

Somewhat soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ continuous function in soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space

V. VISALAKSHI, M. K. UMA, E. ROJA

Received 22 September 2012; Accepted 24 March 2013

ABSTRACT. In this paper the concept of soft fuzzy quasi uniform space and the topology $T_\mathcal{U}$ generated by the uniformity is introduced. Soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ open set, soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ continuous, somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ continuous, soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ open, somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ open, soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ continuous and somewhat soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ continuous functions are discussed and the characterizations are established. Interrelations among them are discussed with counter examples.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A40, 03E72

Keywords: Soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ open set, Soft fuzzy F_σ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ closed set, Soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ continuous function, Soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ open function, Soft fuzzy quasi uniform $T_\mathcal{U}$ dense set, Soft fuzzy quasi uniform G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ dense set, Somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ continuous function; Somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ open function, Soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ continuous function, Somewhat soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre $T_\mathcal{U}$ continuous function.

Corresponding Author: V. Visalakshi (visalkumar_cbe@yahoo.co.in)

1. INTRODUCTION

Zadeh introduced the fundamental concepts of fuzzy sets in his classical paper [8]. Fuzzy sets have applications in many fields such as information [5] and control [6]. In mathematics, topology provided the most natural framework for the concepts of fuzzy sets to flourish. Chang [3] introduced and developed the concept of fuzzy topological spaces. The concept of soft fuzzy topological space is introduced by Ismail U.Tiryaki [7]. G.Balasubramanian [1] introduced the concept of fuzzy G_δ set. The concept of fuzzy pre open set was introduced by A.S.Bin Shahana [2]. The concept of a fuzzy quasi uniformity which was introduced by Hutton [4].

In this paper soft fuzzy quasi uniform space and the topology T_U generated by the soft fuzzy quasi uniformity is introduced. Soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open set, soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U continuous, somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U continuous, soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open, somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open, soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre T_U continuous and somewhat soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre T_U continuous functions are discussed and their characterizations are established. Interrelations are discussed with counter examples wherever necessary.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 ([1]). Let (X, T) be a fuzzy topological space. Let λ be any fuzzy set. Then λ is said to be fuzzy G_δ set if $\lambda = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i$, where each μ_i is fuzzy open set. The complement of a fuzzy G_δ set is fuzzy F_σ .

Definition 2.2 ([2]). Let (X, T) be a fuzzy topological space. Let λ be any fuzzy set. Then λ is said to be fuzzy pre open set if $\lambda \leq \text{int}(cl(\lambda))$. The complement of a pre open set is pre closed.

Definition 2.3 ([7]). Let X be a set, μ be a fuzzy subset of X and $M \subseteq X$. Then, the pair (μ, M) will be called a soft fuzzy subset of X . The set of all soft fuzzy subsets of X will be denoted by $SF(X)$.

Definition 2.4 ([7]). The relation $\sqsubseteq$ on $SF(X)$ is given by $(\mu, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma, N) \Leftrightarrow (\mu(x) < \gamma(x)) \text{ or } (\mu(x) = \gamma(x) \text{ and } x \notin M/N), \forall x \in X$ and for all $(\mu, M), (\gamma, N) \in SF(X)$.

Proposition 2.5 ([7]). If $(\mu_j, M_j)_{j \in J} \in SF(X)$, then the family $\{(\mu_j, M_j) | j \in J\}$ has a meet, that is greatest lower bound, in $(SF(X), \sqsubseteq)$, denoted by

$$\bigcap_{j \in J} (\mu_j, M_j) \text{ such that } \bigcap_{j \in J} (\mu_j, M_j) = (\mu, M)$$

where $\mu(x) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} \mu_j(x), \forall x \in X$ and $M = \bigcap_{j \in J} M_j$.

Proposition 2.6 ([7]). If $(\mu_j, M_j)_{j \in J} \in SF(X)$, then the family $\{(\mu_j, M_j) | j \in J\}$ has a join, that is least upper bound, in $(SF(X), \sqsubseteq)$, denoted by

$$\bigcup_{j \in J} (\mu_j, M_j) \text{ such that } \bigcup_{j \in J} (\mu_j, M_j) = (\mu, M)$$

where $\mu(x) = \bigvee_{j \in J} \mu_j(x), \forall x \in X$ and $M = \bigcup_{j \in J} M_j$.

Definition 2.7 ([7]). Let X be a non-empty set and the soft fuzzy sets A and B be in the form,

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{(\mu, M) / \mu(x) \in I^X, \forall x \in X, M \subseteq X\} \\ B &= \{(\lambda, N) / \lambda(x) \in I^X, \forall x \in X, N \subseteq X\} \end{aligned}$$

Then,

- (1) $A \sqsubseteq B \Leftrightarrow \mu(x) \leq \lambda(x), \forall x \in X, M \subseteq N$.
- (2) $A = B \Leftrightarrow \mu(x) = \lambda(x), \forall x \in X, M = N$.
- (3) $A' \Leftrightarrow 1 - \mu(x), \forall x \in X, X \setminus M$.
- (4) $A \sqcap B \Leftrightarrow \mu(x) \wedge \lambda(x), \forall x \in X, M \cap N$.
- (5) $A \sqcup B \Leftrightarrow \mu(x) \vee \lambda(x), \forall x \in X, M \cup N$.

Definition 2.8 ([7]). $(0, \phi) = \{(\lambda, N) / \lambda = 0, N = \phi\}$
 $(1, X) = \{(\lambda, N) / \lambda = 1, N = X\}$

Definition 2.9 ([7]). For $(\mu, M) \in SF(X)$ the soft fuzzy set $(\mu, M)' = (1 - \mu, X|M)$ is called the complement of (μ, M) .

Definition 2.10 ([7]). A subset $T \subseteq SF(X)$ is called an SF-topology on X if

- (1) $(0, \phi)$ and $(1, X) \in T$.
- (2) $(\mu_j, M_j) \in T, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n \Rightarrow \bigcap_{j=1}^n (\mu_j, M_j) \in T$.
- (3) $(\mu_j, M_j), j \in J \Rightarrow \bigcup_{j \in J} (\mu_j, M_j) \in T$.

the elements of T are called soft fuzzy open, and those of $T' = \{(\mu, M)/(\mu, M)' \in T\}$ soft fuzzy closed.

If T is a SF-topology on X we call the pair (X, T) an SF-topological space (in short, SFTS).

3. SOFT FUZZY QUASI UNIFORM TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

Let D denote the family of all functions $f: SF(X) \rightarrow SF(X)$ with the following properties

- (1) $f(0, \phi) = (0, \phi)$.
- (2) $(\mu, M) \subseteq f(\mu, M)$, for every $(\mu, M) \in SF(X)$.
- (3) $f(\bigcup_i (\mu_i, M_i)) = \bigcup_i f(\mu_i, M_i)$, for each family $\{(\mu_i, M_i) : i \in J\} \subset SF(X)$.

Definition 3.1. A soft fuzzy quasi uniformity on X is a subcollection $\mathcal{U} \subset D$ satisfying the following axioms

- (i) If $f \in \mathcal{U}$, $f \subseteq g$ and $g \in D$, then $g \in \mathcal{U}$.
- (ii) If $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{U}$, then there exists $g \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $g \subseteq f_1 \cap f_2$.
- (iii) For every $f \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists $g \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $g \circ g \subseteq f$.

The pair $(X, \mathcal{U})$ is called soft fuzzy quasi uniform space.

Definition 3.2. Let $(X, \mathcal{U})$ be a soft fuzzy quasi uniform space. The operator $\text{Int} : SF(X) \rightarrow SF(X)$ defined by

$$\text{Int}(\mu, M) = \bigcup \{(\lambda, N) \in SF(X) : f(\lambda, N) \subseteq (\mu, M) \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Definition 3.3. Let $(X, \mathcal{U})$ be a soft fuzzy quasi uniform space. Then $T_{\mathcal{U}} = \{(\mu, M) \in SF(X) : \text{Int}(\mu, M) = (\mu, M)\}$ be the soft fuzzy topology generated by $\mathcal{U}$. The pair $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ is called soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space. The members of $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ are called soft fuzzy $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open set. The complement of a soft fuzzy $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open set is soft fuzzy $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ closed.

Definition 3.4. Let $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ be a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space. Then the interior of a soft fuzzy set (λ, M) in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ is defined as follows

$$\text{Int}_{T_{\mathcal{U}}}(\lambda, M) = \bigcup \{(\mu, N) : (\mu, N) \subseteq (\lambda, M) \text{ and } (\mu, N) \text{ is soft fuzzy } T_{\mathcal{U}} \text{ open in } (X, T_{\mathcal{U}})\}$$

The closure of a soft fuzzy set (μ, M) in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ is defined as follows

$$\text{Cl}_{T_{\mathcal{U}}}(\mu, M) = \bigcap \{(\lambda, N) : (\mu, M) \subseteq (\lambda, N) \text{ and } (\lambda, N) \text{ is soft fuzzy } T_{\mathcal{U}} \text{ closed in } (X, T_{\mathcal{U}})\}.$$

Definition 3.5. $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ denote the soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space.

- (i) A soft fuzzy set (λ, N) in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ is said to be soft fuzzy G_{δ} $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open if $(\lambda, N) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_i, N_i)$ where each (λ_i, N_i) is soft fuzzy $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open sets. The complement of soft fuzzy G_{δ} $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open is soft fuzzy F_{σ} $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ closed set.

(ii) A soft fuzzy set (λ, M) in (X, T_U) is said to be soft fuzzy pre T_U open set if $(\lambda, M) \subseteq \text{Int}_{T_U}(\text{Cl}_{T_U}(\lambda, M))$. The complement of soft fuzzy pre T_U open is soft fuzzy pre T_U closed set.

(iii) A soft fuzzy set (λ, N) in (X, T_U) is said to be soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open set if $(\lambda, N) = (\mu, M) \sqcap (\gamma, L)$ where (μ, M) is soft fuzzy G_δ T_U open set and (γ, L) is soft fuzzy pre T_U open set. The complement of soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open set is soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set.

(iv) For any fuzzy set (λ, N) in (X, T_U) the soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U int is defined as follows

$G_\delta \text{preint}_{T_U}(\lambda, N) = \sqcup \{(\mu, M) / (\mu, M) \subseteq (\lambda, N) \text{ and } (\mu, M) \text{ is soft fuzzy } G_\delta \text{ pre } T_U \text{ open set in } (X, T_U)\}$.

(v) For any fuzzy set (λ, N) in (X, T_U) the soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U cl is defined as follows

$F_\sigma \text{precl}_{T_U}(\lambda, N) = \sqcap \{(\mu, M) / (\mu, M) \supseteq (\lambda, N) \text{ and } (\mu, M) \text{ is soft fuzzy } F_\sigma \text{ pre } T_U \text{ closed set in } (X, T_U)\}$.

Proposition 3.6. *For any soft fuzzy set (λ, N) in (X, T_U) the following hold*

- (i) $G_\delta \text{preint}_{T_U}((1, X) - (\lambda, N)) = (1, X) - F_\sigma \text{precl}_{T_U}(\lambda, N)$.
- (ii) $F_\sigma \text{precl}_{T_U}((1, X) - (\lambda, N)) = (1, X) - G_\delta \text{preint}_{T_U}(\lambda, N)$.

4. SOMEWHAT SOFT FUZZY G_δ PRE T_U CONTINUOUS FUNCTION

Definition 4.1. Let (X, T_U) and (Y, S_V) be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. A function $f : (X, T_U) \rightarrow (Y, S_V)$ is soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U continuous if for each soft fuzzy S_V open set (λ, N) in (Y, S_V) , the inverse image $f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open set in (X, T_U) .

Definition 4.2. Let (X, T_U) and (Y, S_V) be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. A function $f : (X, T_U) \rightarrow (Y, S_V)$ is somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U continuous if (λ, N) is soft fuzzy S_V open set and $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$ then there exists a soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open set (μ, M) such that $(\mu, M) \neq (0, \phi)$ and $(\mu, M) \subseteq f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$.

Definition 4.3. A soft fuzzy set (λ, N) in (X, T_U) is called soft fuzzy T_U dense if there exists no soft fuzzy T_U closed set (μ, M) such that $(\lambda, N) \subset (\mu, M) \subset (1, X)$.

Definition 4.4. A soft fuzzy set (λ, N) in (X, T_U) is called soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U dense if there exists no soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set (μ, M) such that $(\lambda, N) \subset (\mu, M) \subset (1, X)$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let (X, T_U) and (Y, S_V) be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. Let $f : (X, T_U) \rightarrow (Y, S_V)$ be a bijective function then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U continuous function.
- (ii) If (λ, N) is soft fuzzy S_V closed set such that $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (1, X)$, then there exists a proper soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set (μ, M) such that $(\mu, M) \supset f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$.
- (iii) If (λ, N) is a soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U dense set in (X, T_U) then $f(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy S_V dense set in (Y, S_V) .

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose that f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous and (λ, N) is soft fuzzy S_V closed set such that $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (1, X)$. Therefore clearly $(1, Y) - (\lambda, N)$ is soft fuzzy S_V open set and $f^{-1}[(1, Y) - (\lambda, N)] = (1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$. From the hypothesis, there exists a soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open set (μ, M) such that $(\mu, M) \neq (0, \phi)$ and $(\mu, M) \subseteq f^{-1}((1, Y) - (\lambda, N))$. Therefore $(1, X) - (\mu, M) \neq (1, X)$ is soft fuzzy F_σ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ closed set. Now, $(1, X) - (\mu, M) \supset (1, X) - f^{-1}((1, Y) - (\lambda, N)) = f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let (λ, N) be a soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ dense set in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$. Suppose that $f(\lambda, N)$ is not a soft fuzzy S_V dense set in (Y, S_V) . Then there exists soft fuzzy S_V closed set (μ, M) such that $f(\lambda, N) \subset (\mu, M) \subset (1, Y)$. Since $(\mu, M) \subset (1, Y)$, therefore $f^{-1}(f(\lambda, N)) \subset f^{-1}(1, Y) = (1, X)$. That is $f^{-1}(\mu, M) \neq (1, X)$. By (ii), there exists a proper soft fuzzy F_σ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ closed set $(\delta, L) \neq (1, X)$ such that $(\delta, L) \supset f^{-1}(\mu, M) \neq (1, X)$. But $(\delta, L) \supset f^{-1}(\mu, M) \supset f^{-1}(f(\lambda, N)) = (\lambda, N)$. That is there exists a proper soft fuzzy F_σ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ closed set (δ, L) such that $(\lambda, N) \subset (\delta, L) \neq (1, X)$. Which is a contradiction. Therefore $f(\lambda, N)$ soft fuzzy G_δ pre S_V dense set in (Y, S_V) .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose that (λ, N) is a soft fuzzy S_V open set and $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$. Which implies $(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$. It must be shown that G_δ pre $int_{T_{\mathcal{U}}}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) \neq (0, \phi)$. On the contrary assume that G_δ pre $int_{T_{\mathcal{U}}}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) = (0, \phi)$. Then, $F_\sigma precl_{T_{\mathcal{U}}}[(1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N)] = (1, X) - G_\delta preint_{T_{\mathcal{U}}}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) = (1, X) - (0, \phi) = (1, X)$. Which implies $(1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ dense set in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$. By hypothesis, $f[(1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N)]$ is a soft fuzzy S_V dense set in (Y, S_V) . But $f((1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) = f(f^{-1}((1, Y) - (\lambda, N))) = (1, Y) - (\lambda, N)$. Since $(1, Y) - (\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy S_V closed set and $f((1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) = (1, Y) - (\lambda, N)$. Therefore $Cl_{S_V}[f((1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N))] = (1, Y) - (\lambda, N)$. From hypothesis, $Cl_{S_V}[f((1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N))] = (1, Y)$. Therefore $(1, Y) = (1, Y) - (\lambda, N)$. Which implies $(\lambda, N) = (0, \phi)$. Which is contradiction. Hence $G_\delta preint_{T_{\mathcal{U}}}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) \neq (0, \phi)$. Thus f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous. $\square$

Proposition 4.6. Let $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ and (Y, S_V) be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. Let $f : (X, T_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, S_V)$ be somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous function. Let $A \subseteq X$ such that $(1_A, A) \sqcap (\mu, M) \neq (0, \phi)$, for all soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open set. Let $T_{\mathcal{U}} \upharpoonright A$ be the induced soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space on A . Then $f \upharpoonright A : (A, T_{\mathcal{U}} \upharpoonright A) \rightarrow (Y, S_V)$ is somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous.

Proof. Let (λ, N) be a soft fuzzy S_V open set such that $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$. Since f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous, there exists a soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open set $(\mu, M) \neq (0, \phi)$ and $(\mu, M) \subseteq f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$. Now clearly $(\mu, M) \upharpoonright A$ is soft fuzzy G_δ pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open set $(\mu, M) \upharpoonright A \neq (0, \phi)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also, } (f \upharpoonright A)^{-1}(\lambda, N) &= (\lambda \circ (f \upharpoonright A), (f \upharpoonright A)^{-1}(N)) \\ &= (\lambda(f \upharpoonright A), (f \upharpoonright A)^{-1}(N)) \\ &= (\lambda(f), f^{-1}(N)) \\ &= f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$ and $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \supseteq (\mu, M) \supseteq (\mu, M) \upharpoonright A$. $\square$

The following example shows that the above proposition is invalid if the hypothesis fails.

Example 4.7. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and let D_1 denote the family of functions $f_1, f_2 : SF(X) \rightarrow SF(X)$ is defined as follows

$$f_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\lambda_1, M_1) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\lambda_1, M_1); \\ (\lambda_2, M_2) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\lambda_2, M_2); \\ (\lambda_3, M_3) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda_1, M_1) \sqsubseteq (\lambda_3, M_3) \text{ or } (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda_2, M_2) \sqsubseteq (\lambda_3, M_3); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $(\lambda_1, M_1), (\lambda_2, M_2), (\lambda_3, M_3)$ are defined as follows $\lambda_1(a) = 1, \lambda_1(b) = 0, \lambda_1(c) = 0, M_1 = \{c\}; \lambda_2(a) = 0, \lambda_2(b) = 0, \lambda_2(c) = 1, M_2 = \{c\}, \lambda_3(a) = 1, \lambda_3(b) = 0, \lambda_3(c) = 1, M_3 = \{c\}$ $\mathcal{U}$ is a subcollection of D_1 . Thus $T_{\mathcal{U}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, X), (\lambda_1, M_1), (\lambda_2, M_2), (\lambda_3, M_3)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{U}$. Thus $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space.

Let $Y = \{p, q, r\}$ and let D_2 denote the family of functions $g_1, g_2 : SF(Y) \rightarrow SF(Y)$ is defined as follows

$$g_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\gamma, L) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma, L); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where (γ, L) is defined as follows $\gamma(p) = 0, \gamma(q) = 1, \gamma(r) = 1, L = \{p, r\}$. $\mathcal{V}$ is a subcollection of D_2 . Thus $S_{\mathcal{V}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, Y), (\gamma, L)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{V}$. Thus $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space. Let $f : (X, T_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ defined as follows $f(a) = p, f(b) = q, f(c) = r$. f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous. Let $A = \{a, b\}$. Since $\{\chi_A, A\} \sqcap (\lambda_2, M_2) = (0, \phi)$, A does not satisfy the hypothesis of the above property. Consider $f|_A : (A, T_{\mathcal{U}}|_A) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ defined as follows $f|_A(a) = f(a) = p, f|_A(b) = f(b) = q$. $T_{\mathcal{U}}|_A = \{(0, \phi), (1, A), (\lambda_1, M_1)|_A, (\lambda_2, M_2)|_A, (\lambda_3, M_3)|_A\}$. Since $f^{-1}(\gamma, L)$ is not soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open in $(A, T_{\mathcal{U}}|_A)$. Thus $f|_A$ is not somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous.

The following example shows that an extension of somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous function need not be somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous.

Example 4.8. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and let D_1 denote the family of functions $f_1, f_2 : SF(X) \rightarrow SF(X)$ is defined as follows

$$f_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\lambda_1, M_1) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\lambda_1, M_1); \\ (\lambda_2, M_2) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\lambda_2, M_2); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $(\lambda_1, M_1), (\lambda_2, M_2)$ are defined as follows $\lambda_1(a) = 0, \lambda_1(b) = 1, \lambda_1(c) = 0, M_1 = \{a\}; \lambda_2(a) = 0, \lambda_2(b) = 0, \lambda_2(c) = 1, M_2 = \{c\}$, is a subcollection of D_1 . Thus $T_{\mathcal{U}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, X), (\lambda_1, M_1), (\lambda_2, M_2)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{U}$. Thus $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space.

Let $Y = \{p, q, r\}$ and let D_2 denote the family of functions $g_1, g_2 : SF(Y) \rightarrow SF(Y)$ is defined as follows

$$g_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\gamma, L) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \subseteq (\gamma, L); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where (γ, L) is defined as follows $\gamma(p) = 1, \gamma(q) = 0, \gamma(r) = 0, L = \{p, q\}$. $\mathcal{V}$ is a subcollection of D_2 . Thus $S_{\mathcal{V}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, Y), (\gamma, L)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{V}$. Thus $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space.

Let $f : (X, T_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ defined as follows $f(a) = p, f(b) = q, f(c) = r$. Since $f^{-1}(\gamma, L)$ is not soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$. Hence f is not somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous. Let $A = \{a, b\}$. Now $f|A : (A, T_{\mathcal{U}}|A) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ defined as follows $f|A(a) = f(a) = p, f|A(b) = f(b) = q$. But $f|A$ is somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous.

5. SOMEWHAT SOFT FUZZY G_{δ} PRE $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ OPEN FUNCTION

Definition 5.1. Let $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. A function $f : (X, T_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ is soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open function if (λ, N) is soft fuzzy $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open set in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$, $f(\lambda, N)$ is soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $S_{\mathcal{V}}$ open set.

Definition 5.2. Let $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. A function $f : (X, T_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ is somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open function iff (λ, N) is soft fuzzy $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open set and $(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$ then there exists a soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $S_{\mathcal{V}}$ open set (μ, M) such that $(\mu, M) \neq (0, \phi)$ and $(\mu, M) \subseteq f(\lambda, N)$.

Clearly every soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open function is somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open function but the converse need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 5.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and let D_1 denote the family of functions $f_1, f_2 : SF(X) \rightarrow SF(X)$ is defined as follows

$$f_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\lambda_1, M_1) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \subseteq (\lambda_1, M_1); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where (λ_1, M_1) is defined as follows $\lambda_1(a) = 0.5, \lambda_1(b) = 0.4, \lambda_1(c) = 0.4, M_1 = \{a, b\}$; $\mathcal{U}$ is a subcollection of D_1 . Thus $T_{\mathcal{U}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, X), (\lambda_1, M_1)\}$ is a soft fuzzy

quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{U}$. Thus $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space.

Let $Y = \{p, q, r\}$ and let D_2 denote the family of functions $g_1, g_2 : SF(Y) \rightarrow SF(Y)$ is defined as follows

$$g_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\gamma_1, L_1) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma_1, L_1); \\ (\gamma_2, L_2) & (\gamma_1, L_1) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma_2, L_2); \\ (\gamma_3, L_3) & (\gamma_1, L_1) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma_3, L_3); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $(\gamma_1, L_1), (\gamma_2, L_2), (\gamma_3, L_3)$ are defined as follows $\gamma_1(p) = 0.4, \gamma_1(q) = 0.4, \gamma_1(r) = 0.4, L_1 = \{\phi\}; \gamma_2(p) = 0.4, \gamma_2(q) = 0.4, \gamma_2(r) = 0.4, L_2 = \{r\}; \gamma_3(p) = 0.4, \gamma_3(q) = 0.4, \gamma_3(r) = 0.4, L_3 = \{p, q\}$. $\mathcal{V}$ is a subcollection of D_2 . Thus $S_{\mathcal{V}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, Y), (\gamma_1, L_1), (\gamma_2, L_2), (\gamma_3, L_3)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{V}$. Thus $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space. Let $f : (X, T_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ defined as follows $f(a) = p, f(b) = q, f(c) = r$. f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open function. Since $f(\lambda_1, M_1)$ is not soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open in $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$. Thus f is not soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open function.

Proposition 5.4. Suppose $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. Let $f : (X, T_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ be a bijective function. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open function.
- (ii) If (λ, N) is a soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $S_{\mathcal{V}}$ dense set in $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$, then $f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ dense set in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Assume that f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open function. Suppose that (λ, N) is a soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $S_{\mathcal{V}}$ dense set in $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$. It must be shown that $f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ dense set in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$. Suppose if it is not. Then there exists a soft fuzzy $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ closed set (μ, M) such that $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \subset (\mu, M) \subset (1, X)$. That is $(\lambda, N) = f(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) \subset f(\mu, M) \subset f(1, X) = (1, Y)$. Since f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open function, there exists a soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $S_{\mathcal{V}}$ open set (δ, L) such that $(\delta, L) \neq (0, \phi)$ and $(\delta, L) \sqsubseteq f((1, X) - (\mu, M))$. That is $(1, Y) - (\delta, L) \sqsubset f(\mu, M)$. Which implies $f(\mu, M) \subset (1, Y) - (\delta, L) \subset (1, Y)$. Therefore $f(\mu, M) \subset F_{\sigma}precl_{S_{\mathcal{V}}}((1, Y) - (\delta, L)) \subset (1, Y)$. That is $(\lambda, N) \subset F_{\sigma}precl_{S_{\mathcal{V}}}((1, Y) - (\delta, L)) \subset (1, Y)$. Which is a contradiction to our assumption that (λ, N) is soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $S_{\mathcal{V}}$ dense set in $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$. Hence $f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ dense set in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose $(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$ is a soft fuzzy $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open set. We have to show that $G_{\delta}preint_{S_{\mathcal{V}}}(f(\lambda, N)) \neq (0, \phi)$. Suppose that $G_{\delta}preint_{S_{\mathcal{V}}}(f(\lambda, N)) = (0, \phi)$. Now $F_{\sigma}precl_{S_{\mathcal{V}}}((1, Y) - f(\lambda, N)) = (1, Y) - G_{\delta}preint_{S_{\mathcal{V}}}(f(\lambda, N)) = (1, Y)$. Therefore by assumption (ii) $f^{-1}((1, Y) - f(\lambda, N))$ is soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ dense in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$. But $f^{-1}((1, Y) - f(\lambda, N)) = (1, X) - (\lambda, N)$, $F_{\sigma}precl_{T_{\mathcal{U}}}(f^{-1}((1, Y) - f(\lambda, N))) = (1, X) - (\lambda, N)$. Therefore $(1, X) = (1, X) - (\lambda, N)$. Which implies $(\lambda, N) = (0, \phi)$. Which is

a contradiction. Therefore $G_\delta \text{preint}_{S_V}(f(\lambda, N)) \neq (0, \phi)$. Thus f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open function. $\square$

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose (X, T_U) and (Y, S_V) be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. Let $f : (X, T_U) \rightarrow (Y, S_V)$ be bijective function. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open function.
- (ii) If (λ, N) is a soft fuzzy T_U closed set such that $f(\lambda, N) \neq (1, Y)$, then there exists soft fuzzy F_σ pre S_V closed set (μ, M) in (Y, S_V) such that $(\mu, M) \neq (1, Y)$ and $(\mu, M) \supset f(\lambda, N)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let (λ, N) be a soft fuzzy T_U closed set in (X, T_U) such that $f(\lambda, N) \neq (1, Y)$. Then, $(1, X) - (\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy T_U open set such that $f((1, X) - (\lambda, N)) = (1, Y) - f(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$. As f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open function, there exists a soft fuzzy G_δ pre S_V open set (μ, M) such that $(\mu, M) \neq (0, \phi)$ and $(\mu, M) \subseteq f[(1, X) - (\lambda, N)]$. Since (μ, M) is soft fuzzy G_δ pre S_V open set such that $(\mu, M) \neq (0, \phi)$, $(1, Y) - (\mu, M)$ is soft fuzzy F_σ pre S_V closed set in (Y, S_V) such that $(1, Y) - (\mu, M) \neq (1, Y)$ and $(1, Y) - (\mu, M) \supset f(\lambda, N)$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let (λ, N) be a soft fuzzy T_U open set such that $(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$. Then $(1, X) - (\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy T_U closed set and $(1, X) - (\lambda, N) \neq (1, X)$. Now $f((1, X) - (\lambda, N)) = (1, Y) - f(\lambda, N) \neq (1, Y)$. Hence by hypothesis, there exists soft fuzzy F_σ pre S_V closed set (μ, M) in (Y, S_V) such that $(\mu, M) \neq (1, Y)$ and $(\mu, M) \supset f((1, X) - (\lambda, N)) = (1, Y) - f(\lambda, N)$. Which implies $f(\lambda, N) \subseteq (1, Y) - (\mu, M)$. Clearly $(1, Y) - (\mu, M)$ is soft fuzzy G_δ pre S_V open set in (Y, S_V) such that $(1, Y) - (\mu, M) \subseteq f(\lambda, N)$ and $(1, Y) - (\mu, M) \neq (0, \phi)$. This shows that f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open function. $\square$

6. SOMEWHAT AND SOFT FUZZY ALMOST G_δ PRE T_U CONTINUOUS FUNCTION

Definition 6.1. Let (X, T_U) be a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space. A soft fuzzy set (λ, N) is said to be soft fuzzy T_U regular open set in (X, T_U) if $(\lambda, N) = \text{Int}_{T_U}(\text{Cl}_{T_U}(\lambda, N))$. The complement of a soft fuzzy T_U regular open set is soft fuzzy T_U regular closed set.

Definition 6.2. Let (X, T_U) and (Y, S_V) be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. A function $f : (X, T_U) \rightarrow (Y, S_V)$ is soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre T_U continuous function if the inverse image of each soft fuzzy S_V regular open set in (Y, S_V) is a soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open set in (X, T_U) .

Proposition 6.3. *Suppose (X, T_U) and (Y, S_V) be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. Let $f : (X, T_U) \rightarrow (Y, S_V)$ be a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) f is soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre T_U continuous function.
- (ii) $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \subseteq G_\delta \text{preint}_{T_U}(f^{-1}(\text{Int}_{S_V}(\text{Cl}_{S_V}(\lambda, N))))$ for each soft fuzzy S_V open set (λ, N) in (Y, S_V) .
- (iii) $F_\sigma \text{precl}_{T_U}(f^{-1}(\text{Cl}_{S_V}(\text{Int}_{S_V}(\mu, M)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mu, M)$ for each soft fuzzy S_V closed set (μ, M) in (Y, S_V) .
- (iv) $f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set, for each soft fuzzy S_V regular closed set (λ, N) in (Y, S_V) .

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Let (λ, N) be a soft fuzzy S_V open set in (Y, S_V) . Now $(\lambda, N) \subseteq Cl_{S_V}(\lambda, N)$. Which implies $(\lambda, N) = Int_{S_V}(\lambda, N) \subseteq Int_{S_V}(Cl_{S_V}(\lambda, N))$. Therefore $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \subseteq f^{-1}(Int_{S_V}(Cl_{S_V}(\lambda, N)))$. Thus $Int_{S_V}(Cl_{S_V}(\lambda, N))$ is soft fuzzy S_V regular open set. Since f is soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre T_U continuous, $f^{-1}(Int_{S_V}(Cl_{S_V}(\lambda, N)))$ is soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open set in (X, T_U) . Therefore $f^{-1}(Int_{S_V}(Cl_{S_V}(\lambda, N))) = G_\delta preint_{T_U}(f^{-1}(Int_{S_V}(Cl_{S_V}(\lambda, N))))$. Also $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \subseteq G_\delta preint_{T_U}(f^{-1}(Int_{S_V}(Cl_{S_V}(\lambda, N))))$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let (μ, M) be a soft fuzzy S_V closed set in (Y, S_V) . Therefore $(1, Y) - (\mu, M)$ is a soft fuzzy S_V open set in (Y, S_V) . Now by hypothesis, $f^{-1}[(1, Y) - (\mu, M)] \subseteq G_\delta preint_{T_U} \{f^{-1}[Int_{S_V}(Cl_{S_V}((1, Y) - (\mu, M)))]\}$. This implies that $(1, X) - f^{-1}(\mu, M) \subseteq G_\delta preint_{T_U} \{f^{-1}[(1, Y) - Cl_{S_V}(Int_{S_V}(\mu, M))]\}$. Then $(1, X) - f^{-1}(\mu, M) \subseteq (1, X) - F_\sigma precl_{T_U} \{f^{-1}(Cl_{S_V}(Int_{S_V}(\mu, M)))\}$. Therefore $f^{-1}(\mu, M) \supseteq F_\sigma precl_{T_U} \{f^{-1}(Cl_{S_V}(Int_{S_V}(\mu, M)))\}$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Let (λ, N) be a soft fuzzy S_V regular closed set in (Y, S_V) . Then we have $F_\sigma precl_{T_U}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) = F_\sigma precl_{T_U}(f^{-1}(Cl_{S_V}(Int_{S_V}(\lambda, N))))$. Since (λ, N) is a soft fuzzy S_V regular closed set, (λ, N) is a soft fuzzy S_V closed set. Therefore by hypothesis, $F_\sigma precl_{T_U}(f^{-1}(Cl_{S_V}(Int_{S_V}(\lambda, N)))) \subseteq f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$. Therefore $F_\sigma precl_{T_U}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$. But clearly $F_\sigma precl_{T_U}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) \supseteq f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$. Thus $F_\sigma precl_{T_U}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) = f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$. Which implies $f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let (λ, N) be a soft fuzzy S_V regular open set in (Y, S_V) . Then $(1, Y) - (\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy S_V regular closed set in (Y, S_V) . Then by hypothesis, $f^{-1}((1, Y) - (\lambda, N))$ is a soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set. Therefore $(1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set. Which implies $f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open set. Hence f is soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre T_U continuous function. $\square$

Definition 6.4. A soft fuzzy set (λ, N) in (X, T_U) is called soft fuzzy T_U regular dense if there exists no soft fuzzy T_U regular closed set (μ, M) such that $(\lambda, N) \subset (\mu, M) \subset (1, X)$.

Definition 6.5. Let (X, T_U) be a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space. Then the regular interior of a soft fuzzy set (λ, M) in (X, T_U) is defined as follows

$R - Int_{T_U}(\lambda, M) = \sqcup \{(\mu, N) : (\mu, N) \subseteq (\lambda, M) \text{ and } (\mu, N) \text{ is soft fuzzy } T_U \text{ regular open in } (X, T_U)\}$

The regular closure of a soft fuzzy set (μ, M) in (X, T_U) is defined as follows

$R - Cl_{T_U}(\mu, M) = \sqcap \{(\lambda, N) : (\mu, M) \subseteq (\lambda, N) \text{ and } (\lambda, N) \text{ is soft fuzzy } T_U \text{ regular closed in } (X, T_U)\}$.

Proposition 6.6. Suppose (X, T_U) and (Y, S_V) be any two soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological spaces. Let $f : (X, T_U) \rightarrow (Y, S_V)$ be a bijective function. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) f is somewhat soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre T_U continuous function.
- (ii) If (λ, N) is a soft fuzzy S_V regular closed set such that $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (1, X)$, then there exists a proper soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set (μ, M) such that $(\mu, M) \supseteq f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$.
- (iii) If (λ, N) is a soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U dense set in (X, T_U) , then $f(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy T_U regular dense set in (Y, S_V) .

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose f is somewhat soft fuzzy almost G_δ pre T_U continuous and (λ, N) is soft fuzzy S_V regular closed set in (Y, S_V) such that $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (1, X)$. Therefore clearly $(1, Y) - (\lambda, N)$ is soft fuzzy S_V regular open set and $f^{-1}((1, Y) - (\lambda, N)) = f^{-1}(1, Y) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$. By (i) there exists a soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U open set (μ, M) in (X, T_U) such that $(\mu, M) \neq (0, \phi)$ and $(\mu, M) \subseteq f^{-1}((1, Y) - (\lambda, N))$. That is $(1, X) - (\mu, M) \neq (1, X)$ is a soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set in (X, T_U) and $(1, X) - (\mu, M) \supseteq (1, X) - f^{-1}((1, Y) - (\lambda, N))$. Which implies $(1, X) - (\mu, M) \supseteq f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let (λ, N) be a soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U dense set in (X, T_U) and $f(\lambda, N)$ not soft fuzzy S_V regular dense set in (Y, S_V) . Then there exists a soft fuzzy S_V regular closed set (μ, M) such that $f(\lambda, N) \subseteq (\mu, M) \subseteq (1, Y)$. Since $(\mu, M) \subseteq (1, Y)$, $f^{-1}(\mu, M) \neq (1, X)$ and by (ii) there exists a soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set (δ, L) such that $(\delta, L) \supseteq f^{-1}(\mu, M)$. But $f^{-1}(f(\lambda, N)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\mu, M) \subseteq (\delta, L)$. That is there exists a soft fuzzy F_σ pre T_U closed set such that $(1, X) \neq (\delta, L) \supseteq (\lambda, N)$, which is a contradiction. Hence $f(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy S_V regular dense set in (Y, S_V) .

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose (λ, N) is soft fuzzy S_V regular open set and $f^{-1}(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$ and therefore $(\lambda, N) \neq (0, \phi)$. We have to show that $G_\delta \text{preint}_{T_U}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) \neq (0, \phi)$. Suppose $G_\delta \text{preint}_{T_U}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) = (0, \phi)$. Thus $F_\sigma \text{precl}_{T_V}((1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) = (1, X)$. This shows that $(1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N)$ is a soft fuzzy G_δ pre T_U dense set in (X, T_U) . By (iii) $f((1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N))$ is a soft fuzzy S_V regular dense set in (Y, S_V) . But $f((1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) = f(f^{-1}((1, Y) - (\lambda, N)) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) = (1, Y) - (\lambda, N)$. From hypothesis $R-cl_{S_V}(f((1, X) - f^{-1}(\lambda, N))) = (1, Y)$. Therefore $(1, Y) = (1, Y) - (\lambda, N)$. Which implies $(\lambda, N) = (0, \phi)$. Which is a contradiction. Hence $G_\delta \text{preint}_{T_U}(f^{-1}(\lambda, N)) \neq (0, \phi)$. $\square$

7. INTERRELATIONS BETWEEN ABOVE DISCUSSED CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

From the above defined continuous functions the following interrelation obtained.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Soft fuzzy} & \rightarrow & \text{Somewhat soft fuzzy} \\ G_\delta \text{ pre } T_U \text{ continuous function} & \leftrightarrow & G_\delta \text{ pre } T_U \text{ continuous function} \\ \downarrow \nabla & & \downarrow \nabla \\ \text{Soft fuzzy almost} & \rightarrow & \text{Somewhat soft fuzzy almost} \\ G_\delta \text{ pre } T_U \text{ continuous function} & \leftrightarrow & G_\delta \text{ pre } T_U \text{ continuous function} \end{array}$$

The converse of the implication need not be true shown in the following the examples.

Example 7.1. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and let D_1 denote the family of functions $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 : SF(X) \rightarrow SF(X)$ is defined as follows

$$f_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\lambda_1, M_1) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \subseteq (\lambda_1, M_1); \\ (1, X), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_3(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\lambda_2, M_2) & (\lambda_1, M_1) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\lambda_2, M_2); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_4(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\lambda_3, M_3) & (\lambda_1, M_1) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\lambda_3, M_3); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where (λ_1, M_1) , (λ_2, M_2) , (λ_3, M_3) are defined as follows $\lambda_1(a) = 0.4, \lambda_1(b) = 0.4, \lambda_1(c) = 0.4$, $M_1 = \{\phi\}$; $\lambda_2(a) = 0.4, \lambda_2(b) = 0.4, \lambda_2(c) = 0.4$, $M_2 = \{c\}$; $\lambda_3(a) = 0.4, \lambda_3(b) = 0.4, \lambda_3(c) = 0.4, M_3 = \{a, b\}$; $\mathcal{U}$ is a subcollection of D_1 . Thus $T_{\mathcal{U}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, X), (\lambda_1, M_1), (\lambda_2, M_2), (\lambda_3, M_3)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{U}$. Thus $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space.

Let $Y = \{p, q, r\}$ and let D_2 denote the family of functions $g_1, g_2 : SF(Y) \rightarrow SF(Y)$ is defined as follows

$$g_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\gamma, L) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma, L); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where (γ, L) is defined as follows $\gamma(p) = 0.5, \gamma(q) = 0.4, \gamma(r) = 0.4$, $L = \{p, q\}$; $\mathcal{V}$ is a subcollection of D_2 . Thus $S_{\mathcal{V}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, Y), (\gamma, L)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{V}$. Thus $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space. Let $f : (X, T_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ defined as follows $f(a) = p, f(b) = q, f(c) = r$. f is somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous function. Since $f^{-1}(\gamma, L)$ is not soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$. Thus f is not soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous function.

Example 7.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and let D_1 denote the family of functions $f_1, f_2 : SF(X) \rightarrow SF(X)$ is defined as follows

$$f_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\lambda_1, M_1) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\lambda_1, M_1); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where (λ_1, M_1) is defined as follows $\lambda_1(a) = 0.6, \lambda_1(b) = 0.7, \lambda_1(c) = 0.8$, $M_1 = \{a, b\}$; $\mathcal{U}$ is a subcollection of D_1 . Thus $T_{\mathcal{U}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, X), (\lambda_1, M_1)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{U}$. Thus $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space.

Let $Y = \{p, q, r\}$ and let D_2 denote the family of functions $g_1, g_2 : SF(Y) \rightarrow SF(Y)$ is defined as follows

$$g_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\gamma_1, L_1) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma_1, L_1); \\ (\gamma_2, L_2) & (\gamma_1, L_1) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma_2, L_2); \\ (\gamma_3, L_3) & (\gamma_1, L_1) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma_3, L_3); \\ (1, X), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $(\gamma_1, L_1), (\gamma_2, L_2), (\gamma_3, L_3)$ are defined as follows $\gamma_1(p) = 0.4, \gamma_1(q) = 0.3, \gamma_1(r) = 0.2, L_1 = \{\phi\}; \gamma_2(p) = 0.4, \gamma_2(q) = 0.3, \gamma_2(r) = 0.2, L_2 = \{r\}; \gamma_3(p) = 0.5, \gamma_3(q) = 0.4, \gamma_3(r) = 0.4, L_3 = \{p, q\}$. $\mathcal{V}$ is a subcollection of D_2 . Thus $S_{\mathcal{V}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, Y), (\gamma_1, L_1), (\gamma_2, L_2), (\gamma_3, L_3)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{V}$. Thus $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space. Let $f : (X, T_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ defined as follows $f(a) = p, f(b) = q, f(c) = r$. f is soft fuzzy almost G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous function. Since $f^{-1}(\gamma_1, L_1)$ is not soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$. Thus f is not soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous function.

Example 7.3. In the same example above defined f is somewhat soft fuzzy almost G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous function. Since $f^{-1}(\gamma_1, L_1)$ is not soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open in $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ and also there exists no soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ open set $(\mu, M) \sqsubseteq f^{-1}(\gamma_1, L_1)$. Thus f is not somewhat soft fuzzy G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous function.

Example 7.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and let D_1 denote the family of functions $f_1, f_2 : SF(X) \rightarrow SF(X)$ is defined as follows

$$f_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$f_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\lambda_1, M_1) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\lambda_1, M_1); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where (λ_1, M_1) is defined as follows $\lambda_1(a) = 0.4, \lambda_1(b) = 0.3, \lambda_1(c) = 0.2, M_1 = \{\phi\}$; $\mathcal{U}$ is a subcollection of D_1 . Thus $T_{\mathcal{U}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, X), (\lambda_1, M_1)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{U}$. Thus $(X, T_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space.

Let $Y = \{p, q, r\}$ and let D_2 denote the family of functions $g_1, g_2 : SF(Y) \rightarrow SF(Y)$ is defined as follows

$$g_1(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (1, X) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$g_2(\lambda, M) = \begin{cases} (0, \phi) & \text{if } (\lambda, M) = (0, \phi); \\ (\gamma_1, L_1) & (0, \phi) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma_1, L_1); \\ (\gamma_2, L_2) & (\gamma_1, L_1) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma_2, L_2); \\ (\gamma_3, L_3) & (\gamma_1, L_1) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma_3, L_3); \\ (\gamma_4, L_4) & (\gamma_2, L_2) \neq (\lambda, M) \sqsubseteq (\gamma_4, L_4); \\ (1, X), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

where $(\gamma_1, L_1), (\gamma_2, L_2), (\gamma_3, L_3), (\gamma_4, L_4)$ are defined as follows $\gamma_1(p) = 0.4, \gamma_1(q) = 0.3, \gamma_1(r) = 0.2, L_1 = \{\phi\}; \gamma_2(p) = 0.4, \gamma_2(q) = 0.3, \gamma_2(r) = 0.2, L_2 = \{q\}; \gamma_3(p) = 0.5, \gamma_3(q) = 0.6, \gamma_3(r) = 0.4, L_3 = \{r\}; \gamma_4(p) = 0.5, \gamma_4(q) = 0.6, \gamma_4(r) = 0.4, L_4 = \{q, r\}$. $\mathcal{V}$ is a subcollection of D_2 . Thus $S_{\mathcal{V}} = \{(0, \phi), (1, Y), (\gamma_1, L_1), (\gamma_2, L_2), (\gamma_3, L_3), (\gamma_4, L_4)\}$ is a soft fuzzy quasi uniform topology generated by $\mathcal{V}$. Thus $(Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ is a

soft fuzzy quasi uniform topological space. Let $f : (X, T_{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow (Y, S_{\mathcal{V}})$ defined as follows $f(a) = p, f(b) = q, f(c) = r$. f is somewhat soft fuzzy almost G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous function but f is not soft fuzzy almost G_{δ} pre $T_{\mathcal{U}}$ continuous function.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Balasubramanian, Maximal fuzzy topologies, *Kybernetika* (Prague) 31(5) (1995) 459–464.
- [2] A. S. Bin shahna, On fuzzy strong semi-continuity and fuzzy pre-continuity, *Fuzzy sets and systems* 44 (1991) 303–408.
- [3] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 24 (1968) 182–190.
- [4] B. Hutton, Uniformities on fuzzy topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 58 (1977) 559–571.
- [5] P. Smets, The degree of belief in a fuzzy event, *Inform. Sci.* 25 (1981) 1–19.
- [6] M. Sugeno, An introductory Survey of fuzzy control, *Inform. Sci.* 36 (1985) 59–83.
- [7] Ismail U. Tiriyaki, Fuzzy sets over the poset I, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 37(2) (2008) 143–166.
- [8] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control* 8 (1965) 338–353.

V. VISALAKSHI (visalkumar.cbe@yahoo.co.in)

Department of Mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem-636016, Tamil Nadu, India.

M. K. UMA (mathematics.org@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem-636016, Tamil Nadu, India.

E. ROJA (ar.udhay@yahoo.co.in)

Department of Mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem-636016, Tamil Nadu, India.