

## Some common fixed point theorems for non-Archimedean $L$ -fuzzy metric spaces

ANJU RANI, RENU CHUGH

Received 5 October 2011; Revised 2 May 2012; Accepted 17 May 2012

---

**ABSTRACT.** The aim of paper is to obtain some results on fixed point theorems for coincidence commuting mappings, implicit relations, contractive mappings and fuzzy  $\psi$ -contractive mappings in non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space.

2010 AMS Classification: 47H10, 54H25

**Keywords:** commuting mappings, implicit relations, contractive mappings and fuzzy  $\psi$ -contractive mappings non-Archimedean fuzzy metric space

Corresponding Author: ANJU RANI ([toor.anju@yahoo.com](mailto:toor.anju@yahoo.com))

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [15]. Many authors have studied fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space [1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9]. Saadati et al [14] introduced the concept of  $L$ -fuzzy metric space as an extension of fuzzy metric and intuitionistic fuzzy metric spaces. In 2008, Mihet proved a Banach Contraction Theorem in  $M$ -complete non-Archimedean fuzzy metric space. As the generalization of non-Archimedean fuzzy metric space, R. Saadati and S. Mansour [13] introduced the concept of non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space and showed that every contractive mapping on non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space has a unique fixed point. The aim of this paper is to obtain some results on fixed point theorems for coincidence commuting mappings, implicit relations, contractive mappings and fuzzy  $\psi$ -contractive mappings in non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $L = (L, \leq_L)$  be a complete lattice and  $U$  a non empty set called universe. An  $L$ -fuzzy set  $A$  on  $U$  is defined as a mapping.  $A : U \rightarrow L$ . For each  $u$  in  $U$ ,  $A(u)$  represents the degree (in  $L$ ) to which satisfies  $A$ .

Classically, a triangular norm  $T$  on  $([0, 1], \leq)$  is defined as an increasing, commutative, associative mapping  $T : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  satisfying  $(1, x) = x$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . These definitions can be straightforwardly extended to any lattice  $L = (L, \leq_L)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** A triangular norm ( $t$ -norm) on  $L$  is a mapping  $\tau : L^2 \rightarrow L$  satisfying the following conditions:

- (a)  $\tau(x, 1_L) = x \ \forall x \in L$  (boundary condition)
- (b)  $\tau(x, y) = \tau(y, x) \ \forall (x, y) \in L^2$  (commutativity)
- (c)  $\tau(x\tau(y, z)) = \tau(\tau(x, y), z)$  (associativity)
- (d)  $x \leq_L x'$  and  $y \leq_L y' \Rightarrow \tau(x, y) \leq_L \tau(x', y')$  (monotonicity)

The  $t$ -norm  $\tau$  is Hadzic type if  $\tau(x, y) \geq_L \wedge(x, y)$  for every  $x, y \in L$  where

$$\wedge(x, y) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \leq_L y \\ y & \text{if } y \leq_L x \end{cases}$$

Triangle norms are recursively defined by  $\tau^2 = \tau$  and

$$\tau^n(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(n+1)}) = \tau(\tau^{n-1}(x_{(1)}, \dots, x_{(n)}), x_{(n+1)})$$

for  $n \geq 2, x_{(i)} \in L$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n + 1\}$ .

**Definition 1.3.** A negator on  $L$  is any decreasing mapping  $N : L \rightarrow L$  satisfying  $N(0_L) = 1_L$  and  $N(1_L) = 0_L$ . If  $N(N(x)) = x$  for all  $x \in L$ , then  $N$  is called an involutive negator.

In this research the negator  $N : L \rightarrow L$  is fixed. The negator  $N_S$  on  $([0, 1], \leq)$  defined as  $N_S(x) = 1 - x$ , for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ , is called the standard negator on  $([0, 1], \leq)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** The triple  $(X, M, \tau)$  is said to be an  $L$ -fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is a non empty arbitrary set,  $\tau$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm on  $L$  and  $M$  is an  $L$ -fuzzy set on  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions for every  $x, y, z$  in  $X$  and  $t, s$  in  $(0, \infty)$ :

- (a)  $M(x, y, t) >_L 0_L$
- (b)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t) = 1_L$  for all  $t > 0$  if and only if  $x = y$
- (c)  $\tau(M(x, y, t), M(y, z, s)) \leq_L M(x, z, t + s)$
- (d)  $M(x, y, \cdot) : (0, \infty) \rightarrow L$  is continuous
- (e)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} M(x, y, t) = 1_L$ .

In this case,  $M$  is called an  $L$ -fuzzy metric.

If, in the above definition, the triangle inequality (c) is replaced by

$$(NA) \quad \tau(M(x, y, t), M(y, z, s)) \leq_L M(x, z, \max\{t, s\}) \ \forall x, y, z \in X, t, s > 0$$

or equivalently,

$$\tau(M(x, y, t), M(y, z, t)) \leq_L M(x, z, t) \ \forall x, y, z \in X, t > 0.$$

Then the triple  $(X, M, \tau)$  is called a Non Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space.

For  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , we define the closed ball  $B[x, r, t]$  with centre  $x \in X$  and radius  $r \in L \setminus \{0_L, 1_L\}$ , as

$$B[x, r, t] = \{y \in X : M(x, y, t) \geq_L N(r)\}.$$

**Definition 1.5** ([13]). A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in an  $L$ -fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, \tau)$  is called a right(left) Cauchy sequence if, for each  $\varepsilon \in L \setminus \{0_L\}$  and  $t > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $M(x_m, x_n, t) >_L N(\varepsilon)$ , for all  $m \geq N \geq n_0$  ( $n \geq m \geq n_0$ ).

The sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called convergent to  $x \in X$  in an  $L$ -fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, \tau)$  (denoted by  $x_n \xrightarrow{M} x$ ) if  $M(x_n, x, t) = M(x, x_n, t) = 1_L$ , whenever  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  for every  $t > 0$ . An  $L$ -fuzzy metric space is said to be right (left) complete if and only if every right (left) Cauchy sequence is convergent.

**Definition 1.6** ([13]). Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be an  $L$ -fuzzy metric space and let  $N$ , be a negator on  $L$ . Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ , then the LF-diameter  $f$  of the set  $A$  is the function defined as:

$$\delta_A(s) = \sup_{t <_s} \inf_{x, y \in A} M(x, y, t).$$

A sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of subsets of an  $L$ -fuzzy metric space is called decreasing sequence if  $A_1 \supseteq A_2 \supseteq A_3 \supseteq \dots$

The following lemma gives conditions under which the intersection of such sequences is nonempty.

**Lemma 1.7** ([13]). Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be a left complete  $L$ -fuzzy metric space and let  $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a decreasing sequence of nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  such that  $\delta_{A_n}(t) \rightarrow 1_L$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  contains exactly one point.

**Corollary 1.8** ([13]). Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be a left complete  $L$ -fuzzy metric space and let  $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of closed subsets of  $X$ , which has the finite intersection property and for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , contains a set of LF-diameter less than  $\varepsilon$ , then  $\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \neq \emptyset$ .

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be a left complete  $L$ -fuzzy metric space. A mapping  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be contractive if whenever  $x$  and  $y$  are distinct point in  $X$ , we have

$$M(\Delta x, \Delta y, t) >_L M(x, y, t).$$

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we prove some results of [3, 4, 8, 10, 11, 12] in non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be complete non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space and  $f, g, S$  and  $T$  be self maps on  $X$  satisfying

$$(I) \quad M(Sx, Ty, t) \geq_L \min\{M(fx, gy, t), M(fx, Sx, t), M(gy, Ty, t)\}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , with  $fx \neq gy$ ,

$$(II) \quad fS = Sf, fg = gf, fT = Tf, gS = Sg, gT = Tg, ST = TS,$$

$$(III) \quad S(X) \subseteq f(X), T(X) \subseteq g(X)$$

$$(IV) \quad fg(X) \text{ is complete and } fg \text{ is one-one mapping.}$$

Then  $f$  and  $S$  have a coincident point or  $g$  and  $T$  have a coincident point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* For  $a \in X$ , let  $B_a = [fga, \eta, t]$  where

$$\eta(a, t) = N\{\min\{M(fga, Sga, t), M(fga, Tfa, t)\}\}$$

denote the closed sphere centered at  $fga$  with the radius

$$\min\{M(fga, Sga, t), M(fga, Tfa, t)\}. \quad \square$$

Let  $A$  be the collection of all the spheres for all  $a \in fg(X)$ . Then the relation  $B_a \leq B_b$  iff  $B_b \subseteq B_a$  is a partial order on  $A$ .

Consider a totally ordered sub family  $A_1$  of  $A$ . Since  $fg(X)$  is complete and by above corollary 1.8, we have  $\bigcap_{B_a \in A_1} B_a = B \neq \phi$ . Let  $fgb \in B$  where  $b \in fg(X)$  and  $B_a \in A_1$ . Then  $fgb \in B_a$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(fgb, fga, t) &\geq_L N\{N\{\min\{M(fga, Sga, t), M(fga, Tfa, t)\}\}\} \\ (2.1) \quad &\geq_L \min\{M(fga, Sga, t), M(fga, Tfa, t)\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a = b$  then  $B_a = B_b$ . Assume that  $a \neq b$ . Since  $fg$  is one-one, we have  $fga \neq fgb$ . Let  $x \in B_b$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, fgb, t) &\geq_L N\{N\{\min\{M(fgb, Sgb, t), M(fgb, Tfb, t)\}\}\} \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(fgb, Sgb, t), M(fgb, Tfb, t)\} \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(fgb, fga, t), M(fga, Tfa, t), M(Tfa, Sgb, t), \\ &\quad M(fgb, fga, t), M(fga, Sga, t), M(Sga, Tfb, t)\} \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(fgb, Sga, t), M(fga, Tfa, t), \\ &\quad \min\{M(fgb, gfa, t), M(fgb, Sgb, t), M(gfa, Tfa, t)\}, \\ &\quad \min\{M(fga, gfb, t), M(fga, Sga, t), M(gfb, Tfb, t)\}\} \\ &= \min\{M(fga, Sga, t), M(fga, Tfa, t)\} \quad \text{from (2.1), (I) (II)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, fga, t) &\geq_t N\{N\{\min\{M(x, fgb, t), M(fgb, fga, t)\}\}\} \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(x, fgb, t), M(fgb, fga, t)\} \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(fga, Sga, t), M(fga, Tfa, t)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x \in B_b$ . Hence  $B_b \subseteq B_a$  for every  $B_b \in A_1$ . Thus  $B_b$  is an upper bound in  $A$  for the family  $A_1$  and hence by Zorn's lemma, there is a maximal element in  $A$ , say  $B_z, z \in fg(X)$ . There exists  $w \in X$  such that  $z = fgw$ . Suppose  $S(gfgw) \neq f(gfgw)$  and  $T(ffgw) \neq g(ffgw)$ . From (I) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(Sgfgw, TSfgw, t) &\geq_L N\{N\{\min\{M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t), M(fgfgw, Sgfgw, t), \\ &\quad M(gSfgw, TSfgw, t)\}\}\} \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t), M(fgfgw, Sgfgw, t), \\ &\quad M(gSfgw, TSfgw, t)\} \\ (2.2) \quad &= M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} M(STfgw, Tffgw, t) &\geq_L N\{N\{\min\{M(fTfgw, gffgw, t), M(fTfgw, STfgw, t), \\ &\quad M(gffgw, Tffgw, t)\}\}\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\geq_L \min\{M(fTfgw, gffgw, t), M(fTfgw, STfgw, t), \\
 &\quad M(gffgw, Tffgw, t)\} \\
 (2.3) \quad &= M(fTfgw, gffgw, t) \\
 M(fggSw, SggSw, t) &\geq_L N\{N\{\min\{M(fggSw, TSfgw, t), M(TSfgw, Tffgw, t), \\
 &\quad M(Tffgw, SggSw, t)\}\}\} \\
 &\geq_L \min\{M(fggSw, TSfgw, t), M(TSfgw, Tffgw, t), \\
 &\quad M(Tffgw, SggSw, t)\} \\
 &\geq_L \min\{M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t), M(fTfgw, gffgw, t), \\
 &\quad \min\{M(fggSw, gffgw, t), M(fggSw, SggSw, t), \\
 &\quad M(gffgw, Tffgw, t)\}\} \text{ from (2.2), (2.3), (I), (II)} \\
 (2.4) \quad &= \min\{M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t), M(fTfgw, gffgw, t)\} \\
 &\quad M(Tffgw, TffTw, t)N\{N\{\min\{M(Tffgw, STfgw, t), \\
 &\quad M(STfgw, Sgfgw, t), M(Sgfgw, TffTw, t)\}\}\} \\
 &\quad \min\{M(Tffgw, STfgw, t), M(STfgw, Sgfgw, t), \\
 &\quad M(Sgfgw, TffTw, t)\} \\
 &\quad \min\{M(fTfgw, gffgw, t), M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t), \\
 &\quad \min\{M(fgfgw, gffTw, t), M(fgfgw, Sgfgw, t), \\
 &\quad M(gffTw, TffTw, t)\}\} \text{ from (2.2), (2.3), (I), (II)} \\
 (2.5) \quad &= \min\{M(fTfgw, gffgw, t), M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.2), (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\min\{M(Sgfgw, TSfgw, t), M(fggSw, SggSw, t)\} \\
 (2.6) \quad &\geq_L \min\{M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t), M(fTfgw, gffgw, t)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.3), (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\min\{M(STfgw, Tffgw, t), M(Tffgw, TffTw, t)\} \\
 (2.7) \quad &\geq_L \min\{M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t), M(fTfgw, gffgw, t)\}
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\min\{M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t), M(fTfgw, gffgw, t)\} = M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t)$ , then from (2.6),  $fgfgw \notin BgSw \Rightarrow fgz \notin BgSw$ . Hence  $B_z \not\subseteq BgSw$ . It is a contradiction to the maximality of  $B_z$  in  $A$ , since  $gSw \subseteq gf(X) = fg(X)$ . If  $\min\{M(fgfgw, gSfgw, t), M(fTfgw, gffgw, t)\} = M(fTfgw, gffgw, t)$ , then from (2.7),  $fgfgw \notin BfTw \Rightarrow fgz \notin BfTw$ . Hence  $B_z \not\subseteq BfTw$ . It is a contradiction to the maximality of  $B_z$  in  $A$ , since  $fTw \subseteq fg(X)$ . Hence either  $S(gfgw) = f(gfgw)$  or  $T(ffgw) = g(ffgw)$ . Thus either  $f$  and  $S$  or  $g$  and  $T$  have a coincident point in  $X$ . Taking  $f = g$  we get the following result:

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be complete non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space,  $f, S, T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfying*

- (a)  $f(X)$  is complete,

(b)  $M(Sx, Ty, t) \geq_L \min\{M(fx, fy, t), M(fx, Sx, t), M(fy, Ty, t)\}$  for  $x, y \in X$ ,  
 $x \neq y$ ;

(c)  $fS = Sf, fT = Tf, ST = TS$ ,

(d)  $S(X) \subseteq f(X), T(X) \subseteq f(X)$ .

Then either  $fw = Sw$  or  $fw = Tw$  for some  $w \in X$ .

Taking  $S = T$ , we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be complete non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space. If  $f$  and  $T$  are two self maps satisfying*

$$(2.8) \quad T(X) \subseteq f(X),$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq_L \min\{M(fx, fy, t), M(fx, Tx, t), M(fy, Ty, t)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X, x \neq y$$

then there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $fz = Tz$ .

Further if  $f$  and  $T$  are coincidentally commuting at  $z$  then  $z$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $T$ .

If we take  $f = I$  (identity map) in above theorem, it becomes the following result:

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be complete non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space. If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a mapping such that for every  $x, y \in X, x \neq y$ ,*

$$(2.10) \quad M(Tx, Ty, t) \geq_L \min\{M(x, Tx, t), M(x, y, t), M(y, Ty, t)\}$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

Now we extend Corollary 2.3 when  $T$  is a multivalued map. Let  $C(X)$  denote the class of all non empty compact subsets of  $X$ . For  $A, B \in C(X)$ , the Hausdorff metric is defined by

$$(2.11) \quad H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A) \right\}$$

where  $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, a) : a \in A\}$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be complete non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space. Let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  and  $T : X \rightarrow C(X)$  be satisfying*

$$(2.12) \quad T(X) \subseteq f(X) \quad \forall x \in X,$$

$$(2.13) \quad H(Tx, Ty, t) \geq_L \min\{M(fx, fy, t), M(fx, Tx, t), M(fy, Ty, t)\} \quad \forall x, y \in X, x \neq y$$

then there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $fz \in Tz$ .

Further assume that

$$(2.14) \quad M(fx, fu, t) \geq_L H(Tfy, Tu, t) \quad \forall x, y, u \in X, fx \in Ty$$

and

$$(2.15) \quad f \text{ and } T \text{ are coincidentally commuting at } z.$$

Then  $fz$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B_a = [fa, \eta, t]$  where  $\eta(a, t) = N\{N\{1 - M(fa, Ta, t)\}\}$  denote the closed sphere centered at  $fa$  with the radius  $1 - M(fa, Ta, t)$  and let  $A$  be the collection of these spheres for all  $a \in X$ . Then the relation  $B_a \leq B_b$  iff  $B_b \subseteq B_a$  is a partial order on  $A$ . Let  $A_1$  be a totally ordered sub family of  $A$ . Since  $(X, M, \tau)$  is complete and by above corollary 1.8, we have

$$\bigcap_{B_a \in A_1} B_a = B \neq \phi.$$

Let  $fb \in B$  and  $B_a \in A_1$ . Then  $fb \in B_a$ . Hence

$$(2.16) \quad M(fb, fa, t) \geq_L M(fa, Ta, t)$$

If  $a = b$  then  $B_a = B_b$ . Assume that  $a \neq b$ . Let  $x \in B_b$ . Then

$$M(x, fb, t) \geq_L N\{N\{M(fb, Tb, t)\}\} \geq_L M(fb, Tb, t).$$

Since  $Ta$  is compact, there exists  $u \in Ta$  such that

$$(2.17) \quad M(fa, u, t) = M(fa, Ta, t).$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} M(fb, Tb, t) &= \inf_{c \in Tb} M(fb, c, t) \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(fb, fa, t), M(fa, u, t), \inf_{c \in Tb} M(u, c, t)\} \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(fb, Ta, t), M(Ta, Tb, t)\} \quad (\text{from (2.16) and (2.17)}) \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(fb, Ta, t), M(Ta, fb, t)\} \quad (\text{from (2.16) and (2.13)}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(2.18) \quad M(x, fa, t) \geq_L M(fb, Tb, t)M(fa, Ta, t)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, fa, t) &\geq_L \min\{M(x, fa, t), M(fb, fa, t)\} \\ &\geq_L M(fa, Ta, t) \quad (\text{from (2.16) and (2.18)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $x \in B_a$ . Hence  $B_b \subseteq B_a$  for any  $B_a \in A_1$ . Thus  $B_b$  is an upper bound in  $A$  for the family  $A_1$  and hence by Zorn's Lemma,  $A$  has a maximal element, say  $B_z$ ,  $z \in X$ .

Suppose  $fz \notin Tz$ . Since  $Tz$  is compact, there exists  $k \in Tz$  such that  $M(fz, Tz, t) = M(fz, k, t)$ . From (2.12), there exists  $w \in X$  such that  $k = fw$ . Thus

$$(2.19) \quad M(fz, Tz, t) = M(fz, fw, t).$$

Clearly  $z \neq w$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} M(fw, Tw, t) &\geq_L H(Tz, Tw, t) \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(fz, fw, t), M(fz, Tz, t), M(fw, Tw, t)\} \\ &= M(fz, fw, t) \quad (\text{from (2.19)}) \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $fz \notin B_w$ . Thus  $B_z \not\subseteq B_w$ . It is a contradiction to the maximality of  $B_z$ . Hence  $fz \in Tz$ .

Further assume (2.14) and (2.15). Write  $fz = p$ . Then  $p \in Tz$ . From (2.14),

$M(p, fp, t) = M(fz, fp, t) \geq_L H(Tfz, Tp, t) = H(Tp, Tp, t) = 0$ . This implies that  $fp = p$ . From (2.15),  $p = fp \in fTz \subseteq Tfz = Tp$ . Thus  $fz = p$  is a common fixed point of  $f$  and  $T$ .

Suppose  $q \in X, q \neq p$  is such that  $q = fq \in Tq$ . From (2.13) and (2.14) we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(p, q, t) &= M(fp, fq, t) \geq_L H(Tfp, Tq, t) \\ &= H(Tp, Tq, t) \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(fp, fq, t), M(fp, Tp, t), M(fq, Tq, t)\} \\ &= M(p, q, t). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $p = q$ . Thus  $p = fz$  is the unique common fixed point of  $f$  and  $T$ . We denote by  $F_X$  the set of maps  $f : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ .  $\square$

**Definition 2.6.** A function  $f \in F_X$  is said to be metric locally constant (shortly, m.l.c.) provided that for any  $x \in X$  and any  $y$  in the open  $B(x, f(x))$  one has  $f(x) = f(y)$ .

**Remark 2.7.** Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space. If  $a, b \in X, \lambda > 0$ , and  $b \in B(a, \lambda, t)$  then  $B(a, \lambda, t) = B(b, \lambda, t)$ .

- (a) If  $a, b \in X, 0 < \delta \leq \lambda$ , then either  $B(a, \lambda, t) \cap B(b, \lambda, t) = \phi$  or  $B(b, \lambda, t) \subseteq B(a, \lambda, t)$ . Hence, if a ball  $B(a, \lambda, t)$  contains a ball  $B(b, \lambda, t)$ , then either the balls are the same or  $\delta < \lambda$ .
- (b) Every ball is clopen (closed and open) in the topology defined by  $M$ .

**Theorem 2.8.** Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be complete non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  contractive mapping. Then there exist subset  $B \subseteq X$  such that  $T : B \rightarrow B$  and that the function  $f(x) = M(x, Tx, t), x \in B$ , is m.l.c.

*Proof.* Let  $B_a = B(a, 1 - M(a, Ta, t), t)$  denote the closed spheres centered at  $a$  with the radii  $1 - M(a, Ta, t)$  and let  $A$  be the collection of these spheres for all  $a \in X$ . The relation

$$B_a \leq B_b \quad \text{iff} \quad B_b \subseteq B_a \text{ is a partial order on } A.$$

Let  $A_1$  be a totally ordered subfamily of  $A$ . Since  $(X, M, \tau)$  is complete and from above Corollary 1.8, we have

$$\bigcap_{B_a \in A_1} B_a = B_a = B \neq \phi.$$

Let  $b \in B$  and  $B_a \in A_1$  then  $b \in B_a$  so

$$M(b, a, t) \geq_L 1 - (1 - M(a, Ta, t)) = M(a, Ta, t).$$

If  $a = b$  then  $B_a = B_b$ . Assume that  $a \neq b$ , for any  $x \in B_b$

$$M(x, a, t) \geq_L \min\{M(x, b, t), M(b, a, t)\} \geq_L M(a, Ta, t)$$



and

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, b, t) &\geq_L 1 - (1 - M(b, Tb, t)) \\ &= M(b, Tb, t) \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(b, a, t), M(a, Ta, t), M(Ta, Tb, t)\} \\ &= \min\{M(a, Ta, t), M(Ta, Tb, t)\} = M(a, Ta, t). \end{aligned}$$

So  $B_b \subseteq B_a$  for any  $B_a \in A_1$ . Thus  $B_b$  is the upper bound for the family  $A_1$ . By Zorn's lemma there is a maximal element in  $A_1$ , say  $B_z$ . For any  $b \in B_z$

$$\begin{aligned} M(b, Tb, t) &\geq_L \min\{M(b, z, t), M(z, Tz, t), M(Tz, Tb, t)\} \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(b, z, t), M(z, Tz, t), M(z, b, t)\} = M(z, Tz, t) \end{aligned}$$

$B_b \cap B_z$  is nonempty (contains  $b$ ) so by above Remark 2.7,

$$B_b \subseteq B_z.$$

Since  $Tb \in B_b$  we just prove that  $T : B_z \rightarrow B_z$ .

For  $z = Tzf(x) = 0$  so theorem is proved.

For  $z = Tz$  we are going to prove that  $f(b) = f(z)$  for every  $b \in B_z$ .

We know that  $M(b, Tb, t) \geq_L M(z, Tz, t)$  for any  $b \in B_z$ . Let us suppose that for some  $b \in B_z$

$$M(b, Tb, t) \geq_L M(z, Tz, t).$$

As

$$M(b, z, t) \geq_L M(z, Tz, t)$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} M(z, Tz, t) &\geq_L \min\{M(z, b, t), M(b, Tz, t)\} \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(z, b, t), M(b, Tb, t), M(Tb, Tz, t)\} \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(z, b, t), M(b, Tb, t), M(b, z, t)\} \\ &= \min\{M(z, b, t), M(b, Tb, t)\} \\ &= M(z, b, t). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain that  $M(z, Tz, t) = M(b, z, t)$ .

But

$$M(b, z, t) = M(z, Tz, t) \leq_L M(b, Tb, t)$$

implies that  $z \in B_z$  but  $z \notin B_b$  and hence

$$B_b \subsetneq B_z$$

which contradicts the maximality of  $B_z$ . Thus we proved that  $f$  is m.l.c. on  $B = B_z$ .  $\square$

### 3. IMPLICIT RELATIONS

Let  $\phi$  be the sets of all real continuous functions  $\phi : (R^+)^4 \rightarrow R$ , non decreasing in first argument and satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) For  $u, v \geq 0$ ,  $\phi(u, v, v, u) \geq 0$  or  $\phi(u, v, u, v) \geq 0$  imply  $u \geq v$
- (ii) The functions  $\phi$  satisfies condition  $(\phi_U)$  if

$$(3.1) \quad \phi(u, u, 1, 1) \geq 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad u \geq 1.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be complete non-Archimedean L-fuzzy metric space. If*

$$F(M(Tx, Ty, t), M(x, y, t), M(x, Tx, t), M(y, Ty, t)) \geq_L 0$$

for every  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , where  $F$  satisfies conditions  $(\phi_U)$ , then  $T$  has at most one fixed point.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $T$  has two fixed points  $z$  and  $z'$  with  $z \neq z'$ . Then by (3.1) we have successively

$$F(M(Tz, Tz', t), M(z, z', t), M(z, Tz, t), M(z', Tz', t)) \geq_L 0$$

i.e.,

$$F(M(z, z', t), M(z, z', t), M(z, z, t), M(z', z', t)) \geq_L 0$$

i.e.,

$$F(M(z, z', t), M(z, z', t), 1, 1) \geq_L 0$$

which contradicts  $(\phi_U)$ . □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be complete non-Archimedean L-fuzzy metric space. If  $T : X \rightarrow X$  satisfies the inequality (3.1) for every distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ , where  $F \in F_4$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point. Further, if  $F$  satisfies in addition condition  $(\phi_U)$ , then the fixed point is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $B_a = B[a, \eta, t]$  where  $\eta(a, t) = N\{N\{1 - M(a, Ta, t)\}\}$  denote the closed sphere centered at “ $a$ ” with radius  $1 - M(a, Ta, t)$  and let  $A$  be the collection of these spheres for all  $\in X$ . The relation  $B_a \leq B_b$  iff  $B_b \subseteq B_a$  is a partial order on  $A$ .

Now consider a totally ordered subfamily  $A_1$  of  $A$ . Since  $(X, M, \tau)$  be complete and from above Corollary 1.8, we have

$$\bigcap_{B_a \in A_1} B_a = B \neq \emptyset.$$

Let  $b \in B$ ,  $b \neq a$ ,  $B_a \in A_1$  and  $x \in B_b$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, b, t) &\geq_L N\{N\{M(b, Tb, t)\}\} \\ &\geq_L M(b, Tb, t) \\ &\geq_L \min\{M(b, a, t), M(a, Ta, t), M(Ta, Tb, t)\} \\ (3.2) \quad &= \min\{M(a, Ta, t), M(Ta, Tb, t)\} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (3.1) we have successively

$$F(M(Ta, Tb, t), M(a, b, t), M(a, Ta, t), M(b, Tb, t)) \geq_L 0$$

$$F(M(Ta, Tb, t), M(a, Ta, t), M(a, Ta, t), M(Ta, Tb, t)) \geq_L 0$$

which implies

$$M(Ta, Tb, t) \geq_L M(a, Ta, t)$$

By (3.2) we have

$$M(x, b, t) \geq_L M(a, Ta, t).$$

Now, we have

$$M(x, a, t) \geq_L \min\{M(x, b, t), M(b, a, t), M(a, Ta, t)\}.$$

So  $x \in B_a$  and  $B_b \subseteq B_a$  for any  $B_a \in A_1$ . Thus  $B_b$  is the upper bound for the family  $A$ . By Zorn's lemma  $A$  has a maximal element, say  $B_z$ , for some  $z \in X$ . We are going to prove that  $z = Tz$ .

Let us suppose the contrary, i.e. that  $z \neq Tz$ . Inequality (3.1) we have that

$$F(M(Tz, T(Tz), t), M(z, Tz, t), M(z, Tz, t), M(Tz, T(Tz)), t)) \geq_L 0$$

which implies

$$M(Tz, T(Tz), t) \geq_L M(z, Tz, t).$$

Now, if  $y \in BTz$  then

$$M(y, Tz, t) \geq_L M(Tz, T(Tz), t) \geq_L M(z, Tz, t).$$

Therefore,

$$M(y, z, t) \geq_L \min\{M(y, Tz, t), M(Tz, TT(z), t)\} = M(z, Tz, t).$$

This mean that  $y \in Bz$  and that  $B_{Tz} \subseteq Bz$ . On the other hand,  $z \notin Bz$  since  $M(z, Tz, t) > M(z, T(Tz), t)$ . so  $BTz \not\subseteq Bz$ . This is a contradiction with the maximality of  $Bz$ . Hence, we have that  $z = Tz$ . If  $F$  satisfies property  $(\phi_U)$ . By Theorem 3.1 it follows that  $z$  is the unique fixed point of  $T$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(X, M, \tau)$  be non-Archimedean  $L$ -fuzzy metric space in which  $\tau$  is Hadzic type and  $\Delta : X \rightarrow X$  be a fuzzy  $\psi$ -contractive mapping. If there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $M(x, \Delta x, t) > 0 \forall t > 0$ , then  $\Delta$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof.* Let  $B_x = B[x, \eta, t]$  with  $\eta(x, t) = N(M(x, \Delta x, t))$  and  $t > 0$ . Let  $A$  be the collection of these balls for all  $x \in X$ . The relation  $B_x \leq B_y$  iff  $B_y \subseteq B_x$  is a partial order in  $A$ . Consider a totally ordered subfamily  $A_i$  of  $A$ . From Corollary 1.8, we have

$$\bigcap_{B_x \in A_1} B_x = B \neq \phi.$$

Let  $y \in B$  and  $B_x \in A_1$ , then

$$(3.3) \quad M(x, y, t) \geq_L N(N(M(x, \Delta x, t))) = M(x, \Delta x, t)$$

Now, if  $x_0 \in B_y$ , then by using  $\psi$ -contractive mapping, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_0, y, t) &\geq_L N(N(M(y, \wedge y, t))) \\ &\geq_L \tau^2(M(y, x, t), M(x, \wedge x, t), M(\wedge x, \wedge y, t)) \\ &\geq_L \tau^2(M(y, x, t), M(x, \wedge x, t), M(x, y, t)) \\ &\geq_L M(x, \wedge x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(3.4) \quad M(x_n, y, t) \geq_L M(x, \wedge x, t)$$

Now by using (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_0, x, t) &\geq_L \tau(M(x_0, y, t), M(x, y, t)) \\ &\geq_L \tau(M(x, \wedge x, t), M(\wedge x, x, t)) \\ &\geq_L M(x, \wedge x, t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $x_0 \in B_x$  and  $B_y \subseteq B_x$  implies that  $B_x \leq B_y$  for all  $B_x \in A_1$ . Thus  $B_y$  is an upper bound in  $A$  for family  $A_1$  and hence by Zorn's Lemma,  $A$  has a maximal element, say  $B_z, z \in X$ . We claim that  $z = \Delta z$ .

Suppose that  $z \neq \Delta z$ . Since  $\Delta$  is  $\psi$ -contractive and  $\psi(t) > t$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} M(\wedge z, \wedge^2 z, t) &\geq_L \psi M(z, \wedge z, t), \\ &\geq_L M(z, \wedge z, t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta^2 = \Delta \circ \Delta$  and

$$\wedge z \in B[\wedge z, \eta(\wedge z, t), t] \cap B[z, \eta(z, t), t]$$

Therefore  $B_{\Delta z} \subseteq B_z$  and  $z$  is not in  $B_{\Delta z}$ . Thus  $B_{\Delta z} \subset B_z$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $B_z$ . Hence  $\Delta$  has a fixed point.

Uniqueness easily follows from  $\psi$ -contractive condition. □

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S.S. Chang, Y.J. Cho, B.S. Lee, J.S. Jung and S.M. Kang, Coincidence point and minimization theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and System* 88(1997) 119–128.
- [2] Y.J. Cho, H.K. Pathak, S.M. Kang and J.S. Jung, Common fixed points of compatible maps of type  $(\beta)$  in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and System* 93(1998) 99–111.
- [3] Ljilijana Gajic, On Ultrametric Space, *Novi Sad J. Math.* 31(2) (2001) 69–71.
- [4] Ljilijana Gajic, Metric locally constant function on some subset of ultrametric space, *Novi Sad J. Math.* 35(1) (2005) 123–125.
- [5] J. Goguen,  $L$ -fuzzy sets, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 18(1967) 145–174.
- [6] V. Gregori and A. Sapena, On fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and System* 125(2002) 245–252.
- [7] D. Mihet, A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and System* 144(2004) 431–439.
- [8] D. Mihet, Fuzzy  $\psi$ -contractive mappings in non-Archimedean fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and system* 159(2008) 739–744.
- [9] E. Pap, O. Hadzic and R. Mesiar, A fixed point theorem in probabilistic metric spaces and an application, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 202(1996) 433–449.
- [10] V. Popa, A general fixed point theorem for mappings in ultra metric spaces, *Universitatea Din Bacau Studii Si Cercetari Stiintifice*, 18(2008) 249–254.

- [11] K.P.R. Rao and G.N.V. Kishore, Common fixed point theorems in Ultra Metric Spaces, *Journal of Mathematics* 40(2008) 31–35.
- [12] K.P.R. Rao, G.N.V. Kishore and T. Ranga Rao, Some coincidence point theorems in ultra metric spaces, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis* 1(2007) 897–902.
- [13] R. Saadati and S. M. Vaezpou, A fixed point theorems in  $L$ -fuzzy quasi-metric spaces. *Am. J. Applied Sci.* 6(2) (2009) 273–275.
- [14] R. Saadati, A. Razani and H. Adibi, A common fixed point theorem in  $L$ -fuzzy metric spaces, *Chaos, Solitons Fractals* 33(2007) 358–363.
- [15] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control* 8(1965) 338–353.

ANJU RANI (toor.anju@yahoo.com, anjupanwar15@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, M.D. University, Rohtak-124001(Haryana), India

RENU CHUGH (chughrenu@yahoo.com)

Department of Mathematics, M.D. University, Rohtak-124001(Haryana), India