fuzzy 0-(1- or 2- or 3-) 2-absorbing ideals in semirings

Ahmad Yousefian Darani, Ashraf Hashempoor

Received 14 December 2010; Revised 29 January 2011; Accepted 31 January 2011

ABSTRACT. Let R be a commutative semiring. We define the concept of L-fuzzy  $\mathfrak{o} - (\mathfrak{1} - \operatorname{or} \mathfrak{2} - \operatorname{or} \mathfrak{3} -)2$ -absorbing ideals of R. Then we study some basic results concerning these classes of L-fuzzy ideals and discuss on the relationship among these classes of L-fuzzy ideals of R.

2010 AMS Classification: 03E72, 16N60, 16Y60

Keywords: L-Fuzzy ideal, L-Fuzzy K-ideal, L-Fuzzy 0-(1- or 2-) prime ideal, L-Fuzzy 0-(1- or 2- or 3-) 2-absorbing ideal.

Corresponding Author: Ahmad Yousefian Darani (yousefian@uma.ac.ir)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

There are many concepts of universal algebras generalizing an associative ring (R, +, .). Some of them – in particular, semirings – have been proven very useful. Semirings (called also halfrings) are algebras (R, +, .) sharing the same properties as a ring except that (R, +) is assumed to be a semigroup rather than a commutative group. Semirings, ordered semirings and hemirings appear in a natural manner in some applications to the theory of automata (see for example [8] and [14]) and formal languages (see [2], [10] and [9]). The concept of semirings was introduced by H. S. Vandiver in 1935 and has studied extensively by many authors (e.g. [3, 4]). A nonempty set R together with two associative binary operations called addition and multiplication (denoted by + and ., respectively) will be called a semiring provided that:

(1) (R, +) is a commutative monoid with identity element 0.

(2) (R, .) is a monoid with identity element  $1 \neq 0$ .

(3) The multiplication is distributive with respect to the addition both from the left and from the right.

(4) a.0 = 0.a = 0 for all  $a \in R$ .

A semiring R is commutative if (R, .) is a commutative semigroup.

A nonempty subset I of a semiring R will be called an ideal if  $a, b \in I$  and  $r \in R$  imply that  $a + b \in I$  and  $ra \in I$ . A subtractive ideal (=k-ideal) I is an ideal of R such that if  $a, a + b \in I$  then  $b \in I$ . Let A be a subset of R. The semiring ideal generated by A, denoted by  $A_d$ , is  $\{r_1a_1 + r_2a_2 + ...r_sa_s | r_i \in R, a_i \in A, s \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ . The subtractive ideal (=k-ideal) generated by A, denoted by  $A_{sub}$ , is  $\bigcap\{I | I \subseteq A \text{ and } I \text{ is a subtractive ideal of } R\}$ . It is proved in [11, Theorem 1.2] that  $A_{sub} = \{x \in R | \exists a \in A_d \text{ such that } a + x \in A_d\}$ . In this case, if A is an ideal of R is a proper ideal P of R for which whenever  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in P$  then either  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . So P is a prime ideal of R if and only if for ideals A, B of R with  $AB \subseteq P$ , then  $A \subseteq P$  or  $B \subseteq P$ , where  $AB = \{ab | a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$  (see [4, Theorem 5]). R is called a prime semiring if 0 is a prime ideal of R.

In his classic paper [18], Zadeh introduced the notion of a fuzzy subset of a set X as a mapping from X to the interval [0, 1]. The fuzzy set theory developed by Zadeh himself and others can be found in mathematics and many applied areas. W. Liu [12] introduced and studied fuzzy subrings as well as fuzzy ideals in rings. Later, T. K. Mukherjee and M.K. Sen [13], K.L.N. Swamy and U.M. Swamy [15], and Zhang Yue [16] fuzzified certain standard concepts on rings and ideals. T. K. Dutta and B. K. Biswas [6] studied fuzzy ideals and fuzzy prime ideals of semirings, and they defined fuzzy k-ideals of semirings and characterized fuzzy prime k-ideals of semirings of nonnegative integers. P. Dheena and S. Coumaressane in [5] initiated the notion of fuzzy 2 - (o - or 1 - ) prime ideal, fuzzy k-closure and fuzzy ideals and fuzzy m-system and studied the relation ship among these classes of fuzzy ideals and fuzzy m-systems.

The first author, in [17], defined the concept of o - (1-, 2-, 3-)2-absorbing ideals of the semiring R. In this paper we generalize the concept of fuzzy o - (1-, 2-) prime ideals of R.

#### 2. Preliminaries

For the sake of completeness, we would like to recall some definitions and results proposed in this field earlier. Throughout this paper R stands for a semiring, and  $L = (L, \leq, \land, \lor)$  will be a completely distributive lattice, which has the least and the greatest elements, say 0 and 1, respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** Let R be a semiring.

(1) A mapping  $\mu : R \to L$  is called an *L*-fuzzy subset of *R*.

(2) For any two *L*-fuzzy subsets  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  of *R*, we say that  $\mu$  is contained in  $\nu$  and we write  $\mu \subseteq \nu$  if  $\mu(a) \leq \nu(a)$ , for all  $a \in R$ .

(3) If  $\mu$  is an *L*-fuzzy subset of *R*, then the image of  $\mu$  denoted by  $Im(\mu) = \{\mu(a) | a \in R\}$  and  $|Im\mu|$  denotes the cardinality of  $Im(\mu)$ .

(4) For any *L*-fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of R,  $\mu_*$  denotes the subset  $\{x \in R | \mu(x) = \mu(0)\}$  of R.

The set of all L-fuzzy subsets of R is called the L-power set of R and is denoted by  $L^R$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mu$  be any *L*-fuzzy subset of *R*. For  $t \in L$  the set  $\mu_t = \{x \in R | \mu(x) \ge t\}$  is called a *t*-level subset of  $\mu$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\mu, \nu$  be any two *L*-fuzzy subsets of *R*. Then  $\mu \cap \nu, \mu \cup \nu, \mu \cdot \nu$  and  $\mu + \nu$  are *L*-fuzzy subsets of *R* defined by

$$(\mu \cap \nu)(x) = \min\{\mu(x), \nu(x)\}$$
$$(\mu \cup \nu)(x) = \max\{\mu(x), \nu(x)\}$$
$$(\mu.\nu)(x) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x=yz} \{\min\{\mu(y), \nu(z)\}\}, & \text{if x is expressed as x=yz;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
$$(\mu + \nu)(x) = \begin{cases} \sup_{x=y+z} \{\min\{\mu(y), \nu(z)\}\}, & \text{if x is expressed as x=y+z;} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By an L-fuzzy point  $x_r$  of  $R, x \in R; r \in L \setminus \{0\}$ , we mean  $x_r \in L^R$  defined by

$$x_r(y) = \begin{cases} r, & \text{if } y=x; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $x_r$  is an *L*-fuzzy point of R and  $x_r \subseteq \mu \in L^R$ , we write  $x_r \in \mu$ . Note that  $x_t \in \mu$  if and only if  $x \in \mu_t$ . Moreover for every *L*-fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of R, it is easy to check that  $\mu = \bigcup_{x_r \in \mu} x_r$ . For  $A \subseteq R$  the characteristic function of A,  $\chi_A \in L^R$ , is defined by

$$\chi_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Definition 2.4.** An *L*-fuzzy subset  $\mu$  of *R* is said to be an *L*-fuzzy left (resp. right) ideal of *R* if for all  $x, y \in R$ ,

(1)  $\mu(x+y) \ge \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\};$  and

(2)  $\mu(xy) \ge \mu(y)$  (resp.  $\mu(xy) \ge \mu(y)$ ).

 $\mu$  is an L-fuzzy ideal of R if it is both an L-fuzzy left and an L-fuzzy right ideal of R.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let I be an ideal of R and  $\alpha < \beta \in L$ . Then the L-fuzzy subset of R defined by

$$A(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha, & \text{if } x \in I; \\ \beta, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is an L-fuzzy ideal of R.

*Proof.* [5, Lemma 2.6].

**Definition 2.6.** An *L*-fuzzy ideal  $\mu$  of *R* is said to be an *L*-fuzzy subtractive ideal (=k-ideal) of *R* if  $\mu(x) \ge \min\{\mu(x+y), \mu(y)\}$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

**Definition 2.7.** If  $\mu$  is any *L*-fuzzy subset of *R*, then  $\mu_{sub}$  is defined as, for any  $a \in R$ ,  $\mu_{sub}(a) = \sup_{x \in R} \{\min(a + x), \mu(x)\}\}$ .  $\mu_{sub}$  is called the *L*-fuzzy *k*-closure of  $\mu$  (or *L*-fuzzy subtractive ideal of *R* generated by  $\mu$ ).

Clearly  $\mu \leq \mu_{sub}$ . Moreover, it is proved in [5, Lemma 2.18 and Lemma 2.19] that if  $\mu$  is any *L*-fuzzy ideal of *R*, then  $\mu_{sub}$  is an *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideal of *R*, and if  $\mu$  is an *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideal of *R*, then  $\mu = \mu_{sub}$ . It has also proved in [5, Theorem 2.12] that the intersection of each two *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideals of *R* is again an *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideal of *R*.

**Definition 2.8.** Let R be a semiring, and let P be an ideal of R.

(i) P is called a  $\mathfrak{o}$ -prime (resp. 2-prime) ideal of R if whenever I and J are ideals (resp. k-ideals) of R such that  $IJ \subseteq P$ , then either  $I \subseteq P$  or  $J \subseteq P$ .

(ii) P is called a 1-prime ideal of R if whenever I and J are ideals of R such that one of them is a k-ideal with  $IJ \subseteq P$ , then either  $I \subseteq P$  or  $J \subseteq P$ .

**Definition 2.9.** Let R be a semiring, and let P be an L-fuzzy ideal of R.

(i) P is called an L-fuzzy  $\mathfrak{o}$ -prime (resp. L-fuzzy  $\mathfrak{2}$ -prime) ideal of R if whenever I and J are L-fuzzy ideals (resp. L-fuzzy k-ideals) of R such that  $IJ \subseteq P$ , then either  $I \subseteq P$  or  $J \subseteq P$ .

(ii) P is called an L-fuzzy 1-prime ideal of R if whenever I and J are L-fuzzy ideals of R such that one of them is an L-fuzzy k-ideal with  $IJ \subseteq P$ , then either  $I \subseteq P$  or  $J \subseteq P$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Let R be a semiring, and let A be an ideal of R.

(i) A is called a  $\mathfrak{o}-2$ -absorbing (resp.  $\mathfrak{z}-2$ -absorbing) ideal of R if whenever I, J and K are ideals (resp. k-ideals) of R such that  $IJK \subseteq A$ , then  $IJ \subseteq A$  or  $IK \subseteq A$  or  $JK \subseteq A$ .

(ii) A is called a 1–2-absorbing ideal of R if whenever I, J and K are ideals of R such that one of them is a k-ideal with  $IJK \subseteq A$ , then  $IJ \subseteq A$  or  $IK \subseteq A$  or  $JK \subseteq A$ .

(iii) A is called a 2–2-absorbing ideal of R if whenever I, J and K are ideals of R such that two of them are k-ideals with  $IJK \subseteq A$ , then  $IJ \subseteq A$  or  $IK \subseteq A$  or  $JK \subseteq A$ .

#### 3. L-Fuzzy 2-Absorbing ideals

**Definition 3.1.** Let R be a commutative semiring and let  $\eta$  be an L-fuzzy ideal of R. We say that  $\eta$  is a fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R if  $\eta$  is non-constant and for any fuzzy points  $a_r, b_s, c_t \in L^R$ ,  $(a, b, c \in R \text{ and } r, s, t \in L)$ ,  $a_r b_s c_t \in \eta$  implies that either  $a_r b_s \in \eta$  or  $a_r c_t \in \eta$  or  $b_s c_t \in \eta$ .

It is evident that every L-fuzzy prime ideal of R is L-fuzzy 2-absorbing. Now consider the following result:

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\eta$  and  $\mu$  be two distinct L-fuzzy prime ideals of R. Then  $\eta \cap \mu$  is an L-fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that  $a_r b_s c_t \in \eta \cap \mu$  for some *L*-fuzzy points  $a_r, b_s, c_t \in L^R$ , but  $a_r b_s \notin \eta \cap \mu$  and  $a_r c_t \notin \eta \cap \mu$ . If  $a_r b_s \notin \eta$  and  $a_r c_t \notin \eta$ , then, since  $\eta$  is an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *R* we have  $c_t \in \eta$ . In this case  $a_r c_t \in \eta$  which is a contradiction. A similar argument leads us to a contradiction if  $a_r b_s \notin \mu$  and  $a_r c_t \notin \mu$ . Therefore either  $a_r b_s \notin \eta$  and  $a_r c_t \notin \mu$  or  $a_r b_s \notin \mu$  and  $a_r c_t \notin \eta$ . If the former case holds, then from  $a_r b_s c_t \in \eta \cap \mu$  we get  $c_t \in \eta$  and  $b_s \in \mu$ . Hence  $b_s c_t \in \eta \cap \mu$ . By a similar argument, we may show that  $b_s c_t \in \eta \cap \mu$  if the latter case holds. Consequently,  $\eta \cap \mu$  is an *L*-fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of *R*.

**Theorem 3.3.** If  $\eta$  is an L-fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R, then  $\eta_t$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of R for every  $t \in L$  with  $\eta_t \neq R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\eta$  be an *L*-fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of *R*. Assume that  $abc \in \eta_t$  for some  $a, b, c \in R$ . In this case from  $\eta(abc) \geq t$  we have  $a_t b_t c_t = (abc)_t \in \eta$ . Since  $\eta$  is assumed to be an *L*-fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of *R*, we have  $(ab)_t = a_t b_t \in \eta$  or  $(ac)_t = a_t c_t \in \eta$  or  $(bc)_t = b_t c_t \in \eta$ . Hence  $ab \in \eta_t$  or  $ac \in \eta_t$  or  $bc \in \eta_t$ . This shows that  $\eta_t$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of *R*.

**Corollary 3.4.** If  $\eta$  is an L-fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R, then  $\eta_*$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of R.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $1 \neq \alpha \in L$ . Then  $\alpha$  is called a 2-absorbing element of L if  $r \wedge s \wedge t \leq \alpha$  implies that  $r \wedge s \leq \alpha$  or  $r \wedge t \leq \alpha$  or  $s \wedge t \leq \alpha$  for all  $r, s, t \in L$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** If  $\eta$  is an L-fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R, then  $\alpha = \eta(1)$  is a 2-absorbing element of L.

Proof. Assume that  $r \wedge s \wedge t \leq \alpha$  for some  $r, s, t \in L$ . In this case,  $1_r, 1_s, 1_t$  are three fuzzy points of R with  $1_r 1_s 1_t = 1_{r \wedge s \wedge t} \in \eta$ . Now since  $\eta$  is assumed to be an L-fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal, we have  $1_{r \wedge s} = 1_r 1_s \in \eta$  or  $1_{r \wedge t} = 1_r 1_t \in \eta$  or  $1_{s \wedge t} = 1_r 1_t \in \eta$ . So  $r \wedge s \leq \eta(1) = \alpha$  or  $r \wedge t \leq \eta(1) = \alpha$  or  $s \wedge t \leq \eta(1) = \alpha$ , and the result follows.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.7.** Let A be a 2-absorbing ideal of R and  $\alpha$  a 2-absorbing element of L. Then the L-fuzzy subset of R defined by

$$\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in A; \\ \alpha, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is an L-fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R.

*Proof.* Since A is a 2-absorbing ideal of R we have  $A \neq R$ . Hence  $\eta$  is non-constant. Assume that  $a_r b_s c_t \in \eta$  but  $a_r b_s \notin \eta$  and  $a_r c_t \notin \eta$  and  $b_s c_t \notin \eta$ , where  $a_r, b_s, c_t \in F(R)$  are L-fuzzy points of R. Then  $\eta(ab) = \alpha$  and so  $ab \notin A$ . Similarly,  $ac \notin A$  and  $bc \notin A$ . But A is assumed to be a 2-absorbing ideal of R. So  $abc \notin A$ . So  $\eta(abc) = \alpha$ . Also from  $(abc)_{r \land s \land t} = a_r b_s c_t \in \eta$  we have  $r \land s \land t \leq \eta(abc) = \alpha$ . Hence  $r \land s \leq \alpha$  or  $r \land t \leq \alpha$  or  $s \land t \leq \alpha$ , since  $\alpha$  is a 2-absorbing element, which is a contradiction. Thus  $a_r b_s \in \eta$  or  $a_r c_t \in \eta$  or  $b_s c_t \in \eta$ .

**Example 3.8.** As we mentioned previously, every *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *R* is *L*-fuzzy 2-absorbing. In this example we show that the converse is not necessarily true. Let  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  be the semiring with the usual addition and multiplication. The prime ideals of  $\mathbb{N}$  are  $0, p\mathbb{N}$  (*p* is a prime number), and  $M = N \setminus \{1\}$ . Every ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$  has the form dA where *A* is an ideal of  $\mathbb{N}$  containing all natural numbers larger than some natural number (See [1] for details). Now set  $A = 6\mathbb{N}$ . Than *A* is a 2-absorbing ideal of *R* which is not a prime ideal. Define  $\eta : \mathbb{N} \to [0, 1]$  by

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in 6\mathbb{N}; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, by Theorem 3.7,  $\eta$  is a fuzzy 2-absorbing ideal of R. Moreover  $\eta_0 = A$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of R that is not a prime ideal. Hence  $\eta$  is not a fuzzy prime ideal of R.

4. L-Fuzzy o - (1-, 2-, 3-)2-Absorbing ideals

In this section we introduce the concepts of  $\mathfrak{o} - (\mathfrak{1} -, \mathfrak{2} -, \mathfrak{3} -)2$ -absorbing ideals of the semiring R and give some basic properties of these classes of ideals.

**Definition 4.1.** Let R be a semiring and assume that  $\eta$  is a fuzzy ideal of R. Then:

- $\eta$  is called an *L*-fuzzy  $\mathfrak{o}-2$ -absorbing (resp.  $\mathfrak{z}-2$ -absorbing) ideal of R if  $\eta$  is non-constant and for any *L*-fuzzy ideals (resp. *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideals)  $\lambda, \mu$  and  $\nu$  of R,  $\lambda\mu\nu \subseteq \eta$  implies that  $\lambda\mu \subseteq \eta$  or  $\mu\nu \subseteq \eta$  or  $\lambda\nu \subseteq \eta$ .
- $\eta$  is called an *L*-fuzzy 1–2-absorbing ideal of *R* if  $\eta$  is non-constant and whenever  $\lambda$  is a *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideal of *R*, and  $\mu, \nu$  are *L*-fuzzy ideals of *R*, then,  $\lambda \mu \nu \subseteq \eta$  implies that  $\lambda \mu \subseteq \eta$  or  $\mu \nu \subseteq \eta$  or  $\lambda \nu \subseteq \eta$ .
- $\eta$  is called an *L*-fuzzy 2–2-absorbing ideal of *R* if  $\eta$  is non-constant and whenever  $\lambda, \mu$  are *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideals of *R* and  $\nu$  is a *L*-fuzzy ideal of *R*, then,  $\lambda \mu \nu \subseteq \eta$  implies that  $\lambda \mu \subseteq \eta$  or  $\mu \nu \subseteq \eta$  or  $\lambda \nu \subseteq \eta$ .

**Remark 4.2.** The following diagram shows all implications among these classes of fuzzy ideals of a semiring (including the relations by transitivity):



**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $\eta$  and  $\mu$  be L-fuzzy ideals of R.

(1) If  $\eta$  and  $\mu$  are L-fuzzy  $\circ$ - prime ideals of R, then  $\eta \cap \mu$  is an L-fuzzy  $\circ$ -2-absorbing ideal of R.

(2) If R is a commutative semiring, and if  $\eta$  and  $\mu$  are L-fuzzy 1- prime ideals of R, then  $\eta \cap \mu$  is an L-fuzzy 2-2-absorbing ideal of R.

(3) If R is a commutative semiring, and if  $\eta$  and  $\mu$  are L-fuzzy 2-prime k-ideals of R, then  $\eta \cap \mu$  is an L-fuzzy 3-2-absorbing ideal of R.

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $\lambda, \nu$  and  $\theta$  are *L*-fuzzy ideals of *R* such that  $\lambda \nu \theta \subseteq \eta \cap \mu$  but  $\lambda \nu \notin \eta \cap \mu$  and  $\lambda \theta \notin \eta \cap \mu$ . Then we have the following cases:

**Case 1.**  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \eta$  and  $\lambda \theta \not\subseteq \eta$ . Then it follows from  $\lambda \nu \theta \subseteq \mu \cap \eta$  that  $\theta \subseteq \eta$  since  $\eta$  is an *L*-fuzzy prime ideal of *R*. Therefore  $\lambda \theta \subseteq \eta$  which is a contradiction.

**Case 2.** A similar argument as in the Case 1 leads us to a contradiction if  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \mu$  and  $\lambda \theta \not\subseteq \mu$ .

**Case 3.**  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \eta$  and  $\lambda \theta \not\subseteq \mu$ . In this case from  $\lambda \nu \theta \subseteq \eta \cap \mu$  we have  $\theta \subseteq \eta$  and  $\nu \subseteq \mu$ . Therefore  $\nu \theta \subseteq \eta \cap \mu$ .

**Case 4.**  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \mu$  and  $\lambda \theta \not\subseteq \eta$ . By a similar argument as in the case 3 we may show that  $\nu \theta \subseteq \eta \cap \mu$ .

Consequently,  $\eta \cap \mu$  is a fuzzy  $\mathfrak{o}$ -2-absorbing ideal of R.

(2) Let  $\lambda$  be an *L*-fuzzy ideal of *R*, and let  $\nu$  and  $\theta$  be *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideals of *R* such that  $\lambda\nu\theta \subseteq \eta \cap \mu$  but  $\lambda\nu \not\subseteq \eta \cap \mu$  and  $\lambda\theta \not\subseteq \eta \cap \mu$ . Then we have the following cases:

**Case 1.**  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \eta$  and  $\lambda \theta \not\subseteq \eta$ . Then it follows from  $\lambda \nu \theta \subseteq \mu$ ,  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \eta$  and the fact that  $\theta$  is an *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideal of *R*, that  $\theta \subseteq \eta$  since  $\eta$  is an *L*-fuzzy  $\mathfrak{o}$ -prime ideal of *R*. Therefore  $\lambda \theta \subseteq \eta$  which is a contradiction.

**Case 2.** A similar argument as in the Case 1 leads us to a contradiction if  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \mu$  and  $\lambda \theta \not\subseteq \mu$ .

**Case 3.**  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \eta$  and  $\lambda \theta \not\subseteq \mu$ . In this case from  $\lambda \nu \theta \subseteq \eta$ ,  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \eta$  and the fact that  $\theta$  is an *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideal of *R*, we get  $\theta \subseteq \eta$ . In a similar way, from  $\lambda \nu \theta \subseteq \mu$  we get  $\nu \subseteq \mu$ . Therefore  $\nu \theta \subseteq \eta \cap \mu$ .

**Case 4.**  $\lambda \nu \nsubseteq \mu$  and  $\lambda \theta \nsubseteq \eta$ . By a similar argument as in the case 3 we may show that  $\nu \theta \subseteq \eta \cap \mu$ .

Therefore,  $\eta \cap \mu$  is a fuzzy 2–2-absorbing ideal of R.

(3) Let  $\lambda, \nu$  and  $\theta$  be *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideals of *R* such that  $\lambda \nu \theta \subseteq \eta \cap \mu$  but  $\lambda \nu \nsubseteq \eta \cap \mu$ and  $\lambda \theta \nsubseteq \eta \cap \mu$ . Then we have the following cases:

**Case 1.**  $\lambda \nu \notin \eta$  and  $\lambda \theta \notin \eta$ . Since  $\eta$  is an *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideal of *R*, we have  $(\lambda \nu)_{sub} \theta \subseteq \eta$  by [5, Lemma 2.20]. Moreover, by [5, Lemma 2.18],  $(\lambda \nu)_{sub}$  is an *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideal of *R*. On the other hand  $\lambda \nu \subseteq (\lambda \nu)_{sub}$ ; so  $(\lambda \nu)_{sub} \notin \eta$ . These imply that  $\theta \subseteq \eta$  since  $\eta$  is an *L*-fuzzy 2-prime ideal of *R*. Therefore  $\lambda \theta \subseteq \eta$  which is a contradiction.

**Case 2.** A similar argument as in the Case 1 leads us to a contradiction if  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \mu$  and  $\lambda \theta \not\subseteq \mu$ .

**Case 3.**  $\lambda \nu \notin \eta$  and  $\lambda \theta \notin \mu$ . Since  $\eta$  is an *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideal of *R*, we have  $(\lambda \nu)_{sub} \theta \subseteq \eta$  by [5, Lemma 2.20]. Moreover, by [5, Lemma 2.18],  $(\lambda \nu)_{sub}$  is an *L*-fuzzy *k*-ideal of *R*. On the other hand  $\lambda \nu \subseteq (\lambda \nu)_{sub}$ ; so  $(\lambda \nu)_{sub} \notin \eta$ . These imply that  $\theta \subseteq \eta$  since  $\eta$  is an *L*-fuzzy 2-prime ideal of *R*. By a similar argument, it follows from  $\lambda \nu \theta \subseteq \mu$  that  $\nu \subseteq \mu$ . Therefore  $\nu \theta \subseteq \eta \cap \mu$ .

**Case 4.**  $\lambda \nu \not\subseteq \mu$  and  $\lambda \theta \not\subseteq \eta$ . By a similar argument as in the case 3 we may show that  $\nu \theta \subseteq \eta \cap \mu$ .

Hence,  $\eta \cap \mu$  is a fuzzy 3–2-absorbing ideal of R.

As it is mentioned previously, every *L*-fuzzy o-2-absorbing ideal of *R* is *L*-fuzzy 3-2-absorbing, but the converse is not necessarily true. Now consider the following result.

## **Theorem 4.4.** Let $\mu$ be an L-fuzzy k-ideal of R. Then $\mu$ is an L-fuzzy $\mathfrak{o}-2$ -absorbing ideal of R if and only if it is an L-fuzzy $\mathfrak{z}-2$ -absorbing ideal of R.

Proof. If  $\mu$  is an *L*-fuzzy  $\mathfrak{o}-2$ -absorbing ideal of R, then obviously, it is an *L*-fuzzy  $\mathfrak{z}-2$ -absorbing ideal of R. Conversely, assume that  $\mu$  is an *L*-fuzzy  $\mathfrak{z}-2$ -absorbing ideal of R and let  $\lambda$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\nu$  be *L*-fuzzy ideas of R such that  $\lambda\theta\nu \subseteq \mu$ . Then from [5, Lemma 2.20] we have  $\lambda_{sub}\theta_{sub}\nu_{sub}\subseteq \mu$  where  $\lambda_{sub}$ ,  $\theta_{sub}$  and  $\nu_{sub}$  are fuzzy k-ideals of R by [5, Lemma 2.18]. Since  $\mu$  is  $\mathfrak{z}-2$ -absorbing ideal of R, we have  $\lambda_{sub}\theta_{sub}\subseteq \mu$  or  $\theta_{sub}\nu_{sub}\subseteq \mu$  or  $\lambda_{sub}\nu_{sub}\subseteq \mu$ . Furthermore,  $\lambda \subseteq \lambda_{sub}$ ,  $\theta \subseteq \theta_{sub}$  and  $\nu \subseteq \nu_{sub}$ . So we have  $\lambda\theta \subseteq \mu$  or  $\theta\nu \subseteq \mu$  or  $\lambda\nu \subseteq \mu$ . That is  $\mu$  is a fuzzy  $\mathfrak{o}-2$ -absorbing ideal of R.

**Theorem 4.5.** Let  $\eta$  be an L-fuzzy ideal of R. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\eta$  is an L-fuzzy 3-2-absorbing ideal of R.
- (ii) For every  $t \in L$ , the t-level subset  $\eta_t$  of  $\eta$  is a 3-2-absorbing ideal of R.

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) Let  $\eta$  be an *L*-fuzzy 3–2–absorbing ideal of *R* and let *I*, *J* and *K* be *k*-ideals of *R* such that  $IJK \subseteq \eta_t$ . Then from  $IJK \subseteq \eta_t$  we have  $1_I 1_J 1_L \subseteq \eta$ . But  $1_I, 1_J, 1_L$  are fuzzy *k*-ideals of *R* by [7, Theorem 2.2]. Since  $\eta$  is assumed to be an *L*-fuzzy  $\ni$ –2–absorbing ideal of *R*, we have  $1_I 1_J \subseteq \eta$  or  $1_I 1_K \subseteq \eta$  or  $1_J 1_K \subseteq \eta$ . Therefore,  $IJ \subseteq \eta_t$  or  $IK \subseteq \eta_t$  or  $JK \subseteq \eta_t$ . Hence  $\eta_t$  is a 3–2–absorbing ideal of *R*.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Now assume that  $\eta_t$  is a 3-2-absorbing ideal of R for every  $t \in L$ . Suppose that  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$  are L-fuzzy k-ideals of R such that  $\lambda \mu \nu \subseteq \eta$ . Then for every  $t \in L$ , we have  $\lambda_t \mu_t \nu_t \subseteq \eta_t$ . But by assumption  $\eta_t$  is a 3-2-absorbing ideal of R. Consequently,  $\lambda_t \mu_t \subseteq \eta_t$  or  $\mu_t \nu_t \subseteq \eta_t$  or  $\lambda_t \nu_t \subseteq \eta_t$ , and so we have  $\lambda \mu \subseteq \eta$  or  $\mu \nu \subseteq \eta$ or  $\lambda \nu \subseteq \eta$ . So  $\eta$  is an L-fuzzy 3-2-absorbing ideal of R.

#### References

- P. J. Allen and L. Dale, Ideal theory in the semiring Z<sub>+</sub>, Publ. Math. Debrecen 22(3-4) (1975) 219–224.
- [2] A. W. Aho and J. D. Ullman, Introduction to automata theory, languages and computation, Addison-Wesley, Reading. 1979.
- [3] P. J. Allen, A fundamental theorem of homomorphisms for semirings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969) 412–416.
- [4] P. J. Allen and J. Neggers, Ideal theory in commutative semirings, Kyungpook Math. J. 46 (2006) 261–271.
- [5] P. Dheena and S. Coumaressane, Fuzzy 2-(0- or 1-) prime ideals in semirings, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 43 (2006) 559–573.
- [6] T. K. Dutta and B. K. Biswas, Fuzzy k-ideals of semirings, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 87 (1995) 91–96.
- [7] S. Ghosh, Fuzzy k-ideals of semirings, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 95 (1998) 103-108.
- [8] W. Kuich, Semirings and formal power series: their relevance to formal languages and automata theory, In: Rozenberg G, Salomaa A (eds) Handbook of formal languages, vol 1. Springer, Heidelberg. (1997) 609–677.
- [9] W. Kuich and G. Rahonis, Fuzzy regular languages over finite and infinite words, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 157 (2006) 1532–1549.
- [10] W. Kuich and A. Salomaa, Semirings, automata, languages, Monographs in Theoretical Computer Science, 5 Springer, Heidelberg 1986.
- [11] S. LaGrassa, Semirings: Ideals and Polynomials, Ph. D. Thesis, The University of Iowa 1995.
- [12] Wang-jin Liu, Fuzzy invariants subgroups and fuzzy ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 5 (1987) 133–139.
- [13] T. K. Mukherjee and M. K. Sen, On fuzzy ideals of a ring I, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 21 (1987) 99–104.
- [14] A. Salomaa and M. Soittola, Automata-theoretic aspects of formal power series, Texts and Monographs in Computer Science, Springer, Heidelberg 1978.
- [15] U. M. Swamy and K. L. N. Swamy, Fuzzy prime ideals of rings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 134 (1988) 94–103.
- [16] Zhang Yue, Prime L-fuzzy ideals and primary L-fuzzy ideals, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27 (1988) 345–350.
- [17] A. Yousefian Darani, On 2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing ideals of commutative semirings, Kyungpook Math. J. 52(1) (2012) 91–97.
- [18] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 8 (1965) 338-353.

<u>AHMAD YOUSEFIAN DARANI</u> (yousefian@uma.ac.ir, youseffian@gmail.com) Department of Mathematics and Applications, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, 56199-11367, Ardabil, Iran

Ashraf Hashempoor (hashempoor.ashraf@yahoo.com)

Department of Mathematics and Applications, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, 56199-11367, Ardabil, Iran