

A new view on intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} structure compactification

R. NARMADA DEVI, E. ROJA, M. K. UMA

Received 9 April 2012; Revised 21 October 2011; Accepted 30 October 2012

ABSTRACT. In this paper, the notions of an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter, intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter, intuitionistic fuzzy normal family, intuitionistic fuzzy F^* space, intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} structure and intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space are introduced. The concept of \mathcal{C} structure compactification in an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space is introduced. In this connection, some interesting propositions are established.

2010 AMS Classification: 54A40, 03E72

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter, Intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter, Intuitionistic fuzzy normal family, Intuitionistic fuzzy F^* space, Intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} structure, Intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space, Intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ prefilter.

Corresponding Author: R. Narmada Devi (narmadadevi23@gmail.com)

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [6] in 1965. The theory of fuzzy topological spaces was introduced and developed by Chang [3] in 1968. Atanassov [1] introduced and studied intuitionistic fuzzy sets. On the otherhand, Coker [4] introduced the notions of an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space and some other concepts. Later N.Blasco Mardones, M.Macho Stadler and M. A. de Prada Vincente [2] were introduced the concepts of fuzzy compactifications. In this paper, the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter, intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter, intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter, intuitionistic fuzzy normal family, intuitionistic fuzzy F^* space, intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} structure, intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space and intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ prefilter are discussed. Also a new process of \mathcal{C} structure compactification for an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space is established. In this connection, some interesting propositions are discussed.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 ([1]). Let X be a nonempty fixed set and I be the closed interval $[0,1]$. An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) A is an object of the following form $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$, where the mappings $\mu_A : X \rightarrow I$ and $\gamma_A : X \rightarrow I$ denote the degree of membership (namely $\mu_A(x)$) and the degree of nonmembership (namely $\gamma_A(x)$) for each element $x \in X$ to the set A , respectively, and $0 \leq \mu_A(x) + \gamma_A(x) \leq 1$ for each $x \in X$. Obviously, every fuzzy set A on a nonempty set X is an IFS of the following form, $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), 1 - \mu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$. For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol $A = \langle x, \mu_A, \gamma_A \rangle$ for the intuitionistic fuzzy set $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.2 ([1]). Let X be a nonempty set and the IFSs A and B in the form $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \gamma_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$, $B = \{\langle x, \mu_B(x), \gamma_B(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$. Then

- (i) $A \subseteq B$ iff $\mu_A(x) \leq \mu_B(x)$ and $\gamma_A(x) \geq \gamma_B(x)$ for all $x \in X$;
- (ii) $\bar{A} = \{\langle x, \gamma_A(x), \mu_A(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$;
- (iii) $A \cap B = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x) \wedge \mu_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \vee \gamma_B(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$;
- (iv) $A \cup B = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x) \vee \mu_B(x), \gamma_A(x) \wedge \gamma_B(x) \rangle : x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.3 ([1]). The IFSs 0_\sim and 1_\sim are defined by $0_\sim = \{\langle x, 0, 1 \rangle : x \in X\}$ and $1_\sim = \{\langle x, 1, 0 \rangle : x \in X\}$.

Definition 2.4 ([4]). An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT) in Coker's sense on a nonempty set X is a family τ of IFSs in X satisfying the following axioms:

- (i) $0_\sim, 1_\sim \in \tau$;
- (ii) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$ for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$;
- (iii) $\cup G_i \in \tau$ for arbitrary family $\{G_i \mid i \in I\} \subseteq \tau$.

In this paper by (X, τ) or simply by X we will denote the Coker's intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS). Each IFS in τ is called intuitionistic fuzzy open set (IFOS) in X . The complement \bar{A} of an IFOS A in X is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (IFCS) in X .

Definition 2.5 ([4]). Let A be an IFS in IFTS X . Then

$\text{int}(A) = \bigcup \{G \mid G \text{ is an IFOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy interior of A ;

$\text{cl}A = \bigcap \{G \mid G \text{ is an IFCS in } X \text{ and } G \supseteq A\}$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy closure of A .

Definition 2.6 ([4]). Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two IFTSs and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then f is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy continuous function iff the preimage of each IFS in σ is an IFS in τ .

Definition 2.7 ([4]). $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is intuitionistic fuzzy continuous function iff the preimage of each IFCS in σ is an IFCS in τ .

Definition 2.8 ([4]). Let (X, τ) and (Y, σ) be two IFTSs and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. Then f is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy closed function iff the image of each IFCS in τ is an IFCS in σ .

Definition 2.9 ([5]). Let X be a nonempty set and $x \in X$ a fixed element in X . If $r \in I_0$, $s \in I_1$ are fixed real numbers such that $r + s \leq 1$, then the IFS

$x_{r,s} = \langle x, x_r, 1 - x_{1-s} \rangle$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy point (IFP) in X , where r denotes the degree of membership of $x_{r,s}$, s denotes the degree of nonmembership of $x_{r,s}$ and $x \in X$ the support of $x_{r,s}$. The IFP $x_{r,s}$ is contained in the IFS $A(x_{r,s} \in A)$ if and only if $r < \mu_A(x)$, $s > \gamma_A(x)$.

Definition 2.10 ([4]). Let (X, τ) be an IFTS. If a family $\{\langle x, \mu_{G_i}, \gamma_{G_i} \rangle : i \in J\}$ of IFOSs in X satisfies the condition $\bigcup \{\langle x, \mu_{G_i}, \gamma_{G_i} \rangle : i \in J\} = 1_\sim$ then it is called an intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of X .

Definition 2.11 ([4]). Let (X, τ) be an IFTS. If a family $\{\langle x, \mu_{K_i}, \gamma_{K_i} \rangle : i \in J\}$ of IFCSs in X satisfies the finite intersection property (FIP for short) iff every finite subfamily $\{\langle x, \mu_{K_i}, \gamma_{K_i} \rangle : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of the family satisfies the condition $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \{\langle x, \mu_{K_i}, \gamma_{K_i} \rangle\} \neq 0_\sim$.

Definition 2.12 ([4]). An IFTS (X, τ) is called intuitionistic fuzzy compact iff every intuitionistic fuzzy open cover of X has a finite subcover.

Corollary 2.13 ([4]). An IFTS (X, τ) is intuitionistic fuzzy compact iff every family $\{\langle x, \mu_{K_i}, \gamma_{K_i} \rangle : i \in J\}$ of IFCSs in X having the FIP has a nonempty intersection.

3. T^c PREFILTERS IN AN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

In the following sections, the collection of all intuitionistic fuzzy closed sets in an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS) is denoted by T^c .

Definition 3.1. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset T^c$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) \mathcal{F} is a nonempty family and $0_\sim \notin \mathcal{F}$
- (ii) If $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ the $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{F}$
- (iii) If $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $B \in T^c$ with $A \subseteq B$, then $B \in \mathcal{F}$.

\mathcal{F} is called an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter on X .

Notation 3.1. (i) If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are two intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilters on X . Then we say they are intuitionistic fuzzy compatible (in short, $\mathcal{F} \sim \mathcal{G}$) if every element of \mathcal{F} meets every element of \mathcal{G} . In other words $\mathcal{F} \sim \mathcal{G}$ if and only if for every $A \in \mathcal{F}$, for every $B \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $A \cap B \neq 0_\sim$. If $\mathcal{F} \sim \mathcal{G}$ we can construct an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter which contains them both.

- (ii) If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are two intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilters on X . Then we say that \mathcal{G} is finer than \mathcal{F} or \mathcal{F} is coarser than \mathcal{G} if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$.

- (iii) The collection of all intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilters on X is denoted by \mathcal{P}^{T^c}

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{a, b\}$ be a nonempty set. Let $A = \langle x, (\frac{a}{0.5}, \frac{b}{0.4}), (\frac{a}{0.5}, \frac{b}{0.6}) \rangle$, $B = \langle x, (\frac{a}{0.2}, \frac{b}{0.4}), (\frac{a}{0.8}, \frac{b}{0.6}) \rangle$, $C = \langle x, (\frac{a}{0.5}, \frac{b}{0.3}), (\frac{a}{0.5}, \frac{b}{0.7}) \rangle$, $D = \langle x, (\frac{a}{0.2}, \frac{b}{0.3}), (\frac{a}{0.8}, \frac{b}{0.7}) \rangle$ and $F = \langle x, (\frac{a}{1}, \frac{b}{0.4}), (\frac{a}{0}, \frac{b}{0.6}) \rangle$ be intuitionistic fuzzy sets of X . Then the family $T = \{0_\sim, A, B, C, D, F, 1_\sim\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy topology on X . Consider $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{\overline{C}, \overline{D}, 1_\sim\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 = \{\overline{A}, \overline{C}, \overline{D}, 1_\sim\}$ are intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilters on X . Therefore, $\mathcal{G}_1 \sim \mathcal{G}_2$.

Definition 3.3. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{F} be an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter and let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{F}$. \mathcal{B} is called an intuitionistic fuzzy base for \mathcal{F} if for each intuitionistic fuzzy set $A \in \mathcal{F}$, there is an intuitionistic fuzzy set $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $B \subseteq A$.

Definition 3.4. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let $\mathcal{H} \subset T^c$. \mathcal{H} is an intuitionistic fuzzy subbase for some intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter if the collection $\{\cap_{i=1}^n A_i : A_i \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy base for some intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter.

Proposition 3.5. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset T^c$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) There is a unique intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter \mathcal{F} such that \mathcal{B} is an intuitionistic fuzzy base for it.
- (ii) (a) \mathcal{B} is a nonempty family and $0_\sim \notin \mathcal{B}$.
(b) If $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$, there is an intuitionistic fuzzy set $B_3 \in \mathcal{B}$ with $B_3 \subseteq B_1 \cap B_2$.

Proof. Follows from the Definitions 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4. \square

Definition 3.6. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{B} be an intuitionistic fuzzy base satisfying the above conditions (a) and (b). Then the generated intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter \mathcal{F} is defined by $\mathcal{F} = \{A \in T^c : \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ with } B \subseteq A\}$.

Definition 3.7. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let $\mathcal{G} \subset T^c$ with the property that the intersection of any finite subcollection from \mathcal{G} is nonempty. There exists a unique intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter containing \mathcal{G} , whose intuitionistic fuzzy base is the set of all finite intersections of elements in \mathcal{G} . Such an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter is called an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter generated by \mathcal{G} .

Proposition 3.8. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{F} be an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter and $A \in T^c$. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (i) There is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter \mathcal{F}_1 which is finer than \mathcal{F} such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$.
- (ii) For each $B \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $A \cap B \neq 0_\sim$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $A \in T^c$ and \mathcal{F}_1 be an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter such that \mathcal{F}_1 which is finer than \mathcal{F} such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Suppose that $A \cap B = 0_\sim$, for each $B \in \mathcal{F}$. This implies that $A \cap B = 0_\sim \in \mathcal{F}_1$, which is contradiction. Thus (ii) is proved.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $A \cap B \neq 0_\sim$, for each $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that there is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter \mathcal{F}_1 which is not finer than \mathcal{F} such that $A \in \mathcal{F}_1$. This implies that $A \in \mathcal{F}$. But by assumption $A \notin \mathcal{F}$, which is contradiction. Thus (i) is proved. \square

Definition 3.9. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{F} be an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter. \mathcal{F} is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter if \mathcal{F} is a maximal element in the set of an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilters ordered by the inclusion relation.

Proposition 3.10. *Every intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter is contained in some intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be any intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter on a set X . Define $\Phi = \{\mathcal{G} / \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{P}^{T^c} \text{ and } \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}\}$. Inclusion is a partial ordering on Φ . Let \mathcal{G}_i be a chain in Φ . Then it is easy to check that $\mathcal{E} = \bigcup \mathcal{G}_i$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter on X . Then by applying Zorn's Lemma to deduce that Φ has a maximal element \mathcal{G} . Then $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ and \mathcal{G} is maximal. \square

Proposition 3.11. *Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{F} be an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter on X . Then the following statements are equivalent*

- (i) \mathcal{F} is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter.
- (ii) If A is an element of intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter such that $A \cap B \neq 0_\sim$ for each $B \in \mathcal{F}$, then $A \in \mathcal{F}$.
- (iii) If $A \in T^c$ and $A \notin \mathcal{F}$, then there is $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A \cap B = 0_\sim$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose $A \in T^c$ and $A \cap B \neq 0_\sim$ for each $B \in \mathcal{F}$. By Proposition 3.8, there is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter \mathcal{F}^* generated by $\mathcal{F} \cup \{A\}$. Then $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}^*$. Since \mathcal{F} is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter, it must be $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}^*$. Therefore $A \in \mathcal{F}$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Let $A \in T^c$. Suppose $A \notin \mathcal{F}$. By assumption, there exists $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A \cap B = 0_\sim$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let \mathcal{G} be an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter with $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{G}$. Let $A \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $A \notin \mathcal{F}$. By assumption, there exists $B \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $A \cap B = 0_\sim$. Since $A, B \in \mathcal{G}$ then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{G}$ implies that $0_\sim \in \mathcal{G}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$. Hence \mathcal{F} is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter. \square

Proposition 3.12. *Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 be a pair of different intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilters on X . Then*

$$\bigcap_{A_i \in \mathcal{U}_1} A_i \cap \bigcap_{A_j \in \mathcal{U}_2} A_j = 0_\sim.$$

Proof. Suppose $\bigcap_{A_i \in \mathcal{U}_1} A_i \cap \bigcap_{A_j \in \mathcal{U}_2} A_j \neq 0_\sim$. Then for some $x \in X$,

$$\mu(\bigcap_i A_i \cap \bigcap_j A_j)(x) > 0$$

and

$$\gamma(\bigcap_i A_i \cap \bigcap_j A_j)(x) < 1,$$

for all i, j . This implies that $\mu_{A_i}(x) \wedge \mu_{A_j}(x) > 0$ and $\gamma_{A_i}(x) \vee \gamma_{A_j}(x) < 1$, for all i, j . This implies that $A_i \cap A_j \neq 0_\sim$. By Proposition 3.11, $A_i \in \mathcal{U}_2$ and $A_j \in \mathcal{U}_1$, for all i, j . Thus $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}_2$, which is contradiction. Hence, $\bigcap_{A_i \in \mathcal{U}_1} A_i \cap \bigcap_{A_j \in \mathcal{U}_2} A_j = 0_\sim$. \square

Definition 3.13. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{F} be an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter on X . \mathcal{F} is called an intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter if for each $A, B \in T^c$ such that $A \cup B \in \mathcal{F}$ then $A \in \mathcal{F}$ (or) $B \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proposition 3.14. *Every intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on X is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter.*

Proof. Let $A, B \in T^c$ such that $A \cup B \in \mathcal{U}$. Suppose $A, B \notin \mathcal{U}$. Then there exist $A^*, B^* \in \mathcal{U}$ with $A^* \cap A = 0_\sim = B^* \cap B$. Since $A \cup B, A^*$ and $B^* \in \mathcal{U}$, we have $(A \cup B) \cap A^* \cap B^* \in \mathcal{U}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (A \cup B) \cap A^* \cap B^* &= (A \cup B) \cap A^* \cap B^* \\ &= ((A \cap A^*) \cup (B \cap A^*)) \cap B^* \\ &= (A \cap A^* \cap B^*) \cup (B \cap A^* \cap B^*) \\ &= 0_\sim \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Hence \mathcal{U} is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter. \square

Proposition 3.15. *Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let \mathcal{F} be an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$ be the family of all intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilters which contains \mathcal{F} . Then*

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})} \mathcal{G}$$

Proof. It is clear that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{F} \subset \bigcap_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})} \mathcal{G}$$

Let $A \in T^c$ such that $A \notin \mathcal{F}$. Consider the family $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{P}^{T^c} : \mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G} \text{ and } A \notin \mathcal{G}\}$. Now, \mathcal{L} is an inductive set and there exist maximal elements. Let \mathcal{U} be a maximal element in \mathcal{L} . Let $C_1, C_2 \in T^c$ with $C_1 \cup C_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $C_1, C_2 \notin \mathcal{U}$. Let the family $\mathcal{I} = \{B \in T^c : B \cup C_2 \in \mathcal{U}\}$.

- (i) Since $C_1 \in T^c$ then $C_1 \cup A_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ implies that $C_1 \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence \mathcal{I} is a nonempty family. Suppose $0_\sim \in \mathcal{I}$. By Definition of \mathcal{I} , $C_2 \in \mathcal{I}$ which is a contradiction. Hence $0_\sim \notin \mathcal{I}$.
- (ii) If $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{I}$, then $B_1 \cup C_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ and $B_2 \cup C_2 \in \mathcal{U}$. Since \mathcal{U} is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter, $(B_1 \cup C_2) \cap (B_2 \cup C_2) \in \mathcal{U}$ that is, $((B_1 \cap B_2) \cup C_2) \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore $(B_1 \cap B_2) \in \mathcal{I}$.
- (iii) If $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and $C \in T^c$ such that $B \subseteq C$ then $B \cup C_2 \subseteq C \cup C_2$. Since \mathcal{U} is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter and $C \cup C_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ implies that $C \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence \mathcal{I} is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter.

If $B \in \mathcal{U}$ then $B \cup C_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ implies that $B \in \mathcal{I}$. Hence $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{I}$. Since $A_1 \in \mathcal{I}$ and $A_1 \notin \mathcal{U}$. Therefore $\mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{I}$.

Let $\mathcal{K} = \{C \in T^c : A \cup C_2 \in \mathcal{U}\}$.

- (i) Suppose $0_\sim \in \mathcal{K}$. By Definition of \mathcal{K} , $C_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ which is a contradiction to our assumption $\mathcal{U} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $C_2 \notin \mathcal{U}$. Hence $0_\sim \notin \mathcal{K}$. Since $1_\sim \in \mathcal{U}$ implies that $1_\sim \in \mathcal{K}$. Thus \mathcal{K} is a nonempty family and $0_\sim \notin \mathcal{K}$.
- (ii) If $C^*, C^{**} \in \mathcal{K}$, then $B \cup C^* \in \mathcal{U}$ and $B \cup C^{**} \in \mathcal{U}$. Since \mathcal{U} is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter, $(B \cup C^*) \cap (B \cup C^{**}) \in \mathcal{U}$ that is, $B \cup (C^* \cap C^{**}) \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore $(C^* \cap C^{**}) \in \mathcal{K}$.
- (iii) If $C \in \mathcal{K}$ and $C^* \in T^c$ such that $C^* \supseteq C$ then $C^* \in \mathcal{K}$.

Hence \mathcal{K} is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter. Now,

- (i) $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{K}$ follows from $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{K}$

(ii) $A \notin \mathcal{K}$, for $A \notin \mathcal{U}$.

Thus $\mathcal{K} \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{K}$. Maximality of \mathcal{U} implies that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{K}$. Suppose $A \in \mathcal{I}$. Then $A \cup C_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ implies that $C_2 \in \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{U}$, which is contradiction to $C_2 \notin \mathcal{U}$. Therefore $A \notin \mathcal{I}$. Since $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{I}$ and $A \notin \mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{I} \in \mathcal{L}$. Since $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{I}$ and $A \notin \mathcal{I}$, which is contradiction to the maximality of \mathcal{U} . Thus, $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore \mathcal{U} is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter and $A \notin \mathcal{U}$. This proves

$$(3.2) \quad \bigcap_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})} \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$$

From (3.1) and (3.2), it follows $\bigcap_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})} \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$ □

Proposition 3.16. *Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) (X, T) is an intuitionistic fuzzy compact space.
- (ii) every intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter \mathcal{F} satisfies $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A \neq 0_\sim$.
- (iii) every intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter \mathcal{F} satisfies $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A \neq 0_\sim$.
- (iv) every intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter \mathcal{U} satisfies $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A \neq 0_\sim$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A = 0_\sim$. Then $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{F}} \bar{A} = 1_\sim$. Since $\bar{A} \in T$ and (X, T) is an intuitionistic fuzzy compact space, there must exist a finite subcollection $\{\bar{A}_1, \bar{A}_2, \dots, \bar{A}_n\}$ such that $1_\sim = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bar{A}_i$. That is $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \bar{A}_i = 0_\sim$ which is contradiction to the Definition 3.1. Therefore $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A \neq 0_\sim$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose that every intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter satisfies $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A = 0_\sim$. Since every intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter, which is a contradiction. Hence every intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter \mathcal{F} satisfies $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{F}} A \neq 0_\sim$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Suppose that every intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter \mathcal{U} satisfies $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A = 0_\sim$. Since every intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter, which is a contradiction. Hence every intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter \mathcal{U} satisfies $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A \neq 0_\sim$.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose \mathcal{H} is a family of intuitionistic fuzzy closed sets on X with the finite intersection property. For each $B \in \mathcal{H}$, we consider the family $\mathcal{G}_B = \{A \in T^c : A \supseteq B\}$. Then, $B \in \mathcal{G}_B$. Let $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{G}_B$. Since \mathcal{H} has the finite intersection property, \mathcal{G} has as well. Thus \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{G} are intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilters. Therefore, there exists an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter \mathcal{U} such that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{G}} A \subseteq \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{H}} A$. By (iv), $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{U}} A \neq 0_\sim$. Therefore $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{H}} A \neq 0_\sim$. Hence (X, T) is an intuitionistic fuzzy compact space. □

Definition 3.17. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let $x_{r,s}$ be any intuitionistic fuzzy point. The nonempty collection $\mathcal{F}_{x_{r,s}} = \{A \in T^c : x_{r,s} \in A\}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter on X . Then $\mathcal{F}_{x_{r,s}}$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter generated by $x_{r,s}$.

Definition 3.18. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. The collection T^c is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy normal if given $A_1, A_2 \in T^c$ such that $A_1 \cap A_2 = 0_\sim$, there exist $B_1, B_2 \in T^c$ with $B_1 \cup B_2 = 1_\sim$, $A_1 \cap B_1 = 0_\sim$ and $A_2 \cap B_2 = 0_\sim$.

Proposition 3.19. *Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space and T^c be an intuitionistic fuzzy normal family. Every intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter \mathcal{F} is contained in a unique intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{U}_1 and \mathcal{U}_2 be intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilters such that $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{U}_1$ and $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{U}_2$. Suppose $\mathcal{U}_1 \neq \mathcal{U}_2$. Then, there exist $A_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$ with $A_1 \cap A_2 = 0_\sim$. Thus, there exist $B_1, B_2 \in T^c$ with $B_1 \cup B_2 = 1_\sim$, $A_1 \cap B_1 = 0_\sim$ and $A_2 \cap B_2 = 0_\sim$. Since $B_1 \cup B_2 = 1_\sim$ and \mathcal{F} is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter, $B_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ (or) $B_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose $B_1 \in \mathcal{F}$. Then $B_1 \in \mathcal{U}_1$ and $B_1 \in \mathcal{U}_2$. Thus $A_1 \cap B_1 = 0_\sim$ with $A_1, B_1 \in \mathcal{U}_2$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, $B_2 \in \mathcal{F}$, $A_2, B_2 \in \mathcal{U}_2$ with $A_2 \cap B_2 = 0_\sim$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{U}_1 = \mathcal{U}_2$. Thus, every intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter \mathcal{F} is contained in a unique intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter. \square

Corollary 3.20. *Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let T^c be an intuitionistic fuzzy normal family. Then for each $x \in X$, an intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{r,s}$, there exists a unique intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}_{x_{r,s}}$ which contains $\mathcal{F}_{x_{r,s}}$.*

Proof. Let $x_{r,s}$ be any intuitionistic fuzzy point. Then $\mathcal{F}_{x_{r,s}}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter generated by $x_{r,s}$. By Definition 3.17 and Proposition 3.19, $\mathcal{F}_{x_{r,s}}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy prime T^c prefilter contained in a unique intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}_{x_{r,s}}$. \square

Corollary 3.21. *Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. Let T^c be an intuitionistic fuzzy normal family. Suppose $x_{r,s}$ and $y_{m,n}$ are the intuitionistic fuzzy points of (X, T) with $x = y$, then $\mathcal{U}_{x_{r,s}} = \mathcal{U}_{y_{m,n}}$.*

Proof. Suppose $x_{r,s}$ and $y_{m,n}$ are intuitionistic fuzzy points of (X, T) with $x = y$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{x_{r,s}} \neq \mathcal{U}_{y_{m,n}}$. By Proposition 3.5, $\bigcap_i A_i \cap \bigcap_j A_j = 0_\sim$, for all $A_i \in \mathcal{U}_{x_{r,s}}$ and $A_j \in \mathcal{U}_{y_{m,n}}$. Thus $x_{r,s} \in \mathcal{U}_{x_{r,s}}$ and $y_{m,n} \in \mathcal{U}_{y_{m,n}}$. Since $x = y$, $x_{r,s} \cap y_{m,n} \neq 0_\sim$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{U}_{x_{r,s}} = \mathcal{U}_{y_{m,n}}$. \square

Definition 3.22. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space. For each $x \in X$, the collection of intuitionistic fuzzy points $\mathcal{P}_x = \{x_{r,s} : r \in (0, 1] \text{ and } s \in [0, 1)\}$. For each $x_{r,s} \in \mathcal{P}_x$, the only intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter which contains $\mathcal{F}_{x_{r,s}}$ is denoted by \mathcal{U}^x .

Definition 3.23. An intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (X, T) is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy F^* space, if for each $x \in X$, there exists a minimum value $r \in (0, 1]$ and a maximum value $s \in [0, 1)$ such that intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{r,s}$ belongs to T^c .

Proposition 3.24. *Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy F^* space and T^c be an intuitionistic fuzzy normal family. For each $x \in X$ and an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter \mathcal{U}^x , $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{U}^x} A$ is an atmost intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{r,s}$.*

Proof. Let $x \in X$. Since (X, T) is an intuitionistic fuzzy F^* space, there exists a minimum value $r \in (0, 1]$ and a maximum value $s \in [0, 1)$ such that $x_{r,s} \in T^c$. By Definition 3.10, $x_{r,s} \in \mathcal{U}^x$. Hence $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{U}^x} A = x_{r,s}$. \square

4. \mathcal{C} STRUCTURE COMPACTIFICATION IN AN INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy noncompact space. Let $\gamma(X)$ be the collection of all intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilters on X . Suppose (X, T) is an intuitionistic fuzzy F^* space and T^c is an intuitionistic fuzzy normal family. Associated with each $A \in T^c$, we define an intuitionistic fuzzy set $A^* = \langle \mathcal{U}, \mu_{A^*}, \gamma_{A^*} \rangle$. For each $\mathcal{U} \in \gamma(X)$, the membership function $\mu_{A^*} : \gamma(X) \rightarrow I$ is defined by

$$\mu_{A^*}(\mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \forall x \in X \text{ and } \mu_A \notin \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ 1 & \text{if } \forall x \in X \text{ and } \mu_A \in \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ \mu_A(x) & \text{if } \exists x \in X \text{ with } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases}$$

and the nonmembership function $\gamma_{A^*} : \gamma(X) \rightarrow I$ is defined by

$$\gamma_{A^*}(\mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \forall x \in X \text{ and } \gamma_A \notin \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ 0 & \text{if } \forall x \in X \text{ and } \gamma_A \in \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ \gamma_A(x) & \text{if } \exists x \in X \text{ with } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases}$$

Notation 4.1. For each $\mathcal{U}^x \in \gamma(X)$, the intuitionistic fuzzy point (IFP) of $\gamma(X)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{U}_{r,s}^x$.

Proposition 4.1. Under the previous conditions, the following identities holds:

- (i) $0_{\sim X}^* = 0_{\sim \gamma(X)}$
- (ii) $1_{\sim X}^* = 1_{\sim \gamma(X)}$
- (iii) $(x_{r,s})^* = \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^x$

Proof. Obvious. □

Definition 4.2. An intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} structure (in short, $IF\mathcal{C}st$) consists of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets of the form A^* . An intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ is a space which admits intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} structure.

Definition 4.3. An intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} closure of an intuitionistic fuzzy set A in an intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space is defined by $IFcl_{\mathcal{C}st}(A^*) = \bigcap \{B^* \in IF\mathcal{C}st / A^* \subseteq B^*\}$.

Proposition 4.4. Let $e : X \rightarrow \gamma(X)$ is defined by $e(x) = \mathcal{U}^x$, for each $x \in X$. Then $e(1_{\sim X})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} dense in an intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ that is, $IFcl_{\mathcal{C}st}(e(1_{\sim X})) = 1_{\sim \gamma(X)}$.

Proof. Let A be an intuitionistic fuzzy set with $\mu, \gamma \in I^X$. Now, $e(A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in an intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space with $e(A) \in I^{\gamma(X)}$ and it is defined for each $\mathcal{U} \in \gamma(X)$,

$$e(\mu_A(\mathcal{U})) = \begin{cases} \mu_A(x) & \text{if } \exists x \in X \text{ such that } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^x, \\ 0 & \text{if } \forall x \in X, \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases}$$

and

$$e(\gamma_A(\mathcal{U})) = \begin{cases} \gamma_A(x) & \text{if } \exists x \in X \text{ such that } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^x, \\ 1 & \text{if } \forall x \in X, \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases}$$

Let $C = IFcl_{\mathcal{C}st}(e(1_{\sim X}))$. We know that $e(1_{\sim X}) \subseteq C$, then for each $x \in X$ and $\mathcal{U}^x \in \gamma(X)$, $1_{\sim \gamma(X)} \subseteq C$. Therefore $C = 1_{\sim \gamma(X)}$. Hence $IFcl_{\mathcal{C}st}(e(1_{\sim X})) = 1_{\sim \gamma(X)}$. Thus $e(1_{\sim X})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} dense in an intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space. □

Definition 4.5. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space and $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ space. Then $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ continuous* function, if the inverse image of every intuitionistic fuzzy set in $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set in (X, T) .

Definition 4.6. Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space and $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ space. Then $f : (X, T) \rightarrow (\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ is said to be

intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ closed* function, if the image of every intuitionistic fuzzy closed set in (X, T) is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$.

Notation 4.2. For an intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{r,s}$,

- (i) the degree of membership is denoted by r_x .
- (ii) the degree of nonmembership is denoted by s_x .

Proposition 4.7. The function e is an intuitionistic fuzzy embedding* of X into $\gamma(X)$.

Proof. (i) Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$, then $\mathcal{U}^x \neq \mathcal{U}^y$. Let $x_{r,s}$ and $y_{m,n}$ be any two distinct intuitionistic fuzzy points.

- (a) If $x \neq y$, for each $\mathcal{U} \in \gamma(X)$,

$$e(r_x)(\mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} r_x & \text{if } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^x, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases}$$

and

$$e(s_x)(\mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} s_x & \text{if } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^x, \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$e(m_y)(\mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} m_y & \text{if } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^y, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^y. \end{cases}$$

and

$$e(n_y)(\mathcal{U}) = \begin{cases} n_y & \text{if } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^y, \\ 1 & \text{if } \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^y. \end{cases}$$

Therefore $e(x_{r,s}) \neq e(y_{m,n})$.

(b) If $x = y$ then $(r, s) \neq (m, n)$. Thus $e(x_{r,s}) = \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^x = \mathcal{U}_{r,s}^y \neq \mathcal{U}_{m,n}^y$. Therefore $e(x_{r,s}) \neq e(y_{m,n})$. Hence e is an intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ 1-1 function.

(ii) For each $A^* \in IF\mathcal{C}st$, $\mathcal{U}^x \in \gamma(X)$ and $x \in X$, $e^{-1}(\mu_{A^*})(x) = \mu_{A^*}(e(x)) = \mu_{A^*}(\mathcal{U}^x) = \mu_A(x)$ and $e^{-1}(\gamma_{A^*})(x) = \gamma_{A^*}(e(x)) = \gamma_{A^*}(\mathcal{U}^x) = \gamma_A(x)$. Then, for each $A \in T^c$, $e^{-1}(A^*) = A$. Thus the inverse image of every intuitionistic fuzzy set A^* in $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy closed set A in (X, T) . Hence e is an intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ continuous* function.

- (iii) For each $\mathcal{U} \in \gamma(X)$ and $A \in T^c$,

$$e(\mu_A(\mathcal{U})) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \forall x \in X, \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ \mu_A(x) & \text{if } \exists x \in X \text{ such that } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases}$$

and

$$e(\gamma_A(\mathcal{U})) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \forall x \in X, \mathcal{U} \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ \gamma_A(x) & \text{if } \exists x \in X \text{ such that } \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases}$$

$e(A)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set in $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$. Hence e is an intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ closed* function. Hence the function e is an intuitionistic fuzzy embedding* of X into $\gamma(X)$. \square

Definition 4.8. Let $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset IF\mathcal{C}st$ satisfying the following conditions.

- (i) \mathcal{F} is a nonempty family and $0_\sim \notin \mathcal{F}$
- (ii) If $A_1^*, A_2^* \in \mathcal{F}$ the $A_1^* \cap A_2^* \in \mathcal{F}$
- (iii) If $A^* \in \mathcal{F}$ and $B^* \in IF\mathcal{C}st$ with $A^* \subseteq B^*$ then $B^* \in \mathcal{F}$.

\mathcal{F} is called an intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ prefilter (in short, $(T_{\gamma(X)})^c$) on $\gamma(X)$.

Definition 4.9. An intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ is said to be an intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} compact* space if whenever $\bigcup_{i \in I} A_i^* = 1_{\sim \gamma(X)}$, $A_i^* \in IF\mathcal{C}st$, $i \in I$, there is a finite subfamily J of I with $\bigcup_{j \in J} A_j^* = 1_{\sim \gamma(X)}$.

Definition 4.10. An intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} compact* space if and only if for any family of intuitionistic fuzzy sets $\{A_i^* : i \in I\}$ in an intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} structure with the property that $\bigcap_{j \in J} A_j^* \neq 0_\sim$, for any finite subfamily J of I , we have $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i^* \neq 0_\sim$.

Proposition 4.11. An intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ compact* space.

Proof. Let \mathcal{F} be an intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ prefilter on $\gamma(X)$. Let $B \in \mathcal{F}$. Since $B \in IF\mathcal{C}st$, there is an index family I_B such that $B = \bigcap_{i \in I_B} A_i^*$ for $A_i \in T^c$. Since $B \subseteq A_i^*$ for each $i \in I_B$. Therefore for each $B \in \mathcal{F}$ and $i \in I_B$, $A_i^* \in \mathcal{F}$. Consider the family of intuitionistic fuzzy closed sets in (X, T) , $\mathcal{C} = \{A \in T^c : A^* \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Since $1_{\sim X} = 1_{\sim \gamma(X)} \in \mathcal{F}$ implies $1_{\sim X} \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus \mathcal{C} is nonempty family.

(i) $0_{\sim X} \notin \mathcal{F}$ implies that $0_\sim \notin \mathcal{C}$

(ii) If $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, then $A_1^*, A_2^* \in \mathcal{F}$. By Definition 4.6, $A_1^* \cap A_2^* = (A_1 \cap A_2)^* \in \mathcal{F}$ implies that $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence \mathcal{C} is an intuitionistic fuzzy base for an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c prefilter on X .

Let \mathcal{U}_0 be an intuitionistic fuzzy T^c ultrafilter contains \mathcal{C} . Then, for each $A \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{A^*}(\mathcal{U}_0) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \forall x \in X \text{ and } \mu_A \notin \mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_0 \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ 1 & \text{if } \forall x \in X \text{ and } \mu_A \in \mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_0 \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ \mu_A(x) & \text{if } \exists x \in X \text{ with } \mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \forall x \in X, \mathcal{U}_0 \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ \mu_A(x) & \text{if } \exists x \in X, \mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{A^*}(\mathcal{U}_0) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \forall x \in X \text{ and } \gamma_A \notin \mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_0 \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ 0 & \text{if } \forall x \in X \text{ and } \gamma_A \in \mathcal{U}_0, \mathcal{U}_0 \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ \gamma_A(x) & \text{if } \exists x \in X \text{ with } \mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \forall x \in X, \mathcal{U}_0 \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ \gamma_A(x) & \text{if } \exists x \in X, \mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\bigwedge_{A^* \in \mathcal{C}} \mu_{A^*}(\mathcal{U}_0) = \bigwedge_{A^* \in \mathcal{C}} \mu_{A^*}(\mathcal{U}_0) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \forall x \in X, \mathcal{U}_0 \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ \bigwedge_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \mu_A(x), & \text{if } \exists x \in X, \mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases}$$

and

$$\bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \gamma_{A^*}(\mathcal{U}_0) = \bigwedge_{A^* \in \mathcal{F}} \gamma_{A^*}(\mathcal{U}_0) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \forall x \in X, \mathcal{U}_0 \neq \mathcal{U}^x, \\ \bigvee_{A \in \mathcal{C}} \gamma_A(x), & \text{if } \exists x \in X, \mathcal{U}_0 = \mathcal{U}^x. \end{cases}$$

Hence $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{C}} A^* \neq 0_\sim$. Now, $\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{F}} B = \bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{F}} (\bigcap_{i \in I_B} A^*) = \bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{C}} A^* \neq 0_\sim$ implies that $\bigcap_{B \in \mathcal{F}} B \neq 0_\sim$. Therefore $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ compact* space. \square

Proposition 4.12. *Let (X, T) be an intuitionistic fuzzy F^* space. Suppose T^c is an intuitionistic fuzzy normal family. Under these conditions, the intuitionistic fuzzy \mathcal{C} space $(\gamma(X), IF\mathcal{C}st)$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy $\mathcal{C}st$ compactification of an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (X, T) .*

Proof. Follows from the Propositions 4.1, 4.4, 4.7 and 4.11. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K. T. Atanassov, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 20 (1986) 87–96.
- [2] N. Blasco Mardones, M. Macho Stadler and M. A. de Prada, On fuzzy compactifications, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 43 (1991) 189–197.
- [3] C. L. Chang, Fuzzy topological spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968) 182–190.
- [4] D. Coker, An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 88(1) (1997) 81–89.
- [5] D. Coker and M. Demirci, On intuitionistic fuzzy points, Notes IFS 1(2) (1995) 79–84.
- [6] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Information and Control 9 (1965) 338–353.

R. NARMADA DEVI (narmadadevi23@gmail.com)

Department of mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem - 16, Tamil Nadu, India.

E. ROJA (arudhay@yahoo.co.in)

Department of mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem - 16, Tamil Nadu, India.

M. K. UMA (arudhay@yahoo.co.in)

Department of mathematics, Sri Sarada College for Women, Salem - 16, Tamil Nadu, India.